«Original Articles » Comparison between FAT Max and Maximal Fat Oxidation in Active and Sedentary Males

Mohammad Rami¹, Abdolhamid Habibi², Saeid Shakerian³

1-MSC in Sport Physiology, Department of Physical Education and Sport Science, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran.

2-Associate Professor in Sport Physiology, Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran.

3-Assistant Professor in Sport Physiology, Department of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran.

Corresponding Author: Mohammad Rami, Msc, Department of Physiology, College of Physical Education and Sport Sciences, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz, Ahvaz, Iran. Tel: 09166409474 Email: M.rami@scu.ac.ir

Abstract

Background: The purpose of this study was to determine appropriate intensity of activity with FAT_{max} during incremental exercise in the active and sedentary male participants.

Material and methods: In this study, 11 active male students(VO_{2max}42.87 \pm 1.75ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹,BMI 21.40 \pm 1.01 kg.m²) and 9 sedentary male students(VO_{2max}36.57±2.95ml.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹, BMI 24.28 \pm 1.83kg.m²) were selected as the active and sedentary groups. Participants performed an incremental test with three minutes intervals on the treadmill. Exercise intensity was measured in all phases by measuring oxygen consumption. Also, heart rate and the fat oxidation was measured using indirect calorimetric. Independent *t*-test was used to compare the mean FAT_{max} in the two groups. Also two-way analysis of variance(ANOVA) with repeated measurements was used to compare FAT_{max} at 7 levels of exercise intensity between the two groups at 0.05 confidence interval level. Also, the Pearson correlation coefficient was used to measure the relationship between VO_{2max} and maximal fat oxidation(MFO).

Results: There was no significant difference between FAT max of the active and sedentary groups, in terms of VO_{2max} and HR_{max} percentage, but the difference between MFO in the active and sedentary groups was significant(p=0.001). The results also showed that there are significant differences in fat oxidation during 7 levels of intensity training between the active and sedentary males(p=0.001). Also, there was a significant correlation between VO_{2max} and FAT_{max} of two groups(p=0.002).

Conclusion: Based on the results, it can be concluded that the active participants, due to their physiological adaptations with exercise, showed significant higher fat oxidation at FAT_{max} point and all phases of exercise intensity.

Keywords: maximal fat oxidation, FAT_{max} , exercise intensity, indirect calorimetry.

Received: 15.07.2013

Accepted: 16.09.2013

Please cite this paper as:

Rami M, Habibi AH, Shakerian S. Comparison between FATmax and Maximal Fat Oxidation in the Active and Sedentary Males. Jentashapir J Health Res 2014; 5(2):53-64

Introduction

Fats and carbohydrates are the main sources of energy during the rest, exercise and training activities, they are also interrelated with factors such as duration and intensity of exercise, type of sport activity, physical fitness, the composition of food before the sport activities, environmental conditions and consumption of complementary foods 1,2 . When intensity of an activity increases, the kind of fuel substance and its consumption The proportional share change. of carbohydrate oxidation in supplying energy increases progressively and thus the relative share of fat oxidation in supplying energy decreases, however, increase in intensity of an activity from low to moderate, increases the absolute value of fat oxidation (value of fat oxidation in grams per minute) and if the intensity increases, it gets decreased^{3,4}. In general, the highest level of fat oxidation has been reported to be at low intensity to moderate intensities^{5,6,7,8,9,10,11}. FATmax or the exercise intensity in which Maximal Fat Oxidation (MFO) occurs was introduced by Jeukendrup and Achten in 2001¹². Finding the effective factors on such a metabolic indicator, can lead us to a better understanding of it and helps us benefit from it appropriately in exercise and weight control or weight loss diets.

Some of the previous studies estimated the FATmax through incremental exercises on the ergometer with 2 to 4 intensity of training^{6,10,13}. Achten et al.,^{5,14} and Achten and Jeukendrup¹⁵, applying a multi-level graded exercise ergometer test, found that fat oxidation rate in exercise intensities between $VO_{2max} \% 64\pm4$ and 62 ± 3 will reach its climax and then decreases dramatically in higher exercise intensities and reaches a slight level. A similar study on the ergometer by Nordby et al¹⁶. showed that men who exercise had higher rates of MFO, and the rates were found in relatively higher FATmax intensities compared to untrained

