Published online 2016 January 9.

## **Research Article**

# Development of an Empirical Kinetics Model for Sono-Degradation of Malachite Green: Evaluation of Electrical Energy Per Order

Mohammad Ali Behnajady,<sup>1,\*</sup> and Behrouz Vahid<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, IR Iran

\*Corresponding author: Mohammad Ali Behnajady, Department of Chemistry, Tabriz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tabriz, IR Iran. Tel: +98-4133396025, Fax: +98-413333458, E-mail: behnajady@iaut.ac.ir; behnajady@gmail.com

Received 2015 October 5; Revised 2015 November 12; Accepted 2015 November 24.

#### Abstract

**Background:** Sonolysis a method that uses advanced oxidation processes (AOPs), which remove organic contaminants without producing secondary waste.

**Objectives:** The aim of this study was to develop an empirical kinetics model to predict apseudo-first order rate constant  $(k_{aD})$  of sonochemical degradation of malachite green (MG). Then, this model was used to estimate the required electrical energy per order  $(E_{EO})$  at various operational conditions.

**Materials and Methods:** An ultrasonic bath with the operating frequency of 35 kHz and an output power of 170 W was used for sonochemical degradation of MG. The MG concentration was determined using a spectrophotometer at 617 nm.

**Results:** The experimental data showed that the degradation of MG in this process obeyed pseudo-first order kinetics. Using nonlinear regression analysis a model was developed for apseudo-first order constant ( $k_{ap}$ ) of the MG sono-degradation as a function of operational parameters, including initial concentration of MG (5 - 10 m gL<sup>-1</sup>), temperature (294 - 307 K), power density (0.049 - 0.163 W mL<sup>-1</sup>) and mechanical agitation (75 - 400 rpm), as shown in the Equation 3.

**Conclusions:** The present research demonstrated that the ultrasonic (US) process can be utilized as an effective and simple method for treatment of MG dye in an aqueous solution. Moreover, the  $E_{EO}$  is directly proportional to the MG concentration and power density and inversely proportional to temperature and mechanical agitation, which can be predicted by the obtained empirical kinetics model. Consequently,  $E_{EO}$  is very sensitive to the mentioned operational parameters.

Keywords: Kinetics, Nonlinear Regression, Sonochemical Degradation, Electrical Energy Per Order, Malachite Green

# 1. Background

Environmental sonochemistry is an example of advanced oxidation processes (AOPs) and deals with the removal of toxic and hazardous organic compounds from contaminated waters (1, 2). Textile industry wastewaters have considerable amounts of organic dyes, which are resistant to conventional biological treatments. Furthermore, other physical and chemical processes, such as adsorption and coagulation, merely transfer contaminants to a secondary phase that requires more treatment. The advantages of using ultrasound are based on simplicity of its use, producing no secondary waste and good penetration of ultrasonic waves in non-transparent solutions (3-8).

Malachite green (MG) is used as an agent for food coloring, an additive to food, an anthelminthic, medical disinfectant and also as a dye in the silk, leather, cotton, paper, acrylic and textile industries. However, MG has become a highly controversial compound recently, owing to the risks it creates to those who consume treated fish, including its influences on the reproductive and immune system and its carcinogenic and genotoxic properties. The use of MG has been forbidden in several countries; for instance, it is not approved by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration. However, it is still being used in the world because of its low cost, availability and efficacy (9-11).

Cavitation is the origin of sonochemical effects, creating micro bubbles. After ultrasonic waves enter in to a liquid, the cavitation phenomenon results in formation, growth, and eventually collapse of micro bubbles generating highly localized temperatures and pressures (hot spot approach). Hence, intense energy is available for chemical and mechanical effects in the solution.

The generated reactive oxygen species from the dissociation of water molecules under ultrasonic irradiation, especially hydroxyl radicals, have the main role of degradation and mineralization of various organic con-

Copyright @ 2016, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

taminants such as the dyes. In the hot spot model, three regions exist including the gaseous nuclei, the interfacial regions and the bulk solution, which are dependent on the pollutant type. The degradation can occur mainly in a particular region (12-15).

