Published online 2022 August 22.

Research Article

Chemical Constituents, Antioxidant and Cytotoxic Potential of Chloroform and Ethyl Acetate Extracts of *Teucrium persicum*

Parvaneh Hajipour¹, Fatemeh Eizadifard¹ and Majid Tafrihi^{1,*}

¹Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, Faculty of Basic Sciences, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran

Corresponding author: Department of Molecular and Cell Biology, Faculty of Basic Sciences, University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran. Email: m.tafrihi@umz.ac.ir

Received 2022 May 27; Revised 2022 August 05; Accepted 2022 August 05.

Abstract

Background: *Teucrium persicum*, a well-known Iranian endemic plant, has been used to treat various diseases in Iranian traditional medicine.

Objectives: This study aimed to assess the antioxidant and cytotoxic effects of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts of *T. persicum* on cancer cell lines.

Methods: The total phenolic and flavonoid contents, as well as the antioxidant potential of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts were assayed. The GC-MS analysis was used to detect the chemical constituents of these two extracts. The cytotoxicity effects of extracts on two cancer cell lines, PC-3, SW-480, and HEK-293, as normal cell lines were evaluated using the MTT assay.

Results: The average total phenolic contents of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts were found to be 48 ± 0.2 and 25 ± 0.4 , respectively (P < 0.05). The total flavonoid contents of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts were measured as 34 ± 0.6 and 36 ± 0.6 mg EQ/g of the dried extract, respectively (P < 0.05). The antioxidant potential of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts were calculated as 2.5 ± 0.013 and $3 \pm 0.0023 \mu$ g/mL, respectively (P < 0.05). According to the GC-MS analysis, 60 and 61 different bioactive compounds were detected in chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts, respectively. MTT results showed that the SW-480 cell line was more sensitive than PC-3 and HEK-293 cells.

Conclusions: It was concluded that *T. persicum* had significant antioxidant and cytotoxic properties. Taking our study results into account, cancer inhibiting properties of *T. persicum* were strongly supported. However, it was recommended that further specific and detailed biochemical and molecular studies should be conducted in order to discover the effective compound(s) and mechanism(s) responsible for producing these effects.

Keywords: Teucrium persicum, Chloroform Extract, Ethyl Acetate Extract, Antioxidant Potential, Cytotoxicity

1. Background

Teucrium is a large, polymorphic genus belonging to the Lamiaceae family that includes more than 300 species having been distributed worldwide (1). Several reports have indicated that *Teucrium* species have anti-microbial, anti-inflammatory, antioxidant, anti-ulcer, and anti-cancer properties (2-4). Chemical analyses of essential oils or extracts from *Teucrium* species have confirmed the existence of various flavonoids and phenolic compounds with biological activities (5-7).

In addition to *Teucrium persicum* Boiss, *T. macrum Boiss* et. Hausskn and *T. melissoides Boiss et. Hausskn* also are well-known Iranian endemic plants of this genus (8). *Teucrium persicum* Boiss grows in Fars province on the south of Iran and is called *marv-e-talkh*. As a traditional remedy, *T. persicum* has been used for treating headaches, abdominal pains, diabetes, hyperlipidemia, and inflammation (9). Many attempts have been made to identify the chemical compositions of the essential oils extracted from *T. persicum*. Furthermore, studies have shown that the essential oils of *T. persicum* possess valuable antioxidant properties (9-11). A few studies investigating the biological activities of *T. persicum* have also indicated that the aqueous extract of *T. persicum* exhibits anti-inflammatory activity (12), and *T. persicum* methanolic extract significantly impedes the proliferation of PC-3 cells (13).

2. Objectives

The antioxidant properties of ethyl acetate and chloroform extracts of *T. persicum* have been already evaluated by several studies. In the present study, therefore, the cytotoxicity effects of these two extracts on PC-3 (human prostate adenocarcinoma), SW-480 (human colorectal adenocarci-

Copyright © 2022, Jentashapir Journal of Cellular and Molecular Biology. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

noma), and HEK-293T (human embryonic kidney) cell lines were evaluated.

3. Methods

3.1. Preparation of Extracts

Teucrium persicum plants were collected from the southern parts of Iran and confirmed by Dr. Alireza Naqinezhad from the Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, University of Mazandaran (9008-HUMZ). Then, 50 gr of the powdered aerial parts of *T. persicum* were soaked in 100 mL of ethyl acetate and chloroform separately and shaken for 48 hours. The concentrated supernatants were dried using a freeze dryer (Christ, Germany), dissolved in DMSO (25 μ g/mL), and stored at -20°C for later application.

3.2. Determination of Total Phenolic Contents

The total phenolic contents (TPC) of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts were calculated according to the Singleton and Rossi method with minor modifications (14). Briefly, 50 and 150 μ g/mL of the gum were added to 1.6 mL of deionized-distilled water, and 100 μ L of Folin-Ciocalteu phenol reagent was added and preserved for 3 min at 37°C. Then, 300 μ L of saturated Na₂CO₃ (7%) was added, and the mixture was transferred to a 10 mL tube with the addition of deionized-distilled water. The concoction was held in a dark room for 120 min, and the absorbance was quantified at 765 nm by an ELISA reader (BioTek, USA). The gallic acid was used as a reference standard, and the TPC was expressed as mg of gallic acid equivalents per gram of the gum on a dry basis.