men (50 and 43% VO_{2max} respectively). In contrast, Stisen et al¹⁷. concluded that there was no significant difference between the endurance in trained and untrained females in terms of FATmax on the cycle ergometer test. In fact, FATmax is equal among the trained and untrained individuals, and the difference is in terms of VO_{2max} and the enzyme activity of the participants. In other words, trained individuals have the MFO which occurs, due to higher VO_{2max} , at a higher absolute intensity of activity and equal relative intensity of activity in comparison with untrained individuals. Researchers have mainly studied the MFO only in 2 intensities of activity,^{7,8,18,3} intensities of activity,^{10,19} or 4 exercise intensities,^{6,9} and the MFO is determined in only one of these intensity points. Therefore, it seems that this method in MFO evaluation might not have been precise. On the other hand, various studies on fat oxidation are conducted using an ergometer bike^{5,6,10,12}, and only a limited number of researches are done on treadmill, through incremental exercise², while according to Cheneviere et al.'s study the energy expenditure and FATmax during the exercise on ergometer bike and treadmill are different. Since major muscular mass are involved during an activity on treadmill compared to the ergometer. It is, therefore, possible to say that the greater storage and return of elastic energy may delay the onset of peripheral fatigue and/or reduce the recruitment of larger motor units which consist of more type II muscle fibers during running, in comparison to cycling for same relative exercise intensity²⁰. This may lead to higher CHO oxidation rates, higher lactate production and reduction in muscle PH in cycling, which can inhibit the activity of carnitine palmitoyltransferase I (i.e. key enzyme in fat metabolism)¹⁴. This may explain the lower Fatmax in cycling and the rightward asymmetry in the running fat oxidation kinetics.

On the contrary, only a few researches have studied fat oxidation and FATmax rates across two groups of active and sedentary males in several intensities of incremental exercise on treadmill. To our knowledge only Stisen et al¹⁷. performed such a comparison among the trained and untrained women, but only on ergometer bike.

Therefore, the purpose of this study was to quantitatively describe and compare MFO and FATmax and also compare the fat oxidation rates across different intensities during a graded exercise treadmill test, between the two groups of active and sedentary participants using an indirect calorimetry equation. It was hypothesized that both MFO and fat oxidation rates are different over a wide range of intensities in the active and sedentary participants.

Material and methods Participants

11 active and 9 sedentary male students participated in this study approved by the South Birmingham Local Research Ethics Committee, UK. As assessed by a general health questionnaire, all the participants were healthy and none were accepted on to the study if diabetic or medically treated for heart or blood pressure irregularities. All Participants were informed on the purpose and nature of the study, after which their written, informed consent was gathered.

General design

To conduct this study, we selected 11 active male students (soccer players) and 9 sedentary male students who performed a graded exercise test to exhaustion level on a treadmill (PPS 70sport-I, Woodway, Weilam Rhein, Germany). Provided that their fat percentage was less than 30 and their BMI was less than 25 (to make the samples standard). The sedentary group had not participated in any regular sports activity during the last 3 years. In contrast, the active participants were students who had regular soccer training for at least 6 to 8 hours per week during the last 2 years. Individual characteristics of the participants are presented in table 2. Fat oxidation was determined by indirect calorimetry and plotted as a function of exercise intensity.

Experimental design

Before the experiments, the subjects were familiarized with the equipment and the procedures. Experiments were always performed in the morning (starting between 8 to 10 a.m) simultaneously to avoid circadian variance. Subjects were asked to fill in a 1-day food diary on the day before their first test. Subjects were reported to the laboratory after a 10-12h overnight fast. They had all been instructed to avoid strenuous exercise and alcohol for the preceding 24h. The participants' weight and height were measured before eating breakfast and their fat was measured using bioelectric impedance method (Olympia 3.3). The exercise protocol used here was adapted from a previously described and validated protocol^{3,14} in which it was concluded that an incremental exercise test with stages of 3-min duration could be used to determine both MFO and FATmax. Running treadmill (HP/Cusmose, on Germany) began by speed of 3.5 km.h⁻¹ and a gradient of 1%. Every 3 minutes, the speed increased by 1 km.h⁻¹ until 6.5 or 7.5 km.h⁻¹. Then during all the 3 minute stages the speed was kept constant, but the gradient was increased by 2% to reach RER=1. Afterward, in the last stage up to the point of exhaustion, speed and gradient were increased every 2 minutes (2% gradient and $1 \text{ km.h}^{-1} \text{ speed}$)². The aim of the final section of the exercise test was to obtain a measure of VO_{2max} within a short time. VO2max was reached when: 1) RER>1.10, 2) level of oxygen consumption did not increase in spite of the increase in speed and slope of treadmill and 3) maximum heart rate (220 pulse per minute-age) was provisioned to be 10 pulses per minute around the maximal zone¹⁵. Breath-bybreath measurements were taken throughout exercise by using an automated gas-analysis system (GANSHORN, Germany). The gas analyzers were calibrated with a 4.95% CO_2 -95.05% N2 gas mixture (BOC Gases, Surrey, UK), and the volume transducer was calibrated with a 3-liter calibration syringe (GANSHORN, Germany). Heart rate was recorded continuously by telemetry using a heart-rate monitor (Polar Vantage NV, Polar Electro Oy, Kempele, Finland).

