Published online 2022 July 13.

Research Article

Genetic Diversity, Antimicrobial Resistance, and Virulence Factors of *Enterococcus faecalis* Isolates Obtained from Stool Samples of Hospitalized Patients

Mitra Motallebi 1, 2,*, Zahra Sadat Seyyedi¹ and Mohammad Javad Azadchehr²

¹Department of Immunology and Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran ²Infectious Diseases Research Center, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran

^c Corresponding author: Department of Immunology and Microbiology, Faculty of Medicine, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran. Email: motallebi-m@kaums.ac.ir

Received 2021 November 27; Revised 2022 May 20; Accepted 2022 June 01.

Abstract

Background: *Enterococcus faecalis* rapidly develops resistance to different antibiotics, thereby resulting in serious nosocomial infections associated with high mortality rates and different problems in the healthcare systems.

Objectives: This study aimed to analyze the genetic diversity, antimicrobial resistance, and virulence factors of *E. faecalis* isolates obtained from the stool samples of patients in a hospital in the center of Iran.

Methods: In this cross-sectional descriptive-analytical study, 108 stool samples were collected from September 2019 to February 2020 from 108 patients hospitalized in a hospital in the center of Iran. *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates were detected using the *ddlE* gene detection technique, and antimicrobial resistance testing was performed using the disc agar diffusion method. Moreover, polymerase chain reaction was used to detect antimicrobial resistance genes and virulence factors. Genetic diversity was also analyzed by enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus using PCR. The BioNumerics software was used to construct a dendrogram. **Results:** Of 108 isolates, 50 samples were *E. faecalis* (46.2%). The prevalence of multidrug resistance among *E. faecalis* isolates was 62%, and most isolates were resistant to antibiotics tetracycline (70%), erythromycin (68%), and rifampin (60%). Among the *E. faecalis* isolates, the most prevalent antimicrobial resistance genes were *ermB* (96%), *aph* (2^{''}) *Ia* (66%), *aac*(6['])-*Ie* (40%), and *ermC* (30%), and the most prevalent virulence genes were *gelE* (78%), *asa1* (74%), and *esp* (74%). The genetic diversity analysis showed 25 ERIC types in two major clusters (ie, clusters H and J) and eight minor clusters (ie, clusters A-G and I). There was no significant difference between clusters H and J in terms of antimicrobial resistance and resistance genes (P > 0.05). In contrast, the prevalence of the *asa1* gene was significantly higher in cluster J than in cluster H (P < 0.05).

Conclusions: This study showed the high prevalence of multidrug resistance, and high heterogeneity among the *E. faecalis* isolates obtained from the stool samples of hospitalized patients.

Keywords: Enterococcus faecalis, ERIC Typing, Antimicrobial Resistance, Genetic Diversity, Virulence Factors

1. Background

Enterococcus faecalis is the normal flora of the gastrointestinal system of humans and animals. As an occasional pathogen, it can cause community-acquired and nosocomial infections (1). It is responsible for different nosocomial infections, particularly bacteremia, sepsis, endocarditis, wound infections, and urinary tract infections (2). Previous studies have revealed that most enterococcal infections are caused by *E. faecalis* strains (3, 4). Enterococcal infections are a major challenge to healthcare systems and a serious threat to patient life in Iran (5). In Iran, a study on 111 clinical *Enterococcus* isolates showed that 80.1% of the isolates were *E. faecalis* (6). Hospitalization and impaired immunity are the risk factors of *E. faecalis* infections (7). Moreover, antimicrobial resistance and virulence factors remarkably contribute to enterococcal infections in hospitalized patients (8). In addition to innate resistance to several antimicrobial agents, *Enterococcus* species can acquire high resistance to different antibiotics through the horizontal transfer of mobile genetic elements (9).

Given the wide and inappropriate use of antibiotics in clinical settings for managing community-acquired infections, the emergence of multidrug-resistant (MDR) *E. faecalis* strains has become a serious healthcare concern worldwide. There are limited treatment options for MDR bacterial species. Moreover, MDR species can transfer ge-

Copyright © 2022, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

netic antimicrobial resistance factors to other commensal bacteria in the gastrointestinal system or the environment (10). Accordingly, there are clinical challenges in managing enterococcal infections due to their progressive resistance to different antibiotics such as beta-lactams, macrolides, fluoroquinolones, glycopeptides, and aminoglycosides (11, 12).

Antimicrobial resistance to the high concentrations of aminoglycoside antibiotics is usually caused by the aminoglycoside modifying enzymes found in and encoded by mobile genetic elements. Moreover, resistance to vancomycin is encoded by the *van* gene clusters transferred in one transposon (13). Virulence factors such as gelatinase, aggregation substance proteins, *Enterococcus* surface proteins, collagen adhesion, cytolysin, and hyaluronidase play roles in bacterial adhesion, colonization, invasion, escaping from the host's immune response, extracellular enzyme production, virulence, and infection aggravation (14).

Source detection and the effective management of enterococcal nosocomial infections largely depend on determining their genetic diversity (15). Currently, different methods such as pulse-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE), restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP), and enterobacterial repetitive intergenic consensus using polymerase chain reaction (ERIC-PCR) have been used for the epidemiological assessment of bacteria (16, 17). ERIC-PCR is a popular molecular analysis method for typing Enterococcus isolates. The ERIC sequences are the imperfect palindromes of 127 base pairs (bp); however, the ERIC region can be smaller or larger due to internal eliminations or the entrance of larger sequences. The ERIC sequences were first detected in Escherichia coli, Salmonella typhimurium, other Enterobacteriaceae members, and Vibrio cholerae. However, it has recently been revealed that they are present in other species and can be used for typing (18). In Indonesia, a study showed that all E. faecalis isolates analyzed by the Eric typing method had >60% similarity and were in five clusters (19).

Several studies in different countries reported the great antimicrobial resistance and the great genetic diversity of *Enterococcus* species (20-22). A study on *Enterococcus* isolates obtained from the stool samples of children in the northwest of Iran showed great antimicrobial resistance to tetracycline, rifampin, and erythromycin and great genetic diversity among the *E. faecalis* isolates (23). The genetic pattern of *Enterococcus* species such as *E. faecalis* varies not only in different areas but also in a single setting; hence, there may be multiple clusters specific to a single area or setting.

2. Objectives

Studying the epidemiology of *E. faecalis* can provide valuable information about the current and future status of nosocomial infections. The present study aimed to analyze the genetic diversity, antimicrobial resistance, and virulence factors of *E. faecalis* isolates obtained from the stool samples of patients in a hospital in the center of Iran.