3.3. Total Flavonoid Contents Determination

In this study, the aluminum chloride colorimetric method was applied in order to determine the total flavonoid contents (TFC) of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts (14). Then the absorbance was measured at 510 nm by using an ELISA reader (BioTek, USA). The quercetin was applied as a standard reference, and the TFC was expressed as a milligram of quercetin equivalents per gram of extracts on a dry basis. All assays were performed in triplicates.

3.4. Evaluation of DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity

One mL of 0.1 mM solution of DPPH radical in methanol was added to 20, 50, and 100 μ g/mL of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts. After performing vigorous vortextion and 30 min incubation in the dark room, the absorbance was measured at 517 nm by an ELISA reader (BioTek, USA), and the scavenging activity (S_{DPPH}) in percent was calculated adopting the following formula:

2

S_{DPPH} [%] = 100 × [(A_{blank}-A_{sample})/A_{blank}]

where A_{blank} indicates the absorbance of the control, and A_{sample} shows the absorbance of the extract. Then, 50% of DPPH- radical scavenging activity (IC₅₀) was calculated from a graph by plotting S_{DPPH} against the concentrations of extracts. All assays were performed in triplicates.

3.5. Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power (FRAP) Assay

Concentrations of 25, 75, and 125 μ g/mL of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts were added to a mix containing 2 mL of distilled water and 3 mL of the FRAP reagent. After performing a vigorous vortex and 10 min of incubation at 37°C, the absorbance was measured at 593 nm using an ELISA reader (BioTek, USA). The quercetin and aqueous solution of FeSO₄ were applied as positive control and standard, respectively (15). All assays were performed in triplicates.

3.6. Gas Chromatography

Analytical GC-MS assay was carried out on an Agilent 5975C MSD, which was coupled to an Agilent 7890A (Agilent Technologies, USA) and equipped with a flame ionization detector, FID. The GC separation was performed on DB5 column of 60 m \times 0.25 mm i.d. and 0.25 μ m film thickness in temperature program: initial temperature of 50°C was maintained for 4 min, then increased to 120°C at 5°C/1 min, and the final temperature of 260°C was maintained for 13 min with a constant helium flow rate of 1 mL min⁻¹. Taking into account the library data from Wiley 9th ed. & NIST 2008 Lib. SW (Version 2010) or other data from the literature, the individual compounds were recognized by matching their mass spectra, studying their retention times, and corresponding mass spectra with those of reliable standards.

3.7. Cell lines and Cell Culture

HEK-293, PC-3, and SW-480 cell lines were obtained from the National Cell Bank of Iran (NCBI, Pasteur Institute, Tehran, Iran). The cells were cultured in RPMI-1640 medium supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Sigma) and 1% penicillin/streptomycin (Sigma) and incubated in a 5% CO₂ humidified incubator at 37°C.

3.8. MTT Assay

To perform MTT assay, 4×10^3 cells were seeded in 150 μ L of growth medium in each well of a 96-well plate and allowed to grow to 70% confluency. The cells were then treated with different concentrations of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts (1 - 200 μ g/mL) for 48 hours. After removing the medium, 100 μ L of MTT solution (3- [4, 5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl]-2,5-diphenyl tetrazolium bromide)

(5 mg/mL in PBS) was added to each well. The MTT solution was changed to 100 μ L of DMSO three hours later, and the absorbance was measured at 590 nm using an ELISA reader (BioTek, USA). Cell survival rate was calculated as (%) = (a-c)/(b-c) x100, which a = absorbance at every concentration of the test reagent, b = absorbance at 0 μ M of the test reagent, and c = absorbance of the blank. The IC₅₀ values were calculated by adopting approximate linear regression of the percentage survival versus the drug concentration (16). All assays were conducted in triplicates.

3.9. Statistical Analysis

The data from all three replicates were reported as means \pm standard deviations. Statistical analyses were carried out using SPSS (IBM Statistics 25.0). A P-value < 0.05 was found to be statistically significant. The IC₅₀ value was computed using Excel (Microsoft office 2016) and Graph-Pad Prism software version 8 (California, USA).

4. Results

4.1. Total Phenolic Contents of Chloroform and Ethyl Acetate Extracts of T. persicum

The average total phenolic content of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts of *T. persicum* were calculated by adopting the Folin-Ciocalteu method, and it was found that the chloroform extract contained more phenolic contents than the ethyl acetate extract (Table 1) (P < 0.05).