Indirect calorimetry and calculations

Oxygen uptake (VO_2) and carbon dioxide production (VCO₂) were averaged over the last 2 minutes of each exercise stage, during which the RER was <1. For each of these stages, fat oxidation was calculated using indirect calorimetry equations,²¹ with the assumption that the amount of urinary nitrogen is negligible. Substrate oxidation rates were then plotted as a function of exercise intensity, expressed as a percentage of maximal oxygen uptake (VO_{2max}) and percentage of maximal heart rate (HRmax). From each fat oxidation curve, several features were identified 1) MFO, the peak rate of fat oxidation measured over the entire range of exercise intensities, and 2) FATmax, the exercise intensity at which the fat oxidation rate was maximal.

Fat oxidation $(g.min^{-1}) = 1.67 \text{ VO}_2 - 1.67 \text{ VCO}_2$

In the above equation, VCO₂ and VO₂ are in liters per minute $(1.min^{-1})$ and fat oxidation is grams per minute $(g.min^{-1})$. The fat oxidation rate during each stage was measured by putting the amounts of VCO₂ and VO₂ in this equation and the appropriate intensity for it (FATmax) was measured in every stage according to a percent of VO_{2max}. Firstly, by converting the amount of oxygen consumption from liters per minute unit $(L.min^{-1})$ to milliliter per minute $(ml.min^{-1})$ and then divided by VO_{2max} . The exercise intensity was determined according to a percent of HRmax by dividing the heart rate in every stage of the activities by maximal heart rate. The FATmax was recorded for each person according to a percent of VO_{2max} and HRmax.

Statistical analysis

For analysis of the MFO, FATax (VO_{2max} %), and FATmax (HRmax%) rate between sedentary individuals, active and the independent *t*-test was used, and for analysis of the 7 activity intensity stages, a one-way ANOVA 2 (group) \times 7 (activity intensity) with a repeated measure on the last factor was performed. Upon observation of a significant F-test, post hoc LSD tests were conducted to identify the source of any significant main effects. In order to investigate the relationship between MFO and VO_{2max}, Pearson's correlation coefficient was computed. Also, SPSS version 16 software was used for statistical analysis. The significant differences' level for all analyses was set at p = 0.05.

Results

Prior to research question's testing, an examination of the Kolmogrov Smirnov test of the data was undertaken to secure normal distribution assumption. The data generally was normally distributed(table 1).

Individual characteristics of participants are presented in table 2 according to the group.

The results of independent *t*-test revealed that there was significant difference between the active and sedentary groups in MFO (t(1,18)=4.43, p<0.05), but, there was no significant difference between both groups in FATmax (VO_{2max}%) (t(1,18)=-0.66, p<0.05) and FATmax (HRmax%) (t(1,18)=-1.27, p<0.05) (See table 3, Figure 1 and 2).

The data presented in Figure 1 shows that the MFO in the active group is 0.29 ± 0.03 grams per minute and 0.23 ± 0.02 grams in the sedentary group; regarding the quantities of horizontal axis in the Figure for both active and sedentary groups, these results are respectively appropriate for an FATmax equal to 40.09 ± 2.58 and $42.72\pm3.01\%$ of VO_{2max}. Also the quantities mentioned as MFO are appropriate respectively for intensities of activity equal to 56.45 ± 4.33 and $60.09\pm3.37\%$ of HRmax within the two active and sedentary groups (Figure 2).

