Published online 2023 October 29.

# A Small Regulatory RNA, *Rli82*, Is Involved in the Motility and Pathogenicity of *Listeria monocytogenes*

Chunhui Ji <sup>(b)</sup>, Nengxiu Li <sup>(b)</sup>, Jian Jiao <sup>(b)</sup>, Yaoqiang Sun <sup>(b)</sup>, Yufei Zuo <sup>(b)</sup>, Xin Huang <sup>(b)</sup>, Xiaoxing Huang <sup>(b)</sup>, Zhiyuan Li <sup>(b)</sup>, Yaling Li <sup>(b)</sup>, Qingwen Leng <sup>(b)</sup>, Xuepeng Cai <sup>(b)</sup>, Qingling Meng <sup>(b)</sup>, and Jun Qiao <sup>(b)</sup>, \*\*

<sup>1</sup>College of Animal Science and Technology, Shihezi University, Shihezi, Xinjiang, China

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Animal Science and Veterinary Research, Xinjiang Academy of Agricultural and Reclamation Science, Shihezi, Xinjiang, China

<sup>3</sup>State Key Lab of Veterinary Etiological Biology, Lanzhou Veterinary Research Institute, Chinese Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Lanzhou, Gansu, 730046, China.

, Corresponding author: College of Animal Science and Technology, Shihezi University, Shihezi, Xinjiang, China. Email: 2448986506@qq.com

Received 2023 August 05; Revised 2023 October 09; Accepted 2023 October 09.

#### Abstract

**Background:** *Listeria monocytogenes* (LM) is a facultative intracellular pathogen that causes food-borne infections in humans and animals. To invade and multiply within host cells, LM utilizes various strategies to precisely modulate its gene expression and to adapt to the *in vivo* environment.

Objectives: To investigate the regulatory roles of Rli82 sRNA in the motility and pathogenicity of LM EGD-e.

**Methods:** The *Rli82* gene knock-out mutant strain, LM- $\Delta$ *Rli82*, and the complementation strain, LM- $\Delta$ *Rli82*/*Rli82*, were constructed using homologous recombination technology, and their motility and virulence, respectively, were determined. Moreover, the potential target mRNA regulated by *Rli82* was predicted using TargetRNA2 software, and then the interaction between the target mRNA and *Rli82* was verified by the two-plasmid reporter system.

**Results:** The results showed that the motility of LM- $\Delta Rli82$  was significantly increased at 25°C, facilitated by the production of more flagella than LM EGD-e and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$ . Furthermore, LD<sub>50</sub> in LM- $\Delta Rli82$ -infected mice was significantly increased as compared to LM EGD-e and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$ , suggesting that the virulence of LM was weakened when the Rli82 gene was deleted. In addition, the mRNA level of *flaA* was not significantly elevated, but *flaA* protein was significantly higher in LM- $\Delta Rli82$  than in LM EGD-e and LM- $\Delta Rli82$  might modulate the translation of *flaA* mRNA at the post-transcriptional level.

**Conclusions:** Taken together, our findings for the first time revealed that *Rli82* sRNA might be involved in the modulation of the expression of *flaA* protein, thereby influencing the mobility and pathogenicity of LM.

Keywords: Listeria monocytogenes, Regulatory sRNA, Rli82, Motility, Pathogenicity

## 1. Background

Listeria monocytogenes (LM) is an intracellular Gram-positive pathogen causing listeriosis in both humans and various animal species (1). As a ubiquitous food-borne bacterium, LM can infect the host through contaminated food or drinking water. During the process of invasion, LM can express and deploy a variety of virulence factors, thereby breaking through the blood-intestinal, blood-brain, or placental barriers to cause meningitis, miscarriage, and sepsis in humans (2, 3). Since LM poses a great threat to food safety, it has been classified as one of the most important food-borne bacteria by the World Health Organization (WHO) (4). Bacterial small RNA (sRNA) is a class of non-coding RNAs that are usually transcribed within the intergenic region of the bacterial chromosome but do not encode proteins (5). In contrast to regulatory proteins, sRNA allows bacteria to respond rapidly to various environmental conditions during infection (6, 7).