Various models have been developed for description of the sonochemical process mechanism, based on the pseudo-first order kinetics assumption. Moreover, development of a kinetics model for a process based on intrinsic elementary reactions is one of the best methods, due to the exact understanding of the reactions in the process and the effects of operational parameters. However, sometimes this kind of modeling is difficult owing to the diversity of reactions, especially for a complicated process. Thus, an empirical kinetics modeling of the process via non-linear regression analysis can be applied in such cases. The validity of this empirical model was evaluated by comparison of experimentally and theoretically calculated data (16-20).

# 2. Objectives

The objective of the present study was to develop an empirical kinetics model to predict apseudo-first order rate constant ( $k_{ap}$ ) of the MG sono-degradation as a function of initial concentration of dye [MG<sub>0</sub>], temperature (T), power density (P/V) and mechanical agitation (MA) using non-linear regression analysis. Finally, this model can be used in order to determine the required electrical energy ( $E_{EO}$ ) for the process at different operational conditions.

## 3. Materials and Methods

Malachite green oxalate (C.I. 42000), whose structural formula is shown in Figure 1, was obtained from Panreac Co. (Spain) and used without further purification. All solutions were prepared with double-distilled water by dissolving the required amount of MG. The ultrasonic bath was purchased from Elma (GmbH) with an operating frequency of 35 kHz and with a rated output power of 170 W. The efficiency of the bath was determined by the calorimetric method as 28.8%, which indicates that the actual power (P) dissipated in the bulk solution is 49 W (13). Power density was calculated by dividing the actual power dissipated in the bulk solution to the volume of the dye solution. Mechanical agitation was used during the experiments. The operational conditions of the experiments have been summarized in Table 1. In each experiment, a specific volume of the MG solution, with a specific concentration, was poured into the ultrasonic bath. A mechanical stirrer was used in the solution, and the sonication was started. At distinct reaction intervals, 5 mL of the sample was withdrawn and the concentration of MG was determined by the spectrophotometry method using a spectrophotometer (Ultrospec 2000, Biotech Pharmacia, England) at 617 nm.



 Table 1. Operating Conditions of Experiments

Operational Parameters	Values
MG initial concentration, m gL <sup>-1</sup>	4.89 - 9.87
Temperature, K	294 - 307
Power density, W mL <sup>-1</sup>	0.049 - 0.163
Mechanical agitation, rpm	75 - 400

## 4. Results

The apparent rate constants  $(k_{ap})$  of MG degradation were estimated using the pseudo-first order kinetics assumption from the slope of ln ([MG]<sub>o</sub>/[MG]) versus irradiation time at different operational conditions (13). In all cases, the R<sup>2</sup> (correlation coefficient) values were higher than 0.99, which confirmed the proposed pseudo-first order kinetics for the process.

The relationship between  $k_{ap}$  and the initial concentration of MG, power density and mechanical agitation can be modeled with the power law-type of empirical Equations, which have the general form of:

(1) 
$$k_{ap} = a (Operational parameter)^q$$

Using nonlinear regression analysis with Polymath 5.1, model parameters were calculated and reported, and are

shown in Table 2. In addition, the dependence of  $k_{ap}$  on temperature can be calculated from the Arrhenius Equation and activation energy ( $E_a$ ) was 30.95 kJmol<sup>-1</sup> (13). Therefore,  $k_{ap}$  can be modeled as the following Equation:

(2) 
$$k_{ap} = k_0 \exp^{\left(\frac{-E_a}{RT}\right)} [MG]_0^m \left(\frac{P}{V}\right)^n (MA)^p$$

Table 2. Model Parameters for Predicting kan

<b>Operational Parameters</b>	a	q	<b>R</b> <sup>2</sup>
MG Initial concentration, m $\mathrm{gL}^{\text{-}1}$	0.0463	0.948	0.999
Power density, W mL <sup>-1</sup>	0.0505	0.547	0.983
Mechanical agitation, rpm	0.0022	0.307	0.999

With known values of  $[MG]_0$  (m gL<sup>-1</sup>), (P/V) (W mL<sup>-1</sup>), (MA) (rpm) and T (K), we can calculate  $k_0$  as 15.75 × 10<sup>3</sup>. Substituting these values into Equation 2, we obtained:

