Published online 2021 May 1.

Research Article

Clinical Presentation, Management, and Outcomes of Patients with COVID-19 Disease: A Report from a Large Tertiary Hospital in Iran

Bahram Dehghan¹, Ahmad Abeshtan¹, Abdullah Sarami¹, Saied Saeidimehr², Elham Maraghi¹, ³ and Fakher Rahim¹, ⁴

¹Department of Medicine, Naft Grand Hospital, The Health Affaire Organization of Oils and Refineries Industry, Ahvaz, Iran

²Department of Aging, University of Social Welfare and Rehabilitation Sciences, Iran

³Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, School of Public Health, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

⁴Research Center of Thalassemia & Hemoglobinopathies, Health Research Institute, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

^{*} Corresponding author: Research Center of Thalassemia & Hemoglobinopathies, Health Research Institute, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran. Email: bioinfo2003@gmail.com

Received 2021 March 04; Revised 2021 April 05; Accepted 2021 April 20.

Abstract

Objectives: The aim of the present study was to assess clinical characteristics, management, and in-hospital outcomes of COVID-19 among oil refinery workers in a single referral center.

Methods: This cross-sectional study was conducted in a non-COVID single referral center from March to August 2020. At the Naft Grand Hospital, a COVID-19 specimen collection and molecular detection unit was established, and staff were trained how to collect suitable samples (sufficiently deep swabs), store, pack, and transport them. The diagnosis of COVID-19 infection (SARS-CoV-2) was confirmed by real-time reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).

Results: Overall, 500 patients with confirmed COVID-19 infection were included, among whom the most common comorbidities were hypertension (52.2%) and diabetes (45.6%). Moreover, 298 patients (59.6%) had one to three comorbidities; 148 patients (29.6%) had four to six comorbidities, and two patients (0.4%) suffered from seven and more comorbidities. Out of these, 23 patients (4.6%) had cancer, and 206 (41.2%) suffered from other diseases. Most of the patients (390, 78.8%) received Kaletra, and 387 (78.02%) were treated with Azithromycin. Overall, PCR results were positive in 377 (75.4%) patients; computed tomography scan (CT-scan) was positive in 413 (82.6%), and CRP test rendered positive results in 335 patients (67%).

Conclusions: Most referred cases were survivors with mild to moderate symptoms, and a few of them were unfortunately nonsurvivors. This could be due to the appropriate responses to treatment and institutional isolation of people with mild COVID-19 symptoms. Thus, good and evidence-based clinical care combined with intense public health interventions will save the lives of thousands, if not millions, worldwide.

Keywords: COVID-19 Disease, Clinical Presentation, In-Hospital Outcome

1. Background

The novel coronavirus, the seventh known virus in the family, was named severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) on February 11, 2020, by the World Health Organization (WHO). COVID-19 is also a contagious disease caused by SARS-CoV-2. Most people with COVID-19 develop a mild respiratory illness and recover without the need for special care or treatments. Older people and those with underlying diseases such as cardiovascular diseases, diabetes, chronic respiratory disease, and cancer are more likely to develop COVID-19 (1, 2). The SARS-CoV-2 is transmitted primarily through saliva droplets or nasal secretions during sneezing and coughing (3), so practicing

good breathing habits (such as coughing at the elbow) is very important. There is currently no specific vaccine or treatment for COVID-19, but clinical trials are ongoing to discover possible treatments (4).

It was reported that enacting social distance policies at the national level was associated with a significant reduction in the transmission of SARS-CoV-2, reducing the rate of viral transmission, as well as COVID19 infection rates (5). The WHO works closely with experts, governments, and global partners to rapidly expand scientific knowledge about SARS-CoV-2. In addition, with timely recommendations, the organization aims to protect public health and prevent the spread of the virus.

Copyright © 2021, Jundishapur Journal of Health Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

The apparently high risk of COVID-19 infection among oil refinery workers may be due to the lack of awareness, inadequate protective measures, and contact with infected people in the community, hospitals, or treatment environments.

2. Objectives

The aim of the present study was to assess clinical characteristics, management, and in-hospital outcomes of COVID-19 among oil refinery workers in a single referral center.

3. Methods

3.1. Study Design, Setting, and Population

This cross-sectional study was conducted in a non-COVID single referral center (Naft Grand Hospital, Ahvaz, Iran) from March to August 2020. This study was approved by the Naft Grand Hospital Institutional Review Board (IRB), and written informed consent was obtained from all subjects before enrollment.

3.2. Specimen Collection Process

At the Naft Grand Hospital, a COVID-19 specimen collection and molecular detection unit was established, and staff were trained how to collect suitable samples (sufficiently deep swabs) and how to store, pack, and transport them. Trained laboratory personnel collected nasal swabs using standard techniques based on health and safety standard protocols. After collection, the swabs were immediately placed into a sterile transport tube containing the viral transport medium and delivered to the laboratory. The diagnosis of COVID-19 infection (SARS-CoV-2) was confirmed by real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR).

3.3. Statistical Analysis

Baseline patient characteristics, treatments, and the clinical course of the disease were expressed as frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and means with standard deviations for continuous variables. Categorical variables were compared using the chi-square test, and Fisher's exact test was used when the data were sparse. Continuous variables were compared using the Mann-Whitney or Kruskal-Wallis test. All tests were two-tailed, and results with P values of < 0.05 were considered statistically significant. Data preparation and statistical analyses were conducted in SPSS 22.

4. Results

Overall, 500 patients with confirmed COVID-19 were included in this study. In total, 375 (75%) of the patients lived in the metropolitan area, and 125 (25%) lived in urban areas. Men and women constituted 286 (53.6%) and 232 (46.4%) of the patients, respectively. Regarding age, the highest and lowest frequencies were related to the age groups of 60 to 75 and over 75 years with the frequencies of 260 (52%) and 51 (10.2%), respectively. The highest and lowest frequencies of education levels were related to illiteracy and diploma with the frequencies of 80 (16%) and 59 (11.8%), respectively; 255 people (51%) did not determine their education level. Also, 116 people (23.2%) were unemployed; 324 people (64.8%) were employed, and their employment status was unknown in 60 (12%) subjects.

The most common symptoms on admission were dyspnea (56.0%), cough (50.4%), and fever (49.0%). Underlying diseases were reported in 144 patients (28.8%). The most common comorbidities were hypertension (52.2%) and diabetes (45.6%). Moreover, 298 patients (59.6%) had one to three comorbidities; 148 patients (29.6%) had four to six underlying diseases, and two patients (0.4%) suffered from seven and more comorbidities. Finally, 23 people (4.6%) had cancer, and 206 (41.2%) had other diseases.

