



Depression Severity and Sexual Satisfaction in Fertile and Infertile Women in Iran: A Population-Based Case-Control Study

Katayoun Bakhtiar ¹, Arash Ardalan², Farzad Ebrahimzadeh³, Mohammad Almasian⁴ and Fatemeh Bastami ^{1,*}

¹Department of Public Health, School of Health and Nutrition, Research Center for Social Determinants of Health, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran

²Cancer Information Services, Saint Joseph Medical Center, 501 S Buena Vista St, Burbank, CA

³Nutritional Health Research Center, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran

⁴Department of the English Language, School of Medicine, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran

*Corresponding author: Department of Public Health, School of Health and Nutrition, Research Center for Social Determinants of Health, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran. Email: fatemeh2011bastami@gmail.com

Received 2021 November 07; Revised 2021 December 04; Accepted 2021 December 04.

Abstract

Background: Depression and sexual dissatisfaction are among the most common psychological factors caused by infertility. Infertility is an essential topic in the Iranian culture, and many studies have already investigated it.

Objectives: This study aimed to compare the depression severity and sexual dissatisfaction between fertile and infertile women in Iran.

Methods: This case-control study enrolled 180 infertile women and 540 fertile women in 2019. The participants were selected through multistage stratified and cluster sampling methods. For each infertile woman, three fertile women were randomly selected. The data collection instruments consisted of a demographic form, the Depression Inventory Scale (Second Edition), and the Linda Berg Sexual Satisfaction Questionnaire. The multivariate marginal model and SPSS version 21 were used for data analysis at a significance level of 0.05.

Results: After adjustment for confounding variables, the marginal model showed that the odds of depression increased by approximately 21.305 times among cases compared to controls (OR = 21.305, 95% CI = 14.75 - 32.021, $P < 0.001$). This model also found that by moderating the effects of confounding variables, infertility increased the odds of low sexual satisfaction by approximately 15.560 times (OR = 15.560, 95% CI = 5.089 - 47.571, $P < 0.001$). The chi-square test showed a significant relationship between infertility treatment and depression severity in infertile women ($P = 0.001$).

Conclusions: The overall depression severity and sexual dissatisfaction were higher in the infertile group than in the fertile one. Most cases of severe depression were observed in IVF clinics with higher depression levels. The study may help reveal infertility's psychological and social aspects in Iran.

Keywords: Depression, Sexual Satisfaction, Infertility, Case-Control Study, Iran

1. Background

Infertility is defined as the inability to conceive after a year of regular, unprotected intercourse. Infertility is categorized into primary and secondary infertility. There is no history of a complete pregnancy in primary infertility, while in secondary infertility, there has been at least one pregnancy resulting in the delivery of a newborn (1). Infertility is estimated to affect 8 - 12% of couples in the reproductive age worldwide (2). According to the World Health Organization (WHO), 10 - 15% of women are infertile all over the world (3). A study reported that 17.3% of Iranian women currently have infertility. Male partners are the primary cause in 29.1% of the cases, while ovarian problems account

for 39.7% (4).

Infertility can be a frustrating, emotional experience. It may cause several psychological issues such as tension, anxiety, depression, low self-esteem, and sexual dissatisfaction (5). The resulting psychosocial issues adversely affect females more than males (6, 7), especially in societies where childbearing is considered a fundamental task for women (4, 8, 9). Therefore, an infertile woman may present with high irritability, which affects her relationship with others, including her spouse. Likewise, infertile women are more likely to develop mental illnesses, marital dissatisfaction, and impaired quality of life than fertile individuals (10). While 20 - 30% of infertility cases are attributed to men, in reality, more than 50% of the cases can be ex-

plained by men's health issues (2).

Although infertility is considered a catastrophic phenomenon for couples worldwide, studies show that the adverse psychological effects of infertility are more significant among women than men (2). This is because motherhood has traditionally been accepted as an essential role for women in the Iranian culture. An infertile woman often believes that she cannot imagine a childless life while her spouse may express a different feeling (11, 12). In general, infertile women are more likely than men to seek treatment because of which either the woman or the spouse goes through emotional and financial hardships (13). Studies have reported many complications such as fatigue, depression, stress, and lack of self-esteem as the psychological consequences of infertility among infertile women (12, 14, 15).

Sexual satisfaction is also unfavorably affected by infertility due to decreased self-esteem, depression, and anxiety (15). Sexual dissatisfaction may contribute to the failure of the couple to conceive and may create a defective cycle in which sexual dissatisfaction leads to infertility and vice versa. A depressed woman may feel hopeless, helpless, worthless, or guilty. She may even lose her desire to engage in sexual activity (16, 17). According to a study, 50 - 60% of couples reported significantly reduced sexual satisfaction during the course of infertility treatment (3). Decreased sexual satisfaction has other underlying causes such as a history of trauma, rape, mental illnesses, and divorce (18-20).

Both mental health and sexual satisfaction are psychological factors that may be adversely affected by infertility and the treatment process. Lack of attention to emotional disorders of an infertile couple and infertility consequences such as unfavorable interpersonal relationships, marital dissatisfaction, and decreased sexual desire has created a defective cycle that reduces the success of treatment (21, 22). Due to fertility's social and cultural values in Iran, the study of infertility is imperative (4, 9, 12). Previous studies in Iran were not conducted based on a case-control study design (23, 24).

2. Objectives

The purpose of this study was to compare the severity of depression and sexual dissatisfaction among fertile and infertile women in Iran.

3. Methods

3.1. Study Design and Setting

This case-control study was performed in gynecological hospitals and gynecologists' offices. Data were collected from April to December 2019.