In order to survive and proliferate in hosts, the pathogen can perceive changes in the host's internal environment and regulate the expression of its virulence genes accordingly through various modulators and signaling cascades (8). Among regulatory repertoires, sRNA is now considered an important gene expression regulator at the post-transcriptional level. In recent years,

Copyright © 2023, Ji et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (CC BY 4.0) (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

many studies have reported that sRNA can be involved in cellular metabolism (9), physiological growth, and pathogenicity of bacteria through interacting with target mRNAs, thereby modulating responses to a variety of environmental stresses and facilitating survival in hosts (5). In 2011, Mraheil et al. identified about 150 sRNAs in LM (10) through sRNA transcriptomic analysis during the growth of the bacterium in macrophages. Among them, *Rli82* was one of the identified sRNAs showing significant differential expression. Meanwhile, *Rli82* deletion alters the growth pattern of LM; however, the regulatory function of *Rli82* sRNA remains unknown so far.

# 2. Objectives

The main purpose of this study was to unveil the role of *Rli82* sRNA on the motility and pathogenicity of LM and to further ascertain the potential regulatory mechanism of this sRNA. To achieve this goal, overlap extension PCR (SOE-PCR) and homologous recombination techniques were employed to construct *Rli82*-deleted and complementation LM strains. Then, the potential target gene regulated by *Rli82* was predicted and verified to provide new insights into the mechanism of sRNA-mediated control of flagellum-related genes in LM.

# 3. Methods

#### 3.1. Plasmids, Strains, and Culture Condition

The shuttle vectors of pKSV7 and pHT304 were used to generate *Rli82*-deleted and complementation LM strains. EGD-e strain was cultured in Brain Heart Infusion (BHI) Broth (Difco, USA) at 37°C, whereas *Escherichia coli* DH5 $\alpha$  and BTH101 strains were cultured in LB (Difco, USA) medium at 37°C.

#### 3.2. Primer Design

The specific primers used in this study were designed based on the LM EGD-e genome sequence deposited in GenBank (accession number: AL591824) using Primer Premier 5.0 software. Table 1 shows the detailed information of the designed primers.

# 3.3. Generation of Rli82 Gene-Deleted and Complementation Strains

Briefly, the LM EGD-e strain was cultured in BHI at 37°C for 12 h. The genomic DNA of LM was extracted according to the protocol of a bacterial genomic DNA extraction kit (Omega, USA). The upstream and downstream homology arms of the *Rli82* gene were amplified using two pairs

of primers (F1 - F2 and F3 - F4). These fragments were then used to generate *Rli82*-deleted mutant strain ( $\Delta Rli82$ ) by SOE-PCR. Then, the  $\Delta Rli82$  fragment was cloned into a pMD19-T simple vector (TaKaRa, Japan) to generate pMD19-T- $\Delta Rli82$ . The pMD19-T- $\Delta Rli82$  and pKSV7 plasmids were double digested with *Kpn* I and *Hind* III (TaKaRa, Japan), and the target fragments were recovered and ligated with T4 DNA ligase (TaKaRa, Japan) at 16°C to produce the recombinant shuttle plasmid (pKSV7- $\Delta Rli82$ ). After that, pKSV7- $\Delta Rli82$  was transformed into LM EGD-e competent cells by electroporation (2500 V, 5.0 ms), and positive clones were screened by PCR using F5 - F6 primers.

The positive clones were passaged in BHI medium at a concentration of 10  $\mu$ g/mL chloramphenicol for 15 generations at 42°C and in chloramphenicol-free BHI liquid medium for 15 generations at 30°C. The obtained recombinant LM- $\Delta Rli82$  was verified by PCR and sequencing. For the generation of the complementation strain, the Rli82 gene was amplified in LM EGD-e using 82F-82R primers and cloned into pHT304 plasmid to generate pHT304-Rli82. Then, pHT304-Rli82 was transformed into LM- $\Delta Rli82$  competent cells by electroporation, and the positive clones were screened on plates containing solid BHI at a concentration of 5 ug/mL of erythromycin. Positive clones were further verified by sequencing to obtain the complementation strain  $(LM-\Delta Rli82/Rli82)$  (11).

#### 3.4. Determination of Motility

The motility of LM EGD-e, LM- $\Delta Rli82$ , and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$  strains was assayed in the BHI semi-solid medium at 25°C. In brief, individual clones from these strains were harvested and washed three times with 0.01 M PBS buffer (pH = 7.2), centrifuged, and subjected to negative staining with 2% phosphotungstic acid solution (Sigma, USA). The morphological characteristics of these bacteria were observed using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (HT7700, HITACHI, Japan). The flagella of 50 bacteria per strain were counted.