Regarding COVID-19 treatments, 390 (78.8%) received Kelatra, and 387 (78.02%) received Azithromycin. Overall, PCR result was positive in 377 (75.4%) patients. On the other hand, computed tomography scan (CT-scan) was positive in 413 (82.6%) patients, and the CRP test delivered positive results in 335 patients (67%). Among 55 non-survivors (11% of total), 33 cases (60.0%) were men, and 56.4% of them were in the 65 - 75 years age group. The majority, 34 (61.8%), of deceased patients had hypertension (HTN), and 80% of them were treated with Kelatra. The demographic and clinical characteristics of patients according to their final status (survivor/non-survivor) have been shown in Table 1.

To explore age-related differences, a subgroup analysis was performed, stratifying age groups as \leq 40, between 41 and 59, between 60 and 75, and > 75 years old. The final outcome was different among the age groups (P < 0.001). Older patients with COVID-19 had a higher proportion of comorbidities compared with younger patients. Hypertension was the most common comorbidity in the eldest three age groups (i.e., \geq 41 years); nevertheless, it was less frequent in the patients aged \leq 40 years (P < 0.0001). The distribution of other variables according to age groups has been presented in Table 2.

5. Discussion

Our study was conducted in a large tertiary center, the Naft Grand Hospital, in Southwestern Iran. Although this was a non-COVID center, in the course of the COVID-19 pandemic, several patients diagnosed with the COVID-19 infection were referred to the hospital. The most predominant comorbidity associated with COVID-19-related adverse events was hypertension, followed by diabetes. Our findings were in line with other recent reports. Sanyaolu et al., in a recent systematic review, examined comorbid conditions in the patients infected with the COVID-19 disease and reported hypertension followed by cardiovascular diseases and diabetes as the most common comorbidities identified in these patients (1). Richardson et al., in a large case series of patients with COVID-19, referred to 12 hospitals, reported the most common comorbidities as hypertension, obesity, and diabetes, respectively (6). One possible reason why individuals with hypertension are at a higher risk of death due to COVID-19 is that a well-functioning immune system can help people to better combat this disease without developing too many adverse effects (7). Another possible hypothetical reason is treatment with angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) inhibitors and angiotensin receptor blockers (ARBs) (8), which increase the level of angiotensin-converting enzyme-2 (ACE2) in the body. Although no scientific evidence has been provided so far, the SARS-CoV-2 virus attaches to the host's cells via ACE2 (9).

Moreover, in the present study, most patients belonged to the age group of 60 to 75 years. As older adults are at a higher risk for severe complications than younger people; similarly, people at the age of 60 and above are generally more vulnerable to COVID-19-related severe adverse events. Perez-Saez et al. estimated a relatively high infection fatality risk (IFR) in the COVID-19 patients aged 65 years and older (10). Mueller et al., described molecular differences between younger and older individuals, as well as several biological age clocks and genetic differences that may explain why the chance of developing a severe form of COVID-19 increases with age (11). This fact can be explained by the physiological changes that occur with aging in the human body. In particular, the higher prevalence of comorbidities in older adults contributes to a low functional reserve that reduces the intrinsic ability and flexibility and impedes the capability of controlling the COVID-19 infection (12-14).

Most of our patients had positive RT-PCR and chest CT scan results. The difference between survivors and nonsurvivors was not statistically significant in terms of PCR and chest CT-scan results. Accordingly, it is recommended to confirm an ultimate COVID-19 diagnosis based on both RT-PCR and CT scan findings because none of the two detection techniques are reliable alone and may not reveal the severity of the disease (15, 16).

The most common symptoms observed in the referred patients in the present study were cough, dyspnea, and fever. Previous studies have reported fever in 99% of people during the COVID-19 disease. On the other hand, in a cohort study, it was reported that this complication at the time of referring to the hospital was present in only 44% of patients, and in some cases, it was reported in up to 89% of patients during hospitalization (17). Other common symptoms such as cough and shortness of breath may occur in 10% of COVID-19 patients (18).

In the present study, the most frequent medications included kaletra (lopinavir/ritonavir), azithromycin, and hydroxychloroquine, of which azithromycin was significantly more prescribed in the survivor than the non-survivor group. In this context, pervasive clinical evidence and existing literature on the antiviral mechanisms of lopinavir/ritonavir, hydroxychloroquine, and azithromycin in the treatment of previous epidemic viral diseases suggested that these combinations may be helpful in the fight against the COVID-19 infection (19-24). Available evidence suggests that these antiviral medications can target RNA polymerase, which blocks viral RNA synthesis, and chymotrypsin-like protease (3CLpro), a major coronavirus protease (25, 26). Nevertheless, the clinical efficacy of these drugs is controversial (27).

5.1. Conclusion

Most referred cases were survivors with mild to moderate symptoms, and a few of them, unfortunately, succumbed to the disease. This can be due to the fact that people with mild COVID-19 symptoms may respond well to the treatment and institutional isolation. The COVID-19 disease not only has created a global epidemic that has had a major impact on public health and changed the daily lives of billions of people, but it has also revealed the weaknesses of apparently strong and well-resourced international health systems. Moreover, it has inflicted a wide and sometimes irreparable economic impact. Advances in medical diagnosis and treatment, such as designing new and rapid diagnostic kits and effective targeted treatments, as well as developing efficient vaccines, are among the priorities that have received much attention during the pandemic. At the same time, good and evidence-based clinical care combined with strict public health interventions would save the lives of thousands, if not millions, worldwide.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: BD, AA, and FR designed the study. SS conducted the survey. EM, SS, and FR conducted the analysis. FR drafted the manuscript. AA, AS, and FR revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. All authors have accepted the final version of the manuscript. All authors had full access to all data in the study and accepted responsibility for the integrity of the data and the accuracy of data analysis. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board (IRB) of the Naft Grand Hospital.

Funding/Support: This study was supported in part by a grant from the Naft Grand Hospital.

Informed Consent: Informed consent was obtained from all participants. No information about the participants' identifications were presented in this article.