(3) 
$$k_{\rm ap} = 15.75 \times 10^3 \exp\left(\frac{-30950}{RT}\right) [MG]_0^{-0.948} \left(\frac{p}{V}\right)^{0.547} (MA)^{0.307}$$

The results of this work are similar to the information found in the literature. Kim et al. (4) have reported the value of m as -1.87 for sonochemical degradation of dibenzothiophene in the initial concentration range of  $3.3 \times 10^{-6}$  to  $7.7 \times 10^{-6}$  M. Furthermore, Sivakumar and Pandit (7) found the value of power density to be 0.6418 for the degradation percent of Rhodamine B with four different ultrasonic systems. Also, for sonochemical decomposition of dibenzothiophene in aqueous solution, the activation energy was 12.6 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup>, in the temperature range of 288 - 323 K(4).

For evaluation of Equation (3) in predicting  $k_{ap}$  in different conditions, a comparison between experimental and calculated  $k_{ap}$  for sonochemical degradation of MG is shown in Figure 2, For experimental details refer to Table 3.





Table 3. Experimental and Calculated Amounts for E <sub>EO</sub>				
<b>Operational Parameters Variation</b>	E <sub>EO</sub> (Experimental)	E <sub>EO</sub> (Calculated)		
MG initial concentration (m gL <sup>-1</sup> ) <sup>a</sup>				
4.89	633.79	658.21		
6.82	870.40	902.30		
9.87	1231.70	1280.66		
Temperature <sup>b</sup> , K				
297	572.63	581.97		
302	438.12	463.84		
307	373.03	383.18		
Power density <sup>c</sup> , W mL <sup>-1</sup>				
0.07	818.05	764.32		
0.098	952.99	879.31		
0.163	1157.45	1131.89		
Mechanical agitation <sup>d</sup> , rpm				
75	777.14	812.34		
400	466.28	485.29		

 $^{a}$ T=294±0.5 K, P/V= 0.049 W mL<sup>-1</sup> and MA= 150 rpm.

 $b[MG] = 5 mg L^{-1}$ , P/V = 0.049 W mL<sup>-1</sup> and MA= 150 rpm.

<sup>C</sup>[MG]= 5 mg L<sup>1</sup>, T=294±0.5 K and MA= 150 rpm.

 $d^{1}$  [MG] = 5 mg L<sup>4</sup>, T=294±0.5 K and P/V = 0.049 W mL<sup>4</sup>.

The  $E_{EO}$  can be calculated from the following Equation for a batch-type reactor:

(4) 
$$E_{\rm EO} = \frac{P_{\rm el} \times t \times 1000}{V \times 60 \times \log\left(\frac{C_0}{C}\right)}$$

Where  $P_{el}$  is the input power (kW) to the AOPs system, t is the irradiation time (min), V is the volume of water (L) in the reactor and  $C_0$  and C are the initial and final pollutant concentrations, respectively (21). Assuming first-order kinetics:

$$E_{\rm el} = \frac{38.4 P_{\rm el}}{V k_{\rm ap}}$$

A comparison between predicted  $E_{EO}$  values estimated from kinetics modeling (Equation 3) and  $E_{EO}$  values obtained from experimental data is shown in Table 3.

# 5. Discussion

MG is not a volatile compound; thus, its presence in the gas phase of a cavity is unlikely. Consequently, the major route for its degradation is oxidation by hydroxyl radicals in the interfacial area. Moreover, the applied frequency of sonication is low; hence, the collapse of bubbles is more violent. However, the delayed growth and longer collapse duration permit radical scavenging and recombination reactions at the interfacial sheath, hence, inhibiting reactive species into the bulk solution. As a result, the dye degradation is increased by adsorption on the bubblewater interface (13, 22). Then, MG, a cationic dye in the basic class, can be degraded by ultrasonic irradiation, and  $k_{ap}$  can be predicted at different operational parameters, utilizing nonlinear regression analysis to obtain the empirical kinetics model. For cost evaluation, electrical energy per order  $(E_{FO})$  based on experimental and calculated data was obtained. The results of this study (Table 3) show that the E<sub>EO</sub> is directly proportional to the MG concentration and power density, and inversely proportional to temperature and mechanical agitation. From Figure 2, it can be seen that the results obtained from the model (Equation 3) are in good agreement with the experimental data.