3.2. Sampling

In this study, the participants were selected from the residents of Lorestan province, Iran, using a combination of multistage and cluster sampling methods. Five politically, socio-economically, and geographically scattered cities in the province were studied. A total of nine clusters were identified in each city. Each cluster represented one of the counties of the province. Five out of the nine clusters were randomly selected based on probability sampling, so the greater was the city population, the more was the selection likelihood. There were two classifications for infertile women in each city. The first category consisted of women referred to gynecological hospitals or infertility clinics selected by non-probability sequential sampling. This means that information on infertile women was collected sequentially until the number of cases and the required information were completed. The second category consisted of women referred to gynecologists' offices. In each geographic area, two to four offices were selected using systematic random sampling. In each gynecologist's office, non-probability sequential sampling was used to select the participants.

The process of matching was done individually. For each case, three controls were matched, making the case and control groups as similar as possible, except for the main study variable. In addition, the effects of confounding variables were controlled using an accurate statistical model in contrast to previous studies.

Infertility was defined as the inability to conceive after a year of regular, unprotected intercourse. The inclusion criteria for the control group included not suffering from infertility, not being pregnant during the study, and at least a four-month interval between the last delivery and the start time of the study. Having Persian literacy skills was also one of the inclusion criteria for both case and control groups. The exclusion criteria consisted of having a history of drug abuse, mental or physical disorders, death of loved ones over the previous two years, and unwillingness to participate in the study.

Taking into account $\alpha = 0.05$, $P = 0.11$, and $d = 0.05$, and according to the following formula, the sample size was equal to 150 people for the case group. By a 20% drop-out correction, this amount equaled 180 people. Each participant of the case group was matched with three participants in the control group. A total of 540 women were selected for the control group, resulting in a sample size of 720 people.

$$n = \frac{z_{\frac{\alpha}{2}}^2 pq}{d^2} = 150$$

3.3. Questionnaire

The questionnaire consisted of three parts.

3.3.1. Demographics

The first part of the questionnaire included items related to the demographic and background information of the participants, such as age, employment status, education of the couple, marriage duration, homeownership, consanguineous marriage, history of illnesses, household income, exposure to toxins, infertility type, and infertility treatment costs.

3.3.2. Beck Depression Inventory

The second part of the questionnaire mainly included the Beck Depression Inventory scale. The depression inventory, second edition, consists of 21 standardized questions. This questionnaire is not a diagnostic instrument but a screening tool to measure the depression severity from mild to severe. Each item has four choices where a score of 0 to 3 indicated a normal to the severe state of the disorder, respectively. A total score of 0 - 9 is considered normal, whereas 10 - 16 indicates mild depression. Likewise, a score of 17 - 29 points to a medium level of depression, and a score of 30-63 suggests the presence of severe depression. Therefore, the scores range from 0 to 63. This questionnaire has a reliability of 0.71 (25). The psychometric assessment of this questionnaire in Iran indicated that the split-half reliability is 0.89, while the test-retest reliability with a one-week interval is 0.94 (26). Internal consistency (Cronbach's alpha) was used to assess the reliability of this questionnaire. The Cronbach's alpha calculated for a sample of 30 participants was 0.91.

3.3.3. Sexual Satisfaction

The questionnaire was developed by Linda Berg in 1997. The validity and reliability of this instrument have been established in previous Iranian studies (23, 24). Sexual satisfaction in this study is shown by the participant's score on the sexual satisfaction scale. It consists of 25 questions rated on a five-point Likert scale by selecting 'always,' 'often,' 'sometimes,' 'rarely,' and 'never' options. The answers 'never' to 'always' are scored from 1 to 5, respectively. The total score ranges from 17 to 85. Scores from 11 to 17 show poor sexual satisfaction, 18 to 52 indicate modest sexual satisfaction, and 53 to 85 display good sexual satisfaction.

3.4. Statistical Analysis

Frequency, mean, and standard deviations were used to describe the variables. Since the individual-to-individual matching method was used and the data were of a quadratic matching type, for both univariate and multivariate data modeling, the marginal model, specifically the generalized estimation equation (GEE) method, was employed for parameter estimation. Basically, GEE was utilized to estimate the parameters of a generalized

linear model with an uncertain correlation between the outcomes (27).

First, the marginal GEE model compared the demographic and contextual variables among fertile and infertile women. This GEE method used the logit link function with the exchangeable covariance matrix structure. The "infertility status" was considered the dependent variable in each GEE, and a single demographic predictor variable was identified as the independent variable. Another marginal GEE model was used to determine the relationship of depression severity and sexual satisfaction with demographic variables. In these GEEs, a cumulative logit regression function was employed. In each GEE, the depression severity and sexual satisfaction were the dependent variables, while the demographics were considered the predicting variables.

The effect of confounding variables was controlled in the study. Since this study aimed to investigate the effect of infertility on depression severity and sexual satisfaction of women, variables with p-values of less than 0.25 were selected in the univariate approach and were included in the multivariate modeling (28-30). Demographic and contextual variables that were significantly or nearly related to both infertility and depression severity/sexual satisfaction were considered the confounding variables.

For multivariate modeling, the cumulative logit link function with exchangeable structure was used for the correlation matrix in the GEE model. Depression severity/sexual satisfaction and infertility status were the dependent and independent variables, respectively. Confounding variables such as homeownership, history of underlying illnesses, and consanguineous marriage were selected for the multivariate model. Finally, the chi-square test and Fisher's exact test were used to investigate the relationship of infertility type, infertility treatment type, and costs with depression severity and sexual dissatisfaction. Data analysis was done by SPSS version 21 software at a significance level of 0.05.

3.5. Ethical Consideration

The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Lorestan University of Medical Sciences with a code of lums.REC.1395.81. The participants were informed of the study objectives. Each participant completed a consent form before enrollment in the study. To let participants be comfortable, a female administrator interviewed them, and they were promised that the collected information would be kept confidential.

4. Results

In the present study, 180 infertile women and 540 fertile women were selected from different cities of Lorestan,

Iran. The mean age of cases and controls was 33.19 ± 5.9 and 33.11 ± 4.9 years, respectively (Table 1). Primary infertility was recognized as the most common cause of the inability to reproduce (91.1%). The most frequent treatment methods were IVF (45.6%) and drug therapy (43.8%). Among the cases and controls, 70.6% and 69.4% were housewives, respectively (Table 1).

