Published online 2022 August 27.

Research Article

Up-regulation of PDGF-D and NRP-1 in the Glioma Cell Line 1321N1 Attributed to Sialic Acid Treatment: Mini-review and Findings

Tahere Seifi ¹, Mohammad Shafiei ¹, ^{*}, Karim Rahimi ^{2, 3}, Kamran Ghaedi ⁴ and Hamid Galehdari ^{1, **}

¹Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran

²Department of Molecular, Biology and Genetics, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

³Interdisciplinary Nanoscience Center, Aarhus University, Aarhus, Denmark

⁴Department of Cell and Molecular Biology and Microbiology, Faculty of Biological Science and Technology, University of Isfahan, Isfahan, Iran

, Corresponding author: Department of Biology, Faculty of Sciences, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran. Email: m.shafiei@scu.ac.ir

Received 2022 July 30; Accepted 2022 August 10.

Abstract

Background: Glioblastoma (GBM, known as Glioblastoma Multiforme) is one of the most common and also most aggressive solid tumors in adults. It has a poor prognosis and highly invasive behavior leading to difficulties in complete therapy. Understanding the fundamental glioblastoma biology and the molecular landscape beyond GBM metastasis is needed and highly crucial for better diagnosis and therapy. One of the major challenges reported for most cancer cells is the disruption of the glycosylation pattern of tumor cells, causing tumor progression, metastasis, and cancer resistance to chemotherapy and radiation therapy.

Objectives: Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) and neuropilin-1 (NRP-1) play important roles in glioma progression; besides, the extracellular signal-regulated kinases (ERKs) and having mediated transducing growth factor signals to the nucleus, are of the most important regulatory factors governing various biological responses, including cell proliferation, differentiation, and motility. These factors are expressed in GBM. The growing evidence has documented different interactions between NRP-1 and PDGF-1 or PDGFR, causing cross-talk (directly or indirectly) between biochemical signaling and physical forces orchestrating cellular signaling, especially angiogenesis.

Methods: EC50 of sialic acid was determined using an MTT assay. After treatment for 24h, immunochemical detection of VEGF/VEGF-R was performed using the immunocytochemistry for VEGF/VEGF-R1. Also, the immuno-blotting assay was applied for NRP-1 and PDGF-D detection.

Conclusions: In this study, we reported a strong correlation between PDGF-D, NRP-1 expression, and ERK1/2 signaling activations in 1321N1 glioma exposed to EC50 of sialic acid, which was verified using western-blot analysis. As a result, sialic acid as a mediator and transducer of the microenvironment-cell signaling might trigger cell motility through up-regulation of growth factors and chemoresistance. To sum up, control and normalization of sialic acid production in the cell microenvironment and niche could make the resistant GBM cell more sensitive to radiation therapy, chemotherapy, and immunotherapy.

Keywords: Sialic Acid (N-acetyl Neuraminic Acid: NANA), 1321N1 Glioblastoma Cell Line, Platelet-Derived Growth Factor (PDGF), Neurophilin-1 (NRP-1), Extracellular Signal-Regulated Kinases (ERKs)

1. Background

Glioblastoma (GBM, known as glioblastoma multiforme) is one of the most common and also most aggressive solid tumors in adults. It has a highly invasive behavior leading to difficulties in complete therapy and poor prognosis, with only a survival period of 12 - 15 months after diagnosis and more than 5% survival in less than 5% of patients (1-7). In spite of the gradual evolution over time in differential diagnosis and treatment approaches, there has not been a significant increase in patient survival (6-10). The unique biology, anatomical challenges, and heterogeneous nature of the brain microenvironment, such as the blood-brain barrier (BBB), different immune cell types, and secreted cytokines district this organ from others, provide more difficulty in the way of treatment of all CNS tumors and cause the development of therapeutic resistance (8-12).

As a non-negligible factor, the accumulating evidence has supported the tumor microenvironment (TME) for drug resistance and the focus of research because combating metastasis instead of being on cancer-center is on TME (13). The TME factors can trigger inter- and intra-cellular signaling, mediating tumor initiation, progression, metas-

Copyright © 2022, Jentashapir Journal of Cellular and Molecular Biology. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

tasis, and response to therapies (14). Therefore, understanding the fundamental glioblastoma biology and the molecular landscape beyond GBM metastasis, which are influenced and regulated by the TME factors, is highly crucial for better diagnosis and therapy.

