Published online 2021 June 19.

**Research Article** 

# *In Vitro* and *In Vivo* Anti-parasitic Activity of Artemisinin Combined With Glucantime and Shark Cartilage Extract on Iranian Strain of *Leishmania major* (MRHO/IR/75/ER)

Fatemeh Ghaffarifar<sup>1</sup>, Soheila Molaei<sup>2,\*</sup>, Zuhair Mohammad Hassan<sup>3</sup>, Mohammad Saaid Dayer<sup>1</sup>, Abdolhossein Dalimi<sup>1</sup>, Vahid Nasiri<sup>4</sup>, Masooud Foroutan<sup>5</sup> and Homa Hajjaran<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Parasitology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Department of Immunology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran

<sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Protozoology, Department of Parasitology, Razi Vaccine and Serum Research Institute, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Karai, Alborz, Iran

<sup>5</sup>Department of Medical Parasitology, Abadan Faculty of Medical Sciences, Abadan, Iran

<sup>6</sup>Department of Medical Parasitology and Mycology, School of Public Health, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding author: Pharmaceutical Sciences Research Center, Ardabil University of Medical Sciences, Ardabil, Iran. Email: s.molaei@arums.ac.ir

Received 2021 March 07; Revised 2021 May 11; Accepted 2021 May 11.

## Abstract

**Background:** The adverse effects and increased resistance of drugs necessities the discovery of novel combination therapy. **Objectives:** This study aimed to examine the effects of Artemisinin plus glucantime or shark cartilage extract on the Iranian strain of *Leishmania major* (MRHO/IR/75/ER) *in vitro* and *in vivo*.

**Methods:** In *in vitro* experiments, the effects of drugs and their combination in different concentrations (3.12 - 400  $\mu$ g/mL) on the promastigotes, amastigotes, and un-infected macrophage cells were evaluated. In *in vivo* experiments, infected BALB/c mice were used as a cutaneous leishmaniasis model to evaluate the effects of the drugs and their combinations with different routes of administrations (namely Artemisinin: oral, ointment, and intraperitoneal; glucantime: intraperitoneal, intramuscular, intralesional, and subcutaneous; shark cartilage extract: oral) on parasite burden, lesion size, and immune system modulation.

**Results:** The results revealed that Artemisinin and glucantime in combination with shark cartilage extract had greater effects on promastigotes than either Artemisinin or glucantime (P < 0.05), and that the combinations also had high cytotoxic effects on promastigotes and uninfected macrophages (P = 0.001). These combinations had more inhibitory effects on amastigotes and infected macrophages than promastigotes. The lesion sizes and parasite burden in the spleen decreased against the combinations of the drugs in different administrations. It was also noticed that the best combination administration route of Artemisinin and glucantime, as strong inducers of INF- $\gamma$  and Th1 immune response, were ointment and intramuscular, respectively (P < 0.05).

**Conclusions:** The findings indicate that Artemisinin- glucantime or Artemisinin- Shark cartilage combinations are effective inhibitors of *L. major*. However, further clinical trials are recommended to evaluate the effects of these combinations in human subjects.

Keywords: Artemisinin, Glucantime, Shark Cartilage Extract, Leishmania major

## 1. Background

Leishmaniasis is an infectious disease in tropical areas and encompasses several types, including (i) cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) with benign cutaneous scars, (ii) mucocutaneous leishmaniasis with chronic ulcerative lesions, and (iii) visceral leishmaniasis, i.e. an acute visceral form. Cutaneous leishmaniasis is induced by *Leishmania major* and *L. tropica* worldwide, especially in Iran (1). *Leishmania* parasites make intracellular protozoa infect reticuloendothelial macrophages in the vertebrate hosts as amastigote form while as a motile flagellated promastigote invertebrate sand fly vector (1, 2). Dry-type CL, induced by *L. tropica*, is reported just in eight different cities, while wet-type CL is observed in many regions of the country with a 95% prevalence rate (3, 4). A standard CL treatment is antimony-containing agents such as meglumine antimonite or glucantime (Glu) and sodium stibogluconate (Pentostam) with intralesional or systematic administration; however, some studies have reported resistance to

Copyright © 2021, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

these agents (5-8). Accordingly, the development of new anti-leishmanial therapeutic agents, especially combination therapy, seems necessary.

Artemisinin (Art) is a sesquiterpene produced by Artemisia annua L. and is currently the best anti-malaria agent (9-11). Artemisinin has also revealed *in vitro* and *in vivo* anti-leishmanial effects (12, 13); however, the immune system plays an critical role in the initiation and development of leishmaniasis (14). When T-cells' activity is interrupted, and macrophages fail to start the phagocytosis of *Leishmania* parasites, the disease would emerge and develop. Th1 and Th2 cells are the main immune system components against leishmaniasis, which produce interferon- $\gamma$  (INF-  $\gamma$ ) and interleukin-4 (IL-4), respectively. Accordingly, the modulation of immune systems is of essence for the treatment of leishmaniasis (15).

Studies have shown that Art plays a dual role in leishmaniasis control. It (i) increases the production of NO and iNOS in uninfected macrophages and (ii) indirectly modulates the immune system by increasing the expression of Th1 cytokines (16). Shark cartilage extract (ShCE) has also revealed some immunomodulatory effects (17). It has been used in the treatment of several diseases: angiogenesis inhibitor in the treatment of cancer (18), lubricant in the treatment of arthritis (19), and the treatment of psoriasis and diabetic retinopathy (20). It also induces inflammatory cytokines by 14 and 15 kDa low molecular weight proteins (21).

## 2. Objectives

The research incentives aroused from the increasing problems of leishmaniasis in the central districts of Iran. The present study aimed to monitor the effectiveness of control measures, to modify incrimination of *Leishmania* parasites at their species level with molecular analyses, characterize the increased therapeutic problems of wet-type CL in Iran, and detect the effects of Art on *Leishmania* parasites and ShCE on immune system modulation to study the effects of these agents, either alone or in combination with Glu, on *L. major in vitro* and *in vivo*.