3. Methods

3.1. Sampling and Enterococcus faecalis Detection

This cross-sectional descriptive-analytical study was conducted from September 2019 to February 2020. The non-duplicated stool samples were obtained from patients hospitalized for at least three days in the internal medicine ward, infectious diseases ward, and coronary care unit of the Shahid Beheshti Hospital, Kashan, Iran. The isolates were cultured in the laboratory of the Microbiology Department of the Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran. They were phenotypically detected at the species level using biochemical tests such as catalase test, culturing on the brain heart infusion broth containing 6.5% NaCl, and the Bile-Esculin test (24). The identity of the isolates was genotypically confirmed using the PCR method and the specific primer of the *ddlE* gene of *E. faecalis* (25).

3.2. Antimicrobial Resistance Testing

The antimicrobial resistance pattern of E. faecalis isolates was determined phenotypically and genotypically. In the phenotypic method, the disc agar diffusion method was used according to the guidelines of the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) to test the antimicrobial resistance of the isolates for the following 10 antibiotics: penicillin (PEN, 10 units), ampicillin (AMP, 10 μ g), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 μ g), erythromycin (E, 15 μ g), tetracycline (TET, 30 μ g), nitrofurantoin (NI, 300 μ g), rifampin (RI, 5 μ g), quinupristin-dalfopristin (SYN, 15 μ g), and linezolid (LZD, 5 μ g). First, a 0.5 McFarland microbial suspension of the isolates was prepared. After culturing the isolates, the inhibition zone diameter was measured and interpreted based on the CLSI table (26). According to the recommendations of the CLSI. Staphylococcus aureus ATCC 25923 was used as a control to standardize the antimicrobial resistance test. Isolates resistant to at least three antibiotic classes were considered as MDR.

3.3. DNA Extraction

DNA extraction was performed using the DNA TIANamp extraction kit (TIANGEN Biotech, Beijing, China). The spin column in this kit is made of a silica membrane, which can attach to and detach from DNA under specific pH and salt conditions. The DNA concentration was measured using a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA).

3.4. Detection of the Antimicrobial Resistance and the Virulence Genes

The PCR method was employed to genotypically detect the genes responsible for resistance to antibiotics vancomycin (*vanB*, *vanA*), aminoglycosides (aac(6')-*le* aph(2'')Ia), and macrolides (ermA, ermB, ermC) (Table 1). To this end, specific primers and the method described in our previous work (27) were used. Moreover, *E. faecalis* isolates were assessed for the virulence genes *efa*, *ace*, *asa1*, *gelE*, *hy1*, *cy1A*, and *esp* using specific primers and PCR conditions, as explained in Table 2.

3.5. ERIC Typing

Genetic diversity was assessed using ERIC-PCR, as described by Versalovic et al. (28). The ERIC region was detected using the ERIC1R (5'-ATG TAA GCT CCT GGG GAT TCAC-3') and the ERIC2 (5'-AAG TAA GTG ACT GGG GTG AGC G-3') primers (Metabion, Germany). Moreover, the ERIC region was amplified using a 25- μ L mixture of sterile distilled water (18 μ L), 10X PCR buffer (2.5 μ L), dNTP (1 μ L of 10 molars), ERIC1R and ERIC2 primers (1 μ L of each), Taq polymerase (0.5 μ L), and template DNA (1 μ L). The PCR reaction consisted of initial denaturation at 95°C for three minutes accompanied with 35 warming cycles, each of which consisted of denaturation at 94°C for one minute, annealing at 48°C for one minute, extension at 72°C for two minutes, and final extension at 72°C for five minutes (29).

3.6. ERIC Analysis

The band profile analysis was done with BioNumerics software version 8.0. The dendrogram was constructed by the genetic diversity analysis using the Unweighted Pair Group Mean Method with Arithmetic mean (UPGMA), disc similarity coefficient, and 1% band position tolerance. According to the ladder, only the bands with a length of 100 - 3000 bp were included in the analysis. The BioNumerics software creates groups with a specific similarity range. The differences between band profiles in the dendrogram were depicted using the digits above lines (Figure 1). For the band analysis, the isolates with a genetic similarity of at least 80% were grouped as an ERIC type; however, the isolates not having such a similarity were considered as separate ERIC types and then labeled as ERIC E1-En. ERIC clustering criteria in the BioNumerics software were a difference of \leq 20% and the presence of more than one isolate in each cluster.

3.7. Statistical Analysis

The collected data was analyzed with SPSS software version 22.0. Values were reported as relative frequency. The chi-square test was used for frequency comparison at P < 0.05.

4. Results

4.1. Characteristics of Patients and Isolates

The phenotypic and genotypic tests were used, and fifty *E. faecalis* isolates were obtained from 108 stool samples (46.2%). None of the samples was duplicated. The *E. faecalis* isolates were obtained from an internal medicine ward (n = 22), an infectious diseases ward (n = 16), and a coronary care unit (n = 12). The *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates were obtained from both male (n = 29) and female (n = 21) patients. The participants' mean age was 65.1 ± 15.4 years (Table 1).

4.2. Antimicrobial Resistance Testing

The antimicrobial resistance testing results showed that the great resistance of the *E. faecalis* isolates to the antibiotics TET (70%), E (68%), RI (60%), SYN (48%), and CIP (48%). Table 3 shows the antimicrobial resistance of *E. faecalis* isolates to the ten tested antibiotics. Thirty-one *E. faecalis* isolates (62%) were MDR. In this regard, MDR had no significant relationship with patients' gender, age, length of hospital stay, and hospitalization ward (P > 0.05). The *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates showed the highest antimicrobial susceptibility to the antibiotics LZD (94%), NI (86%), AMP (78%), and PEN (76%).

4.3. Antimicrobial Resistance Genes

The analysis of antimicrobial resistance genes among the *E. faecalis* isolates indicated that the most prevalent genes were *ermB* (96%), aph(2'')Ia (66%), aac(6')-Ie (40%), *ermC* (30%), *vanA* (20%), and *ermA* (14%). Moreover, the most prevalent antimicrobial resistance patterns were *ermB*+ aph(2'')Ia (20%), *ermB* (18%), and *ermB*+aac(6')-Ie+aph(2'')Ia(10%).