The experimental data have shown that the glycosylation pattern of cells is disrupted in tumor cells (15). Glycosylation is a posttranslational modification resulting in protein sialylation, and its pattern disruption causes tumor progression and metastasis. This glycosylation influences the glycoproteome profile of the cells, which can be considered as microenvironmental cues acting in triggering special signaling promotion in cells toward disease evolution. Recent data have shown that alterations in the pattern of glycosylation play the main role in aggressive cell behavior determination (16). It is reported that most considerable therapeutic insults of edge cells originate from tumor core cells (17); thus, finding inducer factormediated and regulated extra- and intracellular mechanism interactions involved in drug resistance is a crucial step in combating tumor metastasis. Sialylation pattern disruption can lead to intervening in interactions of receptor-ligand and also might cause evading from immune surveillance due to masking tumor cell surface and cover antigens (16, 18-24). Furthermore, high sialylation and disruption of the sialylation pattern of the glycan on the cell membrane are one of the main causes to enable cancer cells to evade therapeutic approaches (25, 26). Though high expression of glycoproteins in body fluids is a useful indicator enabling the assessment of cancer, and change in glycosylation of them is a hotspot property for cancerous cells (27).

It was acclaimed that in immunotherapy, the best approach for increasing the potentiality of antibody-drug entrance into the brain is a modification of glycan-sialylation pattern, which is performed through inhibition of efflux (28, 29). Scientists have reported the association of extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1/2 (ERK1/2) phosphorylation reduction with a reduction in the sialic acid content of cell surface (18). It is interesting to note that environmental factors can influence ERK1/2 phosphorylation status with a cross-talk with other signaling mechanisms related to the growth factors, cytokines, or mitogens triggering cell differentiation, proliferation, migration, or death (18, 30). Hypersialylation pattern of cells is verified in different cancers, such as glioma, neuroblastoma, and lung cancer (31, 32). As a major effect of platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF)-D, phosphorylation of ERK1/2 has been introduced in recently published data (33).

1.1. PDGF-D

Platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF) structure is composed of the disulfide-bonded dimeric isoforms that are involved in growth and survival procedures; its function includes embryonic development, proliferation, migration, survival, and chemo-taxis of cells (34). Besides, it has a key role in healing wounds and repairing damage to the blood vessel walls. The PDGF family consists of four classes, including PDGF-A, -B, -C, and –D, also known as Iris-expressed growth factor (34, 35).

In 2017, it was reported that PDGF family genes were up-regulated in glioblastoma, and owing to their pivotal roles in glial cell dedifferentiation into stem cells, intratumoral stimulation of angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis, and immunosuppression have been considered as drivers of glioblastoma tumor progression and metastasis, as well as potential therapeutic targets; but different expression patterns have been diagnosed based on the location of the brain tumor (36).

1.2. NRP1

Neuropilin is a type I transmembrane glycoprotein receptor for semaphorins and different ligands related to angiogenesis. It is involved in signal transduction and plays a crucial role in organ development. It affects the growth and guidance of axons through binding to class III semaphorins and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) (37, 38).

1.3. ERK1/2

The ERK1/2 expressed and activated not only in glioblastoma cells but also in the areas around the tumor, transduces growth factor signal to the nucleus, which can induce proliferation, differentiation, and motility (39). It has been demonstrated that the decrease in the proliferation and migration of the glioma cells was due to the inhibition of MEK/ERK1/2 signaling (40). Associated with molecules involved in cell-cell adhesion, ERK signaling could be involved in all stages of tumorigenesis, such as initiation, growth, and progression (40).

2. Methods

2.1. Cell Line and Cell Culture

The astrocytoma cell line 1321N1 was purchased from the Cell Bank of the Pasture Institute (Tehran, Iran) and cultured in DMEM low glucose medium (Gibco, USA), supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (Gibco, FBS, USA), and 1% penicillin (5000 U/mL)-streptomycin (5000 mg/mL). It was incubated at 37°C with 5% CO2 and a humidity of 95%.