#### 3. Methods

## 3.1. Culture of Leishmania major

*Leishmania major* (MRHO/IR/75/ER) was cultured in Roswell Park Memorial Institute (RPMI) 1640 medium supplemented with 100 u/mL penicillin, 100  $\mu$ g/mL streptomycin, and 20% fetal bovine serum (FBS). The cultures were incubated at 25  $\pm$  1°C.

## 3.2. Art Preparation

Art (C15H22O5) was purchased from Holly pharmaceuticals (US), and its stock solution was prepared in 1:1 ethanol and distilled water. Eight 2-fold serial dilutions of the Art stock were prepared for the experiments (400 - 3.12  $\mu$ g/mL). In the case of using the combination of Art, a similar concentration of each drug was mixed (i.e., 400  $\mu$ g/mL Art + 400  $\mu$ g/mL Glu, 200  $\mu$ g/mL Art+ 200  $\mu$ g/mL Glu, etc.). For the *in vivo* experiments, 25  $\mu$ g/mL concentration of Art was used to prepare ointment with a vaseline base (250  $\mu$ g Art in 10 mL Vaseline) (22).

#### 3.3. Glu Preparation

Glu (Sanofi-Aventis, France) was prepared for the experiments at 3.12-400  $\mu$ g/mL concentration, as described in the Art Section (23).

#### 3.4. Preparation of ShCE

Neural cord cartilage was prepared from a Dogfish shark (Persian Gulf, Iran) (21, 23). Four hundred  $\mu$ g/mL of ShCE was used for all the concentrations of assays *in vitro*. Moreover, 0.5 mL/mouse (20 mg/kg) was used in mice orally daily (24).

## 3.5. Promastigote Inhibition

To determine the  $IC_{50}$  (half-maximal inhibitory concentration) of the drugs, *L. major* promastigotes were exposed to different concentrations of Art, Glu, Art-Glu, and ShCE, as described in our previous studies on *L. infantum* (23-25). IC<sub>50</sub> was calculated based on the promastigote counts.

## 3.6. MTT Assay for Promastigote Viability

The MTT assay was used to evaluate the cytotoxic effects of the drugs and their combinations. Briefly, 10<sup>6</sup>/mL of log-phase promastigotes was exposed to different concentrations of Art, Glu, Art-Glu, Art-ShCE, Glu-ShCE, and ShCE. After 72h of incubation at 24°C, MTT solution (20 $\mu$ L) was added to each well and then incubated at 37°C for 5h in a dark room. The plates were centrifuged at 300 rpm for 10 min, and 100  $\mu$ L Dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was added to the pellets (26). After 10 min, the optical densities (OD) were measured at 570nm, according to which the viability percentage was estimated as follows: Viability percentage = (Absorbance of treated cells/Absorbance of control cells) ×100

## 3.7. Amastigote Assay

3.7.1. Macrophage Culture and Infected Macrophage Preparation

The J774 macrophage cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 supplemented with 10% FBS, penicillin G (100 IU/mL), and streptomycin (100 $\mu$ g/mL) and then incubated at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub>. To prepare the infected macrophages, the stationary phase of *L. major* promastigotes was added to the cultured macrophages (10 parasites/ macrophage) and then incubated at 37°C with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> and 95% humidity for 24 h. Finally, the cultures were washed to remove free parasites and re-incubated for 24 h.

#### 3.7.2. MTT Assay for Macrophage Viability

When the macrophage culture was prepared, their culture medium was replaced with a fresh medium containing the drugs at different concentrations (400-3.12  $\mu$ g/mL). After 72h, the viability of the macrophages was assessed using an MTT assay.

#### 3.8. Anti-amastigote Experiment

The J774 macrophages (10<sup>5</sup> cells /mL) were cultured in 24-well plates and incubated for 24h. Round coverslips were also placed in the bottom of the wells. The non-adherent cells were washed by fresh RPMI and then removed. The adherent macrophages were infected by stationary-phase promastigotes at a parasite/macrophage ratio of 10:1, and then the plate was incubated with 5% CO<sub>2</sub> at 37°C. After six hours, free promastigotes were removed by being washed with fresh RPMI. Then,  $100 \mu g/mL$  of drugs were added to the infected macrophages. The infected macrophages with no drug were used as a negative control. The macrophages were then fixed in methanol and stained with Giemsa. The percentage of the infected cells and the number of amastigotes/infected cells were calculated. The results were expressed as the mean of three independent tests. Promastigote and amastigote assay experiments were performed in triplicate.

## 3.9. In Vivo Experiments

One hundred and forty 5 - 7-week-old female inbred BALB/c mice (mean weight: 16 - 18 g) were purchased from the Pasteur Institute of Iran. The mice were transferred to the Animal House of the Tarbiat Modares University and kept at  $22 \pm 1^{\circ}$ C in a 12-h day/night cycle. They were provided with a standard pellet diet and water *ad libitum*. The mice were then assigned into 20 groups, with seven mice per group. They were treated using either the drugs alone or the combination of the drugs with different routes of

administration, as presented in Table 1. To prepare the *L.* major model of leishmaniasis, the mice were inoculated in the tail with  $10^7$  stationary-phase promastigotes. The treatments started 14 consecutive days following the emergence of the disease. The doses of the drugs during treatment of the mice were as follows: (i) 25  $\mu$ g/mL of Art orally, intraperitoneally, or as an ointment; (ii) 20 mg/kg/ bodyweight of Glu (85mg/mL of antimony) intraperitoneally, intralesionally, intramuscularly, and subcutaneously; (iii) 0.5 mg/kg/bodyweight of oral ShCE (

## 3.10. Parasite Burden and Real-time PCR (RT-qPCR)

The number of parasites in the spleen and lesions of mice (5 mice of each group were sacrificed) was determined using the RT-qPCR assay in the fourth posttreatment week (50 days after the inoculation of parasites). RNA was extracted from spleen and liver tissues (30 mg) using RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's protocol. Then cDNA was synthesized using Quanti Tect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen). About 10<sup>7</sup> promastigotes were harvested and washed twice with Phosphate-buffered saline (PBS), and RNA was extracted to generate a standard curve. The RNA concentration and purity were evaluated using  $A_{260}$  spectrophotometry. The primers were designed for the kDNA gene of *L. major* using NCBI primer design tool.