The marginal model with the logit link function showed a significant difference between the two groups of fertile and infertile women in the distribution of underlying diseases' history ($P = 0.003$). There was also a significant difference between the two groups regarding the distribution of homeownership and consanguineous marriage ($P = 0.07$ and $P = 0.155$, respectively). Based on the aforementioned marginal model, there was no statistically significant difference between the two groups in terms of other underlying and demographic variables ($P > 0.05$) (Table 1).

Table 2 shows the relationship of depression severity and sexual satisfaction with demographic and underlying variables in women. Confounding variables that correlated with fertility status, depression severity, and sexual satisfaction can be observed in Tables 1 and 2. The marginal model showed that after adjustment for the effects of confounding variables (including marital duration, history of underlying diseases, and consanguineous marriage), infertility increased the odds of relatively high levels of depression by approximately 2.305 times ($OR = 2.305$, 95% $CI = 14.75 - 32.021$, $P < 0.001$). The marginal model showed that after adjustment for the effects of confounding variables (including marital duration, underlying disease history, and consanguineous marriage), infertility increased the chance of a relatively low level of marital satisfaction by 15.560 times ($OR = 15.560$, 95% $CI = 5.089 - 47.571$, $P < 0.001$).

The chi-square test (Monte Carlo simulation) showed no significant relationship between depression severity and infertility type in infertile women ($P = 0.856$) (Table 3). Besides, Fisher's exact test showed no significant relationship between infertility type and sexual satisfaction ($P = 0.701$) (Table 4). The chi-square test results (Monte Carlo simulation) showed a significant relationship between infertility treatment and depression severity among infertile women ($P = 0.001$). Most cases of severe depression belonged to the IVF treatment group, while the fewest cases appeared in the IUI/ICSI group. The chi-square test (Monte Carlo simulation) showed no significant relationship between infertility treatment and sexual satisfaction level in infertile women ($P = 0.242$). However, most women who were mildly to moderately satisfied with their sexual relationship were seen in the surgical treatment group, followed by the IVF group (22.2% and 14.6%, respectively) (Table 5).

The chi-square test (Monte Carlo simulation) showed

no significant relationship between infertility treatment costs and depression severity ($P = 0.098$). As such, the prevalence of severe depression was higher among women who spent more than 50 million Iranian Rials (about 1,187 US\$) for infertility treatment than in those who paid less (65.5 vs. 45.7%). Fisher's exact test showed no significant relationship between sexual satisfaction and infertility treatment costs ($P = 0.373$). However, it seems that women who spent more than 50 million Iranian Rials for infertility treatment were less satisfied than those who paid less (12.4 vs. 5.7%) (Table 6).

5. Discussion

According to the results, infertile women were 21 times more likely to develop depression than fertile ones. After adjusting for confounding variables such as marriage duration, history of underlying illnesses, and consanguineous marriage, the chance of developing depression remained higher in infertile than fertile women by several times. Since an infertile person cannot go through the reproduction process, she turns this failure into a socio-psychological crisis. A previous study in Iran measured psychological symptoms among infertile women using an instrument similar to the one used in the present study and found infertility as a source of anxiety and depression among infertile Iranian women (12).

Based on a qualitative study that examined the experiences of infertile Nigerian women, most women expressed anxiety and depression as a result of their inability to get pregnant. They also suffered from social self-isolation and marital problems (31). Likewise, a study measured psychological distress in infertile couples using an instrument similar to the present study instrument and showed that about 10% of the participants experienced clinical symptoms of anxiety and depression (15). The present study results are consistent with previous studies showing that anxiety and depression are common manifestations among infertile women.

According to the current study results, the chance of insufficient sexual satisfaction was 15 times higher among infertile women than fertile women. Based on a few Iranian studies, infertile couples' well-being and relationships are more likely to be affected by infertility in countries where girls are trained and expected to be mothers later on (12, 32-37). Various studies have already measured the levels of sexual satisfaction among infertile women using different instruments. For example, a previous study conducted in Iran measured the levels of sexual satisfaction using the Female Sexual Function Index Questionnaire, which showed that the levels of satisfaction are significantly lower in the infertile group than in the fertile group (36). These findings show that sexual satisfaction in infertile Iranian

Table 1. Comparison of Fertile and Infertile People by Demographic and Contextual Characteristics^{a, b, c, d}

Variables	Fertility		P-Value
	Fertile	Infertile	
Age group (y)			> 0.999
< 35	339 (62.8)	113 (62.8)	
≥ 35	201 (37.2)	67 (37.2)	
Educational level			> 0.999
Illiterate/elementary school	156 (28.9)	52 (28.9)	
High school diploma	204 (37.8)	68 (37.8)	
University	180 (33.3)	60 (33.3)	
Marriage duration (y)			> 0.999
< 10	258 (47.8)	86 (47.8)	
≥ 10	282 (52.2)	94 (52.2)	
Occupational status			0.719
Housewife	375 (69.4)	127 (70.6)	
Employee	165 (30.6)	53 (29.4)	
Husbands' educational level			0.632
Illiterate/elementary school	205 (38)	73 (40.6)	
High school diploma	152 (28.1)	49 (27.2)	
University	183 (33.9)	58 (32.2)	
Husbands' occupational status			0.463
Unemployed	40 (7.4)	19 (10.6)	
Employed	126 (23.3)	38 (21.1)	
Self-employed	296 (54.8)	99 (55)	
Other	78 (14.4)	24 (13.3)	
Homeownership			0.079
Rented/living in parental housing	143 (26.5)	59 (32.8)	
Owned	397 (73.5)	12 (67.2)	
Household income (IRRs)			0.787
< 10000,000	115 (21.3)	42 (23.3)	
10,000,000 - 10,999,999	164 (30.4)	55 (30.6)	
≥ 20,000,000	261 (48.3)	83 (46.1)	
Consanguineous marriage			0.155
No	352 (65.2)	106 (58.9)	
Yes	188 (34.8)	74 (41.1)	
History of specific disease			0.003
No	484 (89.6)	144 (80)	
Yes	56 (10.4)	36 (20)	
Toxin exposure			0.273
No	506 (93.7)	164 (91.1)	
Yes	34 (6.3)	16 (8.9)	
Type of infertility			
Primary		164 (91.1)	
Secondary		16 (8.9)	
Costs of infertility treatment (US\$)			
< 1500		35 (19.4)	
≥ 1500		145 (80.6)	
Type of infertility treatment			
Drug therapy		79 (43.8)	
Surgery		9 (5.0)	
IVF		82 (45.6)	
ICSI/IUI		10 (5.6)	