Figure 1. Dendrogram constructed based on fingerprint of ERIC regions of fifty *Enterococcus faecalis* isolates. According to a dice coefficient similarity of at least 80%, the *E. faecalis* isolates were clustered into ten clusters (ie, clusters A–J).

fable 1. PCR Conditions and Primers for Amplifying Antimicrobial Resistance Genes of Enterococcus faecalis Isolates Obtained from Hospitalized Patients' Stool Samples						
Target Gene	Primers	Oligonucleotide Sequence 5'-3'	Size of Amplified Product (bp)	Annealing Temperature		
van 4	F	GGGAAAACGACAATT GC	720	- 54°C		
vunn	R	GTA CAATGC GGCCGTTA	152			
vanB	F	ATGGGAAGCCGATAGTC	628			
Vunb	R	GATTTCGTTCCTCGACC	030			
aac(6')-Ie	F	AGGAATTTATCGAAAATGGTAGAAAAG	260	- 54°C		
	R	CACAATCGACTAAAGAGTACCAATC	505			
anh(2'')Ia	F	CAAACTGCTAAATCGGTAGAAGCC	294			
upn(2)nu	R	GGAAAGTTGACCAGACATTACGAACT	234			
ermA	F	TAT CTT ATC GTT GAG AAG GGA TT	130	- 55°C		
	R	CTA CAC TTG GCT GAT GAA A	155			
ermR	F	CTA TCT GAT TGT TGA AGA AGG ATT	142			
	R	GTT TAC TCT TGG TTT AGG ATG AAA				
ermC	F	AAT CGT CAA TTC CTG CAT GT	299			
	R	TAA TCG TGG AAT ACG GGT TTG				

Table 2. PCR Plan and Primers for Ampli	fication of Vir	ulence Genes of Enterococcus faecalis Is	solates Obtained from Hospitalized Patie	nts' Stool Samples	
Target Gene	Primers	Oligonucleotide Sequence 5'-3'	Size of Amplified Product (bp)	Annealing Temperature	
asal	F	GCACGCTATTACGAACTATGA	275	56°C	
usu1	R	TAAGAAAGAACATCACCACGA	575		
asn	F	AGATTTCATCTTTGATTCTTG	510	56°C	
csp	R	AATTGATTCTTTAGCATCTGG	510		
bul	F	ACAGAAGAGCTGCAGGAAATG	276	56°C	
nyı	R	GACTGACGTCCAAGTTTCCAA	270		
calE	F	TATGACAATGCTTTTTGGGAT	212	56°C	
gen	R	AGATGCACCCGAAATAATATA	215		
cylA	F	GACTCGGGGATTGATAGGC	600	56°C	
tyin .	R	GCTGCTAAAGCTGCGCTTAC	000		
aca	F	GGAATGACCGAGAACGATGGC	616	58°C	
ute	R	GCTTGATGTTGGCCTGCTTCCG	010		
efa A	F	CGTGAGAAAGAAATGGAGGA	400	58°C	
Gun	R	CTACTAACACGTCACGAATG	ČEr (

4.4. Virulence Genes

The virulence gene analysis of the *E. faecalis* isolates showed that the most prevalent genes were *gelE* (78%), *asa1* (74%), and *esp* (74%), and that the prevalence rates of the *cylA* and *hyl* genes were low (20% and 2%, respectively). Moreover, the most prevalent virulence gene patterns were

asa1+esp+gelE (36%) and *cylA+asa1+esp+gelE* (16%).

4.5. Genetic Diversity Analysis

The genetic diversity analysis by ERIC-PCR revealed 25 genotypes among fifty *E. faecalis* isolates (E1–E25), which consisted of fifteen genotypes with a genetic similarity of