The primers' sequences were as follows: forward: 5<sup>-</sup>-GCGGGTACCATGCAGGGGACTTGGTTTTC-3<sup>-</sup> and reverse: 5<sup>-</sup>-CGGGGGAATTCTCACTCTTTGCGGATTCTTT-3<sup>-</sup>.

RT-qPCR was performed by using the Light Cycler system and Taq DNA Polymerase 2X Master Mix RED (Viragen Diagnostic) as well as  $2 \mu L(10 \text{ pg})$  of the cDNA template. The PCR protocol was as follows: initial denaturation at 95°C for 10 min followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 10 s, 54°C for 10 s, and 72°C for 25 s. The final extension step at 72°C for 10 min was finally added. The test was perfomed in triplicates. First, mean threshold cycles (C<sub>q</sub>) and standard deviation (SD) were calculated, and then a standard curve of mean C<sub>q</sub> against parasite number was prepared (24).

## 3.11. Lesion Sizes

The size of the lesions was monitored using a caliper per six days. The measurements started before the treatment (week 0) and during the treatment (weeks 1, 2, 3, and 4).

# 3.12. Cytokine Assay

Five mice from each group were sacrificed, and spleen lymphocytes were extracted to measure INF- $\gamma$  and IL-4 levels, as described in the previous studies (24, 25).

lable 1. Animal Study: Mice Received Either Drugs Alone or in Combination with Different Routes of Administration								
	Mice Groups	<b>Route of Administration</b>	Abbreviation					
1	Uninfected-untreated	-	CTRL (-)					
2	Infected-untreated	•	CTRL (+)					
3	Artemisinin-treated	Oral	Artoral					
4		IP	ArtIP					
5		Oint	Artoint					
6	Glucantime-treated	IP	GluIP					
7		IL	GluIL					
8		IM	GluIM					
9		SC	GluSC					
10	ShCE treated	Oral	ShCE					
11	Artemisinin oral- Glucantime Intraperitoneal treated	Oral-IP	ArtoralGluIP					
12	Artemisinin oral- Glucantime Intramuscular treated	Oral-IM	ArtoralGluIM					
13	Artemisinin ointment- Glucantime Intraperitoneal treated	Oint-IP	ArtointGluIP					
14	Artemisinin ointment- Glucantime Intramuscular treated	Oint-IM	ArtointGluIM					
15	Artemisinin oral-Shark Cartilage Extract treated	Oral	ArtoralShCE					
16	Artemisinin ointment- Shark Cartilage Extract treated	Oint-Oral	ArtOintShCE					
17	Glucantime Intraperitoneal- Shark Cartilage Extract treated	IP-Oral	GluIPShCE					
18	Glucantime Intramuscular- Shark Cartilage Extract treated	IM-Oral	GluIMShCE					
19	Ethanol (As Artemisinin solvent) treated	IP	Ethanol					
20	Vaseline- treated (Ointment base)	Oint	Vaseline					

Abbreviations: IP, intraperitoneal; IM, intramuscular; IL, intralesional; SC, subcutaneous; Oint, ointment.

# 3.13. Statistical Analysis

Differences among the groups were determined using One-Way ANOVA and Tukey-Krammer tests. T-test was used to compare the groups in pairs. P < 0.05 was set as the significance level. SigmaPlot 12.3 was used to determine  $IC_{50}$ values.

## 4. Results

## 4.1. In vitro Experiments Promastigote Assay Inhibition Test

There were fewer parasites in the Art group after the administration of all Art concentrations, except for 3.12  $\mu$ g/mL and 6.2 $\mu$ g/mL after 24 h. All Art concentrations, except for 3.12 $\mu$ g/mL after 48 h, reduced the number of parasite. The parasites were fewer than the control group at all Art concentration after 72 h, and Glu had effects on promastigotes after 48 h (P < 0.05). Regarding the drug combinations, the greatest synergistic effect was observed in Art-ShCE and Art-Glu groups after 72 h, respectively. Moreover, ShCE had a significant effect on promastigotes at all time points (Figure 1). The IC<sub>50</sub> of Art, Glu, Art-Glu, Art-ShCE, and

4

Glu-ShCE on promastigotes after 72h were 29.05  $\pm$  1.53, 279  $\pm$  2.18, 34.1  $\pm$  2.2, 21.8  $\pm$  1.9, and 329  $\pm$  1.59 respectively (Figure 1).

#### 4.2. MTT Assay

The cytotoxic effects of the drugs on promastigotes and uninfected macrophages are presented in Figure 2A and B. As it can be noticed, ShCE, Art-ShCE, and Art-Glu have high cytotoxic effects. Note that the cytotoxic effect of Art on promastigotes was greater than that of Glu (P < 0.001). On the other hand, ShCE had a small cytotoxic effect on uninfected macrophages, and Glu was more cytotoxic than Art for uninfected macrophages (P < 0.05) (Figure 2).

## 4.3. Amastigote Assay

In this study, the effects of the drugs on infected macrophages and amastigotes were greater than that of the promastigotes (P < 0.05). This was more remarkable for Glu. In general, the effect of Glu on amastigotes was greater than that of promastigotes. Unlike promastigotes,







Figure 2. Viability of drug-treated promastigotes (A) and uninfected macrophages (B) compared to control groups. (A) ShCE, Art-ShCE, and Glu-ShCE were highly toxic for the promastigotes. B) In contrast, they had smaller toxic effects on uninfected macrophages.

the effects of ShCE on infected macrophages and amastigotes were negligible. Regarding the drug combinations, the effects of Art-Glu on amastigotes were greater than other combinations; however, the difference was not statistically significant (P > 0.05) (Figure 3). The IC<sub>50</sub> of Art, Glu, Art-Glu, Art-ShCE, and Glu-ShCE on amastigotes after 72h were 83.7  $\pm$  0.73, 59.5  $\pm$  0.57, 54.1  $\pm$  0.22, 89.8  $\pm$  0.9, and 51.2  $\pm$  0.39, respectively.