2.2. Cell Viability

In previous experiments, our research group tested the influence of sialic acid on cellular toxicity. We incubated 1321N1 cells with different concentrations of Sialic acid for 24 h and determined its' cytotoxic ability by MTT (3-(4,5dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide), a tetrazole assay. No significant change in cell morphology was disclosed after Sialic acid treatment of up to 300 uM. EC50 and IC50 in the presence of sialic acid were determined for 1321N1 cells; therefore, we decided to use concentrations of 300 - 1000 uM sialic acid for all further experiments (concentration of sialic acid was selected based on previous studies) (41).

2.3. Morphometric Analysis by VEGF and VEGFR1 Staining

The 1321N1 glioblastoma cells were seeded in a 12-well plate (0.5×10^5 cells per well) and cultured for 24 hours under a standard condition. The cells were treated with sialic acid (300 uM, 500 uM, and 1000 uM) for 24 hours. The media was removed, and the cells were washed using PBS, followed by fixation with ethanol. The fixed cells were then washed with PBS. VEGF (sc-7269) and VEGF-R1 (sc-271789) antibodies were used in 1:50 dilutions for cell staining based on the manufacturer's protocol (SANTA CRUZ BIOTECH-NOLOGY, INC). The staining intensity was evaluated by fluorescence microscopy. For this purpose, cell staining was photographed at 20X magnification after immunohistochemistry using a fluorescence microscope (BM-600 LED Epi-fluorescent; Germany).

2.4. Western Blot

Forty micrograms of the extracted protein were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE gel and then transferred to PVDF membranes. Then, 5% dried milk was used to block the membrane for two hours, and they were then incubated overnight with primary antibodies at the temperature of 4°C. The primary antibodies used in our study were anti-NRP1 (1:200, sc-5307), anti-PDGFD (1:50, sc-137029), anti-ERK1,2 (1:200, sc-514302), anti-p-ERK1,2 (1:200, sc-16981-R), and anti- β -actin (1:300, sc-47778). The secondary antibody is the appropriate horseradish peroxidase-conjugated antibody (Anti-Rabbit, 1:1000), and then enhanced chemiluminescence detection reagents (ECL advanced reagents; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, INC) were used for visualization of the protein bands, prior to visualization on a Kodak-omat film according to the manufacturer's protocol.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

All experiments were run in duplicate. The data obtained from two independent experiments were expressed as mean \pm SD (standard deviation). The statistical analyses were performed using a two-tailed student *t*-test. AP < 0.05 was considered significant.

3. Results

3.1. Cell Viability

In our previous study, the metastatic effect of sialic acid was confirmed depending on the time and concentration

manner. MTT assessment revealed that sialic acid treatment could significantly increase cell viability and hypercellularity. The enhanced/stimulated and the inhibited concentration of sialic acid used to treat 1321N1 cell lines after 24 h was found to be 490 and 1298 μ M, respectively (The results of the MTT assay for 1321N1 were reported in our previous work) (41).

3.2. Glioblastoma 1321N1 Cell Staining for VEGF/VEGFR-1

3.2.1. VEGF-Expression

VEGF-positive cells were found in all concentrations, but they were weakly positive at a low concentration and highly positive at a high concentration of sialic acid. There was a significant difference in staining intensity between the three groups compared to the control group. Intracellular staining demonstrated VEGF localization around the nucleus and did not change localization status during induction of metastasis (Figure 1A).

3.2.2. VEGF Receptor1-Expression

VEGF-R1 is a 180 kDa glycoprotein detected in cells treated with a high concentration of sialic acid. VEGF-R1 expression increased significantly during increasing in sialic acid concentration. We observed an almost significantly lower expression of VEGF-R1 in glioblastoma 1321N1 exposed to 300 uM of sialic acid, which may reflect resistance to induction. Considering that metastasis, at least in the initial steps, can be independent of VEGFR1 expression, preclinical and clinical studies verified VEGFR-1 involvement in metastatic behavior. Its activation can trigger the release of immune cytokines, which favors cancer immune escape called concomitant. Expression of VEGFR may affect cell chemotaxis and extracellular invasion. In this study, we observed a high expression of VEGFR-1 in glioblastoma cells treated with 1000 uM of sialic acid, the so-called angiogenic switch, and metastatic spreading. We have detected cells with high expression level of VEGFR1 on the cell surface (Figure 1B).

3.3. PDGFD is Up-regulated in the Presence of Sialic Acid

PDGFD expression was tested by Western blotting at the protein level. As shown in Figure 2A and B, the PDGFD level, after treatment with sialic acid, was significantly upregulated in 1321N1 compared to untreated cells (P < 0.05). These observations suggest that PDGFD was up-regulated approximately 1.6 times in the 1321N1 cell line after treatment with high concentrations of sialic acid.

