Published Online: 2025 July 20

Research Article



Rapid Identification of Microorganisms Directly from Blood Culture Using an In-house Method by MALDI-TOF-MS

Baris Can 🔟 ^{1,*}, Gulsen Altinkanat Gelmez 🔟 ², Hulya Dogan 🔟 ², Guner Soyletir 🔟 ²

¹ Department of Medical Microbiology, Marmara University Pendik Research and Training Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey
² Marmara University Faculty of Medicine Department of Medical Microbiology, Istanbul, Turkey

* Corresponding Author: Department of Medical Microbiology, Marmara University Pendik Research and Training Hospital, Istanbul, Turkey. Email: drbarisc@hotmail.com

Received: 26 March, 2025; Revised: 16 June, 2025; Accepted: 3 July, 2025

Abstract

Background: Several commercial and in-house methods have been developed for identifying microorganisms directly from blood culture bottles (BCBs) using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS). Accurate and rapid identification can significantly reduce the reporting time for critical patients.

Objectives: This study aimed to evaluate a simple, cost-effective method for detecting microorganisms from BCBs using the VITEK MS system and to compare the results with conventional blood culture and Gram staining methods.

Methods: A total of 296 monomicrobial blood cultures were processed using an in-house extraction protocol and analyzed via the VITEK MS system. The results were compared to conventional culture methods, and statistical significance was assessed using chi-square analysis.

Results: Gram-negative pathogens were identified with 92.0% accuracy, while gram-positive pathogens were correctly identified in 66.4% of cases using the in-house method. Statistical analysis revealed that this difference was significant (P < 0.01), highlighting the method's higher reliability for gram-negative bacteria. These results underscore the importance of refining protocols for gram-positive bacteria to enhance overall diagnostic performance.

Conclusions: The in-house method provided a cost-effective and feasible alternative for routine laboratory settings. While identification was highly successful for gram-negative bacteria, further refinement is needed to improve accuracy for gram-positive bacteria.

Keywords: Blood Culture, Rapid Identification, MALDI-TOF-MS

1. Background

infections (BSIs) contribute to Bloodstream significant morbidity and mortality worldwide. Rapid identification of pathogens from blood culture samples is crucial for initiating timely and appropriate antimicrobial therapy (1-3). Blood culture remains the reference method for detecting bacterial infections in the bloodstream, in addition to clinical evaluation. Although commercial blood culture systems have reduced the time required for pathogen detection, confirming BSIs still depends on traditional methods, such as Gram staining, culturing on solid media, biochemical identification, and antimicrobial

susceptibility testing. Traditional culture-based identification methods require 24 - 72 hours for pathogen detection, potentially delaying treatment. Each hour of delay in initiating appropriate antimicrobial therapy in septic patients increases the mortality rate by 7.6% (4, 5). Therefore, rapid identification of the microorganisms responsible for bacteremia is essential for starting the appropriate therapy.

Matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF-MS) has revolutionized microbial identification by providing rapid and accurate results. In recent years, commercial and in-house MALDI-TOF-MS procedures have been

Copyright © 2025, Can et al. This open-access article is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which allows for unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is properly cited.

How to Cite: Can B, Altinkanat Gelmez G, Dogan H, Soyletir G. Rapid Identification of Microorganisms Directly from Blood Culture Using an In-house Method by MALDI-TOF-MS. Jundishapur J Microbiol. 2025; 18 (7): e161075. https://doi.org/10.5812/jjm-161075.

developed for the detection of microorganisms directly from the blood culture bottle (BCB) to reduce turnaround time. However, the presence of blood components and other interfering substances in direct blood culture samples can complicate pathogen identification. Various manual and commercial protocols involving centrifugation, washing, and protein extraction have been proposed to address these challenges. This study evaluates a simplified in-house protocol to improve direct pathogen identification efficiency from blood cultures (6-10). Most protocols are often time-consuming, require specific equipment, and are laborious for routine use. Therefore, there is a need to develop simple, easy-to-use, and reliable methods for direct bacterial identification from blood culture.

2. Objectives

The purpose of this research was to assess the effectiveness of an easy, quick, and affordable in-house method for detecting microorganisms directly from BCBs with the VITEK MS system.