Table 1. Total Phenolic, Flavonoids, and Radical Scavenger Activities of Chloroform and Ethyl Acetate Extracts of *Teucrium persicum*

Variables	Chloroform Extract	Ethyl Acetate Extract
Total phenolic contents a	48 ± 0.2	25 ± 0.4
Total flavonoid contents ^b	34 ± 0.6	36 ± 0.6
Free radical scavenging activity (IC ₅₀) (µg/mL)	2.5 ± 0.013	3 ± 0.0023
Antioxidant activity ^c	3.5 ± 0.003	4.5 ± 0.01

 $^{\rm a}$ The phenolic contents are expressed as $\mu {\rm g}$ gallic acid equivalent per 100 $\mu {\rm g}$ extract.

^b mg EQ/g of dried extract.

^c Total antioxidant capacity (phosphomolybdenum assay) was expressed in mg ascorbic acid equivalents/mg extract).

4.2. Total Flavonoid Contents of Chloroform and Ethyl Acetate Extracts of Teucrium persicum

The average total flavonoid content of *T. persicum* chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts was calculated, and no significant difference was observed between the total flavonoid contents of these two extracts (Table 1) (P < 0.05).

4.3. Radical Scavenging Activity Chloroform and Ethyl Acetate Extracts of Teucrium persicum

The radical scavenging activity of the chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts was evaluated by adopting the DPPH method. The DPPH and ascorbic acid were used as a stable free radical and as a standard, respectively. As Table 1 shows, the concentrations responsible for 50% inhibition for both extracts were not significantly different (Table 1). These concentrations were detected to be 2.5 \pm 0.013 and $3 \pm 0.0023 \,\mu$ g/mL for the chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts, respectively. The IC₅₀ value of ascorbic acid was calculated as 8 μ g/mL.

4.4. Antioxidant Potential of Chloroform and ethyl Acetate Extracts of Teucrium persicum

The antioxidant potential of the extracts was assessed by performing the FRAP analysis. The average ferric reducing antioxidant power of the chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts and quercetin were 3.5 ± 0.003 , $4.5 \pm 0.01 \ \mu$ M of FeSO₄, respectively; while the power of quercetin was found to be 1.2 μ M of FeSO₄.

4.5. Composition of Chloroform and Ethyl Acetate Extracts

The results from phytochemical screening of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts of *T. persicum* are presented in Tables 1 and 2. (-)-Spathulenol (17.87%), (-)-Neoclovene-(I), dihydro (9.98), isoaromadendrene epoxide (7.39%), culmorin (6.6%), oxiranecarboxaldehyde, 3-methyl-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)- (5.44%), and caryophyllene oxide (5.31%) were the most abundant components of the chloroform extract (Table 2). Longifolenaldehyde (11.5%), culmorin (6.42%), α -Farnesene (5%), alloaromadendrene oxide-(1) (4.65%), 1-Heptatriacotanol (4.38%) were the most abundant components of the ethyl acetate extract (Table 3).

4.6. The Cytotoxic Effects of Chloroform and Ethyl Acetate Fractions on Different Cell Lines

In this study, two human cancer cell lines including PC-3 (human prostate adenocarcinoma), SW-480 (human colorectal adenocarcinoma), and normal HEK-293 (human embryonic kidney) cells were treated with different concentrations of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts of *T. persicum* for 48 hours followed by measuring the viability of cells by MTT. As shown in Figure 1, the chloroform extract of *T. persicum* showed a higher toxicity effect on PC-3 cells compared to the ethyl acetate extract. However, the ethyl acetate extract showed greater growth inhibition on SW-480 cells compared to the chloroform extract. As shown in Figure 1, the chloroform extract. As shown in Figure 1, the chloroform extract exerted higher inhibitory effects on SW-480 cells compared to PC-3 and HEK-293 cells.

The IC₅₀ values of chloroform extract for PC-3, SW-480, and HEK-293 cells were calculated as 39, 4.453, and 6.574 μ g/mL, respectively. Our MTT results demonstrated that although 10 and 25 μ g/mL of ethyl acetate extract caused a small increase in the number of viable PC-3 cells (up to 15%), the treatment of PC-3 cells with higher concentrations of the extract led to a considerable reduction in cell viability (Figure 1). The ethyl acetate extract exerted stronger inhibitory effect on the viability of SW-480 cells followed by HEK-293 cells (Figure 1). The IC₅₀ values of ethyl acetate extract for PC-3, SW-480, and HEK-293 cells were calculated as 65.9, 1.65, and 5.181 μ g/mL, respectively (Table 4).

Table 4. The IC_{50} Values of Chloroform and Ethyl Acetate Extracts of Teucrium persicum			
Cell Line	Chloroform Extract (µg/mL)	Ethyl Acetate Extract (µg/mL)	
PC-3	39	65.9	
SW-480	4.453	1.65	
HEK-293	6.574	5.181	

5. Discussion

There is a body of evidence suggesting that plants and their phytochemicals may have therapeutic properties; some of them are currently used in the clinics to treat various diseases, including different types of cancer (17-19).

Teucrium persicum is an Iranian endemic plant with potentially unknown properties, which has been used in Iranian traditional medicine to treat various diseases as well as hyperlipidemia, diabetes, and obesity (6).