# 4.4. In Vivo Experiments

#### 4.4.1. Parasite Burden

Figure 4 presents the RT-qPCR assay results on the spleen and the lesions. The parasite burden was lower on the spleen than on the lesions after the treatment (P < 0.05). The intramuscular administration of Glu and Art ointment resulted in minimum parasite burden. Additionally, oral administration was better than IP administration. The intra-lesional injection of Glu provided better results than the IP administration; however, the intra-lesional administration resulted in a bad look at the lesions. The drug combinations resulted in a lower parasite burden than the single drugs. As shown in Figure 4, the IM administration of Glu and oral Art had the highest effect on the parasite burden.

The Glu-ShCE was more effective than the Art-ShCE combination (P < 0.05). Although ShCE and oral Art groups revealed a statistically significant difference with the control group, no effective result was observed. The results of the parasite burden on the lesions of all the treatment groups were statistically significant, compared to the control group (P < 0.05). On the other hand, the parasite burden on the spleen of the Art-IP (P = 0.9), ShCE (P = 0.4), and Vaseline (P = 0.1) groups was not significantly different from that of the control group. The other groups, however, were statistically and significantly different from the control group (P < 0.05).

## 4.2. Lesion Size

The lesion size increased in the control and Vaseline groups at the end of the fifth weeks after the emergence of the lesions. In the other groups, the size of the lesions decreased in the first week after the treatment. Then the size of the lesion increased during the second week. Afterwards, the size of the lesions decreased until the end of the fifth week after the treatment. The efficacy of the drug combinations was ArtointGluIM< GluIMShCE< Glu IM<ArtoralGluIM< ArtOint< ArtoralGLuIP, with Artoint-GluIM and ArtoralGLuIP having the highest and lowest efficacy, respectively. Ethanol was used as Art solvent and significantly affected the lesion size reduction (Table 2).

# 4.3. Cytokine Assay

INF- $\gamma$  and IL-4 levels were evaluated in all the groups (Figure 5). The level of IFN- $\gamma$  was higher in all the groups than in the positive and negative control groups (P < 0.05). Moreover, the IL-4 level was higher in control infected mice than in the treatment groups (P < 0.05). There was a statistically significant difference between the IL-4 levels in all the groups compared to the positive control group (P < 0.05). However, the IFN- $\gamma$  levels in the GluIL, GluIM, ArtOintment, ShCE, ArtoralGluIP, ArtOralGluIM, ArtintGluIM, ArtOintShCE, GluIMShCE groups had a statistically significant difference with the positive control groups (P < 0.05).

# 5. Discussion

The present study revealed that Art and Glu alone had inhibitory effects on promastigotes. Art-ShCE and then Art-Glu combinations also had the best synergistic effects on promastigote inhibition. Moreover, ShCE, ShCE-Art, and ShCE-Glu had high cytotoxic effects on promastigotes and low cytotoxic effects on uninfected macrophages. All the drugs had greater effects on amastigotes and infected macrophages than promastigotes. In this regard, Art-Glu had the highest effect on amastigotes. Parasite burden was also reduced on the spleens and lesions of the treated mice. Moreover, the treatment increased INF- $\gamma$  and decreased IL-4 and lesion size. According to Cortes et al., Art has inhibitory effects on promastigotes and amastigotes of Leishmania ranging between  $3.51\mu$ M and 1.25mM for promastigotes and between 79.76 $\mu$ M and 1.20 mM for amastigotes (27).

Ghaffarifar et al. also showed that  $100\mu g/mL$  of Art could inhibit 81% of *L. major* promastigotes (22). In Esavand Heydari's study, Art had an IC<sub>50</sub> of 50  $\mu g/mL$  on *L. major*. In the present experiment, the IC<sub>50</sub> of Art was 29.05  $\pm$  1.53 $\mu g/mL$ , which is higher than those reported by Cortes and Heydari. Esavand Heydari et al. explored the toxic effects of Art and *Artemisinin sieberi* on promastigotes and mouse macrophages (28). They found that although *Artemisia sieberi* was more effective than Art, Art was considerably cytotoxic for promastigotes. Art could reduce promastigote viability by 40% after 72 h. Furthermore, the cytotoxic effect of Art on uninfected macrophages was negligible. In another study by Sen et al., the researchers showed that Art reduced the viability of *Leishmania* sp. promastigotes by about 25%.

Sen et al. showed that Art was toxic to uninfected macrophages and induced the production of macrophage







Figure 4. Parasite burden: The RT-qPCR results indicated that parasite burden on the spleen was less than the burden on lesions. The IM administration of Glu and the ointment administration of Art simultaneously led to the least parasite burden.



Figure 5. IFN- $\gamma$  and IL4 levels in lymphocytes separated from spleen in different groups after 72h, following stimulation by soluble Leishmania Antigen.