References

- Sanyaolu A, Okorie C, Marinkovic A, Patidar R, Younis K, Desai P, et al. Comorbidity and its impact on patients with COVID-19. *SN Compr Clin Med*. 2020:1–8. doi: 10.1007/s42399-020-00363-4. [PubMed: 32838147]. [PubMed Central: PMC7314621].
- Nishiga M, Wang DW, Han Y, Lewis DB, Wu JC. COVID-19 and cardiovascular disease: From basic mechanisms to clinical perspectives. *Nat Rev Cardiol*. 2020;**17**(9):543–58. doi: 10.1038/s41569-020-0413-9. [PubMed: 32690910]. [PubMed Central: PMC7370876].
- Dhand R, Li J. Coughs and sneezes: Their role in transmission of respiratory viral infections, including SARS-CoV-2. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med.* 2020;202(5):651–9. doi: 10.1164/rccm.202004-1263PP. [PubMed: 32543913]. [PubMed Central: PMC7462404].
- Bhagavathula AS, Aldhaleei W, Rovetta A, Rahmani J. Vaccines and drug therapeutics to lock down novel Coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19): A systematic review of clinical trials. *Cureus*. 2020;**12**(5). e8342. doi: 10.7759/cureus.8342.
- McGrail DJ, Dai J, McAndrews KM, Kalluri R. Enacting national social distancing policies corresponds with dramatic reduction in COVID-19 infection rates. *PLoS One*. 2020;**15**(7). e0236619. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0236619. [PubMed: 32730356]. [PubMed Central: PMC7392246].
- Richardson S, Hirsch JS, Narasimhan M, Crawford JM, McGinn T, Davidson KW, et al. Presenting characteristics, comorbidities, and outcomes among 5700 patients hospitalized with COVID-19 in the New York City area. *JAMA*. 2020;**323**(20):2052-9. doi: 10.1001/jama.2020.6775. [PubMed: 32320003]. [PubMed Central: PMC7177629].
- Guzik TJ, Mohiddin SA, Dimarco A, Patel V, Savvatis K, Marelli-Berg FM, et al. COVID-19 and the cardiovascular system: Implications for risk assessment, diagnosis, and treatment options. *Cardiovasc Res.* 2020;**116**(10):1666-87. doi: 10.1093/cvr/cvaa106. [PubMed: 32352535]. [PubMed Central: PMC7197627].
- 8. Hippisley-Cox J, Young D, Coupland C, Channon KM, Tan PS, Harrison DA, et al. Risk of severe COVID-19 disease with ACE inhibitors and

angiotensin receptor blockers: Cohort study including 8.3 million people. *Heart*. 2020;**106**(19):1503-11. doi: 10.1136/heartjnl-2020-317393. [PubMed: 32737124]. [PubMed Central: PMC7509391].

- Ni W, Yang X, Yang D, Bao J, Li R, Xiao Y, et al. Role of angiotensinconverting enzyme 2 (ACE2) in COVID-19. *Crit Care*. 2020;24(1):422. doi: 10.1186/s13054-020-03120-0. [PubMed: 32660650]. [PubMed Central: PMC7356137].
- Perez-Saez FJ, Lauer SA, Kaiser L, Regard S, Delaporte E, Guessous I, et al. Serology-informed estimates of SARS-CoV-2 infection fatality risk in Geneva, Switzerland. *Lancet.* 2021;21(4):E69–70. doi: 10.31219/osf.io/wdbpe.
- Mueller AL, McNamara MS, Sinclair DA. Why does COVID-19 disproportionately affect older people? *Aging*. 2020;**12**(10):9959–81. doi: 10.18632/aging.103344. [PubMed: 32470948]. [PubMed Central: PMC7288963].
- Bonanad C, Garcia-Blas S, Tarazona-Santabalbina F, Sanchis J, Bertomeu-Gonzalez V, Facila L, et al. The effect of age on mortality in patients with COVID-19: A meta-analysis with 611,583 subjects. J Am Med Dir Assoc. 2020;21(7):915–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jamda.2020.05.045. [PubMed: 32674819]. [PubMed Central: PMC7247470].
- Kang SJ, Jung SI. Age-related morbidity and mortality among patients with COVID-19. *Infect Chemother*. 2020;**52**(2):154–64. doi: 10.3947/ic.2020.52.2.154. [PubMed: 32537961]. [PubMed Central: PMC7335648].
- Perrotta F, Corbi G, Mazzeo G, Boccia M, Aronne L, D'Agnano V, et al. COVID-19 and the elderly: Insights into pathogenesis and clinical decision-making. *Aging Clin Exp Res.* 2020;**32**(8):1599–608. doi: 10.1007/s40520-020-01631-y. [PubMed: 32557332]. [PubMed Central: PMC7298699].
- Hossein H, Ali KM, Hosseini M, Sarveazad A, Safari S, Yousefifard M. Value of chest computed tomography scan in diagnosis of COVID-19; A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Clin Transl Imaging*. 2020;8:469– 81. doi: 10.1007/s40336-020-00387-9. [PubMed: 33072656]. [PubMed Central: PMC7549426].
- Fang Y, Zhang H, Xie J, Lin M, Ying L, Pang P, et al. Sensitivity of chest CT for COVID-19: Comparison to RT-PCR. *Radiology*. 2020;**296**(2):E115– 7. doi: 10.1148/radiol.2020200432. [PubMed: 32073353]. [PubMed Central: PMC7233365].
- Guan WJ, Ni ZY, Hu Y, Liang WH, Ou CQ, He JX, et al. Clinical characteristics of Coronavirus disease 2019 in China. *N Engl J Med.* 2020;**382**(18):1708–20. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2002032. [PubMed: 32109013]. [PubMed Central: PMC7092819].
- D'Amico F, Baumgart DC, Danese S, Peyrin-Biroulet L. Diarrhea during COVID-19 infection: Pathogenesis, epidemiology, prevention, and management. *Clin Gastroenterol Hepatol.* 2020;**18**(8):1663–72. doi: 10.1016/j.cgh.2020.04.001. [PubMed: 32278065]. [PubMed Central: PMC7141637].
- Horby PW, Mafham M, Bell JL, Linsell L, Staplin N, Emberson J, et al. Lopinavir-ritonavir in patients admitted to hospital with COVID-19 (RECOVERY): A randomised, controlled, open-label, platform trial. *Lancet*. 2020;**396**(10259):1345–52. doi: 10.1016/s0140-6736(20)32013-4.
- Kang CK, Seong MW, Choi SJ, Kim TS, Choe PG, Song SH, et al. In vitro activity of lopinavir/ritonavir and hydroxychloroquine against severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 at concentrations achievable by usual doses. *Korean J Intern Med*. 2020;**35**(4):782-7. doi: 10.3904/kjim.2020.157. [PubMed: 32460458]. [PubMed Central: PMC7373950].
- Bhattacharyya A, Kumar S, Sarma P, Kaur H, Prajapat M, Shekhar N, et al. Safety and efficacy of lopinavir/ritonavir combination in COVID-19: A systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression analysis. *Indian J Pharmacol.* 2020;**52**(4):313–23. doi: 10.4103/ijp.IJP_627_20. [PubMed: 33078733]. [PubMed Central: PMC7722914].

- Juul S, Nielsen EE, Feinberg J, Siddiqui F, Jorgensen CK, Barot E, et al. Interventions for treatment of COVID-19: A living systematic review with meta-analyses and trial sequential analyses (The LIVING Project). *PLoS Med.* 2020;17(9). e1003293. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1003293. [PubMed: 32941437]. [PubMed Central: PMC7498193].
- Liu W, Zhou P, Chen K, Ye Z, Liu F, Li X, et al. Efficacy and safety of antiviral treatment for COVID-19 from evidence in studies of SARS-CoV-2 and other acute viral infections: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *CMAJ*. 2020;**192**(27):E734–44. doi: 10.1503/cmaj.200647. [PubMed: 32493740]. [PubMed Central: PMC7828899].
- Zhong H, Wang Y, Zhang ZL, Liu YX, Le KJ, Cui M, et al. Efficacy and safety of current therapeutic options for COVID-19 lessons to be learnt from SARS and MERS epidemic: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Pharmacol Res.* 2020;**157**:104872. doi: 10.1016/j.phrs.2020.104872. [PubMed: 32360583]. [PubMed Central: PMC7192121].
- 25. Uzunova K, Filipova E, Pavlova V, Vekov T. Insights into antivi-

ral mechanisms of remdesivir, lopinavir/ritonavir and chloroquine/hydroxychloroquine affecting the new SARS-CoV-2. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2020;**131**:110668. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2020.110668. [PubMed: 32861965]. [PubMed Central: PMC7444940].