# 3.5. Determination of Pathogenicity

Mice were infected with LM EGD-e, LM- $\Delta Rli82$ , and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$  by intraperitoneal injections. To determine LD<sub>50</sub>, bacterial concentration was adjusted to the same level (approximately 10<sup>9</sup> cfu/mL) for all three strains, from which a series of 10-fold dilutions to 10<sup>5</sup> CFU/mL were prepared. Then 6-8-week-old BALB/c mice were divided into 5 groups, and each mouse was injected intraperitoneally with 0.5 mL of the prepared bacterial solution, and 0.01 M PBS (pH = 7.2) was used as the control. After animal infection, mortality was monitored for

Table 1. Primers Used in This Study		
Primer Name	Primer Sequence (5'→3')	Product Size (Bp)
Fi	GGTACCAAGACACCAGTTCCGTTTA	- 495
F2	GTTCTGTTATACAGTATCTTTTTGATGACTAAAGTATATA	
F3	TATATACTTTAGTCATCAAAAAGATACTGTATAACAGAAC	316
F4	AAGCTTCCTATTAGAAACACGAGCATTA	
F5	TGCTGTCTTACCAGTAGGCTCA	- 1625
F6	AAGAAATCAGTGGAAGTAGCCC	
82 F	GGATCCATCCTCCTATAGGCACTTTTTAGTATCTA	- 70
82 R	AAGCTTATATACCGTACAGAATAACAAGAAGGTAC	
flaA-laczF	AAGCTTTTTGGACAACTTTTCTGTTCA	- 251
flaA-lacz R	GGTACCGTATTTACTTTCATTTGTGTTTCC	
flaA F	AACAAGCAACTGAAGCTATTGATGAATT	- 247
FlaA R	TGCGGTGTTTGGTTTGCTTGA	
16sRrna F	CACTGGGACTGAGACACGG	- 243
16sRrna R	GGACAACGCTTGCCACCTA	
FlaA F	GTCGGATCCATGAAAGTAAATACTAATAT	- 864
FlaA R	CATCTCGAGTTAGCTGTTAATTAATTGAGT	
GAPDH F	CGGGATCCATGACAGTTAAAGTTGGTATTAA	- 1011
GAPDH R	CCTCGAGTTATTTAGCGATTTTTGC	

10 consecutive days in the study groups, and  $LD_{50}$  was calculated by the Spearman-Kärber method. In parallel, bacterial loads in the liver and spleen were determined in infected mice, and histopathological changes in these organs were observed after HE staining (11).

# 3.6. Target mRNA Prediction and Verification

The potential genes targeted by Rli82 were analyzed using TargetRNA2 bioinformatics online software (http://cs.wellesley.edu/~btjaden/TargetRNA2/). То verify the interaction between Rli82 and target genes, the two-plasmid reporter system based on E.coli (BTH101 strain) was employed. Briefly, the recombinant plasmids of pUT18C-Rli82 and pUT18C- $\Delta$ Rli82 (Rli82 without the base-pairing region) and pMR-LacZ-target (the 5'-UTR region of flaA mRNA) vectors were constructed and co-transformed into E. coli BTH101 competent cells. Then, positive clones were cultured on LB agar containing X-gal and IPTG (TaKaRa, Japan) at 37°C for 12 h. Color change in the lawn solution was monitored, and the optical density (OD<sub>450</sub> nm) of the lawn solution rinsed from the plates was determined.

# 3.7. Quantitative Real-time RT-PCR

Briefly, LM EGD-e, LM- $\Delta Rli82$ , and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$  strains were incubated in the BHI medium at 25°C for

16 h, and total RNA was extracted using Trizol reagent in compliance with the instructions of the provider (Invitrogen, USA). Then, cDNA was synthesized using the AMV Reverse Transcription Kit (TaKaRa, Japan) following its instruction manual. Quantitative real-time RT-PCR (qRT-PCR) was performed on Light Cycler 480 (Roche, Switzerland) using a SYBR Premix Ex Taq<sup>TM</sup> kit (TaKaRa, Japan). The relative transcription levels of the target genes and *Rli82* were calculated by the  $2^{-\Delta \Delta CT}$  method (12). The 16s rRNA gene was employed as an internal reference control.