3.4. NRP1 is Up-regulated in the Presence of Sialic Acid

NRP1 expression was tested by Western blotting at the protein level. As illustrated in Figure 2A and B, the NRP1 expression was significantly up-regulated in 1321N1 after treatment with sialic acid compared to untreated cells (P < 0.05).



Figure 1. Immunofluorescence staining. VEGF-positive cells (green; Alexa 488) and VEGF-R1- positive cells (red; Alexa 564) treated with sialic acid at 300, 500, and 1000 uM, respectively. Cells are DAPI-counterstained (blue nuclei). The VEGF/VEGF-R1 staining showed intracytoplasmatic and cell surface loci for VEGF around the nuclei (\rightarrow) (A) and VEGF-R1 on the cell surface (\rightarrow) (B).

These observations suggest that NRP-1 up-regulated approximately 3.1 times in the 1321N1 cell line after treatment with high concentrations of sialic acid. Interestingly, NRP1 expression up-regulated approximately two times in the presence of sialic acid related to PDGF-D (Figure 2B).

3.5. ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 Are Up-regulated in the Presence of Sialic Acid

ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 expression levels at the protein levels were tested by Western blotting (Figure 3). ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 expression at the protein levels significantly upregulated in 1321N1 after treatment with sialic acid compared to untreated cells (P < 0.05 and P < 0.01, respectively, at a high concentration of sialic acid). These observations suggest ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2 up-regulated in the 1321N1 cell line after treatment with increasing sialic acid concentrations. Interestingly, their expression up-regulated by approximately 1.5 and 2.7 times, respectively, in the presence of a high concentration of sialic acid. Up-regulation of p-ERK was more than PDGF-D but not as high as NRP-1 (Figure 3B).

4. Discussion

Based on the experimental data, the cell microenvironment can stimulate cell signaling, which can cause the regulation of tumor angiogenesis, resistance to therapy, and cancer progression (42). Glycocalyx network, as the main factor of the TME, surrounded cells, mediated cell response to the extracellular micro-environment, enabled cells to be masked from immune system detection, and promoted cell metastasis. Therefore, it is critical to identify key factors involved in tumor genesis and progression to determine novel targets for diagnostic and treatment strategies (13-15). Recent data have shown that sialic acid concentration is up-regulated in cancers, such as breast, ovarian, colon, colorectal, etc. (18, 24, 25). As a nexus factor of the architecture of cellular and molecular signaling crosstalkmediated drug resistance, we hypothesized that sialic acid might contribute to the therapeutic insult (manuscript is prepared; data not shown).

In the previous study, our team determined the EC50 and IC50 of sialic acid for GBM cells; however, it should be noted that our observations confirmed that sialic acid increased cell viability and survival and had no significant cytotoxicity effect on cell lines (43). Sialic acid might trigger molecular mechanisms to induce the inflammatory response by the immune system (41, 44) and increased proliferation and invasion, including MMPs/TIMPs ratio imbalance associated with down-regulation of miR-218 and up-regulation of NF-kB (41). Also, it can up-regulate proinflammatory cytokine expression, including IL-1 β , IL-6, IL-23, IFN- γ , and TNF- α , and induce EGF, EGFR, MAPK, and RAS signaling, which may cause drug resistance (manuscript under publication).

Different molecular, cellular, and microenvironmental mechanisms are involved in drug resistance (45). Also, the pro-angiogenic inducer, due to its crucial roles in tumor growth and metastasis, including invasion and extravasation, is an excellent therapeutic target in several types of cancers (46). Considering VEGF-dependent alterations as the main mechanism involved in therapeutic resistance (46), we used an immuno-fluorescent study to show that sialic acid could induce VEGF/VEGF-RI expression (Figure 1A



Treatment for 24h



Figure 2. Effects of sialic acid on the protein expression of glioblastoma (GBM). GBM cells were treated with or without sialic acid for 24 h. The cells were harvested, centrifuged, and analyzed by Western blotting using antibodies specific to PDGF-D and NRP-1. The density ratio of proteins to β-actin from two independent experiments is shown as relative expression (* or ⁺: Significance difference compared to PDGF-D and NRP-1, respectively, P < 0.05). The bands of the western blot analysis were quantified using a densitometer (A and B).

and B).