As mentioned previously, the main route for MG degradation is the oxidation by hydroxyl radicals in the interfacial area; the MG degradation is limited by the available interfacial area generated at the constant sonication condition (5, 22). An explanation of the effect of power density on  $E_{EO}$  seems to be necessary. Although  $k_{ap}$  increases with enhancement of power density, the solution volume becomes lower in the high power densities than in the low power densities. The effect of decreasing of volume is predominantly seen in Equation 5, and the  $E_{EO}$  becomes low with enhancement of power density. The increase in temperature will raise the vapor pressure and so cause easier cavitation but less violent collapse; in this temperature range, the influence of easier cavitation is predominant. The influence of sonication on sono-degradation of MG was enhanced by mechanical stirring, owing to the dispersion of the cavitation bubbles and easier diffusion of the dye to the interfacial area (13).

Goel et al. (23) have reported  $E_{EO}$  values for ultrasonic degradation of trichloroethylene, toluene, styrene and eosin B in aqueous solution with an initial concentration of 50 mgL<sup>-1</sup> in an ultrasonic bath (100 W) as 4,382; 6,643; 7,538 and 7,532 kWh per m<sup>3</sup> per order, respectively. In our work,  $E_{EO}$  values for ultrasonic degradation of MG with an initial concentration of 5 mg L<sup>-1</sup> in an ultrasonic bath (170 W) at different operational parameters changed from 373 to 1,232 kWh per m<sup>3</sup> per order. The low  $E_{EO}$  and the difference between our data and the other studies could be related to the difference in the experimental setup of the ultrasonic bath, such as the mechanical stirrer, direct dissipation of ultrasonic waves to the solution and also various operational conditions.

# Acknowledgments

The authors thank the Islamic Aazd University, Tabriz Branch for financial and other supports.

#### Footnotes

**Authors' Contribution:**The work is a product of the intellectual environment of the whole team, and Mohammad Ali Behnajady and Behrouz Vahid have contributed in the research concept, and experiment design.

**Financial Disclosure:**No competing financial interests exist.

#### References

- Adewuyi YG. Sonochemistry: Environmental Science and Engineering Applications. *Indust Engin Chem Res.* 2001;40(22):4681-715. doi:10.1021/ie010096l.
- Voncina D. Reactive dye decolorization using combined ultrasound/H2O2. Dyes and Pigments. 2003;59(2):173–9. doi: 10.1016/ s0143-7208(03)00101-3.
- Dukkanci M, Gunduz G. Ultrasonic degradation of oxalic acid in aqueous solutions. *Ultrason Sonochem*. 2006;**13**(6):517–22. doi: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2005.10.005. [PubMed: 16352455]
- Kim IK, Huang CP, Chiu PC. Sonochemical decomposition of dibenzothiophene in aqueous solution. *Water Res.* 2001;35(18):4370-8. doi: 10.1016/s0043-1354(01)00176-2.
- Manousaki E, Psillakis E, Kalogerakis N, Mantzavinos D. Degradation of sodium dodecylbenzene sulfonate in water by ultrasonic irradiation. *Water Res.* 2004;38(17):3751–9. doi: 10.1016/j. watres.2004.06.002. [PubMed: 15350427]
- Rehorek A, Tauber M, Gubitz G. Application of power ultrasound for azo dye degradation. *Ultrason Sonochem*. 2004;**11**(3-4):177-82. doi:10.1016/j.ultsonch.2004.01.030. [PubMed: 15081977]
- Sivakumar M, Pandit AB. Ultrasound enhanced degradation of Rhodamine B: optimization with power density. Ultrason Sonochem. 2001;8(3):233–40. doi: 10.1016/s1350-4177(01)00082-7.
- Vinodgopal K, Peller J, Makogon O, Kamat PV. Ultrasonic mineralization of a reactive textile azo dye, remazol black B. Water Res. 1998;32(12):3646-50. doi:10.1016/s0043-1354(98)00154-7.
- Culp S, Beland F. Malachite Green: A Toxicological Review. Int J Toxicol. 1996;15(3):219–38. doi: 10.3109/10915819609008715.