This study aimed to investigate the antioxidant and cytotoxic potential of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts of *T. persicum*. According to the results from our previous studies, the methanolic extract of *T. persicum* had significant antioxidant and cytotoxic properties (13). Our biochemical analyses indicated that chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts of *T. persicum* contained noticeable total phenolic and flavonoid contents. Moreover, it was found that 2.5 μ g/mL of chloroform extract was required to scavenge 50% of DPPH radicals (compared to 8 \pm 0.005 μ g/mL of ascorbic acid) (P < 0.05). This value for ethyl acetate was detected to be 3 μ g/mL.

According to the results from MTT experiments, PC-3 cells were discovered to be less sensitive than SW-480 and even HEK-293 cells. It was also found that the chloroform extract was capable of exerting stronger inhibitory effect on PC-3 cells, but the opposite was the case as for SW-480 and HEK-293 cells (Table 4). Taking the laboratory results into consideration, these different inhibitory effects may

have been attributed to the synergistic effects of two or more compounds in the extracts (19, 20). Previous reports have indicated that *Teucrium* species contain considerable amounts of phenolic and flavonoid compounds that are the main cause of their biological activities (11-13). Therefore, it is suggested that the cytotoxic potential of the ethyl acetate and chloroform extracts of *T. persicum* may be due to the presence of phenolic and flavonoid compounds. Compared to our previous study (16), the present study showed that the chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts of *T. persicum* had more phenolic contents than the methanolic extract.

Due to the difference in polarity of solvents used in this study, the chemical profile of these three extracts may have been different and, therefore, the variability in antioxidant and cytotoxicity properties of these extracts may have been reasonable. GC and GC/MS analysis facilitated the identification of 60 and 61 compounds representing 98.18% and 96.52% of the chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts, respectively. The relative concentrations of the identified components of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts are presented in Tables 2 and 3, respectively.

Previous studies conducted to analyze the essential oils of *Teucrium* species had already documented the general dominance of the sesquiterpenes group (21-23). Our results were in agreement with the findings from the given studies.

Reviewing the literature demonstrated that some of these compounds including α -pinene, β -caryophyllene, and β -caryophyllene-oxide had significant antiinflammatory, antioxidant, anti-hypercholesterolemic, anticancer, and antibacterial effects (24-29). Laboratory findings had already suggested that the presence of various compounds in the essential oils or extracts of plants may have been the underlying cause of their biological properties (30-32). Therefore, it was hypothesized that one or more compounds may have been responsible for the inhibitory effects of chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts of *T. persicum*.

5.1. Conclusions

The results from our previous studies and the current study suggested that the antioxidant and cytotoxic effects of *T. persicum* extracts may have been attributed to the presence of various compounds, including phenolic and flavonoid compounds. However, it was recommended that further studies should be conducted in order to investigate phytochemical profiles of *T. persicum* extract, facilitate the process of studying its anti-cancer potential, and investigate the underlying molecular mechanism(s) by isolating and identifying the effective compounds.



Figure 1. Chloroform and ethyl acetate extracts of *Teucrium persicum* reduces the viability of PC-3, SW-480, and HEK-293T cell lines. The cells were seeded in 96-well plates and allowed to grow to 70% confluency. The cells were then treated with different concentrations of the extract for 48 hours, and the cell viability was calculated using the MTT assay. The shown data are mean \pm SD of three separate experiments repeated in 10 wells (*: P < 0.05; **: P < 0.01; ***: P < 0.001 vs. control).

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to express their thanks for the financial support from the Research Department of University of Mazandaran.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: M. T.; analysis and interpretation of data: P. H. and M. T.; drafting of the manuscript: M. T. and F. E.; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: M. T. and P. H.; statistical analysis: P. H. and F. E.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

Ethical Approval: This study was approved under the ethical approval code of IR.UMZ.REC.1401.021. Link: ethics.research.ac.ir/IR.UMZ.REC.1401.021

Funding/Support: None.