Table 2. Comparison of Lesion Sizes (Mm <sup>2</sup> ) among the Groups <sup>a</sup>									
	Week 0	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5			
CTRL	$3.83\pm0.2$	$6.2\pm0.17$	$8.73\pm0.20$	$12.86\pm0.16$	$14\pm0.31$	$15.56\pm0.28$			
Vaseline	$4.3\pm0.2$	$7.73\pm0.33$	$8.76\pm0.56$	$10.9 \pm 0.17 (P < 0.05)$	$12.8\pm0.15$	14.43 ± 0.12 (P < 0.05)			
Ethanol	$5.033 \pm 0.14$	$7.06\pm0.1$	$8.76\pm0.5$	$5.93\pm0.74(P<0.05)$	$3.23 \pm 0.29  (P < 0.05)$	$2.6\pm0.12(P\!<0.05)$			
Art IP	$6.16\pm0.33$	$7.2\pm0.4$	$7.66\pm0.16$	6.46 ± 0.39 (P < 0.05)	$6.3 \pm 0.14  (P < 0.05)$	$6.26 \pm 0.13  (P < 0.05)$			
ShCE	$5.9\pm0.37$	$6.73\pm0.61$	$\textbf{7.66} \pm \textbf{0.13}$	$5.8 \pm 0.49  (P < 0.05)$	$5.83 \pm 044  (P < 0.05)$	$6.23 \pm 0.14  (P < 0.05)$			
ArtoralShCE	$4.76\pm0.14$	$6.66\pm0.16$	7.46 ± 0.26 (P < 0.05)	5.43 ± 0.52 (P < 0.05)	5.03 ± 0.26 (P < 0.05)	$5.16 \pm 0.16  (P < 0.05)$			
Glu SC	5.83 ± 0.44 (P < 0.05)	$6.76\pm0.14$	$7.46\pm0.26$	$5.73 \pm 0.5 (P < 0.05)$	4.93 ± 0.16 (P < 0.05)	4.93 ± 0.29 (P < 0.05)			
Glu IP	$4.76\pm0.62$	$6.46\pm0.31$	$8.36\pm0.22$	$5.5 \pm 0.29  (P < 0.05)$	$4.16\pm0.29(P\!<0.05)$	$4.16\pm0.16(P<0.05)$			
ArtointGluIP	5.66 ± 0.28 (P < 0.05)	$6.16\pm027$	$6.96\pm0.03$	4.7 ± 0.36 (P < 0.05)	$4.53\pm0.40(P\!<\!0.05)$	$4.23 \pm 0.12  (P < 0.05)$			
Artoral	$5.73 \pm 0.62  (P < 0.05)$	$6.23\pm0.31$	$7.26\pm29$	$5.9 \pm 0.28  (P < 0.05)$	6.033333 ± 0.16 (P < 0.05)	$5.4 \pm 0.29  (P < 0.05)$			
ArtointShCE	5.43 ± 0.56 (P < 0.05)	$6.76\pm0.14$	$7.56\pm0.17$	5.43 ± 0.52 (P < 0.05)	4.46 ± 0.08 (P < 0.05)	4.03 ± 0.08 (P < 0.05)			
GluIPShCE	$6.1 \pm 0.1 (P < 0.05)$	$7\pm0.28$	$7.8\pm0.15$	$5.43 \pm 0.52  (P < 0.05)$	$5.06\pm0.14(P\!<0.05)$	$5.23\pm0.16(P<0.05)$			
Glu IL	$5.83\pm0.20$	$6.4\pm0.38$	6.93 ± 0.23 (P< 0.05)	$6.4 \pm 1.05  (P < 0.05)$	4.66 ± 0.36 (P < 0.05)	$4.66 \pm 04  (P < 0.05)$			
ArtoralGLuIP	$5.5 \pm 0.05 (P < 0.05)$	$5.9\pm0.37$	$6.6 \pm 0.37 (P < 0.05)$	$5.56\pm0.21(P\!<0.05)$	$3.9 \pm 0.3  (P < 0.05)$	$3.4 \pm 0.1 (P < 0.05)$			
ArtOintment	$5.53\pm0.81(P\!<0.05)$	$5.83 \pm 0.44$	$6.7 \pm 0.47 (P < 0.05)$	$5.6\pm0.15(P\!<0.05)$	$3.26 \pm 0.33  (P < 0.05)$	$3.16 \pm 0.29  (P < 0.05)$			
Glu IM	5.23 ± 0.43 (P< 0.05)	$5.1\pm0.2$	4.73 ± 0.37 (P< 0.05)	$3.36 \pm 0.27 (P < 0.05)$	2.03 ± 0.04 (P < 0.05)	2.03 ± 0.03 (P < 0.05)			
GluIMShCE	$5.83\pm0.8$	$5.83 \pm 0.32$	$4.1 \pm 0.2  (P < 0.05)$	$3.66\pm0.14(P<0.05)$	$2.13\pm0.21(P<0.05)$	$2.1 \pm 0.39  (P < 0.05)$			
ArtoraGluIM	4.43 ± 0.23	$4.9 \pm 0.2  (P < 0.05)$	7.53 ± (P < 0.05)	4.43 ± 0.43 (P < 0.05)	$3.73 \pm 0.21 (P < 0.05)$	2.63 ± 0.17 (P< 0.05)			
ArtoinGluIM	$5.5\pm0.28$	$4.53\pm0.14$	$6.46\pm0.48$	$4.93 \pm 0.22  (P < 0.05)$	$2.53 \pm 0.88 (P < 0.05)$	1.76 ± 0.18 (P < 0.05)			

<sup>a</sup>P-values greater than 0.05 are not shown.

nitric oxide as an essential element in eliminating Leishmania parasites (29). The anti-leishmanial effects of Art are associated with the cleavage of its endoperoxidase bridge, which results in the production of oxygen radicals. Given the relatively compromised anti-oxidant system of the Leishmania parasite, oxygen radicals can readily inhibit Leishmania mitochondrial complexes (30). On the other hand, Glu exerts its anti-leishmanial effects by oxidative stress-derived DNA damage and is equally detrimental to human and Leishmania cells (31). In this study, although Glu had inhibitory effects on promastigotes, Art was more effective than Glu in all doses at all time points. Furthermore, Glu was less toxic than Art for promastigotes and had higher toxic effects than Art on macrophages. In contrast, the researcher in a study on 49 patients reported the patients's complain about myalgia (32). Other studies have also reported cardiotoxicity and skin reaction because of the heavy metals existing in antimoniate-based therapeutics (33, 34).