Prior to examination of the FATmax rate in activity intensities, the results of Mauchly's test of Sphericity indicated that the error covariance matrix of dependent variable was the same.

For the fat oxidation rate in activity intensities, the results of a one-way ANOVA with repeated measure significant effects for groups F(1,18)=23.12, p=0.001, 2=0.167. An independent *t*-test analysis indicated that there was a difference between active and sedentary groups in stage 1 (t(1,18)= 3.66, p=0.002), stage 2 (t(1,18)=2.65, p=0.01), stage 3 (t(1,18)=2.98, p=0.008), stage 4 (t(1,18)= 3.54, p=0.002), stage 5 (t(1,18)=

2.16, p=0.045), and stage 7 (t(1,18)=2.38), p=0.028). The comparison of fat oxidation rate during stages indicated that the fat oxidation rate significantly superior in the active group than in the sedentary group, and the maximal fat oxidation rate occurred in stage 4 (see table 5, 6, and figure 3). The stages main effect was significant F(6,108)=46.21, p=0.001, 2=0.020,indicating that participants fat oxidation rate varied according to the 7 activity intensity stages, but groups by stages interaction effect F(6,108)=0.72, p=0.63, 2=0.091 was not significant (see table 5).

Post hoc Tukey test revealed that there was a significant difference between the active and the sedentary individuals (p<0.05), and the fat oxidation rate significantly was superior in the active individual (M=0.21, SD= 0.01), than sedentary individual (M=0.17, SD=0.01) (see Figure 3).

Pearson correlation coefficient indicated a statistically significant relationship between MFO and VO_{2max} at the 0.05 level (r=0.64, p=0.002).

	FAT _{max} (%VO _{2max})	FAT _{max} (%HR _{max})	MFO	VO _{2max}	Year	Height	Weight
Ν	20	20	20	20	20	20	20
Kolmogorov- Smirnov Z	0.53	0.41	0.59	0.47	1.22	0.72	0.64
Sig	0.93	0.99	0.87	0.97	0.10	0.67	0.80

Table 1. A sample Kolmogorov-Smirnov test

Table 2. Individual characteristics of participants.				
Feature	Active group (n=11)	Sedentary group (n=9)		
Age (years)	22.36±1.43	24±1.11		
Height (cm)	174.18±3.81	177.88±6.69		
Weight (kg)	64.40±3.60	76.11±4.04		
BMI(kg.m ⁻²)	21.40±1.01	24.28±1.83		
$\frac{\text{VO}_{2\text{max}}}{(\text{ml.kg}^{-1}.\text{min}^{-1})}$	42.85±1.75	36.58±2.95		
LBM ¹ (kg)	53.89±2.43	59.70±3.57		
PBF ² (%)	17.26±1.75	22±3.14		

. •

. -

_

¹ .lean body mass ² .percentage body fat

Table 3. Comparison of average FAT_{max} (VO_{2max} %), FAT_{max} (HR_{max}%) and MFO between the two

groups					
Variables	Active mean±s	Sedetary mean±s	p-value		
FAT _{max} (%VO _{2max})	40.09±2.58	42.72±3.01	0.515		
FAT_{max} (% HR_{max})	56.45±4.33	60.09±3.37	0.353		
MFO $(g.min^{-1})$	0.29±0.03	0.23 ± 0.02	0.001*		
	* 0' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	1 (

* Significant difference between groups (< 0.05)

Table 4. Mauchlys Test of Sphericity						
Within participants effect	Mauchlys W	Chi-Square	df	sig		
FAT _{max}	0.017	64.60	20	0.08		

Table 5. Comparison of fat oxidation rate during stages between the two groups.

Stages	Active mean±s	Sedetary mean±s	p-value
1	0.15±0.02	0.11±0.01	0.002*
2	0.18±0.03	0.15 ± 0.02	0.01*
3	0.24 ± 0.02	0.20 ± 0.03	0.008*
4	0.23±0.02	0.23 ± 0.02	0.002*
5	0.28 ± 0.04	0.22 ± 0.01	0.045*
6	0.20 ± 0.02	0.17±0.03	0.056
7	0.17±0.03	0.13±0.04	0.028*

* Significant difference between groups (< 0.05)