- Alderman DJ, Clifton-Hadley RS. Malachite green: a pharmacokinetic study in rainbow trout, Oncorhynchus mykiss (Walbaum). J Fish Dis. 1993;16(4):297–311. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2761.1993.tb00864.x.
- Bergwerff AA, Scherpenisse P. Determination of residues of malachite green in aquatic animals. *J Chromatography B.* 2003;**788**(2):351–9. doi:10.1016/s1570-0232(03)00042-4.
- Behnajady MA, Modirshahla N, Tabrizi SB, Molanee S. Ultrasonic degradation of Rhodamine B in aqueous solution: influence of operational parameters. J Hazard Mater. 2008;152(1):381-6. doi: 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2007.07.019. [PubMed: 17723264]
- Behnajady MA, Modirshahla N, Shokri M, Vahid B. Effect of operational parameters on degradation of Malachite Green by ultrasonic irradiation. *Ultrason Sonochem*. 2008;15(6):1009-14. doi: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2008.03.004. [PubMed: 18442946]
- Eskandarloo H, Badiei A, Behnajady MA, Ziarani GM. Ultrasonicassisted sol-gel synthesis of samarium, cerium co-doped TiO2 nanoparticles with enhanced sonocatalytic efficiency. Ultrason Sonochem. 2015;26:281–92. doi: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2015.02.001. [PubMed: 25682738]
- Eskandarloo H, Badiei A, Behnajady MA, Ziarani GM. Ultrasonicassisted degradation of phenazopyridine with a combination of Sm-doped ZnO nanoparticles and inorganic oxidants. Ultrason Sonochem. 2016;28:169–77. doi: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2015.07.012. [PubMed: 26384896]
- Behnajady MA, Vahid B, Modirshahla N, Shokri M. Evaluation of electrical energy per order (EEO) with kinetic modeling on the removal of Malachite Green by US/UV/H2O2 process. *Desalination*. 2009;**249**(1):99–103. doi: 10.1016/j.desal.2008.07.025.
- 17. Khataee A, Vahid B, Behjati B, Safarpour M, Joo SW. Kinetic modeling of a triarylmethane dye decolorization by photoelectro-

Fenton process in a recirculating system: Nonlinear regression analysis. *Chem Eng Res Des.* 2014;**92**(2):362–7. doi: 10.1016/j. cherd.2013.07.019.

- Khataee A, Vahid B, Saadi S, Joo SW. Development of an empirical kinetic model for sonocatalytic process using neodymium doped zinc oxide nanoparticles. *Ultrason Sonochem*. 2016;**29**:146– 55. doi:10.1016/j.ultsonch.2015.09.004. [PubMed: 26584992]
- Okitsu K, Iwasaki K, Yobiko Y, Bandow H, Nishimura R, Maeda Y. Sonochemical degradation of azo dyes in aqueous solution: a new heterogeneous kinetics model taking into account the local concentration of OH radicals and azo dyes. *Ultrason Sonochem.* 2005;12(4):255–62. doi: 10.1016/j.ultsonch.2004.01.038. [PubMed: 15501707]
- Samaei MR, Maleknia H, Azhdarpoor A. Effects of pH on the Kinetics of Methyl Tertiary Butyl Ether Degradation by Oxidation Process (H 2 O 2/Nano Zero-Valent Iron/Ultrasonic). Jundishapur J Health Sci. 2015;7(3):e30285.
- Bolton JR, Bircher KG, Tumas W, Tolman CA. Figures-of-merit for the technical development and application of advanced oxidation technologies for both electric- and solar-driven systems (IUPAC Technical Report). Pure Appl Chem. 2001;73(4):627-37. doi: 10.1351/pac200173040627.
- Ince NH, Tezcanli-Guyer G. Impacts of pH and molecular structure on ultrasonic degradation of azo dyes. Ultrasonics. 2004;42(1-9):591-6. doi: 10.1016/j.ultras.2004.01.097. [PubMed: 15047352]
- Goel M, Hongqiang H, Mujumdar AS, Ray MB. Sonochemical decomposition of volatile and non-volatile organic compounds--a comparative study. *Water Res.* 2004;**38**(19):4247-61. doi: 10.1016/j. watres.2004.08.008. [PubMed: 15491671]