References

- Stankovic MS, Niciforovic N, Topuzovic M, Solujic S. Total Phenolic Content, Flavonoid Concentrations and Antioxidant Activity, of The Whole Plant and Plant Parts Extracts from Teucrium Montanum L. Var. Montanum, F. Supinum (L.) Reichenb. *Biotechnol Biotechnol Equip*. 2011;25(1):2222–7. doi: 10.5504/bbeq.2011.0020.
- Rajabalian S. Methanolic extract of Teucrium polium L. potentiates the cytotoxic and apoptotic effects of anticancer drugs of vincristine, vinblastine and doxorubicin against a panel of cancerous cell lines. *Exp Oncol.* 2008;**30**(2):133–8. [PubMed: 18566577].
- 3. Rizvi TS, Hussain I, Ali L, Mabood F, Khan AL, Shujah S, et al. New gorgonane sesquiterpenoid from Teucrium mascatense Boiss, as α -glucosidase inhibitor. *S Afr J Bot.* 2019;**124**:218–22. doi: 10.1016/j.sajb.2019.05.008.
- Gagliano Candela R, Ilardi V, Badalamenti N, Bruno M, Rosselli S, Maggi F. Essential oil compositions of Teucrium fruticans, T. scordium subsp. scordioides and T. siculum growing in Sicily and Malta. *Nat Prod Res.* 2021;35(20):3460–9. doi: 10.1080/14786419.2019.1709193. [PubMed: 32159394].
- Ulubelen A, Topu G, Sönmez U. Chemical and biological evaluation of genus teucrium. *Stud Nat Prod Chem.* 2000;23:591–648. doi: 10.1016/s1572-5995(00)80139-8.
- Bahramikia S, Yazdanparast R. Phytochemistry and medicinal properties of Teucrium polium L. (Lamiaceae). *Phytother Res.* 2012;**26**(11):1581– 93. doi: 10.1002/ptr.4617. [PubMed: 22344867].
- Catinella G, Badalamenti N, Ilardi V, Rosselli S, De Martino L, Bruno M. The Essential Oil Compositions of Three Teucrium Taxa Growing Wild in Sicily: HCA and PCA Analyses. *Molecules*. 2021;26(3):643. doi: 10.3390/molecules26030643. [PubMed: 33530639]. [PubMed Central: PMC7865755].
- Masoudi S, Aghajani Z, Rustaiyan A, Feizbakhsh A, Kakhky AM. Volatile Constituents of Teucrium persicum Boiss., Thymus caucasicusWilld. ex Ronniger subsp. grossheimii (Ronniger) Jalas. and Marrubium crassidens Boiss. Three Labiatae Herbs Growing Wild in Iran. J Essent Oil Res. 2009;21(1):5–7. doi: 10.1080/10412905.2009.9700093.
- Monsef-Esfahani HR, Miri A, Amini M, Amanzadeh Y, Hadjiakhoondi A, Hajiaghaee R, et al. Seasonal Variation in the Chemical Composition, Antioxidant Activity and Total Phenolic Content of Teucrium

persicum Boiss. Essential Oils. *Res J Biol Sci*. 2010;**5**(7):492-8. doi: 10.3923/rjbsci.2010.492.498.

- Javidnia K, Miri R, Khosravi AR. Composition of the Essential Oil of Teucrium persicum Boiss. from Iran. J Essent Oil Res. 2007;19(5):430–2. doi: 10.1080/10412905.2007.9699944.
- Miri A, Monsef-Esfahani HR, Amini M, Amanzadeh Y, Hadjiakhoondi A, Hajiaghaee R, et al. Comparative Chemical Composition and Antioxidant Properties of the Essential Oils and Aromatic Water from Teucrium persicum Boiss. *Iran J Pharm Res.* 2012;11(2). e125851. doi: 10.22037/ijpr.2012.1093.
- Miri A, Sharifi-Rad J, Tabrizian K, Nasiri AA. Antinociceptive and Anti-Inflammatory Activities of Teucrium persicum Boiss. Extract in Mice. *Scientifica (Cairo)*. 2015;2015:972827. doi: 10.1155/2015/972827. [PubMed: 26649227]. [PubMed Central: PMC4663370].
- Tafrihi M, Toosi S, Minaei T, Gohari AR, Niknam V, Arab Najafi SM. Anticancer properties of Teucrium persicum in PC-3 prostate cancer cells. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2014;15(2):785–91. doi:10.7314/apjcp.2014.15.2.785. [PubMed: 24568496].
- Molole GJ, Gure A, Abdissa N. Determination of total phenolic content and antioxidant activity of Commiphora mollis (Oliv.) Engl. resin. *BMC Chem.* 2022;16(1):48. doi: 10.1186/s13065-022-00841-x. [PubMed: 35752844]. [PubMed Central: PMC9233799].
- Spiegel M, Kapusta K, Kolodziejczyk W, Saloni J, Zbikowska B, Hill GA, et al. Antioxidant Activity of Selected Phenolic Acids-Ferric Reducing Antioxidant Power Assay and QSAR Analysis of the Structural Features. *Molecules*. 2020;25(13):3088. doi: 10.3390/molecules25133088. [PubMed: 32645868]. [PubMed Central: PMC7412039].
- Naeimi A, Tafrihi M, Mohadjerani M. Antioxidant and cytotoxic potentials of the methanolic extract of Teucrium persicum Boiss. in A-375 melanoma cells. Avicenna J Phytomed. 2022;12(2):185–96. doi: 10.22038/AJP.2021.19308. [PubMed: 35614884]. [PubMed Central: PMC9090314].
- Najafi S, Mir N, Shafeghat M. Antioxidant and Antibacterial Activities of Six Medicinally Important Species of the Genus Salvia from North East of Iran. J Genet Resour. 2016;2(1):41–7. doi: 10.22080/JGR.2016.1479.
- Ashraf MA. Phytochemicals as Potential Anticancer Drugs: Time to Ponder Nature's Bounty. *BioMed Res Int.* 2020;2020:8602879. doi: 10.1155/2020/8602879.
- Choudhari AS, Mandave PC, Deshpande M, Ranjekar P, Prakash O. Phytochemicals in Cancer Treatment: From Preclinical Studies to Clinical Practice. Front Pharmacol. 2019;10:1614. doi: 10.3389/fphar.2019.01614. [PubMed: 32116665]. [PubMed Central: PMC7025531].
- Çakir A, Mavİ A, Kazaz C, Yildirim A, Küfrevlöğlu Öİ. Antioxidant Activities of the Extracts and Components of Teucrium orientale L. var. orientale. *Turk J Chem.* 2006;**30**(4):483–94.
- Menichini F, Conforti F, Rigano D, Formisano C, Piozzi F, Senatore F. Phytochemical composition, anti-inflammatory and antitumour activities of four Teucrium essential oils from Greece. *Food Chem.* 2009;**115**(2):679-86. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2008.12.067.
- Baser KHC, Demirçakmak B, Duman H. Composition of the Essential Oils of Three Teucrium Species from Turkey. J Essent Oil Res. 1997;9(5):545-9. doi: 10.1080/10412905.1997.9700774.
- Formisano C, Rigano D, Senatore F, Al-Hillo MR, Piozzi F, Rosselli S. Analysis of Essential Oil from Teucrium maghrebinum Greuter et Burdet Growing Wild in Algeria. *Nat Prod Commun.* 2009;**4**(3):411–4. doi: 10.1177/1934578x0900400319.
- Al-Hamwi M, Aboul-Ela M, El-Lakany A, Nasreddine S. Anticancer Activity of Micromeria fruticosa and Teucrium polium Growing in Lebanon. *Pharmacogn J.* 2021;**13**(1):103–9. doi: 10.5530/pj.2021.13.15.
- 25. Moghtader M, Iraj Mansori A, Salari H, Farahmand A. [Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of the essential oil of Bunium persicum Boiss. seed]. *Iran J Med Aromat Plants Res.* 2009;**25**(1):20–8. Persian.