The VEGF or PDGF, so-called PAF, is the main inducer and mediator factors, respectively, which are involved in angiogenesis (47). The goal of this study was to survey the sialic acid effect on PDGF-D expression, which its combined expression with VEGF-E has a pivotal role in the induction of the angiogenic processes (48).

Confirming the importance of the PDGF-D signaling in human malignancies, because of its involvement in the regulation of different stages of cancers, introduced PDGF-D signaling as a therapeutic target (33). Considering the VEGF-PDGFR binding challenges paradigm of the unifamily ligand-receptor binding, understanding the molecular scenario beyond these novel cross-family interactions can provide a novel approach for overcoming resistance to anti-angiogenic drugs and increasing the significant role of growth factor signaling in glioblastoma. We confirmed that sialic acid treatment induced PDGF-D expression (Figures 2A and B). These data are in concordance with other data suggesting that if we want to improve the therapeutic efficacy, we must reduce the sialic acid content in malignant cells.

Also, recent data have revealed that binding PDGF-D to the neuropilin 1 (NRP-1) induced the PDGFR β -NRP1



Treatment for 24h



Figure 3. Effects of sialic acid on the ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2. Glioblastoma (GBM) cells were treated with or without sialic acid for 24 h. The cells were harvested, centrifuged, and analyzed by Western blotting using antibodies specific for ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2. The density ratio of proteins to β-actin from two independent experiments is shown as relative expression (* or ⁺⁺: Significance difference compared to ERK1/2 and p-ERK1/2, respectively; P < 0.05 and P < 0.01). The bands of the western blot analysis were quantified using a densitometer (A and B).

complex formation and colocalization, which has been confirmed in fibroblasts and translocates NRP1 to cell-cell junctions in endothelial cells. NRP-1 can induce PDGF-D-PDGFR β signaling and can be an intercellular communicator in the vascular wall (49). Following treatment with sialic acid, NRP-1 expression significantly increased concentration-dependently confirming the previous data about sialic acid effects on proliferation, migration, and metastasis and showed a strong correlation with VEGF expression in this study and empowered our theory about the fact that sialic acid as microenvironmental agent can play an important role in triggering metastasis and drug resistance.

On the Other hand, the ERK1/2 pathway (classical MAPK) is induced in glioma cells upon treatment with sialic acid, which is consistent with other data on the PDGF-D and NPR-1 expression. Besides, in concordance with other data about cell proliferation assays by MTT, scratch-assay, cell cycle assessment (manuscript is prepared; data not shown), and VEGF/VEGFR expression (Figure 1A and B), the

proliferative and mitogen effect of sialic acid is more confirmed.

4.1. Conclusions

We conclude that sialic acid can induce growth factors, particularly PDGF-D, which is associated with an increase in NPR-1 expression and ERK1/2 activation. Thus, we should target and regulate sialic acid content or its transporter to achieve better results from therapy. For this purpose, scientists have suggested vaccine production for sialic acid reduction. Consequently, our results theoretically and experimentally provide a basis for understanding the mechanism of the sialic acid effect on GBM cells and provide an opportunity for therapeutic targets in GB therapy.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank the genetic lab staff (Mr. Mohammad Saleh Zahraee) for the technical assistance provided.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: TS, MS, and HG designed the research. TS, MS, KR, KG, and HG performed and analyzed western-blot experiments. TS cultured GB cells and treated them with sialic acid. TS and HG performed statistical analyses. Conceptualization: TS, MS, and HG; Data curation: MS, KR, KG, and HG; Methodology: TS and HG; Writing the original draft: TS; Writing, review, and editing: MS, KG and KR, and HG. HG provided important research advice and revised the manuscript. All co-authors read and accepted the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: The authors reported no conflicts of interest in this work.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by a grant from the Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Iran, for achieving a Ph.D. degree (thesis in molecular genetics, Biology Dept. The Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran (Grant No.: EE/99.3.02.65831/scu.ac.ir).