Jentashapir J Health Res, 2014; 5(2)

source	Type III sum of squares	df	Mean square	F	Sig	
stages	0.217	6	0.036	46.217	0.001	
Stages* groups	0.003	6	0.001	0.721	0.634	
Groups	0.052	1	0.052	23.123	0.001	
Error (stages)	0.084	108	0.001			
Error (groups)	0.041	18	0.002			

Table 6. Analysis of variance for fat oxidation rate in activity intensities



Figure 1. The amount of appropriate fat oxidation with intensity of activity according to % VO_{2max}



Figure 2. The amount of appropriate fat oxidation with intensity of activity according to $%HR_{max}$

Jentashapir J Health Res, 2014; 5(2)



Figure 3. The amount of fat oxidation according to number of stage activity

Discussion

The aim of the present study was to find an intensity of sport activity, which has the highest productivity in fat metabolism for people. Clearly, exercise in intensities higher than the zone of FAT_{max} causes fatigue or lactic acidity, the exercise in intensities lower than this level does not guarantee a necessary and sufficient benefit as an individual expects from exercise. Various studies have reported the MFO in the low to moderate intensities (between 33 to 65 percent VO_{2max}).^{7,10,13,22} However, most studies have used 2 to 4 intensity protocols to determine the MFO, and it seems that there might be more complete methods to estimate the MFO. We examined fat oxidation in an incremental exercise protocol including seven stages with seven different intensities of activity in order to determine, more precisely, the appropriate intensity of activity that elicits maximal fat oxidation, known as FAT_{max}. Furthermore, recent studies have examined only one sample population^{3,22}, while we studied two groups of active and sedentary participants, and the fat oxidation was examined at different intensities of activity across the two groups.

The results of this study showed that during an incremental exercise protocol, there was no significant difference between the active and sedentary groups in levels of FAT_{max}. The results of the study, therefore, supported the conclusions in studies by Lima-Silva et al,²³ Bogdanis et al,²⁴, Stisen et al,¹⁷ and Achten and Jeukendrup,¹⁵ and they are found not compatible with the conclusions of studies by Bergman and Brooks,⁶ and Bircher and Knechtle.²⁵ This incompatibility in Bergman and Brooks might stem from their exercise protocol, because they have examined fat oxidation in 4 intensities and as mentioned earlier, studies which examine fat oxidation in 2 to 4 intensities are

inaccurate and do not precisely show the FAT_{max} point, and they are likely to show FAT_{max} at a point between these 4 intensities. The reason why the conclusion by Bircher and Knechtle is rejected is that they used limited number of intensities, and also it can be due to their instrument (ergometer bike), because fat oxidation patterns on treadmill and ergometer bike are different, and this can be a justification for the inconsistency. In our study and the previous studies, there was no significant difference in levels of FAT_{max}, between the active and sedentary groups with high and low VO_{2max} or in men and women. For example, in the study by Lima-Silva et al.,²³ the FAT_{max} rate in the group with a low VO_{2max} was somehow higher than the same rate in the group with a high VO_{2max} (64.4±14.9% and 61.6±15.4 $%VO_{2max}$, respectively). These differences might be due interpersonal and inherent to differences, in terms of reaching the MFO. On the other hand, occurrence of MFO in the active and sedentary group at equal intensities can be a result of high VO_{2max} level in the active group and their more enzyme activity. In other words, due to higher VO_{2max} the active individuals have the MFO, which occurs at a higher absolute intensity of activity in comparison with the sedentary individuals, and this intensity is relatively equal to the intensity of activity for the sedentary participants. That is, the MFO occurs at equal relative intensities and unequal absolute intensities. But in the present study probably due to the proximity between VO_{2max} level in the active and sedentary groups, FAT_{max} occurred in equal absolute and relative intensities (fourth stage).

The results of this study also showed that there is no significant difference between the active and sedentary groups in terms of the intensity of exercise according to a percent of HR_{max} which the highest fat oxidation

rate occurs (FAT_{max}). This result confirms the findings of the study by Bogdanis et al.²⁴ As mentioned earlier, we examined the intensity of activity based on two indexes of VO_{2max} and HR_{max}. Regarding the percent of VO_{2max} and HR_{max} the relationship between intensity of activity was different in the active and the sedentary groups.²⁶ In the present study, the MFO in the sedentary group occurred at 60% HR_{max} (appropriate with 42% VO_{2max}). This appropriateness is about 2 or 3% higher than the active group. Such differences were interesting in the study by Venables et al,² which was conducted on a large number of samples. In their research 61% HR_{max} was equal to 48% VO_{2max} . When the intensity of activity is according to a percent of HR_{max}, these differences can be considered for estimating the desirable intensity of activity, using the heart rate.