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).^b These variables were taken into consideration in matching the members of the two groups.^c The GEE method with a logit link function was used.^d The "infertility status" was considered the dependent variable in each GEE, and every single demographic predictor was used as an independent variable.

Table 2. Relationship of Depression Severity and Sexual Satisfaction with Demographic and Underlying Variables in Women ^{a, b, c}

Variables	Beck Depression Category			P-Value	Sexual Satisfaction Category		P-Value
	Borderline/Modest	Severe	Extreme		Weak/Modest	Good	
Age group (y)				0.147			0.165
< 35	249 (55.1)	119 (26.3)	84 (18.6)		12 (2.7)	440 (97.3)	
≥ 35	139 (51.9)	64 (23.9)	65 (24.3)		12 (4.5)	256 (95.5)	
Educational level				< 0.001			0.026
Illiterate/elementary school	91 (43.8)	55 (26.4)	62 (29.8)		12 (5.8)	196 (94.2)	
High school/diploma	151 (55.5)	74 (27.2)	47 (17.3)		10 (3.7)	262 (96.3)	
University	146 (60.8)	54 (22.5)	40 (16.7)		2 (0.8)	238 (99.2)	
Marriage duration (y)				0.001			0.058
< 10	197 (57.3)	96 (27.9)	51 (14.8)		7 (2)	337 (98)	
≥ 10	191 (50.8)	87 (23.1)	98 (26.1)		17 (4.5)	359 (95.5)	
Occupational status				0.002			0.030
Housewife	255 (50.8)	132 (26.3)	115 (22.9)		22 (4.4)	480 (95.6)	
Employee	133 (61)	51 (23.4)	34 (15.6)		2 (0.9)	216 (99.1)	
Husbands' educational level				0.001			0.054
Illiterate/elementary school	134 (48.2)	70 (25.2)	74 (26.6)		12 (4.3)	266 (95.7)	
High school/diploma	110 (54.7)	59 (29.4)	32 (15.9)		10 (5)	191 (95)	
University	144 (59.8)	54 (22.4)	43 (17.8)		2 (0.8)	239 (99.2)	
Husbands' occupational status				0.001			0.113
Unemployed	19 (32.2)	22 (37.3)	18 (30.5)		5 (8.5)	54 (91.5)	
Employed	101 (61.6)	34 (20.7)	29 (17.7)		3 (1.8)	161 (98.2)	
Self-employed	214 (54.2)	105 (26.6)	76 (19.2)		13 (3.3)	382 (96.7)	
Others	54 (52.9)	22 (21.6)	26 (25.5)		3 (2.9)	99 (97.1)	
Homeownership				0.323			0.575
Rented/living in parental housing	102 (50.5)	53 (26.2)	47 (23.3)		8 (4)	194 (96)	
Owned	286 (55.2)	130 (25.1)	102 (19.7)		16 (3.1)	502 (96.9)	
Household income (IRRs)				< 0.001			0.011
< 1000,000	61 (38.9)	49 (31.2)	47 (29.9)		11 (7)	146 (93)	
1000,000 - 1999,000	108 (49.3)	69 (31.5)	42 (19.2)		5 (2.3)	214 (97.7)	
≥ 2000,000	219 (63.7)	65 (18.9)	60 (17.4)		8 (2.3)	336 (97.7)	
History of specific disease				< 0.001			0.003
No	359 (57.2)	154 (24.5)	115 (18.3)		16 (2.5)	612 (97.5)	
Yes	29 (31.5)	29 (31.5)	34 (37)		8 (8.7)	84 (91.3)	
Consanguineous marriage				0.082			0.030
No	258 (56.3)	112 (24.5)	88 (19.2)		10 (2.2)	448 (97.8)	
Yes	130 (49.6)	71 (27.1)	61 (23.3)		14 (5.3)	248 (94.7)	
Toxin exposure				< 0.001			0.039
No	374 (55.8)	169 (25.2)	127 (19)		20 (3)	650 (97)	
Yes	14 (28)	14 (28)	22 (44)		4 (8)	46 (92)	

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).^b The GEE method with cumulative logit link function was deployed.^c In each GEE, the depression severity or sexual satisfaction was considered the dependent variable, and every single demographic predictor was used as the independent variable.

women is assessed similarly by different questionnaires. Likewise, a meta-analysis without language restriction on 11 comparative studies showed that infertility was associated with increased sexual dysfunction (38).

According to the results of previous studies in Iran, the prevalence of sexual dissatisfaction was significantly higher in infertile women than in their husbands, which indicates infertile women were more affected by psychological complications of infertility than their spouses (39). In addition, men and women may differ in their perceptions of sexual satisfaction. For example, a study of 113 infertile couples who attended an infertility clinic showed that a higher proportion of women believed their partners

did not understand how infertility issues impacted their marital life. This can be an origin of concern about the longevity of their relationships. In contrast, men reported a significantly lower quality of sex than women (40).

The present study demonstrated that depression severity increased over time in infertile women who received IVF treatment. A systematic review reported that multiple failed IVF treatment attempts might increase the likelihood of developing unfavorable mood conditions, especially depression. For instance, infertility treatment affects changes in an infertile woman's perception, marital relationship, and personality (41).