To the best of our knowledge, no study, except for our previous two studies, has examined the effects of ShCE on *Leishmania* promastigotes (23, 24). Our findings revealed that ShCE had an inhibitory effect on promastigotes at all time points; however, the mechanism of action of shark cartilage is unclear. The most potent protein fraction stimulating the immune response or even the anti-promastigote effect of ShCE is associated with low-molecular-weight proteins, as used in this study (14 - 15 kDa) (21). The effects of the drug combinations on promastigotes also showed that Art combined with either ShCE or Glu had a promastigote inhibitory effect as such Art-ShCE was more effective than Glu-ShCE. Although Art-

ShCE was more effective than Art-GLu, the diffrence was not statistically significant.

Interestingly, the Art-ShCE cytotoxic had smaller effects on uninfected macrophages than GLu-ShCE and Art-Glu, indicating the synergistic effect of Art and ShCE on promastigote inhibition and the low toxicity of Art-ShCE on uninfected mouse macrophages. The findings of the present study also revealed that all the drugs and their combinations, especially Glu, had greater effects on amastigotes and infected macrophages than promastigotes. The results showed that the combinations are more effective than the single drugs. Art-Glu was more effective than the other combinations; however, the difference was not statistically significant. These findings are in line with those in other studies. For example, Musfikur et al. showed that the combination of art-amphotericin B and art-miltefosine is more effective than either of the drugs (35).

All the drugs and their combinations reduced the parasite burden on the spleen and lesions, compared to the control groups. The *in vivo* experiments showed that the IM administration of Glu-Art ointment and then the IM administration of Glu-ShCE caused the least parasite burden on the spleen, compared to single drugs and other drug combinations. All the drugs and their combinations in the lesions resulted in a lower parasite burden, compared to the control groups. Lesion size was smaller in all the treatment groups than in the control groups. Note that the most effective treatment was ArtoralGLuIP.

According to the cytokine analysis, INF- $\gamma$  and IL-4 levels were higher and lower in the treatment and control groups, respectively. However, the parasite burden was lower on the spleen than on the lesions. A review of the literature indicates that Art is highly effective in decreasing parasite burden (28, 29), which seems to be associated with the direct anti-leishmanial effects of Art rather than nitric oxide-dependent pathways (29). Mostafavi et al. indicated that Glu effectively reduced parasite burden more than four folds in BALB/c mice compare to control groups (36). In sum, the present findings suggest that the best route of administration is Artoint-GluIM, followed by Artoral-GluIM.

Lesion size was also reduced five weeks after the treatment in the treatment groups but not in the control groups. The most effective combinations were Artoral-GLuIP, Artointment, ArtoraGluIM, Glu IM, GluIMShCE, and ArtoinGluIM. The direct contact and penetration of the therapeutic drugs into the lesions may justidy the high efficacy of the ointment (28). No study has yet documented why the IM administration of Glu is more effective than the IV administration in mice. Further studies are recommended to address this issue. In this study, ArtoralGluIM and ArtoinGluIM were also among the most effective combinations for parasite burden reduction. Aghai et al. also showed that Glu effectively reduced lesion size eight weeks after the treatment (37), and that Glu in combination with olive oil was more effective. This is in agreement with our findings, suggesting that Glu combined with herbal compounds is more effective than Glu alone.

According to the present findings, lesions size was smaller in the Ethanol (As Artemisinin solvent) treated mice than the Art treated mice at the end of the fifth weeks. This is probably related to the limited size and the dry appearance of the lesion. However, according to the parasite load results, the parasite load in the former group was more than the latter groups. The immune-modulatory effects of the anti-leishmanial agents are significant since one of the challenges in Leishmania treatment is impaired immune responses. The present study showed that the drugs and their combination resulted in an elevated INF- $\gamma$ level and a declined IL-4 level in the treatment groups, compared to the positive control group. Furthermore, IL-4 was lower in all the treatment groups than the positive control group, and INF- $\gamma$  was higher in the GluIL, GluIM, Artoint, ShCE, ArtoralGluIP, ArtOralGluIM, ArtOIntmentGluIM, ArtointmentShCE, and GluIMShCE groups than the positive control group. Sen et al. also claimed that Art induced the production of Th1 cytokines such as INF- $\gamma$ . However, they observed no variation in the IL-4 levels after treatment with Art (29). Mostafavi et al. also evaluated the levels of Th1 and Th2 cytokines after treatment with Glu. Although they measured IL-10 and IL-12, they reached the findings similar to the present research findings, indicating that Glu increased Th1 cytokines and decreased Th2 cytokines, compared to the untreated control groups (36).

## 5.1. Conclusions

The findings indicate the anti-leishmanial effect of the concerned drugs. It is also revealed that the Art-ShCE combination has a more inhibitory effect on *L. major* promastigotes and amastigotes than other drug combinations, and that it is not toxic for uninfected macrophages. The findings also document that the best combination of Glu administration routes is IM, and that the ointment is the best Art administration route. However, further studies on animal and clinical trials are recommended to evaluate these combinations in human subjects.

## Acknowledgments

We are thankful to the faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, for their support in this study.

## Footnotes

**Authors' Contribution:** Study concept and design: F. GH., and S. M.; Data analysis and interpretation: S. M., and A. D.; Manuscript drafting: S. M.; Critical revision of the manuscript for main intellectual content: S. D., V. N., and M. F.; Statistical analysis: H. H.

**Conflict of Interests:** The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest.

**Ethical Approval:** This study was approved by the Ethical Committee on April 27, 2015 in the Faculty of Medical Sciences at the Tarbiat Modares University. The project observed the guidelines in the Declaration of Helsinki (1975(and the Society for Neuroscience Animal Care and Use guidelines. The experiments were performed at the Department of Parasitology, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran, during 2016-2018 (No: 52D/3593/TUMS).