Results show that levels of MFO in the active participants is significantly higher than in sedentary participants (0.29±0.03 and 0.23 ± 0.02 g.min⁻¹ for the active and the sedentary participants). These results are consistent with findings of studies by Lima Silva et al.,²³ Bogdanis et al.²⁴ and Bergman and Brooks⁶, however, they are inconsistent with the study by Stisen et al.¹⁷ The reason behind this inconsistency can be attributed to the issue that levels of VO_{2max} in the present study is similar to the studies by Achten et al.⁵ and Achten and Jeukendrup,¹⁵ determined by the classified test from constant to fatigue (30 to 35 minutes), while in Stisen et al.'s study¹⁷, the VO_{2max} test was performed in a separate day, and when the participants were completely recovered, they used a shorter constant incremental test (6 to 9 minutes) up to an agreeable fatigue. Another reason for this inconsistency might have been due to the gender of participants. Because in Stisen et al.'s study¹⁷, the participants were trained and untrained females, while in the present study, the

participants were active and sedentary male students. As it is shown in studies by Venables et al.,² and Friedlander et al.,⁶ women have more FAT_{max} and MFO compared to men. Therefore, it might be safe to assume that the higher level of fat oxidation in women is a reason why there was no significant difference between participants of Stisen et al.'s study¹⁷, in terms of MFO.

In sarcoplasmic transport of fatty acids in various cells, many plasma membrane proteins are involved. These proteins in muscle cells include fatty acid binding protein plasma membrane(FABP_{pm}), fatty acid transporter(FAT/CD36) and fatty acid transports protein(FATP)^{27,28}. These proteins increase due to physiological adaptations with exercise in active people. Major physiological adaptations to endurance exercise training(Such as increased capillary density), improves the distribution of oxygen(glucose and FFA) to the active muscles and increases the efficiency of trained muscles in the absorption of available oxygen. In comparison with inactivity condition, due to increased density of mitochondria, oxidative enzyme capacity of muscle fibers was twice as much. In addition to the increase in the enzyme activities of the electron transport chain, fatoxidizing activity, enzyme including enzymes involved in fatty acid betaoxidation are increased. as well. Furthermore, doing exercise helps lipoprotein lipase activity increase and thus, absorption circulating VLDL for oxidation in muscle becomes greater and the source of triglyceride intramuscular in trained individuals gets higher. During the exercise, plasma insulin level in trained individuals is higher than in untrained individuals, and also lactate production is lower in trained individuals, both changes further strengthen the the lipolysis. After exercise. consumption of intramuscular triglyceride sources might increase. Therefore, endurance training strengthens the fat oxidation through the active muscles.²⁹

In addition to these results, our study also revealed that there was a significant difference between the active and the sedentary groups in terms of fat oxidation level in seven stages of activity. These findings are not consistent with findings of Stisen et al.'s study¹⁷. In their study, the significant difference in terms of fat oxidation was only reported to be at moderate and high intensities, while in our study, there was a significant difference in all the stages. In general, the active individuals significantly oxidize more fat than the sedentary ones, because they have more enzyme activity and higher fat oxidation capacity than the sedentary individuals. Thus in our study, in spite of less weight and lower BMI compared to sedentary participants, the active participants had higher capacity for oxidation of fatty acids, since they had gained more capacities through physical activities. However, the question is what causes the difference between various stages of this exercise protocol in terms of fat oxidation level in the active and the sedentary individuals? Increase in fat oxidation from rest to moderate intensities is often caused by the increase of access to FA. The rate of appearance for FA increases by an increase in lipolysis and a decrease in FA re-esterification. Wolfe et al.³⁰ reported that the percent of re-esterification decreases from 70% in rest to 25% during 30 minutes of low to moderate intensities. This decrease in composition with the tripled increase in FA release from triacylglycerol (TAG) hydrolysis leads to an increase of FA access by 6 times for oxidation. In addition to more access to FA, transfer of FA from fat fiber toward active muscle increases, as well. However, when the intensity increases up to

high levels, there is no simultaneous increase in Glycerol RA.