- Aouidate A, Ghaleb A, Chtita S, Aarjane M, Ousaa A, Maghat H, et al. Identification of a novel dual-target scaffold for 3CLpro and RdRp proteins of SARS-CoV-2 using 3D-similarity search, molecular docking, molecular dynamics and ADMET evaluation. *J Biomol Struct Dyn*. 2020:1–14. doi: 10.1080/07391102.2020.1779130. [PubMed: 32552534]. [PubMed Central: PMC7309310].
- Hraiech S, Bourenne J, Kuteifan K, Helms J, Carvelli J, Gainnier M, et al. Lack of viral clearance by the combination of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin or lopinavir and ritonavir in SARS-CoV-2-related acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Ann Intensive Care*. 2020;10(1):63. doi: 10.1186/s13613-020-00678-4. [PubMed: 32449091]. [PubMed Central: PMC7245991].

< 40	Variables	Total; n = 500	Survivor; n = 445	Non-survivor; n = 55	P Value
Insule22 (4.4)20 (2.2)2 (4.4)Male26 (5.3)20 (5.2)3 (6.0)Age mot ()24 (4.4)32 (5.2)10 (6.3)40 (6.4)Age ()24 (4.5)30 (6.2)20 (6.2)10 (6.2)10 (6.2)(4.5)35 (6.2)20 (6.2)20 (6.2)10 (6.2)10 (6.2)10 (6.2)(3.6)28 (4.5)17 (2.8)17 (6.2)10 (6.2)10 (6.2)10 (6.2)10 (6.2)(100)36 (120)27 (130)36 (120)20 (120)10 (Demographic				
Male 266(51.4) 275(52.6) 31(60) Age group (y) <	Gender				0.321
Age group (y)	Female	232 (46.4)	210 (47.2)	22 (40)	
< 4024 (45)21 (52)1 (16)41 - 5015 (53)15 (53)9 (64)160 - 7526 0 (2)22 (15)16 (54)16 (54)> 7526 0 (2)27 (13)16 (54)07 33CMICAT MISCOP158 (27.6)17 (13.0)07 3307 33CMO168 (27.6)16 (16.2)27 (43.1)0.03 33CMO168 (27.6)17 (13.8)6 (10.9)0.03 33CARCE26 (52.2)277 (31)34 (61.0)0.03 33CARCE26 (52.2)277 (31.3)45 (63.2)0.06 93CARCE26 (54.2)17 (13.8)6 (10.9)0.03 33CARCE26 (54.2)17 (13.8)6 (10.9)0.03 33CARCE26 (54.2)17 (13.8)21 (4.8)0.06 93CARCE25 (59.6)27 (50.3)21 (4.8)0.06 93CARCE16 (26.4)10.0211 (18.9)0.07 1A 16 (26.4)12 (26.4)10.0211 (18.9)0.07 1FARCEN27 (54.3)34 (75.3)41 (75.2)0.07 1CARCEN17 (24.4)106 (23.3)11 (18.9)0.07 1Positive37 (55.1)23 (52.0)41 (52.1)0.07 1CARCEN16 (22.1)34 (15.2)34 (16.2)0.07 1CARCEN36 (65.2)24 (52.1)46 (62.2)0.07 1Positive37 (56.1)25 (55.1)47 (15.5)0.07 1CARCEN36 (65.2)34 (52.1)36 (65.2)36 (65.1)Positive37 (56.1) <td>Male</td> <td>268 (53.6)</td> <td>235 (52.8)</td> <td>33 (60)</td> <td></td>	Male	268 (53.6)	235 (52.8)	33 (60)	
41-59 15 (3) 15 (3) 15 (5,1) 9 (6.) 60-75 260 (52) 229 (31.5) 31 (56.4) 7 > 75 3 (0.2) 7 (8.3) 14 (25.5) 7 (10.9) 7.57 Diabers 228 (4.6) 127 (25.5) 7 (10.9) 7.573 7 (40.1) 7.573 CVD 138 (77.6) 161 (162.2) 27 (43.1) 0.753 7 (40.1) 7.573 CVD 138 (77.6) 161 (162.2) 27 (43.1) 0.753 7 (40.1) 0.753 CARCY 23 (45.6) 17 (13.9) 22 (53.2) 0.009 7 (40.1) 0.733 CARCY 23 (45.6) 17 (13.2) 24 (43.0) 0.733 0.009 Modisease 26 (41.2) 74 (19.1) 3 (5.5) 0.009 7 (43.1) 0.009 No disease 52 (10.4) 49 (11) 3 (5.5) 2 (5.0.2) 2 (5.0.2) 2 (5.0.2) 7 (4.1) 0.009 1-5 20 (59.6) 210 (27) 28 (5.0.2) 11 (5.1) 7 (5.1) 2 (5.0.2)	Age group (y)				< 0.000
60-75 260 (52) 229 (5.5) 31 (56.4) > 75 31 (0.2) 37 (3.3) 14 (25.5) Dabbers 228 (45.6) 127 (3.5) 77 (30.9) 0.733 CVD 188 (37.6) 164 (56.2) 27 (43.1) 0.675 ITN 264 (52.2) 227 (51) 34 (61.6) 0.673 CVD 188 (37.6) 17 (3.8) 64 (0.3) 0.673 Other disease 206 (12.2) 227 (51) 34 (61.6) 0.673 Other disease 206 (12.2) 120 (27) 34 (61.6) 0.673 Nodisease 52 (0.4) 49 (10) 31 (52.) 1.67 1-3 298 (59.6) 220 (27) 28 (50.9) 1.67 7 + 2 (0.4) 10.2) 11.8) 1.67 Postive 177 (54) 334 (51.) 43 (42.0) 1.67 Negative 17 (23.4) 16 (23.8) 14 (23.0) 1.67 Negative 17 (34.0) 36 (62.2.2) 41 (63.0) 1.67 Negative	< 40	24 (4.8)	23 (5.2)	1(1.8)	
>75 5(0.2) 37(8.3) 14(55) CInical history 28(45.6) 127(85.5) 17(9.0.9) 0.753 CVD 188(75.6) 164(62.2) 27(9.1) 34(6.6) 0.053 CND 28(45.6) 17(30.3) 26(0.0) 0.053 CND 28(45.6) 17(3.0) 34(6.0) 0.053 CADR 28(46.2) 27(9.1) 34(6.0) 0.053 CADR 28(40.2) 17(3.0) 26(0.0) 0.053 Other disease 52(0.4) 49(1) 3(5.5) 0.001 Nubf comorbidite 28(9.0) 28(9.0) 28(9.0) 0.01 1-3 298(93.6) 275(6.4) 28(9.0) 0.01 7+ 2(0.4) 10(0.2) 10(8) 0.01 Positive 37(75.4) 34(73.1) 41(72.0) 0.01 Quebon 61(9.2) 31(62.2) 41(8.2) 0.02 0.02 Positive 25(5) 23(5.2) 21(6.0) 0.02 0.02 0.02	41 - 59	165 (33)	156 (35.1)	9 (16.4)	