**Funding/Support:** This research extracted from a Ph.D. thesis was supported by the Tarbiat Modares University.

#### References

- Ghatee MA, Taylor WR, Karamian M. The geographical distribution of cutaneous leishmaniasis causative agents in Iran and its neighboring countries, a review. *Front Public Health.* 2020;8:11. doi: 10.3389/fpubh.2020.00011. [PubMed: 32133334]. [PubMed Central: PMC7039857].
- Mohebali M. Visceral leishmaniasis in Iran: Review of the epidemiological and clinical features. *Iran J Parasitol*. 2013;8(3):348–58. [PubMed: 24454426]. [PubMed Central: PMC3887234].
- Karami M, Doudi M, Setorki M. Assessing epidemiology of cutaneous leishmaniasis in Isfahan, Iran. *J Vector Borne Dis*. 2013;50(1):30–7. [PubMed: 23703437].
- Zahirnia AH, Bordbar A, Ebrahimi S, Spotin A, Mohammadi S, Ghafari SM, et al. Predominance of Leishmania major and rare occurrence of Leishmania tropica with haplotype variability at the center of Iran. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2018;22(4):278–87. doi: 10.1016/j.bjid.2018.07.005. [PubMed: 30114384].
- Murray HW, Berman JD, Davies CR, Saravia NG. Advances in leishmaniasis. *Lancet*. 2005;**366**(9496):1561-77. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(05)67629-5. [PubMed: 16257344].
- Alvar J, Velez ID, Bern C, Herrero M, Desjeux P, Cano J, et al. Leishmaniasis worldwide and global estimates of its incidence. *PLoS One*. 2012;7(5). e35671. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0035671. [PubMed: 22693548]. [PubMed Central: PMC3365071].
- Sharma M, Shaikh N, Yadav S, Singh S, Garg P. A systematic reconstruction and constraint-based analysis of Leishmania donovani metabolic network: identification of potential antileishmanial drug targets. *Mol Biosyst.* 2017;13(5):955–69. doi: 10.1039/c6mb00823b. [PubMed: 28367572].

- Baiocco P, Ilari A, Ceci P, Orsini S, Gramiccia M, Di Muccio T, et al. Inhibitory effect of silver nanoparticles on trypanothione reductase activity and leishmania infantum proliferation. ACS Med Chem Lett. 2011;2(3):230–3. doi: 10.1021/ml1002629. [PubMed: 24900299]. [PubMed Central: PMC4017975].
- Sen R, Chatterjee M. Plant derived therapeutics for the treatment of Leishmaniasis. *Phytomedicine*. 2011;**18**(12):1056–69. doi: 10.1016/j.phymed.2011.03.004. [PubMed: 21596544].
- Kayser O, Kiderlen AF, Croft SL. Natural products as antiparasitic drugs. *Parasitol Res*. 2003;**90 Suppl 2**:S55–62. doi: 10.1007/s00436-002-0768-3. [PubMed: 12937967].
- Olsson ME, Olofsson LM, Lindahl AL, Lundgren A, Brodelius M, Brodelius PE. Localization of enzymes of artemisinin biosynthesis to the apical cells of glandular secretory trichomes of Artemisia annua L. *Phytochemistry*. 2009;**70**(9):1123–8. doi: 10.1016/j.phytochem.2009.07.009. [PubMed: 19664791].
- Wang MW, Hao X, Chen K. Biological screening of natural products and drug innovation in China. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci.* 2007;**362**(1482):1093-105. doi: 10.1098/rstb.2007.2036. [PubMed: 17317643]. [PubMed Central: PMC2435573].
- Yang DM, Liew FY. Effects of qinghaosu (artemisinin) and its derivatives on experimental cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Parasitol*ogy. 1993;**106 ( Pt 1)**:7-11. doi: 10.1017/s0031182000074758. [PubMed: 8479804].
- Khadem F, Uzonna JE. Immunity to visceral leishmaniasis: implications for immunotherapy. *Future Microbiol*. 2014;9(7):901-15. doi: 10.2217/fmb.14.43. [PubMed: 25156379].
- Mortazavi Dehkordi N, Ghaffarifar F, Mohammad Hassan Z, Esavand Heydari F. In vitro and in vivo studies of antileishmanial effect of artemether on leishmania infantum. *Jundishapur J Microbiol.* 2013;6(5). doi: 10.5812/jjm.6379.
- Keiser J, Vargas M. Effect of artemether, artesunate, OZ78, praziquantel, and tribendimidine alone or in combination chemotherapy on the tegument of Clonorchis sinensis. *Parasitol Int.* 2010;**59**(3):472–6. doi: 10.1016/j.parint.2010.04.003. [PubMed: 20416396].
- Kaptein SJ, Efferth T, Leis M, Rechter S, Auerochs S, Kalmer M, et al. The anti-malaria drug artesunate inhibits replication of cytomegalovirus in vitro and in vivo. *Antiviral Res.* 2006;69(2):60–9. doi: 10.1016/j.antiviral.2005.10.003. [PubMed: 16325931].
- Kumar S, Khanuja SPS, Kumar TRS, Jain DC, Srivastava S, Bhattacharya AK, et al. Method for the use of alpha arteether as an anti-bacterial and anti-fungal agent. U.S.A: Google Patents; 2000. Available from: https: //patents.google.com/patent/US6127405A/en.
- Galal AM, Ross SA, Jacob M, ElSohly MA. Antifungal activity of artemisinin derivatives. J Nat Prod. 2005;68(8):1274–6. doi: 10.1021/np050074u. [PubMed: 16124777].
- Morrissey C, Gallis B, Solazzi JW, Kim BJ, Gulati R, Vakar-Lopez F, et al. Effect of artemisinin derivatives on apoptosis and cell cycle in prostate cancer cells. *Anticancer Drugs*. 2010;**21**(4):423–32. doi: 10.1097/CAD.0b013e328336f57b. [PubMed: 20130467]. [PubMed Central: PMC2953769].
- Hassan ZM, Feyzi R, Sheikhian A, Bargahi A, Mostafaie A, Mansouri K, et al. Low molecular weight fraction of shark cartilage can modulate immune responses and abolish angiogenesis. *Int Immunopharmacol.* 2005;5(6):961-70. doi: 10.1016/j.intimp.2005.01.006. [PubMed: 15829412].
- Ghaffarifar F, Esavand Heydari F, Dalimi A, Hassan ZM, Delavari M, Mikaeiloo H. Evaluation of apoptotic and antileishmanial activities of artemisinin on promastigotes and BALB/C mice infected with Leishmania major. *Iran J Parasitol*. 2015;10(2):258–67. [PubMed: 26246824]. [PubMed Central: PMC4522302].