Furthermore, the present study showed that there was a significant relation between the index of VO_{2max} and MFO in the active and the sedentary participants. These findings confirm the findings of studies by Achten and Jeukendrup¹⁵ and Venables et al.,² and Stisen et al.¹⁷ The real association between the quantities of MFO and VO_{2max} can be due to the physiological compatibilities acquired by exercise, which increase the aerobic capacity. Endurance training can significantly increase the fat oxidation during aerobic exercises increasing aerobic power. The information driven from crosssectional studies by ^{6,11,31,32,33} and linear studies by, ^{6,34,35,36,37,38} supports the idea that exercise the reliance decreases on carbohydrate (CHO) as a source of energy, and therefore increases the fat oxidation during aerobic exercise. Exercise researches mostly examined thin young men, but the observed increase of fat oxidation is not limited only to this specific group. Similar studies are done on women,^{18,38} elders^{34,36,39}

and the obese.⁴⁰ In other words, when doing an activity at an equal relative intensity, a person with higher VO_{2max} can do more activity with higher absolute intensity, and consequently causing more energy consumption.

Finally, the results of the present study showed that the FAT_{max} was similar in the active and the sedentary participants, and the only difference was in VO_{2max} amounts of the participants. In other words, due to a higher VO_{2max} , active individuals are likely to have the MFO which occurs at a higher absolute intensity (work load) of activity in comparison with the sedentary individuals. This intensity is relatively equal to the intensity of activity for the sedentary participants.

Acknowledgments

This study was supported by Department of Physical Education, Shahid Chamran University of Ahvaz in Iran. We would like to thank our friends for their guidance throughout our research.

References

11-Sidossis LS, Gastaldelli A, Klein S, Wolfe RR. Regulation of plasma fatty acid oxidation during low- and high-intensity exercise. J Appl Physiol 1997;272(6 Pt 1):E1065–70.

¹⁻Powers SK, Howley ET. Exercise Physiology (Theory and application to fitness and performance). New York: McGrew Hill; 2001;120-135.

²⁻Venables MC, Achten J, Jeukendrup AE. Determinants of fat oxidation during exercise in healthy men and women: a cross-sectional study. J Appl Physiol 2005;98(1):160-7.

³⁻Achten J, Jeukendrup AE. Optimizing fat oxidation through exercise and diet. Nutrition 2004;20(7-8):716-27.

⁴⁻Martin WH 3rd, Klein S. Use of endogenous carbohydrate and fat as fuels during exercise. Proc Nutr Soc 1998;57(1):49-54.

⁵⁻Achten J, Gleeson M, Jeukendrup AE. Determination of the exercise intensity that elicits maximal fat oxidation. Med Sci Sports Exerc 2002;34(1):92-7.

⁶⁻Bergman BC, Brooks GA. Respiratory gas-exchange ratios during graded exercise in fed and fasted trained and untrained men. J Appl Physiol 1999;86(2):479-87.

⁷⁻ Broeder CE, Burrhus KA, Svanevik LS, Wilmore JH. The effects of either high-intensity resistance or endurance training on resting metabolic rate. Am J Clin Nutr 1992;55:802.

⁸⁻ Friedlander AL, Casazza GA, Homing MA, Buddinger TF, Brooks GA. Effects of exercise intensity and training on lipid metabolism in young women. Am J Physiol 1998;276:E853.

⁹⁻Howley ET, Duncan GE, Del Corral P. Optimum intensity for fat oxidation. Med Sci Sport Exer 1997;29:S199.

¹⁰⁻Romijn JA, Coyle EF, Sidossis LS, Gastaldelli A, Horowitz JF, Endert E, et al. Regulation of endogenous fat and carbohydrate metabolism in relation to exercise intensity and duration. Am J Physiol 1993;265(3 Pt 1):E380-91.

FAT Max and Maximal Fat Oxidation

12-Jeukendrup AE, Achten J. Fatmax: A new concept to optimize fat oxidation during exercise? Eur J Sport Sci 2001;1(5):1-5.

13-Van Loon LJ, Greenhaff PL, Constantin-Teodosiu D, Saris WH, Wagenmakers AJ. The effects of increasing exercise intensity on muscle fuel utilisation in humans. J Physiol 2001;536(Pt1):295-304.

14-Achten J, Venables MC, Jeukendrup AE. Fat oxidation rates are higher during running compared with cycling over wide range of intensities. Metabolism 2003;52(6):747–52.