No.	Gender	Age (y)	Ward	Hospital Stay (d)	Resistance Patterns	Resistance Genes	MDR	Virulence Genes	ERIC Type	Cluster
1	Female	60	IM	5	_	ermB, ermC, aac(6')-Ie		asa1, esp, gelE	E25	J
2	Female	84	CCU	4	E+SYN+TET	ermB, aph(2'')Ia	+	asa1, esp	E24	I
3	Female	63	IM	7	RI+E+SYN+PEN+CIP	ermB, aph(2'')Ia	+	asa1, esp, gelE	E25	I
4	Female	56	IM	3	E+SYN+TET +CIP	ermB, aac(6′)-Ie, aph(2′′)Ia	+	asa1, esp, gelE	E24	I
5	Male	65	IM	6	RI+E+SYN+CIP	ermB, ermC, aph(2'')Ia	+	asa1, gelE	E23	н
6	Female	70	IM	3	RI+E+PEN+TET+CIP+AMP	vanA, ermB	+	asa1, esp	E18	-
7	Female	75	IM	6	E+SYN	ermB		gelE	E6	-
8	Male	82	CCU	4	RI+SYN+CIP	ermB, aac(6')-Ie	+	-	E1	-
9	Male	74	IM	5	RI+E+SYN+PEN+TET+CIP+AMP	ermB, aac(6′)-Ie, aph(2′′)Ia	+	asa1, gelE	E23	н
10	Female	78	CCU	3	SYN+TET	ermB, ermC, aph(2'')Ia		cylA, asa1, esp, gelE	E4	-
11	Female	66	IM	3	RI+E+SYN+TET+CIP	ermA, ermB, aac(6′)-Ie, aph(2′′)Ia	+	asa1, esp, gelE	E25	J
12	Male	55	IM	3	-	ermB, ermC, aac(6')-Ie, aph(2'')Ia		esp	E11	-
13	Female	17	CCU	3	TET	vanA, ermB, aac(6′)-le, aph(2′′)la		cylA, asa1, esp, gelE	E10	В
14	Female	67	CCU	3	E+NI+TET	ermB	+	asa1, esp	E10	В
15	Male	48	CCU	3	RI+E+SYN+TET	ermB	+	asa1, esp, gelE	E23	н
16	Male	86	CCU	7	E+TET	ermB, aph(2'')Ia		esp, gelE	E21	G
17	Male	83	CCU	3	TET	ermB		cylA, asa1, esp, gelE	E15	D
18	Female	80	IM	4	-	ermB		gelE	E15	D
19	Female	59	IM	3	RI+E+PEN+TET+CIP+AMP	vanA, ermB, aph(2'')Ia	+	cylA, asa1, esp, gelE	E14	с
20	Female	59	IM	4	-	ermB		cylA, asa1	E15	D
21	Female	79	CCU	4	-	vanA, ermB, aph(2'')Ia		cylA, asa1, esp, gelE	E14	с
22	Female	86	IM	5	TET	ermB, aph(2'')Ia		gelE	E23	н
23	Male	75	ID	4	E+CIP	ermB, aph(2 ^{′′})Ia		asa1, esp, gelE	E5	-
24	Male	41	IM	3	E+TET	ermB		cylA, asa1, esp	E3	-
25	Female	54	IM	3	RI+E+PEN+TET+CIP+AMP	ermB, ermC, aac(6')-le	+	asa1, esp, gelE	E22	-
26	Male	42	IM	3	TET	-		cylA, asa1, esp, gelE	E16	E
27	Female	78	IM	5	E+CIP	ermB, aph(2'')Ia		asa1, esp	E16	Е
28	Female	45	ID	6	RI+E+CIP	ermB, aph(2'')Ia	+	cylA, asa1, esp, gelE	E17	-
29	Female	77	IM	4	RI+E+TET	ermB, aph(2'')Ia	+	cylA, asa1, esp, gelE	E13	-
30	Male	44	ID	8	RI+E+SYN+TET+CIP	ermB, aph(2'')Ia	+	asa1, esp, gelE	E8	А
31	Female	43	ID	10	RI+TET	ermA, ermB, ermC, aph(2'')Ia		asa1, esp, gelE	E21	G
32	Male	51	ID	6	RI+SYN	ermB, ermC, aac(6')-Ie, aph(2'')Ia		asa1, esp, gelE	E7	А
33	Male	53	CCU	5	RI+E+SYN+NI+PEN+TET+CIP+AMP	vanA, ermB, ermC aac(6′)-Ie, aph(2′′)Ia	+	asaı, esp, gelE, hyl	E8	-
34	Male	73	ID	7	RI+E+LZD+NI+PEN+TET+CIP+AMP	ermB, aac(6')le, aph(2'')la	+	asa1, esp, gelE	E2	-
35	Male	54	ID	4	RI+SYN+TET	ermB, ermC, aac(6')-Ie, aph(2'')Ia	+	asa1, esp	E25	J
36	Male	68	ID	5	RI+E	ermB, aac(6')le, aph(2'')la		asa1, esp, gelE	E20	F
37	Male	49	ID	4	RI+E+SYN+TET	ermA, ermB, ermC, aac(6')Ie	+	asa1, esp, gelE	E20	F
38	Male	80	ID	3	RI+E+SYN+NI+PEN+TET+CIP+AMP	vanA, ermA, ermB, ermC, aac(6′)le, aph(2′′)la	+	esp, gelE	E19	-
39	Male	71	ID	3	RI+E+SYN+NI+PEN+TET+CIP+AMP	vanA, ermB, ermC, aac(6')Ie, aph(2'')Ia	+	asa1, esp, gelE	E25	J
40	Male	65	ID	3	RI+E+SYN+TET	ermB, aac(6')le	+	asa1, esp, gelE	E9	-
41	Male	90	IM	5	RI+NI+TET+CIP	ermB	+	-	E25	J
42	Male	52	ID	7	RI+TET	ermA, ermB, ermC, aac(6′)le, aph(2′′)la		asa1, esp, gelE	E25	J
43	Male	71	ID	3	RI+E+ PEN+CIP+AMP	vanA, ermA, ermB, ermC, aac(6′)le, aph(2′′)la	+	asa1, esp, gelE	E25	J
44	Male	89	ID	3	RI+E+SYN+PEN+TET+CIP+AMP	ermB, aac(6')le, aph(2'')la	+	asa1, esp, gelE	E25	J
45	Male	65	IM	6	E+SYN+ TET+CIP	ermB, aph(2'')Ia	+	asa1, gelE	E25	J
46	Male	80	IM	3	RI+E+SYN+ TET+CIP	vanA, ermB, aph(2'')Ia	+	esp, gelE	E23	н
47	Male	45	ID	4	RI+E+SYN+PEN+TET+CIP+AMP	ermA, ermB, ermC, aac(6')le, aph(2'')Ia	+	_	E23	Н
48	Male	73	IM	4	RI+E+LZD+SYN+NI+TET	ermB	+	gelE	E23	Н
49	Female	63	CCU	3	E+SYN+TET+CIP	vanA, ermB, aph(2'')Ia	+	gelE	E12	_
50	Male	73	CCU	3	RI+E+LZD+TET	-	+	gelE	E23	Н

Abbreviations: IM, internal medicine; CCU, coronary care unit; ID, infectious disease.

at least 80% and ten genotypes with a genetic similarity of < 80%. Each of the fifteen genotypes was placed in an ERIC type (Figure 1). The dendrogram analysis revealed that most *E. faecalis* isolates (n = 35) were clustered into ten clusters (ie, clusters A–J). Eighteen isolates were further clustered into two major clusters: cluster H with eight isolates and cluster J with ten isolates. The remaining seventeen isolates were clustered into eight minor clusters: A, B, C, D, E, F, G, and I, each with 2 - 3 isolates (Figure 1).

The antimicrobial resistance analysis in the two major clusters H and J revealed the greatest resistance to the antibiotics E, RI, and TET. Moreover, the antimicrobial resistance gene analysis also revealed that the most prevalent genes in cluster H were *ermB* (87%) and aph(2'')Ia (62.5%). In comparison, the most prevalent genes in cluster J were *ermB* (100%), aph(2'')Ia (80%), and aac(6')-*Ie* (70%). However, Fisher's exact test indicated no significant difference between clusters H and J in terms of antimicrobial resistance and resistance genes. The virulence gene analysis also suggested that the most prevalent genes in cluster H were *gelE* (100%) and *asa1* (37.5%), and the most prevalent genes in cluster J were *asa1* (90%), *gelE* (80%), and *esp* (80%). The prevalence of the *asa1* gene was significantly higher in cluster J than in cluster H (P < 0.05).

5. Discussion

This study analyzed the genetic diversity, antimicrobial resistance, and virulence factors of *E. faecalis* isolates obtained from the stool samples of patients in a hospital in the center of Iran. In this study, fifty *E. faecalis* isolates were obtained from 108 stool samples. In other words, the prevalence of *E. faecalis* isolates was 46.2%. This prevalence rate exceeds the rate reported in a study in China (15%) and is below the rate reported in a study in Brazil (70%) (30). Several recent studies reported that the prevalence of *E. faecalis* isolates among clinical *Enterococcus* isolates was 28-70% (5, 31, 32). This difference in the prevalence of *E. faecalis* isolates in these studies can be due to the differences among clinical settings regarding their infection control policies.

The findings showed that the 62-percent prevalence rate of MDR patterns among the *E. faecalis* isolates. Antimicrobial resistance among enterococcal serotypes is a major public health concern worldwide (33). A study in Iran showed that 29% of the *E. faecalis* isolates obtained from urinary tract infections were MDR (34). Another study at the Shahid Beheshti Hospital, Kashan, Iran, reported that the prevalence rate of 37.7% for the MDR *E. faecalis* isolates obtained from clinical samples (35). The higher prevalence of MDR among the *E. faecalis* isolates in our study denotes the high risk of enterococcal nosocomial infections in the study setting. The MDR isolates cause treatment failure and are associated with a higher mortality rate compared wo the non-MDR isolates (36). Factors such as healthcare exposure, shortage of diagnostic equipment, lack of surveillance systems, and the wide and inappropriate use of antibiotics can contribute to the emergence and spread of antimicrobial resistance, the acquisition of antimicrobial resistance genes, and the transfer of these genes. These factors might also have resulted in the high prevalence of antimicrobial resistance in the present study.