Previous studies have also shown that infertility treat-

Table 3. Modeling the Effect of Female Infertility on Depression Severity and Sexual Satisfaction Level ^{a, b}

Model and Fertility Status	Regression Coefficient	Standard Error	Odds Ratio	95% Confidence for Odds Ratio	P-Value
Modeling the Effect of Female Infertility on Depression Severity					
Without adjustment					
Infertile	3.052	0.205	21.164	14.172 - 31.603	< 0.001
Fertile	Base category				
With adjustment					
Infertile	3.052	0.208	21.305	14.175 - 32.021	< 0.001
Fertile	Base category				
Modeling the Effect of Female Infertility on Sexual Satisfaction					
Without adjustment					
Infertile	2.818	0.563	16.750	5.557 - 50.492	< 0.001
Fertile	Base category				
With adjustment					
Infertile	2.745	0.570	15.560	5.089 - 47.571	< 0.001
Fertile	Base category				

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).^b This model was adjusted for the effects of marital duration, history of an underlying illness, and consanguineous marriage.**Table 4.** Relationship of Depression Severity and Sexual Satisfaction with Infertility in Infertile Women ^a

Type of Infertility	Depression Severity			P Value	Sexual Satisfaction Level		P Value
	Modest/Borderline	Severe	Very Severe		Modest/Poor	Good	
Primary infertility	15 (9.1)	47 (28.7)	102 (62.2)	0.856	19 (11.6)	145 (88.4)	0.701
Secondary infertility	2 (12.5)	5 (31.3)	9 (56.3)		1 (6.3)	15 (93.8)	

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).**Table 5.** Relationship of Depression Severity and Sexual Satisfaction with Infertility Treatment in Infertile Women ^a

Method of Infertility Treatment	Depression Severity			P-Value	Sexual Satisfaction Levels		P-Value
	Borderline/Modest	Severe	Very Severe		Modest/Poor	Good	
Drug therapy	12 (15.2)	28 (35.4)	39 (49.4)	0.001	5 (6.3)	74 (93.7)	0.242
Surgery	2 (22.2)	2 (22.2)	5 (55.6)		2 (22.2)	7 (77.8)	
IVF	3 (3.7)	15 (18.3)	64 (78) *		12 (14.6)	70 (85.4)	
IUI/ICSI	0 (0)	7 (70)	3 (30)		1 (10)	9 (90)	

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).**Table 6.** Relationship of Infertility Treatment Costs with Depression Severity and Sexual Satisfaction ^a

Infertility Treatment Costs	Depression Severity			P-Value	Sexual Satisfaction Level		P-Value
	Borderline/Poor	Severe	Very Severe		Poor/Modest	Good	
> 50 million IRRs	5 (14.3)	14 (40)	16 (45.7)	0.098	2 (5.7)	33 (94.3)	0.373
≤ 50 million IRRs	12 (8.3)	38 (26.2)	95 (65.5)		18 (12.4)	127 (87.6)	
Total	17 (9.4)	52 (28.9)	111 (61.7)		20 (11.1)	160 (88.9)	

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

ment can sometimes predict infertility-related stress compared to pregnancy (42, 43). It may be thought that seeking fertility may take the form of obsessive-compulsive behavior, possibly affecting the couples' self-esteem and identity. Couples may feel being responsible for pregnancy outcomes, which raises concerns. This causes couples to neglect other aspects of life, making them susceptible to depressive traits such as declined social and physical activity and decreased interest in daily life (44). There is a mutual relationship between depression and infertility treatment. In a study conducted in North Carolina, the U.S., infertile women who had already started treatment were given the NIH PROMIS screening questionnaire for mental disorders. Infertile women who suffered from depression were less likely to follow oral or IVF treatments than infertile women who were not depressed (45).

The current study demonstrated that low sexual satisfaction was commonly seen in women undergoing infertility treatment through surgery and IVF. Couples' focus on pregnancy may diminish their interest in sexual activity. In addition, sexual problems in infertility treatment may be attributed to hormonal changes produced from medical treatments such as surgery or IVF (46). A previous study in Iran showed that poor general health, stress, and marital dissatisfaction were associated with IVF treatment in infertile women (47). In a study of three target groups, including a successful IVF treatment group, an unsuccessful IVF treatment group with a step kid, and an unsuccessful IVF treatment group with no step kid, there were no significant differences between them regarding sexual satisfaction. They did not have sexual satisfaction (48). This may indicate the negative impact of IVF treatment on sexual satisfaction. Studies show that people who find fertility through medical treatment can experience anxiety, stress, depression, and sexual concerns related to infertility. When infertile women become pregnant utilizing reproductive medical assistance, they show a more significant decline in sexual satisfaction if previously exposed to stressors (49).

In addition, the present study demonstrated that relatively high levels of depression and low levels of sexual satisfaction were seen among infertile women if treatment costs were at least 50 million Iranian Rials. In a study of 85 infertile Iranian women referred to a public clinic to assess sexual satisfaction, treatment costs were significantly associated with sexual function. Women identified as positive in depression screening are more prone to long-term infertility. In contrast, infertile women who are not depressed are less likely to start and continue infertility treatment (50).

The study has many strengths, and the validity of the results is verifiable. The study was a case-control study within a cohort of Lorestan, Iran, which enabled us to

minimize the risk of selection bias. Likewise, confounding factors were well identified. Data about confounding variables were available, and their effects were controlled over the multivariate marginal model. Nonetheless, the present study was not without limitations. Because marital issues are considered an extremely private topic, and there are cultural and religious restrictions on this point in Iran, people may not be able to open up and tell the truth. Therefore, the inability of most individuals to express themselves explicitly was beyond the reach of the researchers, so the present study only included the psychological assessment of women. For future studies, it is suggested that data from both women and their spouses be examined simultaneously. In addition, considering that this is a non-longitudinal case-control study, it was difficult to control for some confounding variables. Therefore, prospective longitudinal studies are recommended on this issue.