Chinal history Diabetes 228 (45.6) 127 (28.5) 17 (30.4) 0.753 CVD 188 (37.6) 161 (62.2) 27 (43.1) 0.076 HIN 26 (52.2) 227 (51) 34 (61.8) 0.033 Chorer 23 (4.6) 77 (18.9) 6 (0.0) 0.033 Other disease 20 (64.2) 77 (19.9) 23 (8.2) 0.000 No disease 52 (10.4) 49 (11) 3 (5.5) 0.000 No disease 52 (10.4) 49 (11) 3 (5.5) 0.000 1-3 288 (59.6) 22 (20.2) 28 (50.9) 0.010 7+ 20 (0.4) 10 (0.2) 28 (50.9) 0.010 7+ 20 (0.4) 10 (0.2) 28 (50.9) 0.010 7 PA 20 (0.4) 10 (0.2) 10 (0.2) 0.010 Postive 377 (75.4) 334 (75.1) 41 (78.2) 0.021 Postive 370 (75.4) 34 (75.1) 11 (8.1) 0.021 Postive 41 (20.2) 31 (52.0) 21 (54.0)	60 - 75	260 (52)	229 (51.5)	31 (56.4)	
Dabetes 228 (45.6) 127 (28.5) 17 (39.6) 0.575 CVD 188 (37.6) 164 (36.2) 27 (49.1) 0.60 (60.2) HTN 26 (52.2) 227 (51) 34 (64.8) 0.60 (60.3) CAD 23 (46.0) 77 (38.0) 6 (0.0.9) 0.60 (60.2) Other disease 20 (64.2) 74 (39.1) 23 (53.2) 0.00 (60.2) Number of comorbidities 20 (64.2) 74 (39.1) 32 (55.2) 0.00 (70.2) No disease 52 (0.4) 49 (11) 3 (55.) 1.0 (72.1) 24 (48.2) 1-3 298 (59.6) 27 (56.8) 23 (48.2) 24 (48.2) 10 (0.2) 24 (48.2) 1-4.6 348 (29.6) 10 (0.2) 24 (59.2)	> 75	51 (10.2)	37 (8.3)	14 (25.5)	
CVD 1843(3.6) 16(4.2) 27(43.1) 0.05 HTN 26(5.2) 227(5) 34(6.8) 0.033 Concer 23(46) 77(3.8) 6(0.9) 0.033 Other disease 206(4.2) 77(13.0) 6(0.9) 0.033 Other disease 206(4.2) 77(13.0) 6(0.9) 0.033 No disease 52(0.4) 49(11) 3(55) 0.001 No disease 52(0.4) 49(11) 3(55) 0.001 1-3 298(59.6) 275(6.8) 23(4.8) 0.001 4-6 148(29.6) 200(27) 28(50.9) 0.001 7+ 2(0.4) 1(0.2) 1(1.8) 0.015 Diagnosis 17(23.4) 106(23.8) 11(20.) 0.021 CI result 6(12) 51(1) 11(20.) 0.021 Otherwise 33(65) 29(65.3) 21(5.0) 0.017 Suppidous 29(5.8) 27(6.1) 2.1(5.0) 0.0160 Othinown 33(6.6	Clinical history				
HIN 261(5.2) 22 (3) 34 (6.8) 0.33 Cancer 23 (46) 77 (3) 6 (0.9) 0.033 Other disease 206 (4.2) 77 (3) 32 (5.2) 0.069 No disease 52 (0.4) 49 (11) 3 (5.5) 0.069 No disease 52 (0.4) 49 (11) 3 (5.5) 0.069 1-3 298 (59.6) 275 (61.8) 23 (41.8) 0.069 4 - 6 148 (29.6) 100 (27) 28 (50.9) 0.069 7 + 2 (0.4) 1 (0.2) 1 (1.8) 0.75 (41.8) 0.75 (41.8) PCR result 377 (75.4) 33 4 (75.1) 43 (5.2) 0.75 (41.8) 0.75 (41.8) PCR result 6 (12) 5 (1.0) 11 (10) 0.84 (41.8) 0.65 (42.2) 11 (10) 0.84 (41.8) Othnown 6 (12) 5 (1.0) 11 (10) 0.84 (41.8) 0.85 (41.9) 0.84 (41.9) Othnown 33 (6.5) 29 (6.5) 27 (6.1) 2.16 (41.9) 0.84 (41.9) 0.84 (41.9) 0.84 (41.9)	Diabetes	228 (45.6)	127 (28.5)	17 (30.9)	0.753
Cancer 33 (4.6) 17.0.0 6.0.0.0 Other disease 23 (4.6) 17.4 (3.1) 32 (5.2) 0.009 Number of comorbidities	CVD	188 (37.6)	161 (36.2)	27 (49.1)	0.076
Other disease 266 (4.2) 74 (9.4) 34 (9.2) 0.00 Number of comorbidities 0.00 No disease 52 (0.4) 49 (11) 3 (5.5) 0.00 1-3 298 (59.6) 275 (6.8) 22 (4.8) 0.00 4-6 148 (29.6) 120 (27) 28 (50.9) 0.00 7+ 2 (0.4) 1 (0.2) 1 (1.8) 0.00 Dignois 7 33 (75.1) 43 (78.2) 0.00 Negative 17 (23.4) 106 (23.8) 11 (20) 0.00 Oth Result 25 (5) 23 (5.2) 2 (3.6) 0.00 CI result 46 (92.2) 51 (1.1) 11 (80 0.00 Negative 25 (5.5) 23 (5.2) 2 (3.6) 0.00 Unknown 33 (6.6) 29 (65.5) 4 (7.3) 0.01 Effective PCR result 46 (92.2) 418 (92.8) 0.01 0.05 Iffective CT result 46 (92.2) 418 (92.8) 0.01 0.05 Effective CT result 46 (92.2) <td>HTN</td> <td>261 (52.2)</td> <td>227 (51)</td> <td>34 (61.8)</td> <td>0.153</td>	HTN	261 (52.2)	227 (51)	34 (61.8)	0.153
Number of comorbidities 0.001 No disease 52 (10.4) 49 (11) 3 (5.5) 1-3 298 (59.6) 275 (61.8) 23 (41.8) 4-6 148 (29.6) 120 (27) 28 (50.9) 7+ 2 (0.4) 1 (0.2) 1 (1.8) Diagnosis PCR result 334 (75.1) 43 (78.2) Positive 377 (75.4) 334 (75.1) 43 (78.2) Positive 377 (75.4) 334 (75.1) 43 (78.2) Positive 17 (23.4) 106 (23.8) 11 (20) Ot unknown 61 (12) 5 (11) 1 (1.8) Positive 413 (82.6) 366 (82.2) 47 (85.5) Positive 413 (82.6) 366 (82.2) 47 (85.5) Positive 29 (5.8) 27 (6.1) 2 (3.6) 177 Megative 25 (5) 23 (5.2) 2 (3.6) 10.77 Effective PCR result 46 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective Cresult 46 (92.2) 411 (92.4)	Cancer	23 (4.6)	17 (3.8)	6 (10.9)	0.031