- Salehi B, Upadhyay S, Erdogan Orhan I, Kumar Jugran A, Jayaweera SLD, Dias DA, et al. Therapeutic Potential of alpha- and beta-Pinene: A Miracle Gift of Nature. *Biomolecules*. 2019;9(11). doi: 10.3390/biom9110738. [PubMed: 31739596]. [PubMed Central: PMC6920849].
- Matasyoh JC, Kiplimo JJ, Karubiu NM, P. Hailstorks TP. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of the essential oil of Satureja biflora (Lamiaceae). *Bull Chem Soc Ethiop*. 2007;**21**(2):249–54. doi: 10.4314/bcse.v21i2.21204.
- Junwei L, Juntao C, Changyu N, Peng W. Molecules and functions of rosewood: Pterocarpus cambodianus. *Arab J Chem.* 2018;11(6):763-70. doi: 10.1016/j.arabjc.2017.12.030.
- Francomano F, Caruso A, Barbarossa A, Fazio A, La Torre C, Ceramella J, et al. β-Caryophyllene: A Sesquiterpene with Countless Biological Properties. *Appl Sci.* 2019;9(24):5420. doi: 10.3390/app9245420.
- Ayari J, Karoui IJ, Abderrabba M. A comparative study between different Tunisian propolis essential oils and their antioxidant activities. *Iran J Chem Chem Eng.* 2020;**39**(2):217–31. doi: 10.30492/IJCCE.2020.39791.
- Lica ICL, dos Santos Soares AM, de Mesquita LSS, Malik S. Biological properties and pharmacological potential of plant exudates. *Food Res Int.* 2018;105:1039–53. doi: 10.1016/j.foodres.2017.11.051. [PubMed: 29433195].
- Konappa N, Udayashankar AC, Krishnamurthy S, Pradeep CK, Chowdappa S, Jogaiah S. GC-MS analysis of phytoconstituents from Amomum nilgiricum and molecular docking interactions of bioactive serverogenin acetate with target proteins. *Sci Rep.* 2020;**10**(1):16438. doi: 10.1038/s41598-020-73442-0. [PubMed: 33009462]. [PubMed Central: PMC7532471].