5.1. Conclusions

The present study showed that depression severity and sexual dissatisfaction were more in the infertile group than the fertile group. There was also a significant relationship between infertility treatment type and depression severity in infertile women. Most cases of very severe depression were seen in the IVF treatment group, which causes a defective cycle and exacerbates depression due to infertility and sexual satisfaction problems. This may reduce treatment success and fertility chances. Therefore, screening women for depression by health personnel is influential because follow-up and subsequent interventions may improve fertility treatment compliance, life quality, and overall sexual pleasure. It is suggested that psychiatric counseling services be available in all infertility treatment centers to address the psychological and behavioral needs and problems of infertile patients from diagnosis to the end of the treatment process.

Acknowledgments

The researchers would like to express their gratitude to the participants and staff of the health centers of Lorestan, Iran.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: F.E. and K.B. made substantial contributions to the conception and design of the manuscript. M.A. and K.B. participated in the study design and data acquisition. A.A. and F.B. were involved in drafting and revising the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declared no potential conflicts of interest concerning this article's research, authorship, and/or publication.

Data Reproducibility: The corresponding author provides the datasets used and/or analyzed during the current study on reasonable request.

Ethical Approval: The study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Lorestan University of Medical Sciences with a code of lums.REC.1395.81.

Funding/Support: This study was funded by the Lorestan University of Medical Sciences as a research project under registration number 1285.

Informed Consent: Each participant completed a consent form before enrollment in the study. To let participants be comfortable, a female administrator interviewed them, and they were promised that the collected information would be kept confidential.

References

- Sharma S, RoyChoudhury S, Bathwal S, Bhattacharya R, Kalapahar S, Chattopadhyay R, et al. Pregnancy and Live Birth Rates Are Comparable in Young Infertile Women Presenting with Severe Endometriosis and Tubal Infertility. *Reprod Sci*. 2020;**27**(6):1340–9. doi: [10.1007/s40302-020-00158-x](#). [PubMed: [31993996](#)].
- Vander Borgh M, Wyns C. Fertility and infertility: Definition and epidemiology. *Clin Biochem*. 2018;**62**:2–10. doi: [10.1016/j.clinbiochem.2018.03.012](#). [PubMed: [2955319](#)].
- No author listed. World Hepatitis Day - July 28, 2019. *MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep*. 2019;**68**(29):637. doi: [10.15585/mmwr.mm6829a1](#). [PubMed: [31851651](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6660101](#)].
- Kazemijalilseh H, Ramezani Tehrani F, Behboudi-Gandevani S, Hosseinpah F, Khalili D, Azizi F. The Prevalence and Causes of Primary Infertility in Iran: A Population-Based Study. *Glob J Health Sci*. 2015;**7**(6):226–32. doi: [10.5539/gjhs.v7n6p226](#). [PubMed: [26153187](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4803880](#)].
- Rooney KL, Domar AD. The relationship between stress and infertility. *Dialogues Clin Neurosci*. 2018;**20**(1):41–7. [PubMed: [29946210](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6016043](#)].
- Inhorn MC, Patrizio P. Infertility around the globe: new thinking on gender, reproductive technologies and global movements in the 21st century. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2015;**21**(4):411–26. doi: [10.1093/humupd/dmv016](#). [PubMed: [25801630](#)].
- Alaee S, Talaiekhazani A, Ziaei GR, Lohrasbi P. Evaluation of Iranian College Students' Awareness about Infertility Risk Factors. *Jundishapur J Health Sci*. 2016;**8**(2). e60321. doi: [10.17795/jjhs-34172](#).
- Carvalho N, Salehi AS, Goldie SJ. National and sub-national analysis of the health benefits and cost-effectiveness of strategies to reduce maternal mortality in Afghanistan. *Health Policy Plan*. 2013;**28**(1):62–74. doi: [10.1093/heapol/czs026](#). [PubMed: [22411880](#)].
- Bakhtiar K, Beiranvand R, Ardalan A, Changae F, Almasian M, Badrizadeh A, et al. An investigation of the effects of infertility on Women's quality of life: a case-control study. *BMC Womens Health*. 2019;**19**(1):114. doi: [10.1186/s12905-019-0805-3](#). [PubMed: [31484531](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6727411](#)].