# 3.8. Western Blot Analysis

Western blot was performed as previously described (13). Briefly, bacterial protein was extracted using the Bacterial Protein Extraction Kit (Sangon Biotech, China) and analyzed by SDS-PAGE, followed by Western blot analysis using mouse-specific primary antibodies (1: 2000) and HRP-labeled rabbit anti-mouse IgG (Sigma, USA) secondary antibodies (1: 5000). Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase (GAPDH) was used as the reference protein. Image J software was applied to quantify the protein bands.

# 3.9. Statistical Analysis

SPSS software (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for the statistical analysis of the data. The data were

presented in figures as mean values  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) from three independent experiments. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to compare continuous variables, while the chi-square test was employed to analyze categorical variables. A P value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant, while P values < 0.01 were considered extremely significant.

# 4. Results

4.1. Generation of Rli82 Gene-Deleted and Complementation Strains

The deletion mutant (LM- $\Delta Rli82$ ) and complementation (LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$ ) strains were successfully constructed and verified by PCR, restriction enzyme digestion, and sequencing (Appendix 1, Appendix 2, and Appendix 3, respectively).

# 4.2. Effects of Rli82 Gene Deletion on LM Motility

The mean diameter of the colonies formed by LM- $\Delta Rli82$  was significantly larger than that of LM EGD-e in semi-solid plates (Figure 1A and B), indicating that the motility of LM- $\Delta Rli82$  was significantly increased. Moreover, LM EGD-e bacteria carried 1.2 flagella per cell on average, whereas 4.3 flagella were counted on average for LM- $\Delta Rli82$ , showing a significantly higher value in the mutant than in the parental strain (Figure 2).

# 4.3. Effects of Rli82 Gene Deletion on LM Pathogenicity

The LD50 of LM- $\Delta Rli82$  was 10<sup>7.00</sup> CFU/mL, which was significantly higher than that of LM EGD-e (10<sup>5.56</sup> CFU/mL) and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$  (105.95 CFU/mL) (Appendix 4), suggesting that the virulence of LM EGD-e was significantly decreased when the Rli82 gene was deleted. Moreover, bacterial loads in the liver and spleen of mice infected with LM- $\Delta Rli82$  were significantly lower than those of animals infected with LM EGD-e and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$  (Figures 3A and 4B). Histopathological changes in LM-infected mice revealed distinct necrotic foci, central venous congestion, and infiltration of inflammatory cells in the surrounding obstructive tissue in the liver. Moreover, spleeny nodules in the spleen were enlarged, and tissue congestion was evident. However, these pathological changes were significantly ameliorated in LM- $\Delta Rli82$  infected mice as compared to LM EGD-e and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$  infected animals (Figure 4A). LM- $\Delta Rli82$ -infected mice survived significantly longer and had a significantly higher survival rate compared to those infected with LM EGD-e and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$  (Figure 4B), suggesting that sRNA Rli82 deficiency hampered the pathogenicity of LM.

# 4.4. Potential Target mRNAs Modulated by Rli82

Bioinformatic analyses revealed that *Rli82* was located at positions 910875 - 910944 on the genome of LM EGD-e (accession number: AL591824) (Appendix 5A). Regarding its secondary structure, *Rli82* depicted a linear-shaped neck-loop structure with five loops and four complementary double strands (Appendix 5B). Online software, TargetRNA2, suggested *flaA* mRNA as a potential target for *Rli82* based on a base-complementary segment (-22 ~ - 8 bases) in the 5'-UTR of the mRNA that paired with *Rli82* (15~1 bases), implying that *flaA* mRNA could be potentially modulated by *Rli82* at the post-transcriptional level (Figure 5A).

# 4.5. Verification of Interaction Between Rli82 and the Target mRNA

The two-plasmid reporter system based on *E. coli* showed that the bacterial strain co-transformed by pUT18C-*Rli82* and pMR-LacZ-*flaA* formed deeper dark green colonies compared to the strain co-transformed by pUT18C and pMR-LacZ-*flaA*, accompanied by a significant 2-fold increase in the OD450nm of lawn's flushing fluid. Meanwhile, there was a significant difference between the strain co-transformed by pUT18C-*Rli82* and pMR-LacZ-*flaA* and the strain co-transformed by pUT18C-*Rli82* and pMR-LacZ-*flaA* (Figure 5B and C). The results suggested that there was a substantial interaction between *Rli82* and *flaA* mRNA.