References

- Stylli SS. Novel Treatment Strategies for Glioblastoma. Cancers (Basel). 2020;12(10). [PubMed ID: 33049911]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7599818].https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers12102883.
- Perus LJM, Walsh LA. Microenvironmental Heterogeneity in Brain Malignancies. Front Immunol. 2019;10:2294. [PubMed ID: 31632393]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6779728]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2019.02294.
- Jovcevska I. Genetic secrets of long-term glioblastoma survivors. Bosn J Basic Med Sci. 2019;19(2):116–24. [PubMed ID: 30114377]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6535385]. https://doi.org/10.17305/bjbms.2018.3717.
- Esmaeili M, Stensjoen AL, Berntsen EM, Solheim O, Reinertsen I. The Direction of Tumour Growth in Glioblastoma Patients. *Sci Rep.* 2018;8(1):1199. [PubMed ID: 29352231]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5775193]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-018-19420-z.

- Seymour T, Nowak A, Kakulas F. Targeting Aggressive Cancer Stem Cells in Glioblastoma. *Front Oncol.* 2015;5:159. [PubMed ID: 26258069]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4507454]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2015.00159.
- Ahmed R, Oborski MJ, Hwang M, Lieberman FS, Mountz JM. Malignant gliomas: current perspectives in diagnosis, treatment, and early response assessment using advanced quantitative imaging methods. *Cancer Manag Res.* 2014;6:149–70. [PubMed ID: 24711712]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3969256]. https://doi.org/10.2147/CMAR.S54726.
- Iacob G, Dinca EB. Current data and strategy in glioblastoma multiforme. *J Med Life*. 2009;2(4):386–93. [PubMed ID: 20108752]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3019011].
- Lyon JG, Mokarram N, Saxena T, Carroll SL, Bellamkonda RV. Engineering challenges for brain tumor immunotherapy. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2017;**114**:19–32. [PubMed ID: 28625831]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5870902]. https://doi.org/10.1016/ji.addr.2017.06.006.
- Sampson JH, Gunn MD, Fecci PE, Ashley DM. Brain immunology and immunotherapy in brain tumours. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2020;**20**(1):12– 25. [PubMed ID: 31806885]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7327710]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41568-019-0224-7.
- Desland FA, Hormigo A. The CNS and the Brain Tumor Microenvironment: Implications for Glioblastoma Immunotherapy. Int J Mol Sci. 2020;21(19). [PubMed ID: 33027976]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7582539]. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21197358.
- Quail DF, Joyce JA. The Microenvironmental Landscape of Brain Tumors. Cancer Cell. 2017;31(3):326–41. [PubMed ID: 28292436]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5424263]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccell.2017.02.009.
- Lah TT, Novak M, Breznik B. Brain malignancies: Glioblastoma and brain metastases. Semin Cancer Biol. 2020;60:262–73. [PubMed ID: 31654711]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semcancer.2019.10.010.
- Xiao Y, Yu D. Tumor microenvironment as a therapeutic target in cancer. *Pharmacol Ther*. 2021;**221**:107753. [PubMed ID: 33259885]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8084948]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pharmthera.2020.107753.
- Neophytou CM, Panagi M, Stylianopoulos T, Papageorgis P. The Role of Tumor Microenvironment in Cancer Metastasis: Molecular Mechanisms and Therapeutic Opportunities. *Cancers (Basel)*. 2021;**13**(9). [PubMed ID: 33922795]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8122975]. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13092053.
- Stowell SR, Ju T, Cummings RD. Protein glycosylation in cancer. Annu Rev Pathol. 2015;10:473–510. [PubMed ID: 25621663]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4396820]. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-pathol-012414-040438.
- Peixoto A, Relvas-Santos M, Azevedo R, Santos LL, Ferreira JA. Protein Glycosylation and Tumor Microenvironment Alterations Driving Cancer Hallmarks. Front Oncol. 2019;9:380. [PubMed ID: 31157165]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6530332]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00380.
- Bastola S, Pavlyukov MS, Yamashita D, Ghosh S, Cho H, Kagaya N, et al. Glioma-initiating cells at tumor edge gain signals from tumor core cells to promote their malignancy. *Nat Commun.* 2020;**11**(1):4660. [PubMed ID: 32938908]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7494913]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-18189-y.
- Nagasundaram M, Horstkorte R, Gnanapragassam VS. Sialic Acid Metabolic Engineering of Breast Cancer Cells Interferes with Adhesion and Migration. *Molecules*. 2020;25(11). [PubMed ID: 32517035]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7321191]. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25112632.
- Pinho SS, Reis CA. Glycosylation in cancer: mechanisms and clinical implications. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2015;15(9):540–55. [PubMed ID: 26289314]. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrc3982.
- Pietrobono S, Stecca B. Aberrant Sialylation in Cancer: Biomarker and Potential Target for Therapeutic Intervention? *Cancers (Basel)*. 2021;**13**(9). [PubMed ID: 33921986]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8122436]. https://doi.org/10.3390/cancers13092014.
- Lemjabbar-Alaoui H, McKinney A, Yang YW, Tran VM, Phillips JJ. Glycosylation alterations in lung and brain cancer. *Adv Cancer Res.* 2015;**126**:305-44. [PubMed ID: 25727152]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6080207]. https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.acr.2014.11.007.