Compound Name	Extract (%)	Retention Time	Chemical Formula
1. 1-Pentanol	0.17	7.074	C ₅ H ₁₂ O
2. Eucalyptol	0.32	11.180	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O
3. Culmorin	6.60	26.737	$C_{15}H_{26}O_2$
4. Santolina triene	0.14	12.190	C ₁₀ H ₁₆
5. Caryophyleine-(13)	0.35	36.229	$C_{15}H_{24}$
6. α -Farnesene	3.27	26.498	$C_{15}H_{24}$
7. Globulol	0.54	22.878	C ₁₅ H ₂₆ O
8. Isocaryophillene	0.40	21.435	$C_{15}H_{24}$
9. Octadecanoic acid	0.51	33.732	$C_{17}H_{35}CO_2H$
10. Ferruginol	0.25	36.550	$C_{20}H_{30}O$
11. Ledol	0.32	36.731	C ₁₅ H ₂₆ O
12. Isomyocorene	1.59	30.280	$C_{10}H_{16}$
13. 3-Octadecyne	0.20	15.975	C ₁₈ H ₃₄
14. β-Humulene	1.19	23.399	$C_{15}H_{24}$
15. Phytol	0.24	32.921	$C_{20}H_{40}O$
16. Isoledene	1.98	23.910	$C_{15}H_{24}$
17. Platambin	3.28	28.660	$C_{15}H_{26}O_2$
18. Thunbergol	0.49	32.189	$C_{20}H_{34}O$
19. Longifolenaldehyde	1.85	23.987	$C_{15}H_{24}O$
20. (-)-Spathulenol	17.87	26.736	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O
21. Isobornyl propionate	0.35	16.796	C ₁₃ H ₂₂ O
22. Cedran-diol, 85,14	0.35	16.796	C ₁₅ H ₂₆ O
23. Isomyocorene	1.59	30.280	$C_{10}H_{16}$
24. 3,4-Decadiene	0.30	14.676	C ₁₁ H ₂₀
25. Cyclononene	0.09	13.389	C ₉ H ₁₆
26. Isoaromadendrene epoxide	7.39	24.050	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O
27. dl-Thioctic acid	0.14	34.952	$C_8H_{14}O_2S_2$
28. Isobornyl propionate	0.35	16.796	C ₁₃ H ₂₂ O
29. cis-Linaloloxide	0.16	11.835	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O
30. 1-Eicosene	0.46	33.299	$C_{20}H_{40}$
31. 5-Caranol, (15,3R,55,6R)-(-)-	0.43	20.714	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O
32. α-Santalol	0.30	14.676	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O
33. trans-2-Caren-4-ol	0.83	21.091	C ₁₀ H ₁₆ O
34. 17-(Acetyloxy)-kauran-18-al	0.29	21.335	$C_{23}H_{36}O$
35. Coprostan-3 β 16 β -diol	0.41	22.068	$C_{27}H_{48}O_2$
36. <i>cis</i> -Linaloloxide	0.22	14.477	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O
37. 2,6-Dimethyl-3,7-octadiene-2,6-diol	0.32	13.888	$C_{10}H_{18}O_2$
38. 8,14-Cedranoxide,	2.82	28.793	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O
39. dl-Thioctic acid	0.14	34.952	$C_8H_{14}O_2S_2$

Table 2. The Chemical Composition of Chloroform Extract of Teucrium persicum

Jentashapir J Cell Mol Biol. 2022; 13(2):e128492.

40. Artemisia alcohol	0.09	13.289	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O
41. Alloaromadendrene oxide-(1)	1.62	27.113	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O
42. Lavandulol, trifluoroace	0.11	14.621	$C_{12}H_{17}F_3O_2$
43. (6Z)-Nonen-1-ol	0.77	18.473	C ₉ H ₁₈ O
44. cis-13-Octadecenoic acid	0.46	33.299	$C_{18}H_{34}O_2$
45. 1,6,9-Tetradecatriene	0.20	15.975	$C_{14}H_{24}$
46. 1,3,5-Heptatriene	0.22	13.578	C ₇ H ₁₀
47. Aristolene epoxide	1.96	25.552	$C_{15}H_{24}O$
48. γ -Gurjunene	0.88	23.044	C15H24
49. Epi-β-Santalol	0.22	13.578	$C_{15}H_{24}O$
50. Oxiranecarboxaldehyde, 3-methyl-3-(4-methyl-3-pentenyl)-	5.44	29.670	$C_{10}H_{16}O_2$
51. 5-Caranol, (15,3R,55,6R)-(-)	0.43	20.714	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O
52. (+)-∂3-Carene	3.85	28.948	C ₁₀ H ₁₆
53. Caryophyllene oxide	5.31	25.230	$C_{15}H_{24}O$
54. Morphinan-6-one, 4,5-epoxy-3,14-dihydroxy-17-(2-propenyl)-, (5 $\alpha)$ -	0.25	36.550	$C_{19}H_{21}NO_4$
55. Pentadecyl pentafluoropropionate	0.98	24.465	$C_{18}H_{31}F_5O_2$
56. Murolan-3,9(11)-diene-10-peroxy	2.56	25.297	$C_{15}H_{24}O_2$
57. β -Cedren-9- α -ol	1.96	28.793	$C_{15}H_{24}O$
58. (-)-Neoclovene-(I), dihydro	9.98	29.866	C15H26
59. Neogammacer-22(29)-ene	1.57	32.489	$C_{30}H_{50}$
60. 7,8-Epoxy- α -ionone	1.59	30.280	$C_{13}H_{20}O_2$
Total identified compounds	98.18		