## 4.6. FlaA Gene Expression Analysis

Compared with LM EGD-e and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$  strains, the mRNA level of the *flaA* gene was not significantly elevated in the LM- $\Delta Rli82$  strain (P > 0.05). Meanwhile, there was no significant difference in the mRNA level of *Rli82* between LM EGD-e and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$  (Figure 6A). However, it was revealed that the expression level of *flaA* protein was significantly higher in LM- $\Delta Rli82$  strain compared to LM EGD-e and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$  strains (Figure 6B and C), implying that *Rli82* could negatively regulate the gene expression of *flaA*.

#### 5. Discussion

So far, many studies have shown that sRNAs can act on target mRNAs to affect their transcriptional and translational levels (14, 15), whereby sRNAs can be involved in the regulation of the metabolism and virulence of bacteria (7, 9, 16). It is generally accepted that sRNAs regulate target genes' mRNAs in a variety of ways. First, sRNA pairing at the Shine-Dalgarno (SD) region will suppress the binding of ribosomes with the mRNA,



Figure 1. Motility of LM EGD-e, LM- $\Delta Rli82$ , and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$ . Strains. (A) Colonies in BHI solid medium; (B) The diameter of bacterial colonies. ns; not significant, \*; P < 0.05, \*\*; P < 0.01

inhibiting the initiation of translation (17). In LM, sRNA LhrA inhibits the translation of lmo0850 by binding to its SD region (18). Second, sRNA can release the SD region, which, under normal conditions, is sequestered in a secondary structure, activating the translation of the target mRNA by ribosomes. In *E. coli*, sRNA Mcas can unlock the secondary structure of flhDC mRNA, thereby releasing the SD sequence and facilitating the translation process (19).

Alternatively, sRNAs may act on the far upstream of the ribosome binding site (RBS) of the target mRNA at its 5'-UTR, which protects the target mRNA from degradation by concealing its RNase E cleavage site. In this case, sRNA may promote the stability of the target mRNA and thus facilitate its translation (20). In *Streptococcus*, sRNA *FasX* in streptococci can bind to ska mRNA and prevent its degradation by RNase E, thereby stabilizing this mRNA and maintaining the translation of ska protein (21). Moreover, sRNA can also bind to a sequence near the ribosome binding site of the target mRNA, competing with 30S ribosomes for this binding site, resulting in the suppression of translation (22, 23). In *Salmonella typhimurium*, sRNA *RyhB* binds to fhlA mRNA and interferes with translation initiation (24). Herein, our experiments, combined with bioinformatics analyses, demonstrated that sRNA *Rli82* might bind to the SD region of *flaA* mRNA, inhibiting the translation of *FlaA* protein, thus playing a vital role in the control of motility and pathogenicity of LM.

Previous studies have proven that the flagellum is closely related to physiological processes such environmental stress tolerance, motility, and as pathogenicity in bacteria (13, 25, 26). Existing studies have shown that the flagellum is composed of three parts, namely the flagellar filament, consisting of the flagellar subunit, hook, and basal body (27). Among these, the flagellar subunit is composed of *flaA* and other proteins (28). It has been shown that the deletion of the flaA gene can impair flagellar formation and interfere with the motility of LM (29). Here, target prediction analyses revealed that Rli82 was capable of complementary pairing with bases at the positions -22 to - 8 in the 5'-UTR of *flaA* mRNA, a site possibly representing the ribosomal binding site (RBS). Furthermore, the motility of the LM- $\Delta Rli82$  strain was significantly enhanced, which was in agreement with the observation of more flagella in



Figure 2. The morphological characteristics of LM EGD-e and LM- $\Delta Rli82$  strains using transmission electron microscopy (Original magnification,  $\times$  5000). A and B: LM EGD-e strain; C and D: LM- $\Delta Rli82$  strain

LM- $\Delta Rli82$  than in LM EGD-e. Collectively, combined with the results of bioinformatic analyses, it can be noted that *Rli82* may negatively modulate the expression of *flaA* mRNA by occupying its ribosomal binding site.