Jentashapir J Cell Mol Biol. 2022; 13(2):e130150.

- Zhang Z, Wuhrer M, Holst S. Serum sialylation changes in cancer. Glycoconj J. 2018;35(2):139–60. [PubMed ID: 29680984]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5916985]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10719-018-9820-0.
- da Fonseca LM, da Silva VA, Freire-de-Lima L, Previato JO, Mendonca-Previato L, Capella MA. Glycosylation in Cancer: Interplay between Multidrug Resistance and Epithelial-to-Mesenchymal Transition? *Front Oncol.* 2016;6:158. [PubMed ID: 27446804]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4916178]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2016.00158.
- Bull C, Stoel MA, den Brok MH, Adema GJ. Sialic acids sweeten a tumor's life. *Cancer Res.* 2014;**74**(12):3199–204. [PubMed ID: 24830719]. https://doi.org/10.1158/0008-5472.CAN-14-0728.
- Pearce OM, Laubli H. Sialic acids in cancer biology and immunity. *Glycobiology*. 2016;26(2):111–28. [PubMed ID: 26518624]. https://doi.org/10.1093/glycob/cwv097.
- Munkley J, Scott E. Targeting Aberrant Sialylation to Treat Cancer. Medicines (Basel). 2019;6(4). [PubMed ID: 31614918]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6963943]. https://doi.org/10.3390/medicines6040102.
- Kailemia MJ, Park D, Lebrilla CB. Glycans and glycoproteins as specific biomarkers for cancer. Anal Bioanal Chem. 2017;409(2):395– 410. [PubMed ID: 27590322]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5203967]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00216-016-9880-6.
- Davies AE, Albeck JG. Microenvironmental Signals and Biochemical Information Processing: Cooperative Determinants of Intratumoral Plasticity and Heterogeneity. Front Cell Dev Biol. 2018;6:44. [PubMed ID: 29732370]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5921997]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2018.00044.
- Hirata E, Sahai E. Tumor Microenvironment and Differential Responses to Therapy. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med. 2017;7(7). [PubMed ID: 28213438]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5495051]. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a026781.
- Zhou X, Yang G, Guan F. Biological Functions and Analytical Strategies of Sialic Acids in Tumor. *Cells.* 2020;9(2). [PubMed ID: 31979120]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7072699]. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells9020273.
- Dobie C, Skropeta D. Insights into the role of sialylation in cancer progression and metastasis. *Br J Cancer*. 2021;**124**(1):76– 90. [PubMed ID: 33144696]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7782833]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41416-020-01126-7.
- Hugonnet M, Singh P, Haas Q, von Gunten S. The Distinct Roles of Sialyltransferases in Cancer Biology and Onco-Immunology. Front Immunol. 2021;12:799861. [PubMed ID: 34975914]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8718907]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fimmu.2021.799861.
- Wang Z, Ahmad A, Li Y, Kong D, Azmi AS, Banerjee S, et al. Emerging roles of PDGF-D signaling pathway in tumor development and progression. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2010;**1806**(1):122– 30. [PubMed ID: 20434526]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2885511]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbcan.2010.04.003.
- Andrae J, Gallini R, Betsholtz C. Role of platelet-derived growth factors in physiology and medicine. *Genes Dev.* 2008;22(10):1276– 312. [PubMed ID: 18483217]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2732412]. https://doi.org/10.1101/gad.1653708.
- Pierce GF, Mustoe TA, Altrock BW, Deuel TF, Thomason A. Role of platelet-derived growth factor in wound healing. J Cell Biochem. 1991;45(4):319–26. [PubMed ID: 2045423]. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcb.240450403.
- Cantanhede IG, de Oliveira JRM. PDGF Family Expression in Glioblastoma Multiforme: Data Compilation from Ivy Glioblastoma Atlas Project Database. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):15271. [PubMed ID: 29127351]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5681588]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-

15045-w.