Nodiseae52(0.4)49(1)3(5.5)1-3298(59.6)275(64.8)23(44.8)4-6148(29.6)120 (27)28(50.9)7+20.4)10.0.2)1(4.8)DBarosiPKResult377(75.4)334 (75.1)43(78.2)Positive377(75.4)334 (75.1)43(78.2)Negative177(33.4)106 (23.8)11 (20)Unknown6(1.2)5(1.1)11.8)Positive25(5)23 (5.2)23(6.1)Negative25(5)23 (5.2)23(3.6)Suspicious29 (5.8)27 (6.1)23 (3.6)Unknown33 (6.6)29 (6.5)44 (73)Pfefterbe PCR result46 (92.2)413 (52.8)48 (87.3)Affective PCR result46 (92.2)413 (52.8)48 (87.3)Affective CT result67 (33.4)147 (3)20 (36.4)Affective PCR result46 (92.2)41 (92.4)50 (90.9)Affective CT result47 (75.5)47 (73)20 (36.4)Affective CT result47 (95.4)47 (95)36 (65.2)Affective CT result47 (95.4)47 (96.1)20 (36.4)Affective CT result46 (92.2)41 (92.4)30 (90.9)Affective CT result47 (95.4)42 (96.1)36 (96.9)Affective CT result47 (95.4)42 (96.1)36 (96.9)Affective CT result42 (36.1)42 (96.1)36 (96.9)Affective CT result47 (95.4)42 (96.1)36 (96.8) <td< td=""><td>Other disease</td><td>206 (41.2)</td><td>174 (39.1)</td><td>32 (58.2)</td><td>0.009</td></td<>	Other disease	206 (41.2)	174 (39.1)	32 (58.2)	0.009
1-3 298 (59.6) 275 (61.8) 23 (41.8) 4-6 148 (29.6) 120 (27) 28 (50.9) 7+ 2 (0.4) 1 (0.2) 1 (1.8) Diagnosis PCR result 77 (75.4) 334 (75.1) 43 (78.2) Positive 377 (75.4) 334 (75.1) 41 (78.2) Negative 117 (23.4) 106 (23.8) 11 (20) Unknown 6 (12.2) 5 (1.1) 1 (1.8) CT result 413 (82.6) 366 (82.2) 47 (85.5) Negative 13 (86.6) 29 (65.3) 4 (7.3) 20 (3.6) Negative 25 (5) 23 (5.2) 2 (3.6) 10.77 Suspicious 29 (5.8) 27 (6.1) 2 (3.6) 10.77 Iffective PCR result 46 (92.2) 41 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Iffective PCR result 46 (92.2) 41 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Iffective PCR result 46 (92.2) 41 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Iffective PCR result 47 (95.4)	Number of comorbidities				0.001
4 - 6 148(29.6) 120 (27) 28(50.9) 7 + 2 (0.4) 1 (0.2) 1 (1.8) Diagnosis	No disease	52 (10.4)	49 (11)	3 (5.5)	
7+ 2 (0.4) 1 (0.2) 1 (1.8) Diagnosis	1-3	298 (59.6)	275 (61.8)	23 (41.8)	
Diagnosis Normal Strengther Strengthe	4 - 6	148 (29.6)	120 (27)	28 (50.9)	
PCR result 0.754 Positive 377 (75.4) 334 (75.1) 43 (78.2) Negative 117 (23.4) 106 (23.8) 11 (20) Unknown 6 (1.2) 5 (1.1) 11 (1.8) CT result 413 (82.6) 366 (82.2) 47 (85.5) Negative 25 (5) 23 (5.2) 2 (3.6) Suspicious 29 (5.8) 27 (6.1) 2 (3.6) Unknown 33 (6.6) 29 (5.5) 4 (7.3) Effective PCR result 461 (92.2) 413 (92.8) 48 (87.3) 0.177 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective Inical diagnosis 167 (33.4) 147 (33) 20 (36.4) 0.616 Effective Inical diagnosis 167 (33.4) 147 (33) 20 (36.4) 0.616 Symptoms Effective Laboratory results 425 (49.1) 220 (49.4) 25 (45.5) 0.668 Cough 23 (50.4) 23 (51.9) 23 (36.9) 0.668	7+	2 (0.4)	1(0.2)	1 (1.8)	
Positive 377 (75.4) 334 (75.1) 43 (78.2) Negative 117 (23.4) 106 (23.8) 11 (20) Unknown 6 (1.2) 5 (1.1) 1 (18) CT result 5 (5.1) 1 (18) 0.842 Positive 413 (82.6) 366 (82.2) 47 (85.5) Negative 25 (5) 23 (5.2) 2 (3.6) Suspicious 29 (5.8) 27 (6.1) 2 (3.6) Unknown 33 (6.6) 29 (6.5) 4 (7.3) Effective PCR result 461 (92.2) 413 (92.8) 48 (87.3) 0.177 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 413 (92.8) 48 (87.3) 0.603 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 413 (92.8) 48 (87.3) 0.603 Effective Inical diagnosis 167 (33.4) 147 (33) 20 (36.4) 0.603 Effective Inical diagnosis 167 (33.4) 427 (96) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Symptoms Effective Laboratory results 471 (95.4) 420 (96.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Gugh	Diagnosis				
Negative 117 (23.4) 106 (23.8) 11 (20) Unknown 6 (1.2) 5 (1.1) 1 (1.8) CT result 0.842 Positive 413 (82.6) 366 (82.2) 47 (85.5) Negative 25 (5) 23 (5.2) 2 (3.6) Suspicious 29 (5.8) 27 (6.1) 2 (3.6) Unknown 33 (6.6) 29 (6.5) 4 (7.3) Effective PCR result 461 (92.2) 413 (92.8) 48 (87.3) 0.177 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective CI result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective Inicial diagnosis 167 (33.4) 147 (33) 20 (36.4) 0.651 Effective Liaboratory results 477 (95.4) 427 (96) 50 (90.9) 0.606 Symptoms 252 (50.4) 220 (49.4) <td>PCR result</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0.754</td>	PCR result				0.754
Unknown 6 (12) 5 (11) 1 (18) CT result	Positive	377 (75.4)	334 (75.1)	43 (78.2)	