It has been proven that the functioning of LM flagella is restricted to temperatures below 37°C due to the opposing activities of the MogR transcriptional repressor and the GmaR anti-repressor (13, 30-32). Once LM enters the host, however, the biosynthesis of flagella is suppressed to help the bacterium evade the host's immune system, thereby facilitating its survival and proliferation *in vivo* (31). Here, our results revealed that LM- $\Delta Rli82$  could produce more flagella than LM EGD-e and LM- $\Delta Rli82/Rli82$ at 25°C, suggesting a role for sRNA *Rli82* in flagellar formation. Generally, LM maintains strong motility in the extracellular environment at temperatures below 37°C by enhancing the production of flagella, thereby expediting its chemotaxis, biofilm formation ability, and infectivity. However, the underlying mechanisms through which sRNA *Rli82* can modulate flagella formation in LM need to be further elucidated by transcriptomic analyses.

# 5.1. Conclusions

Taken together, this study demonstrated that sRNA *Rli82* was involved in the motility and pathogenicity of LM via modulating *flaA* mRNA at the post-transcriptional level. This observation provides new insights into sRNA-based modulation of the expression of flagella-related genes in LM.

# **Supplementary Material**

Supplementary material(s) is available here [To read supplementary materials, please refer to the journal website and open PDF/HTML].



**Figure 3.** Bacterial hepatic and splenic loads in mice infected by LM. (A) Bacterial load in the liver in LM-infected mice; (B) Bacterial load in the spleen in LM-infected mice. ns; not significant, \*; P < 0.05, \*\*; P < 0.01



Figure 4. Histopathological changes and survival curves in LM-infected mice. (A) Histopathological changes in the liver and spleen from LM-infected mice; (B) The survival curve of LM-infected mice.



Figure 5. Prediction and verification of the target mRNA of Rli82. (A) The predicted target gene of Rli82; (B) Colonies of BTH101 (a): BTH101-pUT18C-Rli82/pMR-lacZ-flaA; (b): BTH101-pUT18C/pMR-lacZ-flaA; (c): BTH101-pUT18C/pMR-lacZ; (d): BTH101-pUT18C- $\Delta Rli82$ /pMR-lacZ-flaA); (C): Comparison of OD at 450 nm of the flushing fluid between BTH101-pUT18C-Rli82/pMR-lacZ-flaA, BTH101-pUT18C/pMR-lacZ-flaA, and BTH101-pUT18C-\Delta Rli82/pMR-lacZ-flaA colonies



**Figure 6.** Determination of mRNA and protein level of the target gene regulated by *Rli82*. (A) Relative mRNA level of the *flaA* and *Rli82* genes; (B) Protein expression levels of *flaA* and GAPDH; (C) Quantitative analysis of *flaA* protein by ImageJ software. ns: not significant, \*: P < 0.05, \*\*: P < 0.01

# Acknowledgments

We thank the field staff who provided the samples for this study.

# Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: Qingling Meng and Jun Qiao; analysis and interpretation of data and drafting of the manuscript: Chunhui Ji, Nengxiu Li, Jian Jiao; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: Yaoqiang Sun, Xin Huang, Zhiyuan Li, Qingwen Leng, and Xuepeng Cai; statistical analysis: Yufei Zuo, Xiaoxing Huang, and Yaling Li.

**Conflict of Interests:** The authors have no conflict of interest to declare.

**Ethical Approval:** Ethical approval for this study was given by the Research and Ethical Committee of Shihezi University (No. A2019186).

**Funding/Support:** This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation (No. 32160819, 31360596) and Xinjiang Autonomous Region Graduate Innovation Project (No. X]2021G104).