The research findings also revealed great antimicrobial resistance to antibiotics TET (70%), E (68%), and RI (63%) among the E. faecalis isolates obtained from the stool samples of hospitalized patients. This is in line with the findings of previous studies in Iran (37), Greece (38), and China (39). However, studies in India (40) and Brazil (41) reported lower antimicrobial resistance to these antibiotics. The use of over-the-counter antibiotics for infection management and agriculture may be the reasons for great resistance to antibiotics such as TET in Iran. Most E. faecalis isolates in the present study were susceptible to LZD. In Spain, a study showed that 100% of the E. faecalis isolates were susceptible to LZD and AMP (42). Another study in our setting also reported that the antimicrobial susceptibility of the E. faecalis isolates to antibiotics LZD and AMP was 100% and 88%, respectively (35). Nonetheless, our findings showed three LZD-resistant isolates, and the susceptibility of the E. faecalis isolates was 94% to LZD and 78% to AMP, which are slightly smaller than the rates reported in the aforementioned study.

The antimicrobial resistance gene analysis in the present study showed that the most prevalent genes were *ermB* (96%), aph(2'')Ia (66%), aac(6')-Ie (40%), ermC (30%), vanA (20%), and ermA (14%). Genetic antimicrobial resistance attributes are either innate or acquired and can be transferred to other isolates (10). A mechanism for cross-resistance to macrolide-lincosamide-streptogramin among *E. faecalis* isolates is to change the target site of the antibiotic erythromycin, which is mediated by the *ermB* gene (43). The high prevalence of this gene in the present study is in line with the findings of studies in the United States, China, South Korea, and Saudi Arabia (43). However, the prevalence of the *ermA* gene in the present study was 14%.

This finding is in contrast with the findings of former studies, which reported that above 90% of *E. faecalis* isolates had the *ermA* gene (44, 45). The prevalence rates of the aph(2'')Ia and aac(6')-Ie genes in the present study were 66% and 40%, respectively. These genes encode the 6-aminoglycoside acetyltransferase and 2-aminoglycoside phosphotransferase enzymes found in most aminoglycoside-resistant *Enterococcus* species. The incidence of these aminoglycoside-resistant genes has been increased in recent years (46). *Enterococcus* species with these genes have great antimicrobial resistance; hence, they, can escape antimicrobial mechanisms and cause infection in their hosts.

The prevalence rates of the *vanA* and the *vanB* genes in the *E. faecalis* isolates were 20% and 0%, respectively. The emergence of vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* species and the limited treatment options for their management have become a major clinical and epidemiological concern since afflicted patients experience more complications and higher treatment costs. Nine types of cluster genes encode vancomycin resistance, among which *vanA-vanN*. *vanA* and *vanB* are the most important and prevalent genes in vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* species (45). Genetic factors such as plasmids, integrons, and transposons contribute to the emergence, transfer and the spread of antimicrobial resistance genes, particularly in *Enterococcus* species, thereby resulting in treatment failure (47).

The virulence gene analysis in the present study also revealed that the most prevalent virulence genes were *gelE* (78%), *asa1* (74%), and *esp* (74%), followed by the less prevalent genes of *cylA* (20%) and *hyl* (2%). These findings documented that most *E. faecalis* isolates carried the *gelE*, *asa1*, and *esp* genes. A previous study on clinical *E. faecalis* isolates in Shiraz, Iran, showed that the prevalence rates of the *asa1*, *esp*, *gelE*, *cylA*, and *hyl* genes were respectively 100%, 94.1%, 80.4%, 64.7%, and 51% (47), respectively. The reported rates are higher than the rates obtained in our study. Moreover, the prevalence of the *asa1* gene was higher in the present study than in some previous studies (48-50).

The high prevalence of the asa1 gene in the present study can facilitate the exchange of virulence and antimicrobial resistance genes in our setting. The second most prevalent genes in the present study were the asa1 and esp genes, with a prevalence rate of 74%. The high prevalence of the esp gene in our study is in line with the findings of some other studies (51-53). Moreover, our findings showed that the E. faecalis isolates carried more virulence genes compared to the prevalence reported in some previous studies (18, 28, 48, 54, 55). This difference may be due to the differences in the participants' characteristics and geographical dispersion. Considering the remarkable role of virulence factors in the adhesion, colonization, and biofilm formation ability of bacteria, the high prevalence of Enterococcus species which carry virulence factors can be associated with more severe infections (47).

The genetic diversity analysis revealed 25 ERIC types, and the dendrogram analysis showed that most *E. faecalis* isolates (n = 35) were clustered into ten clusters (ie, clusters A-J). These clusters were further clustered into two major clusters H with eight isolates and J with ten isolates, as well

as eight minor clusters, each with 2 - 3 isolates. These findings can imply epidemiological relations among some circulating MDR E. faecalis isolates in the study setting. Moreover, the genetic diversity analysis showed great genetic diversity among the isolates not included in the two major clusters. Great genetic diversity among the isolates can improve the survival of different *E*. faecalis strains in the study setting. Several studies in Iran and other countries have also reported the genetic diversity of the E. faecalis isolates. For example, a study in Isfahan, Iran, found fourteen ERIC types among 53 E. faecalis isolates (45). Another study in Indonesia showed that all *E. faecalis* isolates had > 60% similarity and reported five clusters (19). Moreover, a study on patients with burn injuries in Tehran, Iran, found 34 ERIC types among 57 Enterococcus isolates (18). These contradictory findings can be attributed to the high heterogeneity of *E. faecalis* due to differences in its nucleotide sequence.

The antimicrobial resistance analysis in the two major clusters H and I showed the greatest antimicrobial resistance to antibiotics E, RI, and TET. However, there was no significant difference between these two clusters in terms of the phenotypic and the genotypic patterns of antimicrobial resistance. However, the prevalence of the asa1 virulence gene was significantly higher in cluster I than in cluster H. Different bacterial colonies may have different virulence genes and factors; hence, they can pose more problems in managing their associated infections. Given the critical role of virulence factors in the virulence of E. faecalis, the high prevalence of these strains can complicate patients' conditions. One of the limitations of this study was the small sample size. Moreover, the study was conducted in one hospital, which resulted in not having enough bacterial isolates in all clusters. Further studies are recommended to address larger sample sizes and several hospitals.