CT result 0.842 Positive 413 (82.6) 366 (82.2) 47 (85.5) Negative 25 (5) 23 (5.2) 2 (3.6) Suspicious 29 (5.8) 27 (6.1) 2 (3.6) Unknown 33 (6.6) 29 (6.5) 4 (7.3) Effective PCR result 461 (92.2) 413 (92.8) 48 (87.3) 0.177 Effective PCR result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective cT result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective clinical diagnosis 167 (33.4) 147 (33) 20 (36.4) 0.651 Symptoms 50 (90.9) 0.160 50 (90.9) 0.160 Cough 225 (50.4) 220 (49.4) 25 (45.5) 0.668	Negative	117 (23.4)	106 (23.8)	11 (20)	
Positive 413 (82.6) 366 (82.2) 47 (85.5) Negative 25 (5) 23 (5.2) 2 (3.6) Suspicious 29 (5.8) 27 (6.1) 2 (3.6) Unknown 33 (6.6) 29 (6.5) 4 (7.3) Effective PCR result 461 (92.2) 413 (92.8) 48 (87.3) 0.177 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective Inical diagnosis 167 (33.4) 147 (33) 20 (36.4) 0.616 Symptoms Fever 245 (49) 220 (49.4) 25 (45.5) 0.668 Cough 252 (50.4) 231 (51.9) 21 (38.2) 0.053	Unknown	6 (1.2)	5 (1.1)	1(1.8)	
Negative 25 (5) 23 (5.2) 2 (3.6) Suspicious 29 (5.8) 27 (6.1) 2 (3.6) Unknown 33 (6.6) 29 (6.5) 4 (7.3) Effective PCR result 461 (92.2) 413 (92.8) 48 (87.3) 0.177 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 413 (92.8) 48 (87.3) 0.603 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective clinical diagnosis 167 (33.4) 147 (33) 20 (36.4) 0.651 Effective laboratory results 477 (95.4) 427 (96) 50 (90.9) 0.160 Symptoms Effective laboratory results 245 (49) 220 (49.4) 25 (45.5) 0.668 Cough 25 (50.4) 231 (51.9) 21 (38.2) 0.063	CT result				0.842
Suspicious 29 (5.8) 27 (6.1) 2 (3.6) Unknown 33 (6.6) 29 (6.5) 4 (7.3) Effective PCR result 461 (92.2) 413 (92.8) 48 (87.3) 0.177 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective C1 result 167 (33.4) 147 (33) 20 (36.4) 0.651 Effective laboratory results 477 (95.4) 427 (96) 50 (90.9) 0.160 Symptoms Fever 245 (49) 220 (49.4) 25 (45.5) 0.668 Cough 252 (50.4) 23 (51.9) 21 (38.2) 0.063	Positive	413 (82.6)	366 (82.2)	47 (85.5)	
Unknown 33 (6.6) 29 (6.5) 4 (7.3) Effective PCR result 461 (92.2) 413 (92.8) 48 (87.3) 0.177 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective CI result 167 (33.4) 147 (33) 20 (36.4) 0.651 Effective laboratory results 477 (95.4) 427 (96) 50 (90.9) 0.160 Symptoms Fever 245 (49) 220 (49.4) 25 (45.5) 0.668 Cough 252 (50.4) 231 (51.9) 21 (38.2) 0.063	Negative	25(5)	23 (5.2)	2 (3.6)	
Effective PCR result 461 (92.2) 413 (92.8) 48 (87.3) 0.177 Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective C1 result 167 (33.4) 147 (33) 20 (36.4) 0.603 Effective laboratory results 477 (95.4) 427 (96) 50 (90.9) 0.160 Symptoms Fever 245 (49) 220 (49.4) 25 (45.5) 0.668 Cough 252 (50.4) 231 (51.9) 21 (38.2) 0.063	Suspicious	29 (5.8)	27 (6.1)	2 (3.6)	
Effective CT result 461 (92.2) 411 (92.4) 50 (90.9) 0.603 Effective clinical diagnosis 167 (33.4) 147 (33) 20 (36.4) 0.651 Effective laboratory results 477 (95.4) 427 (96) 50 (90.9) 0.160 Symptoms Effective laboratory results 245 (49) 220 (49.4) 25 (45.5) 0.668 Cough 252 (50.4) 231 (51.9) 21 (38.2) 0.063	Unknown	33 (6.6)	29 (6.5)	4 (7.3)	
Effective clinical diagnosis 167 (33.4) 147 (33) 20 (36.4) 0.651 Effective laboratory results 477 (95.4) 427 (96) 50 (90.9) 0.160 Symptoms 2 2 2 2 2 2 6 6 Cough 245 (49) 220 (49.4) 25 (45.5) 0.668 0.668	Effective PCR result	461 (92.2)	413 (92.8)	48 (87.3)	0.177
Effective laboratory results 477 (95.4) 427 (96) 50 (90.9) 0.160 Symptoms 245 (49) 220 (49.4) 25 (45.5) 0.668 Cough 252 (50.4) 231 (51.9) 21 (38.2) 0.063	Effective CT result	461 (92.2)	411 (92.4)	50 (90.9)	0.603
Symptoms 220 (49.4) 25 (45.5) 0.668 Cough 252 (50.4) 231 (51.9) 21 (38.2) 0.063	Effective clinical diagnosis	167 (33.4)	147 (33)	20 (36.4)	0.651
Fever 245 (49) 220 (49.4) 25 (45.5) 0.668 Cough 252 (50.4) 231 (51.9) 21 (38.2) 0.063	Effective laboratory results	477 (95.4)	427 (96)	50 (90.9)	0.160
Cough 252 (50.4) 231 (51.9) 21 (38.2) 0.063	Symptoms				
	Fever	245 (49)	220 (49.4)	25 (45.5)	0.668
Dyspnea 280 (56) 247 (55.5) 33 (60) 0.567	Cough	252 (50.4)	231 (51.9)	21 (38.2)	0.063
	Dyspnea	280 (56)	247 (55.5)	33 (60)	0.567

Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics, Radiographic, and Laboratory Results of Patients with COVID-19^a

Jundishapur J Health Sci. 2021; 13(1):e114231.

Dehghan B et al.

Myoliagia	79 (15.8)	73 (16.4)	6 (10.9)	0.334
Anorexia	57 (11.4)	53 (11.9)	4 (7.3)	0.375
Diarrhea	30 (6)	26 (5.8)	4 (7.3)	0.559
Headache	29 (5.8)	26 (5.8)	3 (5.5)	> 0.99
Sore Throat	12 (2.4)	11 (2.5)	1(1.8)	> 0.99
Olfactory dysfunction	12 (2.4)	10 (2.2)	2 (3.6)	0.631
Nausea	46 (9.2)	43 (9.7)	3 (5.5)	0.457
Fatigue	148 (29.6)	130 (29.2)	18 (32.7)	0.639
Other symptoms	11 (22.2)	89 (20)	22 (40)	0.002
Laboratory results				
Normal LDH	118 (23.6)	115 (48.9)	3 (13)	0.001
Lymph	24.42 ± 12.94	25.07 ± 12.74	18.90 ± 13.42	< 0.001 ^b
PMN	57.46 ± 12.76	57.62 ± 12.28	56.10 ± 16.24	0.523 ^b
Hb	12.08 ± 1.94	12.15 ± 1.93	11.51 ± 1.97	0.022 ^b
WBC	7744.54 ± 4438.05	7528.69 ± 4287.91	9490.91 ± 5229.06	0.012 ^b
Platelet	216.03 ± 81.33	214.90 ± 78.45	255.18 ± 102.11	0.794 ^b
Treatments				
Kelatra	390 (78.8)	346 (78.6)	44 (80)	> 0.99
Azithro	387 (78.02)	357 (81.1)	30 (54.5)	< 0.0001
Hydroxychloroquine	142 (28.6)	131 (29.7)	11(20)	0.155
Remdesivir	7 (1.41)	6 (1.3)	1(1.8)	0.564
Interferon	3 (0.6)	2 (0.5)	1(1.8)	0.298
Corton	22 (4.4)	8 (3.2)	8 (14.5)	0.001
IVIG	2(0.4)	1(0.2)	1(1.8)	0.210

 $^{\rm a}$ Values are expressed as No. (%) or mean \pm SD unless otherwise indicated. $^{\rm b}$ P values based on Mann-Whitney test.

Variables	< 40; n = 24	41 - 59; n = 165	60 - 75; n = 260	75 +; n = 51	P Value
Demographic					
Gender					0.864
Female	12 (50)	87 (52.7)	139 (53.5)	30 (58.8)	
Male	12 (50)	78 (47.3)	121 (46.5)	21(41.2)	
Final status					< 0.001
Survived	23 (95.8)	156 (94.5)	229 (88.1)	37 (72.5)	
Dead	1(4.2)	9 (5.5)	31 (11.9)	14 (27.5)	
Clinical history					
Diabetes	1(4.2)	67 (40.6)	136 (52.3)	24 (47.1)	< 0.000
CVD	2 (8.3)	40 (24.2)	113 (43.5)	33 (64.7)	< 0.000
HTN	1(4.2)	68 (41.2)	158 (60.8)	34 (66.7)	< 0.000
Cancer	0(0)	6 (3.6)	15 (5.8)	2 (3.9)	0.499
Other disease	6 (25)	54 (32.7)	117 (45)	29 (56.9)	0.003
Number of comorbidites					< 0.000
No disease	11 (45.8)	27 (16.4)	13 (5)	1(2)	
1-3	7(29.2)	108 (65.5)	157 (60.4)	26 (51)	
4 - 6	6 (25)	29 (17.6)	90 (34.6)	23 (45.1)	
7+	0(0)	1(0.6)	0(0)	1(2)	
Diagnosis					
PCR result					0.717
Positive	19 (79.2)	126 (77.8)	198 (76.7)	34 (68)	
Negative	5 (20.8)	36 (22.2)	60 (23.3)	16 (32)	
Unknown	0(0)	3 (1.8)	2(0.8)	1(2)	
CT result					0.335
Positive	22 (100)	142 (91.6)	210 (87.1)	39 (79.6)	
Negative	0(0)	7(4.5)	13 (5.4)	5 (10.2)	
Suspicious	0(0)	6 (3.9)	18 (7.5)	5 (10.2)	
Unknown	2 (8.3)	10 (6.1)	19 (7.3)	2 (3.9)	
Effective PCR result	23 (95.8)	156 (94.5)	236 (90.8)	46 (90.2)	0.436
Effective CT result	22 (91.7)	155 (93.9)	236 (90.8)	48 (94.1)	0.636
Effective clinical diagnosis	10 (41.7)	62 (37.6)	77 (29.6)	18 (35.3)	0.285
Effective laboratory results	23 (95.8)	159 (96.4)	248 (95.4)	47 (92.2)	0.664
Symptoms					
Fever	16 (66.7)	92 (55.8)	115 (44.2)	22 (43.1)	0.028
Cough	11 (45.8)	97 (58.8)	120 (46.2)	24 (47.1)	0.074
Dyspnea	14 (58.3)	91 (55.2)	150 (57.7)	25 (49)	0.703
Myoliagia	5(20.8)	36 (21.8)	31 (11.9)	7 (13.7)	0.045
Anorexia	4 (16.7)	17 (10.3)	29 (11.2)	7 (13.7)	0.766

Table 2. Demographic and Clinical Characteristics, Radiographic, and Laboratory Results of Patients with COVID-19 According to Different Age Groups

		Dehghan B et al.			
Diarrhea	3 (12.5)	8 (4.8)	18 (6.9)	1(2)	0.256
Headache	5 (20.8)	6 (3.6)	17 (6.5)	1(2)	0.005
Sore Throat	0(0)	3 (1.8)	8 (3.1)	1(2)	0.710
Olfactory dysfunction	1(4.2)	4 (2.4)	5 (1.9)	2 (3.9)	0.783
Nausea	2 (8.3)	18 (10.9)	24 (9.2)	2 (3.9)	0.513
Fatigue	5 (20.8)	53 (32.1)	75 (28.8)	15 (29.4)	0.691
Other symptoms	6 (25)	29 (17.6)	65 (25)	11 (21.6)	0.342
Laboratory results					
Normal LDH	6 (25)	42 (25.5)	58 (22.3)	12 (23.5)	0.923
Lymph	29.85 ± 15.01	25.81 ± 11.27	24.03 ± 13.89	20.64 ± 11.40	0.016 ^b
PMN	54.58 ± 11.41	58.27 ± 12.15	57.25 ± 12.89	55.84 ± 14.53	0.457 ^b
Hb	13.01 ± 1.78	12.38 ± 1.89	11.87 ± 1.97	11.71 ± 1.80	0.016 ^b
WBC	7170.83 ± 2770.72	6837.03 ± 2926.97	8015.22 ± 4729.88	9570.59 ± 6511.01	0.006 ^b
Platelet	201.70 ± 72.49	222.41 ± 79.74	213.18 ± 83.94	$216.66 \pm \textbf{77.24}$	0.347 ^b

 a Values are expressed as No. (%) or mean \pm SD unless otherwise indicated. b P values based on Kruskal-Wallis test.