#### References

- Schmid B, Klumpp J, Raimann E, Loessner MJ, Stephan R, Tasara T. Role of cold shock proteins in growth of Listeria monocytogenes under cold and osmotic stress conditions. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2009;**75**(6):1621-7. [PubMed ID: 19151183]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2655451]. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.02154-08.
- Gaballa A, Guariglia-Oropeza V, Wiedmann M, Boor KJ. Cross Talk between SigB and PrfA in listeria monocytogenes facilitates transitions between extra- and intracellular environments. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev.* 2019;83(4). [PubMed ID: 31484692]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6759667]. https://doi.org/10.1128/MMBR.00034-19.
- Matle I, Mbatha KR, Madoroba E. A review of Listeria monocytogenes from meat and meat products: Epidemiology, virulence factors, antimicrobial resistance and diagnosis. *Onderstepoort J Vet Res.* 2020;87(1):e1–e20. [PubMed ID: 33054262]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7565150]. https://doi.org/10.4102/ojvr.v87i1.1869.
- Gandhi M, Chikindas ML. Listeria: A foodborne pathogen that knows how to survive. Int J Food Microbiol. 2007;113(1):1–15. [PubMed ID: 17010463]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2006.07. 008.
- Quereda JJ, Cossart P. Regulating bacterial virulence with RNA. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2017;71:263–80. [PubMed ID: 28886688]. https://doi.org/ 10.1146/annurev-micro-030117-020335.
- Dos Santos PT, Menendez-Gil P, Sabharwal D, Christensen JH, Brunhede MZ, Lillebaek EMS, et al. The small regulatory RNAs LhrCt-5 contribute to the response of Listeria monocytogenes to heme toxicity. *Front Microbiol*. 2018;9:599. [PubMed ID: 29636750]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5880928]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00599.
- Krawczyk-Balska A, Ladziak M, Burmistrz M, Scibek K, Kallipolitis BH. RNA-mediated control in listeria monocytogenes: Insights into regulatory mechanisms and roles in metabolism and virulence. *Front Microbiol.* 2021;**12**:622829. [PubMed ID: 33935989]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8079631]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.622829.

- Lebreton A, Cossart P. RNA- and protein-mediated control of Listeria monocytogenes virulence gene expression. *RNA Biol.* 2017;14(5):460–70. [PubMed ID: 27217337]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5449094]. https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2016.1189069.
- Marinho CM, Dos Santos PT, Kallipolitis BH, Johansson J, Ignatov D, Guerreiro DN, et al. The sigma(B)-dependent regulatory sRNA Rli47 represses isoleucine biosynthesis in Listeria monocytogenes through a direct interaction with the ilvA transcript. RNA Biol. 2019;16(10):1424–37. [PubMed ID: 31242083]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6779388]. https://doi.org/10.1080/15476286.2019.1632776.
- Mraheil MA, Billion A, Mohamed W, Mukherjee K, Kuenne C, Pischimarov J, et al. The intracellular sRNA transcriptome of Listeria monocytogenes during growth in macrophages. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2011;**39**(10):4235–48. [PubMed ID: 21278422]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3105390]. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkr033.
- Peng YL, Meng QL, Qiao J, Xie K, Chen C, Liu TL, et al. The roles of noncoding RNA Rli60 in regulating the virulence of Listeria monocytogenes. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2016;49(4):502-8. [PubMed ID: 25442865]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2014.08.017.
- Livak KJ, Schmittgen TD. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2(-Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods*. 2001;25(4):402-8. [PubMed ID: 11846609]. https://doi.org/10. 1006/meth.2001.1262.
- Grundling A, Burrack LS, Bouwer HG, Higgins DE. Listeria monocytogenes regulates flagellar motility gene expression through MogR, a transcriptional repressor required for virulence. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2004;101(33):12318–23. [PubMed ID: 15302931]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC514476]. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0404924101.
- Quereda JJ, Ortega AD, Pucciarelli MG, Garcia-Del Portillo F. The Listeria Small RNA Rli27 regulates a cell wall protein inside eukaryotic cells by targeting a long 5'-UTR variant. *PLoS Genet*. 2014;10(10). e1004765. [PubMed ID: 25356775]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4214639]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pgen.1004765.
- Jorgensen MG, Pettersen JS, Kallipolitis BH. sRNA-mediated control in bacteria: An increasing diversity of regulatory mechanisms. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gene Regul Mech.* 2020;**1863**(5):194504.
  [PubMed ID: 32061884]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbagrm.2020. 194504.
- Mellin JR, Tiensuu T, Becavin C, Gouin E, Johansson J, Cossart P. A riboswitch-regulated antisense RNA in Listeria monocytogenes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2013;110(32):13132-7. [PubMed ID: 23878253]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3740843]. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas. 1304795110.
- Taneja S, Dutta T. On a stake-out: Mycobacterial small RNA identification and regulation. *Noncoding RNA Res.* 2019;4(3):86–95. [PubMed ID: 32083232]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7017587]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncrna.2019.05.001.
- Nielsen JS, Lei LK, Ebersbach T, Olsen AS, Klitgaard JK, Valentin-Hansen P, et al. Defining a role for Hfq in Gram-positive bacteria: evidence for Hfq-dependent antisense regulation in Listeria monocytogenes. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2010;**38**(3):907–19. [PubMed ID: 19942685]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2817478]. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkp1081.
- Boehm A, Vogel J. The csgD mRNA as a hub for signal integration via multiple small RNAs. *Mol Microbiol.* 2012;84(1):1-5. [PubMed ID: 22414234]. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2012.08033. x.
- Andreassen PR, Pettersen JS, Szczerba M, Valentin-Hansen P, Moller-Jensen J, Jorgensen MG. sRNA-dependent control of curli biosynthesis in Escherichia coli: McaS directs endonucleolytic cleavage of csgD mRNA. *Nucleic Acids Res.* 2018;46(13):6746-60. [PubMed ID:29905843]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6061853]. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gky479.
- 21. Ramirez-Pena E, Trevino J, Liu Z, Perez N, Sumby P. The group A Streptococcus small regulatory RNA FasX enhances streptokinase activity by increasing the stability of the ska mRNA transcript. *Mol*