5.1. Conclusions

This study showed the high prevalence of MDR and antibiotic resistance to antibiotics E, RI, and TET among the *E. faecalis* isolates obtained from the stool samples of patients in a hospital in the center of Iran. Most *E. faecalis* isolates were susceptible to LZD. The most prevalent antimicrobial resistance genes were *ermB*, aph(2'')Ia, and aac(6')-*Ie*, while the most prevalent virulence genes were *gelE*, *asa1*, and *esp*. The ERIC-PCR analysis also revealed that the isolates were relatively heterogeneous as such they were clustered into two major and eight minor clusters with no significant difference between the two major clusters in terms of the phenotypic and genotypic patterns of antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: M.M.; Data collection: Z. S. S.; Analysis and interpretation of data: M. M. and Z. S. S.; Drafting of the manuscript: M. M.; Statistical analysis: M. J.A.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Data Reproducibility: The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after its publication. The data are not publicly available due to the policies of the Kashan University of Medical Sciences.

Ethical Approval:IR.KAUMS.MEDNT.REC.1397.060(ethics.research.ac.ir/EthicsProposalView.php?id=34143)

Funding/Support:This study was supportedby the Kashan University of Medical Sciences,Kashan, Iran (Grant No. 97096).jouhan.kaums.ac.ir/webdocument/load.action?webdocument_code=1000&masterCode=17003064

Informed Consent: In this study, we used stool samples sent to the laboratory. The hospital is a training center and all patients complete the consent form for using the blood and microbial samples for research purposes.

References

- Ramos S, Silva V, Dapkevicius MLE, Igrejas G, Poeta P. Enterococci, from harmless bacteria to a pathogen. *Microorganisms*. 2020;8(8). doi: 10.3390/microorganisms8081118. [PubMed: 32722391]. [PubMed Central: PMC7463792].
- Ferede ZT, Tullu KD, Derese SG, Yeshanew AG. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of Enterococcus species isolated from different clinical samples at Black Lion Specialized Teaching Hospital, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia. *BMC Res Notes.* 2018;**11**(1):793. doi: 10.1186/s13104-018-3898-0. [PubMed: 30400980]. [PubMed Central: PMC6218977].
- Golob M, Pate M, Kusar D, Dermota U, Avbersek J, Papic B, et al. Antimicrobial resistance and virulence genes in Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis from humans and retail red meat. *Biomed Res Int*. 2019;2019:2815279. doi: 10.1155/2019/2815279. [PubMed: 31211134]. [PubMed Central: PMC6532320].
- 4. Jabbari Shiadeh SM, Pormohammad A, Hashemi A, Lak P. Global prevalence of antibiotic resistance in blood-isolated Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Infect Drug Resist*. 2019;**12**:2713–25. doi: 10.2147/IDR.S206084. [PubMed: 31564921]. [PubMed Central: PMC6731464].
- Moghimbeigi A, Moghimbeygi M, Dousti M, Kiani F, Sayehmiri F, Sadeghifard N, et al. Prevalence of vancomycin resistance among isolates of enterococci in Iran: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Adolesc Health Med Ther.* 2018;9:177–88. doi: 10.2147/AHMT.S180489. [PubMed: 30532606]. [PubMed Central: PMC6241717].
- Behnood A, Farajnia S, Moaddab SR, Ahdi-Khosroshahi S, Katayounzadeh A. Prevalence of aac(6')-Ie-aph(2")-Ia resistance gene and its linkage to Tn5281 in Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium isolates from Tabriz hospitals. *Iran J Microbiol*. 2013;5(3):203–8. [PubMed: 24475324]. [PubMed Central: PMC3895555].

- Farahani A. State of globe: Enterococci: virulence factors and biofilm formation. J Glob Infect Dis. 2016;8(1):1–2. doi: 10.4103/0974-777X.176139.
 [PubMed: 27013838]. [PubMed Central: PMC4785751].
- Uda A, Shigemura K, Kitagawa K, Osawa K, Onuma K, Yan Y, et al. Risk factors for the acquisition of Enterococcus faecium infection and mortality in patients with enterococcal bacteremia: a 5-year retrospective analysis in a tertiary care university hospital. *Antibiotics (Basel)*. 2021;10(1). doi: 10.3390/antibiotics10010064. [PubMed: 33440660]. [PubMed Central: PMC7826794].
- Haghi F, Lohrasbi V, Zeighami H. High incidence of virulence determinants, aminoglycoside and vancomycin resistance in enterococci isolated from hospitalized patients in Northwest Iran. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2019;**19**(1):744. doi: 10.1186/s12879-019-4395-3. [PubMed: 31455296]. [PubMed Central: PMC6712822].
- Miller WR, Munita JM, Arias CA. Mechanisms of antibiotic resistance in enterococci. *Expert Rev Anti Infect Ther*. 2014;**12**(10):1221–36. doi: 10.1586/14787210.2014.956092. [PubMed: 25199988]. [PubMed Central: PMC4433168].
- Agudelo Higuita NI, Huycke MM. Enterococcal Disease, Epidemiology, and Implications for Treatment. In: Gilmore MS, Clewell DB, Ike Y, Shankar N, editors. Enterococci: From Commensals to Leading Causes of Drug Resistant Infection. Boston, Massachusetts: Eye and Ear Infirmary; 2014.
- Fair RJ, Tor Y. Antibiotics and bacterial resistance in the 21st century. *Perspect Medicin Chem.* 2014;6:25–64. doi: 10.4137/PMC.S14459. [PubMed: 25232278]. [PubMed Central: PMC4159373].
- Ahmed MO, Baptiste KE. Vancomycin-resistant enterococci: a review of antimicrobial resistance mechanisms and perspectives of human and animal health. *Microb Drug Resist.* 2018;24(5):590–606. doi: 10.1089/mdr.2017.0147. [PubMed: 29058560].
- Medeiros AW, Pereira RI, Oliveira DV, Martins PD, d'Azevedo PA, Van der Sand S, et al. Molecular detection of virulence factors among food and clinical Enterococcus faecalis strains in South Brazil. *Braz J Microbiol.* 2014;45(1):327–32. doi: 10.1590/S1517-83822014005000031. [PubMed: 24948952]. [PubMed Central: PMC4059318].
- Braga JFV, Leal CAG, Silva CC, Fernandes AA, Martins N, Ecco R. Genetic diversity and antimicrobial resistance profile of Enterococcus faecalis isolated from broilers with vertebral osteomyelitis in Southeast Brazil. Avian Pathol. 2018;47(1):14–22. doi: 10.1080/03079457.2017.1359403. [PubMed: 28737063].
- Motallebi M, Alibolandi Z, Aghmiyuni ZF, van Leeuwen WB, Sharif MR, Moniri R. Molecular analysis and the toxin, MSCRAMM, and biofilm genes of methicillin-resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains isolated from pemphigus wounds: A study based on SCCmec and dru typing. *Infect Genet Evol.* 2021;87:104644. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2020.104644. [PubMed: 33246081].
- Motallebi M, Jabalameli F, Beigverdi R, Emaneini M. High prevalence of direct repeat unit types of 10di, 8 h and 8i among methicillin resistant Staphylococcus aureus strains with staphylococcal cassette chromosome mec type IIIA isolated in Tehran, Iran. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control.* 2019;8:50. doi: 10.1186/s13756-019-0501-y. [PubMed: 30886703]. [PubMed Central: PMC6404295].
- Heidari H, Emaneini M, Dabiri H, Jabalameli F. Virulence factors, antimicrobial resistance pattern and molecular analysis of Enterococcal strains isolated from burn patients. *Microb Pathog.* 2016;**90**:93–7. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2015.11.017. [PubMed: 26620079].
- Bachtiar EW, Bachtiar BM, Dewiyani S, Surono Akbar SM. Enterococcus faecalis with capsule polysaccharides type 2 and biofilm-forming capacity in Indonesians requiring endodontic treatment. *J Investig Clin Dent*. 2015;6(3):197–205. doi: 10.1111/jicd.12143. [PubMed: 25641820].
- Abriouel H, Omar NB, Molinos AC, Lopez RL, Grande MJ, Martinez-Viedma P, et al. Comparative analysis of genetic diversity and incidence of virulence factors and antibiotic resistance among enterococcal populations from raw fruit and vegetable foods, water and soil, and clinical samples. *Int J Food Microbiol*. 2008;**123**(1-2):38–49. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2007.11.067. [PubMed: 18180067].