- Omani-Samani R, Maroufizadeh S, Almasi-Hashiani A, Sepidarkish M, Amini P. The WHO-5 Well-Being Index: A Validation Study in People with Infertility. *Iran J Public Health*. 2019;**48**(11):2058–64. [PubMed: [31970105](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6961185](#)].
- Amiri M, Khosravi A, Chaman R, Sadeghi Z, Raei M, Jahanitiji M, et al. Social Consequences of Infertility on Families in Iran. *Glob J Health Sci*. 2015;**8**(5):89–95. doi: [10.5539/gjhs.v8n5p89](#). [PubMed: [26652089](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4877219](#)].
- Shahraki Z, Tanha FD, Ghajarzadeh M. Depression, sexual dysfunction and sexual quality of life in women with infertility. *BMC Womens Health*. 2018;**18**(1):92. doi: [10.1186/s12905-018-0584-2](#). [PubMed: [29898709](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6001164](#)].
- Gdanska P, Drozdowicz-Jastrzebska E, Grzechocinska B, Radziwon-Zaleska M, Wegrzyn P, Wielgos M. Anxiety and depression in women undergoing infertility treatment. *Ginek Pol*. 2017;**88**(2):109–12. doi: [10.5603/GPa.2017.0019](#). [PubMed: [28326521](#)].
- Fu B, Qin N, Cheng L, Tang G, Cao Y, Yan C, et al. Development and validation of an Infertility Stigma Scale for Chinese women. *J Psychosom Res*. 2015;**79**(1):69–75. doi: [10.1016/j.jpsychores.2014.11.014](#). [PubMed: [25499618](#)].
- Pozza A, Dettore D, Coccia ME. Depression and Anxiety in Pathways of Medically Assisted Reproduction: The Role of Infertility Stress Dimensions. *Clin Pract Epidemiol Ment Health*. 2019;**15**:101–9. doi: [10.2174/1745017901915010101](#). [PubMed: [31819758](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6882134](#)].
- Brotto L, Atallah S, Johnson-Agbakwu C, Rosenbaum T, Abdo C, Byers ES, et al. Psychological and Interpersonal Dimensions of Sexual Function and Dysfunction. *J Sex Med*. 2016;**13**(4):538–71. doi: [10.1016/j.jsxm.2016.01.019](#). [PubMed: [27045257](#)].
- Gulec G, Hassa H, Yalcin EG, Yenilmez C. The effects of infertility on sexual functions and dyadic adjustment in couples that present for infertility treatment. *Turk Psikiyatri Derg*. 2011;**22**(3):166–76. [PubMed: [21870306](#)].
- Skalacka K, Gerymski R. Sexual activity and life satisfaction in older adults. *Psychogeriatrics*. 2019;**19**(3):195–201. doi: [10.1111/psyg.12381](#). [PubMed: [30294865](#)].
- Gewirtz-Meydan A, Finzi-Dottan R. Sexual Satisfaction Among Couples: The Role of Attachment Orientation and Sexual Motives. *J Sex Res*. 2018;**55**(2):178–90. doi: [10.1080/00224499.2016.1276880](#). [PubMed: [28166423](#)].
- Schmiedeborg C, Schroder J. Does Sexual Satisfaction Change With Relationship Duration? *Arch Sex Behav*. 2016;**45**(1):99–107. doi: [10.1007/s10508-015-0587-0](#). [PubMed: [26246315](#)].
- Carter J, Applegarth L, Josephs L, Grill E, Baser RE, Rosenwaks Z. A cross-sectional cohort study of infertile women awaiting oocyte donation: the emotional, sexual, and quality-of-life impact. *Fertil Steril*. 2011;**95**(2):711–6. doi: [10.1016/j.fertnstert.2010.10.004](#). [PubMed: [21055740](#)].
- Ozturk S, Sut HK, Kucuk L. Examination of sexual functions and depressive symptoms among infertile and fertile women. *Pak J Med Sci*. 2019;**35**(5):1355–60. doi: [10.12669/pjms.35.5.615](#). [PubMed: [31489006](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6717465](#)].
- Masoumi SZ, Khani S, Kazemi F, Kalhori F, Ebrahimi R, Roshanaei G. Effect of Marital Relationship Enrichment Program on Marital Satisfaction, Marital Intimacy, and Sexual Satisfaction of Infertile Couples. *Int J Fertil Steril*. 2017;**11**(3):197–204. doi: [10.22074/ijfs.2017.4885](#). [PubMed: [28868842](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC5582148](#)].
- Masoumi SZ, Garousian M, Khani S, Oliaei SR, Shayan A. Comparison of Quality of Life, Sexual Satisfaction and Marital Satisfaction between Fertile and Infertile Couples. *Int J Fertil Steril*. 2016;**10**(3):290–6. doi: [10.22074/ijfs.2016.5045](#). [PubMed: [27695611](#)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC5023039](#)].
- Wang YP, Gorenstein C. Psychometric properties of the Beck Depression Inventory-II: a comprehensive review. *Braz J Psychiatry*. 2013;**35**(4):416–31. doi: [10.1590/1516-4446-2012-1048](#). [PubMed: [24402217](#)].
- Mousavi SA, Aghayan S, Razavianzadeh N, Nourouzi N, Khosravi A. [Depression and General health status in type II diabetic patients]. *Knowledge and Health*. 2008;**3**(1):44–8. Persian.
- Bendel RB, Afifi AA. Comparison of Stopping Rules in Forward "Stepwise" Regression. *J Am Stat Assoc*. 1977;**72**(357). doi: [10.2307/2286904](#).
- Mickey RM, Greenland S. The impact of confounder selection criteria on effect estimation. *Am J Epidemiol*. 1989;**129**(1):125–37. doi: [10.1093/oxfordjournals.aje.a115101](#). [PubMed: [2910056](#)].