Microbiol. 2010;**78**(6):132–47. [PubMed ID: 21143309]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3071709]. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2010.07427.x.

- Nielsen JS, Larsen MH, Lillebaek EM, Bergholz TM, Christiansen MH, Boor KJ, et al. A small RNA controls expression of the chitinase ChiA in Listeria monocytogenes. *PLoS One*. 2011;6(4). e19019. [PubMed ID: 21533114]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3078929]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0019019.
- Romilly C, Hoekzema M, Holmqvist E, Wagner EGH. Small RNAs OmrA and OmrB promote class III flagellar gene expression by inhibiting the synthesis of anti-Sigma factor FlgM. RNA Biol. 2020;17(6):872–80. [PubMed ID: 32133913]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7549644]. https://doi. org/10.1080/15476286.2020.1733801.
- Argaman L, Altuvia S. fhlA repression by OxyS RNA: Kissing complex formation at two sites results in a stable antisense-target RNA complex. *JMolBiol*. 2000;**300**(5):1101-12. [PubMed ID: 10903857]. https: //doi.org/10.1006/jmbi.2000.3942.
- Zhang T, Bae D, Wang C. Listeria monocytogenes DNA Glycosylase AdIP affects flagellar motility, biofilm formation, virulence, and stress responses. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2016;82(17):5144–52. [PubMed ID: 27316964]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4988193]. https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.00719-16.
- Lemon KP, Higgins DE, Kolter R. Flagellar motility is critical for Listeria monocytogenes biofilm formation. J Bacteriol. 2007;189(12):4418-24. [PubMed ID: 17416647]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC1913361]. https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.01967-06.
- Rossez Y, Wolfson EB, Holmes A, Gally DL, Holden NJ. Bacterial flagella: Twist and stick, or dodge across the kingdoms. *PLoS Pathog.* 2015;11(1).

e1004483. [PubMed ID: 25590430]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4295861]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004483.

- Bigot A, Pagniez H, Botton E, Frehel C, Dubail I, Jacquet C, et al. Role of FliF and FliI of Listeria monocytogenes in flagellar assembly and pathogenicity. *Infect Immun.* 2005;73(9):5530–9. [PubMed ID: 16113269]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC1231047]. https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.73.9.5530-5539.2005.
- Way SS, Thompson LJ, Lopes JE, Hajjar AM, Kollmann TR, Freitag NE, et al. Characterization of flagellin expression and its role in Listeria monocytogenes infection and immunity. *Cell Microbiol.* 2004;6(3):235–42. [PubMed ID:14764107]. https: //doi.org/10.1046/j.1462-5822.2004.00360.x.
- Subramanian S, Kearns DB. Functional Regulators of Bacterial Flagella. Annu Rev Microbiol. 2019;73:225–46. [PubMed ID: 31136265]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7110939]. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurevmicro-020518-115725.
- Kamp HD, Higgins DE. A protein thermometer controls temperature-dependent transcription of flagellar motility genes in Listeria monocytogenes. *PLoS Pathog.* 2011;7(8). e1002153. [PubMed ID: 21829361]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3150276]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1002153.
- 32. Cho SY, Na HW, Oh HB, Kwak YM, Song WS, Park SC, et al. Structural basis of flagellar motility regulation by the MogR repressor and the GmaR antirepressor in Listeria monocytogenes. *Nucleic Acids Res*. 2022;**50**(19):11315–30. [PubMed ID: 36283692]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9638930]. https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkac815.