- d'Azevedo PA, Dias CA, Teixeira LM. Genetic diversity and antimicrobial resistance of enterococcal isolates from Southern region of Brazil. *Rev Inst Med Trop Sao Paulo*. 2006;48(1):11–6. doi: 10.1590/s0036-46652006000100003. [PubMed: 16547573].
- Daniel DS, Lee SM, Gan HM, Dykes GA, Rahman S. Genetic diversity of Enterococcus faecalis isolated from environmental, animal and clinical sources in Malaysia. J Infect Public Health. 2017;10(5):617–23. doi: 10.1016/j.jiph.2017.02.006. [PubMed: 28254461].
- Jannati E, Amirmozaffari N, Saadatmand S, Arzanlou M. Faecal carriage of high-level aminoglycoside-resistant and ampicillin-resistant Enterococcus species in healthy Iranian children. J Glob Antimicrob Resist. 2020;20:135–44. doi: 10.1016/j.jgar.2019.06.022. [PubMed: 31295581].
- Mahon CR, Lehman DC, Manuselis G. Textbook of Diagnostic Microbiology. 5th ed. New York, USA: Saunders; 2014.
- Yoon S, Lee YJ. Molecular characteristics of Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium from bulk tank milk in Korea. *Animals (Basel)*. 2021;11(3). doi: 10.3390/ani11030661. [PubMed: 33801463]. [PubMed Central: PMC7998752].
- Clinical Lab Standards Institute. Microbiology Standards. Clinical Lab Standards Institute; 2019. Available from: https://clsi.org/standards/ products/microbiology.
- 27. Emaneini M, Aligholi M, Aminshahi M. Characterization of glycopeptides, aminoglycosides and macrolide resistance among Enterococcus faecalis and Enterococcus faecium isolates from hospitals in Tehran. *Pol J Microbiol*. 2008;**57**(2):173–8. [PubMed: 18646406].
- Versalovic J, Koeuth T, Lupski JR. Distribution of repetitive DNA sequences in eubacteria and application to fingerprinting of bacterial genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 1991;19(24):6823–31. doi: 10.1093/nar/19.24.6823. [PubMed: 1762913]. [PubMed Central: PMC329316].
- Wei L, Wu Q, Zhang J, Guo W, Chen M, Xue L, et al. Prevalence and genetic diversity of enterococcus faecalis isolates from mineral water and spring water in China. *Front Microbiol.* 2017;8:1109. doi:10.3389/fmicb.2017.01109. [PubMed: 28670302]. [PubMed Central: PMC5472655].
- Chakraborty A, Pal NK, Sarkar S, Gupta MS. Antibiotic resistance pattern of Enterococci isolates from nosocomial infections in a tertiary care hospital in Eastern India. J Nat Sci Biol Med. 2015;6(2):394–7. doi:10.4103/0976-9668.160018. [PubMed: 26283837]. [PubMed Central: PMC4518417].
- Toru M, Beyene G, Kassa T, Gizachew Z, Howe R, Yeshitela B, et al. Prevalence and phenotypic characterization of Enterococcus species isolated from clinical samples of pediatric patients in Jimma University Specialized Hospital, south west Ethiopia. *BMC Res Notes*. 2018;**11**(1):281. doi: 10.1186/s13104-018-3382-x. [PubMed: 29739441]. [PubMed Central: PMC5941600].
- Bhatt P, Patel A, Sahni AK, Praharaj AK, Grover N, Chaudhari CN, et al. Emergence of multidrug resistant enterococci at a tertiary care centre. *Med J Armed Forces India*. 2015;**71**(2):139–44. doi: 10.1016/j.mjafi.2014.08.007. [PubMed: 25859076]. [PubMed Central: PMC4388963].
- Saifi M, Pourshafie MR, Eshraghian MR, Soltan Dallal MM. Antimicrobial resistance of Enterococci isolated from urinary tract infections in Iran. *Iran Biomed J.* 2008;12(3):185–90. [PubMed: 18762823].
- Ghasemi A, Moniri R, Musavi GA. The survey of multi drug resistant of Enterococcus faeciumisolated from clinical samples in shahid Beheshti and Shabeeh khani hospitals. *Iran J Med Microbiol*. 2009;3(2):21– 6.
- Esmail MAM, Abdulghany HM, Khairy RM. Prevalence of multidrugresistant enterococcus faecalis in hospital-acquired surgical wound infections and bacteremia: concomitant analysis of antimicrobial resistance genes. *Infect Dis (Auckl)*. 2019;**12**:1178633719882930. doi: 10.1177/1178633719882929. [PubMed: 31662606]. [PubMed Central: PMC6796195].
- 36. Ghalavand Ź, Alebouyeh M, Ghanati K, Azimi L, Rashidan M. Ge-

netic relatedness of the Enterococcus faecalis isolates in stool and urine samples of patients with community-acquired urinary tract infection. *Gut Pathog.* 2020;**12**:42. doi: 10.1186/s13099-020-00380-7. [PubMed: 32944085]. [PubMed Central: PMC7488108].