15-Achten J, Jeukendrup AE. Maximal fat oxidation during exercise in trained men. Int J Sports Med 2003;24(8):603-8.

16-Nordby P, Saltin B, Helge JW. Whole-body fat oxidation determined by graded exercise and indirect calorimetry: a role for muscle oxidative capacity? Scand J Med Sci Sports 2006;16(3):209-14.

17-Stisen AB, Stougaard O, Langfort J, Helge JW, Sahlin K, Madsen K. Maximal fat oxidation rates in endurance trained and untrained women. Eur J Appl Physiol 2006;98(5):497-506.

18-Arons PM, Sowash J, Anderes FF. Fat oxidation at varied work intensities using different exercise modes. Med Sci Sport Exer 1997;29:S199.

19-Romijn JA, Coyle EF, Sidossis LS, Rosenblatt J, Wolfe RR. Substrate metabolism during different exercise intensities in endurance-trained women. J Appl Physiol 2000;88(5):1707-14.

20- Chenevière X, Malatesta D, Gojanovic B, Borrani F. Differences in whole-body fat oxidation kinetics between cycling and running. Eur J Appl Physiol 2010;109(6):1037–45.

21-Frayn KN. Calculation of substrate oxidation rates in vivo from gaseous exchange. J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exerc Physiol 1983;55(2):628-34.

22-Rouhani H, Damirchi A, Hassannia S, Rouhani, Z. Comparison of Fat oxidation in running intensities in nonathlete male students. J Olympics 2009;1(45):121-30.

23-Lima-Silva AE, Bertuzzi R.C.M, Pires F.O, Gagliardi J.F.L, Barros R.V, Hammond J, Kiss A.P.D.M. Relationship between training status and maximal fat oxidation rate. J Sport Sci Med 2010;9:31-5.

24-Bogdanis GC, Vangelakoudi A, Maridaki M. Peak fat oxidation rate during walking in sedentary overweight men and women. J Sport Sci Med 2008;7(4):525-31.

25-Bircher S, Knechtle B. Relationship between fat oxidation and lactate threshold in athletes and obese women and men. J Sport Sci Med 2004;3:174-81.

26-Byrne NM, Hills AP. Relationships between HR and VO2 in the obese. Med Sci Sport Exer 2002;34:1419–1427. 27-Schaffer JE. Fatty acid transport: The roads taken. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 2002;282(2): E239-46.

28-Hajri T, Abumrad NA. Fatty acid transport across membranes: relevance to nutrition and metabolic pathology. Annu Rev Nutr 2002;22:383-415.

29-Ahlborg G, Felig P, Hagenfeldt L, Hendler R, Wahren J. Substrate turnover during prolonged exercise in man. J Clin Invest 1974;53(4):1080-90.

30-Wolfe RR, Klein S, Carraro F, Weber JM. Role of triglyceride-fatty acid cycle in controlling fat metabolism in humans during and after exercise. Am J Physiol 1990;258(2 Pt 1):E382-9.

31-Turcotte LP, Richter EA, Kiens B. Increased plasma FFA uptake and oxidation during prolonged exercise in trained vs. untrained humans. Am J Physiol 1992;262(6 Pt 1):E791-9.

32-Klein S, Coyle EF, Wolfe RR. Fat metabolism during low-intensity exercise in endurance-trained and untrained men. Am J Physiol 1994;267(6 Pt 1):E934-40.

33-Coggan AR, Raguso CA, Gastaldelli A, Sidossis LS, Yeckel CW. Fat metabolism during high-intensity exercise in endurance-trained and untrained men. Metabolism 2000;49(1):122-8.

34-Sial S, Coggan A, Hickner R, et al. Training-induced alterations in fat and carbohydrate metabolism during exercise in elderly participants. Am J Physiol 1998;274(5 Pt 1):E785-90.

35-Phillips SM, Green HJ, Tarnopolsky MA, Heigenhauser GF, Hill RE, Grant SM. Effects of training duration on substrate turnover and oxidation during exercise. J Appl Physiol 1996;81(5):2182-91.

36-Poehlman ET, Gardner AW, Arciero PJ, Goran MI, Calles-Escandon J. Effects of endurance training on total fat oxidation in elderly persons. J Appl Physiol 1994;76(6):2281-7.