29. Liang K, Zeger SL. Longitudinal data analysis using generalized linear models. *Biometrika*. 1986;**73**(1):13–22. doi: [10.1093/biomet/73.1.13](https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/73.1.13).
30. Zhang Z. Model building strategy for logistic regression: purposeful selection. *Ann Transl Med*. 2016;**4**(6):111. doi: [10.21037/atm.2016.02.15](https://doi.org/10.21037/atm.2016.02.15). [PubMed: [27127764](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27127764/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4828741](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4828741/)].
31. Naab F, Lawali Y, Donkor ES. "My mother in-law forced my husband to divorce me": Experiences of women with infertility in Zamfara State of Nigeria. *PLoS One*. 2019;**14**(12). e0225149. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0225149](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0225149). [PubMed: [31856167](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31856167/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6922459](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6922459/)].
32. Shahraki Z, Ghajarzadeh M, Ganjali M. Depression, Anxiety, Quality of Life and Sexual Dysfunction in Zabol Women with Infertility. *Maedica (Bucur)*. 2019;**14**(2):131–4. doi: [10.26574/maedica.2019.14.2.131](https://doi.org/10.26574/maedica.2019.14.2.131). [PubMed: [31523293](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31523293/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6709388](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6709388/)].
33. Maroufizadeh S, Hosseini M, Rahimi Foroushani A, Omani-Samani R, Amini P. The Relationship between Perceived Stress and Marital Satisfaction in Couples with Infertility: Actor-Partner Interdependence Model. *Int J Fertil Steril*. 2019;**13**(1):66–71. doi: [10.22074/ijfs.2019.5437](https://doi.org/10.22074/ijfs.2019.5437). [PubMed: [30644247](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30644247/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6334010](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6334010/)].
34. Sahebalzamani M, Mostaeidi Z, Farahani H, Sokhanvar M. Relationship between Health Literacy and Sexual Function and Sexual Satisfaction in Infertile Couples Referred to The Royan Institute. *Int J Fertil Steril*. 2018;**12**(2):136–41. doi: [10.22074/ijfs.2018.5185](https://doi.org/10.22074/ijfs.2018.5185). [PubMed: [29707930](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29707930/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC5936661](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5936661/)].
35. Marvi N, Golmakani N, Miri HH, Esmaily H. The Effect of Sexual Education based on Sexual Health Model on the Sexual Function of Women with Infertility. *Iran J Nurs Midwifery Res*. 2019;**24**(6):444–50. doi: [10.4103/ijnmr.IJNMR_199_17](https://doi.org/10.4103/ijnmr.IJNMR_199_17). [PubMed: [31772919](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31772919/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6875883](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6875883/)].
36. Sahraeian M, Lotfi R, Qorbani M, Faramarzi M, Dinpajooh F, Ramezani Tehrani F. The Effect of Cognitive Behavioral Therapy on Sexual Function in Infertile Women: A Randomized Controlled Clinical Trial. *J Sex Marital Ther*. 2019;**45**(7):574–84. doi: [10.1080/0092623X.2019.1594476](https://doi.org/10.1080/0092623X.2019.1594476). [PubMed: [30912475](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30912475/)].
37. Omani-Samani R, Amini P, Navid B, Sepidarkish M, Maroufizadeh S, Almasi-Hashiani A. Prevalence of Sexual Dysfunction among Infertile Women in Iran: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Int J Fertil Steril*. 2019;**12**(4):278–83. doi: [10.22074/ijfs.2019.5395](https://doi.org/10.22074/ijfs.2019.5395). [PubMed: [30291686](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30291686/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6186283](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6186283/)].
38. Mendonca CR, Arruda JT, Noll M, Campoli PMO, Amaral WND. Sexual dysfunction in infertile women: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2017;**215**:153–63. doi: [10.1016/j.ejogrb.2017.06.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2017.06.013). [PubMed: [28628848](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28628848/)].
39. Bahrami N, Sattarzadeh N, Ghofazadeh M, Soleymani MA, Sadeghi T, Kazemi H. [Relation between infertility and sexual satisfaction in couples]. *Journal of Inflammatory Disease*. 2010;**14**(2):5–11. Persian.
40. Luk BHK, Loke AY. Sexual satisfaction, intimacy and relationship of couples undergoing infertility treatment. *J Reprod Infant Psychol*. 2019;**37**(2):108–22. doi: [10.1080/02646838.2018.1529407](https://doi.org/10.1080/02646838.2018.1529407). [PubMed: [30317866](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30317866/)].
41. Verhaak CM, Smeenk JM, Evers AW, Kremer JA, Kraaijmaat FW, Braat DD. Women's emotional adjustment to IVF: a systematic review of 25 years of research. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2007;**13**(1):27–36. doi: [10.1093/humupd/dml040](https://doi.org/10.1093/humupd/dml040). [PubMed: [16940360](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16940360/)].
42. Lakatos E, Szigeti JF, Ujma PP, Sexty R, Balog P. Anxiety and depression among infertile women: a cross-sectional survey from Hungary. *BMC Womens Health*. 2017;**17**(1):48. doi: [10.1186/s12905-017-0410-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-017-0410-2). [PubMed: [28738833](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28738833/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC5525318](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5525318/)].
43. Greil AL, McQuillan J, Lowry M, Shreffler KM. Infertility treatment and fertility-specific distress: A longitudinal analysis of a population-based sample of U.S. women. *Soc Sci Med*. 2011;**73**(1):87–94. doi: [10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.04.023](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2011.04.023). [PubMed: [21645954](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21645954/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC3126901](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3126901/)].
44. Reis S, Xavier MR, Coelho R, Montenegro N. Psychological impact of single and multiple courses of assisted reproductive treatments in couples: a comparative study. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol*. 2013;**171**(1):61–6. doi: [10.1016/j.ejogrb.2013.07.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2013.07.034). [PubMed: [23928476](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23928476/)].
45. Crawford NM, Hoff HS, Mersereau JE. Infertile women who screen positive for depression are less likely to initiate fertility treatments. *Hum Reprod*. 2017;**32**(3):582–7. doi: [10.1093/humrep/dew351](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dew351). [PubMed: [28073974](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28073974/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC6251542](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC6251542/)].
46. Samplaski MK, Nangia AK. Adverse effects of common medications on male fertility. *Nat Rev Urol*. 2015;**12**(7):401–13. doi: [10.1038/nrurol.2015.145](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrurol.2015.145). [PubMed: [26101108](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26101108/)].
47. Shakeri J, Hossieni M, Golshani S, Sadeghi K, Fizollahy V. [Assessment of general health, stress coping and marital satisfaction in infertile women undergoing IVF treatment]. *J Reprod Infertil*. 2006;**7**(3):269–75. Persian.
48. Leiblum SR, Aviv A, Hamer R. Life after infertility treatment: a long-term investigation of marital and sexual function. *Hum Reprod*. 1998;**13**(12):3569–74. doi: [10.1093/humrep/13.12.3569](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/13.12.3569). [PubMed: [9886552](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9886552/)].
49. Repokari L, Punamaki RL, Unkila-Kallio L, Vilska S, Poikkeus P, Sinkkonen J, et al. Infertility treatment and marital relationships: a 1-year prospective study among successfully treated ART couples and their controls. *Hum Reprod*. 2007;**22**(5):1481–91. doi: [10.1093/humrep/dem013](https://doi.org/10.1093/humrep/dem013). [PubMed: [17307807](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17307807/)].
50. Alirezaei S, Ozgoli G, Alavi Majd H. Evaluation of Factors Associated with Sexual Function in Infertile Women. *Int J Fertil Steril*. 2018;**12**(2):125–9. doi: [10.22074/ijfs.2018.5193](https://doi.org/10.22074/ijfs.2018.5193). [PubMed: [29707928](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29707928/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC5936609](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5936609/)].