- He Y, Davies CM, Harrington BS, Hellmers L, Sheng Y, Broomfield A, et al. CDCP1 enhances Wnt signaling in colorectal cancer promoting nuclear localization of beta-catenin and Ecadherin. Oncogene. 2020;39(1):219–33. [PubMed ID: 31471585]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41388-019-0983-3.
- Kajiwara K, Yamano S, Aoki K, Okuzaki D, Matsumoto K, Okada M. CDCP1 promotes compensatory renal growth by integrating Src and Met signaling. *Life Sci Alliance*. 2021;4(4). [PubMed ID: 33574034]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7893822]. https://doi.org/10.26508/lsa.202000832.
- Lama G, Mangiola A, Anile C, Sabatino G, De Bonis P, Lauriola L, et al. Activated ERK1/2 expression in glioblastoma multiforme and in peritumor tissue. *Int J Oncol.* 2007;30(6):1333–42. [PubMed ID: 17487353].
- Ramaswamy P, Nanjaiah ND, Borkotokey M. Role of MEK-ERK signaling mediated adhesion of glioma cells to extra-cellular matrix: Possible implication on migration and proliferation. *Ann Neurosci.* 2019;**26**(2):52-6. [PubMed ID: 31975773]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6894623]. https://doi.org/10.5214/ans.0972.7531.260203.
- Shabani Sadr NK, Shafiei M, Galehdari H, Khirolah A. The Effect of Sialic Acid on the Expression of miR-218, NF-kB, MMP-9, and TIMP-1. Biochem Genet. 2020;58(6):883–900. [PubMed ID: 32607676]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10528-020-09981-y.
- Jiang X, Wang J, Deng X, Xiong F, Zhang S, Gong Z, et al. The role of microenvironment in tumor angiogenesis. *J Exp Clin Cancer Res.* 2020;**39**(1):204. [PubMed ID: 32993787]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7526376]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13046-020-01709-5.
- Rashed AA, Ahmad H, Abdul Khalid SK, Rathi DG. The Potential Use of Sialic Acid From Edible Bird's Nest to Attenuate Mitochondrial Dysfunction by In Vitro Study. Front Pharmacol. 2021;12:633303.
 [PubMed ID: 33912049]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8072155]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2021.633303.
- 44. Payazdan M, Khatami S, Galehdari H, Delfan N, Shafiei M, Heydaran S. The anti-inflammatory effects of sialic acid on the human glia cells by the upregulation of IL-4 and IL-10 genes' expressions. *Gene Reports.* 2021;**24**. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genrep.2021.101218.
- Sun X, Bao J, You Z, Chen X, Cui J. Modeling of signaling crosstalkmediated drug resistance and its implications on drug combination. *Oncotarget*. 2016;7(39):63995–4006. [PubMed ID: 27590512]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5325420]. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.11745.
- Haibe Y, Kreidieh M, El Hajj H, Khalifeh I, Mukherji D, Temraz S, et al. Resistance Mechanisms to Anti-angiogenic Therapies in Cancer. *Front Oncol.* 2020;10:221. [PubMed ID: 32175278]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7056882]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2020.00221.
- Poza-Guedes P, Barrios Y, Fuentes V, Franco A, Sanchez-Machin I, Alonso E, et al. Downregulation of angiogenesis factors, VEGF and PDGF, after rapid IgE desensitization and oral immunotherapy in children with food allergy. *Biomed Res Int.* 2014;2014:372567. [PubMed ID: 24995287]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4065666]. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/372567.
- Uutela M, Wirzenius M, Paavonen K, Rajantie I, He Y, Karpanen T, et al. PDGF-D induces macrophage recruitment, increased interstitial pressure, and blood vessel maturation during angiogenesis. *Blood.* 2004;**104**(10):3198–204. [PubMed ID: 15271796]. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2004-04-1485.
- Muhl L, Folestad EB, Gladh H, Wang Y, Moessinger C, Jakobsson L, et al. Neuropilin 1 binds PDGF-D and is a co-receptor in PDGF-D-PDGFRbeta signaling. *J Cell Sci.* 2017;130(8):1365–78. [PubMed ID: 28254885]. https://doi.org/10.1242/jcs.200493.