- Maraki S, Mantadakis E, Michailidis L, Samonis G. Changing antibiotic susceptibilities of community-acquired uropathogens in Greece, 2005-2010. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2013;46(3):202–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jmii.2012.05.012. [PubMed: 22795650].
- Ma KL, Wang CX. Analysis of the spectrum and antibiotic resistance of uropathogens in vitro: results based on a retrospective study from a tertiary hospital. *Am J Infect Control*. 2013;**41**(7):601–6. doi: 10.1016/j.ajic.2012.09.015. [PubMed: 23352074].
- Singhal A, Sharma R, Jain M, Vyas L. Hospital and community isolates of uropathogens and their antibiotic sensitivity pattern from a tertiary care hospital in North West India. *Ann Med Health Sci Res.* 2014;4(1):51-6. doi: 10.4103/2141-9248.126611. [PubMed: 24669331]. [PubMed Central: PMC3952297].
- Kiffer CR, Mendes C, Oplustil CP, Sampaio JL. Antibiotic resistance and trend of urinary pathogens in general outpatients from a major urban city. *Int Braz J Urol.* 2007;33(1):42–8. discussion 49. doi: 10.1590/s1677-55382007000100007. [PubMed: 17335597].
- del Campo R, Ruiz-Garbajosa P, Sanchez-Moreno MP, Baquero F, Torres C, Canton R, et al. Antimicrobial resistance in recent fecal enterococci from healthy volunteers and food handlers in Spain: genes and phenotypes. *Microb Drug Resist.* 2003;9(1):47–60. doi: 10.1089/107662903764736346. [PubMed: 12705683].
- Farman M, Yasir M, Al-Hindi RR, Farraj SA, Jiman-Fatani AA, Alawi M, et al. Genomic analysis of multidrug-resistant clinical Enterococcus faecalis isolates for antimicrobial resistance genes and virulence factors from the western region of Saudi Arabia. *Antimicrob Resist Infect Control*. 2019;8:55. doi: 10.1186/s13756-019-0508-4. [PubMed: 30962917]. [PubMed Central: PMC6434864].
- Rehman MA, Yin X, Zaheer R, Goji N, Amoako KK, McAllister T, et al. Genotypes and phenotypes of enterococci isolated from broiler chickens. Front Sustain Food Syst. 2018;2. doi: 10.3389/fsufs.2018.00083.
- Zalipour M, Esfahani BN, Havaei SA. Phenotypic and genotypic characterization of glycopeptide, aminoglycoside and macrolide resistance among clinical isolates of Enterococcus faecalis: a multicenter based study. *BMC Res Notes*. 2019;**12**(1):292. doi: 10.1186/s13104-019-4339-4. [PubMed: 31133071]. [PubMed Central: PMC6537152].
- 45. Feizabadi MM, Shokrzadeh L, Sayady S, Asadi S. Transposon Tn5281 is the main distributor of the aminoglycoside modifying enzyme gene among isolates of Enterococcus faecalis in Tehran hospitals. *Can J Microbiol.* 2008;54(10):887–90. doi: 10.1139/w08-073. [PubMed: 18923558].
- Heidari H, Hasanpour S, Ebrahim-Saraie HS, Motamedifar M. High incidence of virulence factors among clinical Enterococcus faecalis isolates in Southwestern Iran. *Infect Chemother*. 2017;49(1):51– 6. doi: 10.3947/ic.2017.49.1.51. [PubMed: 28332345]. [PubMed Central: PMC5382050].
- 47. Gaglio R, Couto N, Marques C, de Fatima Silva Lopes M, Moschetti G, Pomba C, et al. Evaluation of antimicrobial resistance and virulence of enterococci from equipment surfaces, raw materials, and traditional cheeses. *Int J Food Microbiol.* 2016;236:107-14. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2016.07.020. [PubMed: 27467501].
- Gulhan T, Boynukara B, Ciftci A, Sogut MU, Findik A. Characterization of Enterococcus faecalis isolates originating from different sources for their virulence factors and genes, antibiotic resistance patterns, genotypes and biofilm production. *Iran J Vet Res.* 2015;16(3):261–6. [PubMed: 27175186]. [PubMed Central: PMC4782695].
- Hallgren A, Claesson C, Saeedi B, Monstein HJ, Hanberger H, Nilsson LE. Molecular detection of aggregation substance, enterococcal surface protein, and cytolysin genes and in vitro adhesion to urinary catheters of Enterococcus faecalis and E. faecium of clinical origin. *Int J Med Microbiol.* 2009;**299**(5):323–32. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmm.2008.10.001. [PubMed: 19042153].

- Barbosa-Ribeiro M, De-Jesus-Soares A, Zaia AA, Ferraz CC, Almeida JF, Gomes BP. Antimicrobial Susceptibility and Characterization of Virulence Genes of Enterococcus faecalis Isolates from Teeth with Failure of the Endodontic Treatment. J Endod. 2016;42(7):1022–8. doi: 10.1016/j.joen.2016.03.015. [PubMed: 27221594].
- Ferguson DM, Talavera GN, Hernandez LA, Weisberg SB, Ambrose RF, Jay JA. Virulence genes among enterococcus faecalis and enterococcus faecium isolated from coastal beaches and human and nonhuman sources in Southern California and Puerto Rico. J Pathog. 2016;2016:3437214. doi: 10.1155/2016/3437214. [PubMed: 27144029]. [PubMed Central: PMC4842068].
- Kafil HS, Mobarez AM. Spread enterococcal surface protein in antibiotic resistant Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis isolates from urinary tract infections. *Open Microbiol J.* 2015;9:14–7. doi: 10.2174/1874285801509010014. [PubMed: 26161154]. [PubMed Central:

PMC4493631].

- Niu H, Yu H, Hu T, Tian G, Zhang L, Guo X, et al. The prevalence of aminoglycoside-modifying enzyme and virulence genes among enterococci with high-level aminoglycoside resistance in Inner Mongolia, China. *Braz J Microbiol*. 2016;**47**(3):691–6. doi: 10.1016/j.bjm.2016.04.003. [PubMed: 27268115]. [PubMed Central: PMC4927675].
- Yang JX, Li T, Ning YZ, Shao DH, Liu J, Wang SQ, et al. Molecular characterization of resistance, virulence and clonality in vancomycinresistant Enterococcus faecium and Enterococcus faecalis: A hospitalbased study in Beijing, China. *Infect Genet Evol.* 2015;33:253–60. doi: 10.1016/j.meegid.2015.05.012. [PubMed: 25976380].
- Khorshidi A. Characterization of virulence genes in typical and atypical enteropathogenic Escherichia coli. J Sci Res Essay. 2011;6(31). doi: 10.5897/sre11.1763.