Compound Name	Ethyl Acetat Extract (%)	Retention Time	Chemical Formula
1. Ledol	2.34	39.378	$C_{15}H_{26}O$
2. Myrcenylacetat	0.15	23.590	$C_{12}H_{20}O_2$
3. Ethisterone	3.64	42.229	$C_{21}H_{28}O_2$
4. Culmorin	6.42	35.153	$C_{15}H_{26}O_{2}$
5. Phytol	0.52	23.686	$C_{20}H_{40}O$
6. Ocimene	0.15	22.695	C10H16
7. γ-Elemene	0.52	28.672	C ₁₅ H ₂₄
8. Isophytol	0.22	22.543	$C_{20}H_{40}O$
9. Camphene	0.22	24.594	C ₁₀ H ₁₆
10.7-Nonenamide	0.59	28.199	C ₉ H ₁₇ NO
11. α-Farnesene	5.02	27.937	$C_{15}H_{24}$
12. α -Irone	0.49	29.085	$C_{14}H_{22}O$
13. γ-Santalol	0.52	28.672	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O
14. (-)-Spathulenol	14.8	32.569	$C_{15}H_{24}O$
15. Falcarinol	0.86	32.521	C ₁₇ H ₂₄ O
16. γ -himachalene	0.11	27.000	C15H24
17. Longifolenaldehyde	11.5	31.589	$C_{15}H_{24}O$
18. Cholesterol	0.59	34.438	$C_{27}H_{46}O$
19. Tetratetracontane	1.61	37.164	$C_{44}H_{90}$
20. 1-Heptatriacotanol	4.38	35.197	C ₃₇ H ₇₆ O
21. 7-Nonenamide	0.31	26.359	C ₉ H ₁₇ NO
22. γ -Gurjunenepoxide-(2)	3.20	35.704	$C_{15}H_{24}O$
23. Tetracosane	1.04	43.099	$C_{24}H_{50}$
24. Cadala-1(10),3,8-triene	0.40	30.234	C ₁₅ H ₂₂
25. 5(10)-Estrene-3 eta ,17 $lpha$ -diol	4.08	41.250	$C_{18}H_{28}O_2$
26. 17-(acetyloxy)-Kauran-18-al	0.68	33.619	$C_{23}H_{36}O_3$
27. Tricosane	0.52	39.841	C ₂₃ H ₄₈
28. 8S,14-Cedrandiol	0.49	40.161	$C_{15}H_{26}O_2$
29. Diazoprogesterone	0.86	32.531	$C_{21}H_{30}N_4$
30. betaCedren-9-α-ol	2.61	35.586	$C_{15}H_{24}O$
31. Pyridine	0.06	8.695	C_5H_5N
32. Eucalyptol	0.11	16.237	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ O
33. cis-Linalol oxide	0.22	17.469	$C_{10}H_{18}O_2$
34. Trans-Linalool oxide	2.56	21.428	$C_{10}H_{18}O_2$
35. trans-3-Penten-2-ol	0.11	18.347	C ₅ H ₁₀ O
36. 1,5,8-Menthatriene	0.13	19.276	C ₁₀ H ₁₄
37. (Z)6-Pentadecen-1-ol	0.06	19.656	C ₁₅ H ₃₀ O
38. E-12-Tetradecenal	0.72	23.085	C ₁₄ H ₂₆ O
39. Dodecane	0.13	21.564	$C_{12}H_{26}$

Table 3. The Chemical Composition of Chloroform Extract of Teucrium persicum

Jentashapir J Cell Mol Biol. 2022; 13(2):e128492.

40. 2,4-Hexadiene, (E,Z)	0.22	23.252	C ₆ H ₁₀
41. 1-Chloro-2-phenylazetidine	0.06	15.393	$C_4H_8C_1N$
42. Propanedioic acid, 2-propenyl	0.06	23.995	$C_{10}H_{16}O_4$
43. 17-Octadecenal	0.13	24.079	C ₁₈ H ₃₄ O
44. 13-Tetradece-11-yn-1-ol	0.13	24.763	$C_{14}H_{24}O$
45. 3-Octadecyne	0.11	24.814	$C_{18}H_{34}$
46. 5-Octen-1-ol	1.09	25.692	C ₈ H ₁₆ O
47. Guanidine, N-[3-[(2-bromophenyl)	0.59	29.592	$C_{10}H_{14}BrN_3$
48. cis-Z- α -Bisabolene epoxide	0.40	29.592	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O
49. Ledene oxide-(II)	0.27	30.538	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O
50. Alloaromadendrene oxide-(1)	4.65	31.767	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O
51. Epianastrephin	0.22	31.027	$C_{12}H_{18}O_2$
52. α-Bulnesene	0.74	31.171	$C_{15}H_{24}$
53. (E)-3(10)-Caren-4-ol	0.99	32.821	C ₁₀ H ₁₆ O
54. 2-Tetradecene	1.09	32.158	$C_{14}H_{28}$
55. Calarene epoxide	3.20	35.704	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O
56. Ledene oxide-(I)	4.24	35.831	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O
57. Diepicedrene-1-oxide	1.45	35.957	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O
58. (-)-Neoclovene-(I), dihydro-	1.45	37.722	$C_{15}H_{26}$
59. [1,2,4]Triazolo[1,5-a]pyrimidine	0.04	45.210	$C_5H_4N_4H_{28}O$
60. (-)-Isolongifolol, methyl ether	1.29	39.933	C ₁₆ H ₂₈ OO
61. Ergost-22-en-3-ol, (3 eta , 5 $lpha$, 22E,24R)	0.36	28.376	$C_{28}H_{48}O$
Total identified compounds	96.83		