- Molaie S, Ghaffarifar F, Hasan ZM, Dalimi A. Enhancement effect of shark cartilage extract on treatment of Leishmania infantum with artemisinin and glucantime and evaluation of killing factors and apoptosis in-vitro condition. *Iran J Pharm Res.* 2019;18(2):887–902. doi: 10.22037/ijpr.2019.1100656. [PubMed: 31531071]. [PubMed Central: PMC6706737].
- Molaie S, Ghaffarifar F, Dalimi A, Zuhair MH, Sharifi Z. Evaluation of synergistic therapeutic effect of shark cartilage extract with artemisinin and glucantime on visceral leishmaniasis in BALB/c mice. *Iran J Basic Med Sci.* 2019;22(2):146–53. doi: 10.22038/ijbms.2018.31124.7504. [PubMed: 30834079]. [PubMed Central: PMC6396994].
- Ghafarifar F, Molaie S, Abazari R, Hasan ZM, Foroutan M. Fe3O4@Bio-MOF nanoparticles combined with artemisinin, glucantime(r), or shark cartilage extract on Iranian strain of Leishmania major (MRHO/IR/75/ER): An in-vitro and in-vivo study. *Iran J Parasitol.* 2020;**15**(4):537–48. doi: 10.18502/ijpa.v15i4.4859. [PubMed: 33884011]. [PubMed Central: PMC8039492].
- Sharif M, Ziaei H, Azadbakht M, Daryani A, Ebadattalab A, Rostami M. Effect of methanolic extracts of Artemisia aucheri and Camellia sinensis on Leishmania major (in vitro). *Turk J Med Sci.* 2007;**36**(6):365–9.
- Cortes S, Albuquerque A, Cabral LI, Lopes L, Campino L, Cristiano ML. In vitro susceptibility of Leishmania infantum to artemisinin derivatives and selected trioxolanes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2015;**59**(8):5032–5. doi: 10.1128/AAC.00298-15. [PubMed: 26014947]. [PubMed Central: PMC4505222].
- Esavand Heydari F, Ghaffarifar F, Soflaei S, Dalimi A. Comparison between in vitro effects of aqueous extract of artemisia seiberi and artemisinin on Leishmania major. *Jundishapur J Nat Pharm Prod.* 2013;8(2):70-5. [PubMed: 24624191]. [PubMed Central: PMC3941912].
- Sen R, Ganguly S, Saha P, Chatterjee M. Efficacy of artemisinin in experimental visceral leishmaniasis. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2010;**36**(1):43–9. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.03.008. [PubMed: 20403680].
- 30. De Sarkar S, Sarkar D, Sarkar A, Dighal A, Chakrabarti S, Staniek K, et al. The leishmanicidal activity of artemisinin is mediated by cleavage of the endoperoxide bridge and mitochondrial dysfunction. *Para*-

*sitology*. 2019;**146**(4):511-20. doi: 10.1017/S003118201800183X. [PubMed: 30392476].

- Moreira VR, de Jesus LCL, Soares RP, Silva LDM, Pinto BAS, Melo MN, et al. Meglumine antimoniate (glucantime) causes oxidative stress-derived DNA damage in BALB/c mice infected by Leishmania (leishmania) infantum. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2017;61(6). doi: 10.1128/AAC.02360-16. [PubMed: 28320726]. [PubMed Central: PMC5444157].
- Layegh P, Yazdanpanah MJ, Vosugh EM, Pezeshkpoor F, Shakeri MT, Moghiman T. Efficacy of azithromycin versus systemic meglumine antimoniate (Glucantime) in the treatment of cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Am J Trop Med Hyg.* 2007;77(1):99–101. [PubMed: 17620637].
- Morais-Teixeira E, Carvalho AS, Costa JC, Duarte SL, Mendonca JS, Boechat N, et al. In vitro and in vivo activity of meglumine antimoniate produced at Farmanguinhos-Fiocruz, Brazil, against Leishmania (Leishmania) amazonensis, L (L.) chagasi and L (Viannia) braziliensis. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz*. 2008;103(4):358–62. doi: 10.1590/s0074-02762008000400008. [PubMed: 18660990].
- Romero GA, Flores M, Noronha EF, Macedo Vde O. High frequency of skin reactions in patients with leishmaniasis treated with meglumine antimoniate contaminated with heavy metals: a comparative approach using historical controls. *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz.* 2003;**98**(1):145–9. doi: 10.1590/s0074-02762003000100019. [PubMed: 12700873].
- Rahaman M, Ghosh S, Chowdhury LD, Chatterjee M. Evaluation of anti-leishmanial activity of artemisinin combined with amphotericin B or miltefosine in Leishmania donovani promastigotes. *Int J Basic Clin Pharmacol.* 2014;3(4):644.
- Mostafavi M, Sharifi I, Farajzadeh S, Khazaeli P, Sharifi H, Pourseyedi E, et al. Niosomal formulation of amphotericin B alone and in combination with glucantime: In vitro and in vivo leish-manicidal effects. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2019;**116**:108942. doi: 10.1016/j.biopha.2019.108942. [PubMed: 31152929].
- Aghaei M, Aghaei S, Sokhanvari F, Ansari N, Hosseini SM, Mohaghegh MA, et al. The therapeutic effect of ozonated olive oil plus glucantime on human cutaneous leishmaniasis. *Iran J Basic Med Sci.* 2019;22(1):25–30. doi: 10.22038/ijbms.2018.29232.7064. [PubMed: 30944704]. [PubMed Central: PMC6437465].