3. Methods

3.1. Sample Collection

Blood samples collected at Marmara University Pendik Training and Research Hospital were inoculated into BacT/ALERT BCBs (aerobic, anaerobic, and pediatric). Only BCBs with positive results were included in the study. A total of 296 samples exhibiting monomicrobial growth were analyzed.

3.2. Blood Culture Processing

All BCB (aerobic, anaerobic, and pediatric) were incubated at 37°C in an automated BacT/ALERT system for up to 5 days until reported positive. Each positive BCB was Gram stained using an automated Gram staining system and inoculated onto various agar plates, including 5% sheep blood agar, chocolate agar, and MacConkey agar. We excluded samples that did not grow on aerobic culture plates, such as anaerobic bacteria. After overnight incubation, identification of bacteria grown on agar plates was done using VITEK MS.

3.3. In-house Extraction Method from Blood Culture

1. Four milliliters of blood culture broth were centrifuged at 2000 g for 30 seconds to separate the blood cells.

2. The supernatant was then subjected to a second centrifugation at 15,500 g for 5 minutes to collect

bacterial cells.

3. The bacterial pellet was washed three times with deionized water to remove contaminants.

4. The final pellet was resuspended in 300 μL of water and mixed with 900 μL of absolute ethanol.

5. Following centrifugation at 15,500 g for 2 minutes, the supernatant was discarded.

6. The pellet was re-suspended in a 50 μL solution of 70% formic acid and 50 μL of acetonitrile.

7. After the final centrifugation step at 15,500 g for 2 minutes, 1 μL of the supernatant was transferred onto a VITEK MS plate, air-dried, and analyzed using MALDI-TOF-MS.

3.4. Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization-Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry

Each spot was overlaid with 0.5 μ L of formic acid and 1 μ L of the alpha-cyano-4-hydroxycinnamic acid (HCCA) matrix solution. After allowing it to air dry at room temperature, the VITEK MS plate was analyzed using the VITEK MS IVD system. The protein profile for each sample, covering an m/z range from 3,000 to 15,000, was obtained from 100 measurements. Results with confidence levels ranging from 90% to 98% were considered reliable for species and genus identification, while results with confidence below 90% were deemed unacceptable.

Data Analysis: Results from MALDI-TOF-MS were compared to conventional culture-based identification results and evaluated in three categories: Concordant (species/genus match), discordant (genus-level mismatch), and unidentified.

1. Concordant: The concordance of identification results obtained from agar plates and direct BCBs at the species and genus level.

2. Discordant: The discordance of identification results obtained from agar plates and direct BCBs at the genus level.

3. Unidentified: The concordance of identification results obtained from agar plates and direct BCBs at the species and genus level.

Finally, chi-square analysis was used to assess the statistical significance of differences in identification accuracy between groups. A P-value < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

4. Results

Among the 296 positive blood cultures examined, gram-negative bacteria were correctly identified in 92.0% of instances, whereas the identification of gram-



Figure 1. Identification accuracy of in-house method

positive bacteria had an accuracy of 66.4% (Figure 1). Chisquare analysis indicated this difference was statistically significant (P < 0.01). Gram-negative bacteria exhibited high concordance with standard culture methods, while Gram-positive bacteria showed some discrepancies.

A total of 134 gram-positive microorganisms were identified in blood culture samples prepared using the conventional culture method. Of these, 89 (66.4%) were accurately identified at the species and genus level using an in-house extraction technique from BCBs. Discordant results were obtained in 7 strains, and these were at the genus level. On the other hand, 38 strains were unidentified. Errors in identification were mostly observed with *Staphylococcus aureus* (14/41), *S. epidermidis* (9/32), *S. haemolyticus* (4/7), and *Enterococcus faecium* (6/12) (Table 1).

A total of 162 gram-negative microorganisms were identified in the blood culture samples prepared using the traditional culture method. Out of these, 149 (92.0%) were accurately identified at the species and genus level through an in-house extraction technique from BCBs. While no discordant results were found for gramnegative microorganisms compared to the conventional culture method, 13 strains were not identified by the inhouse method (Table 1).

5. Discussion

The main task of a clinical microbiology laboratory is to correctly identify pathogens that cause infectious diseases in a short time and to assist clinicians in the implementation of appropriate treatment protocols by determining antibiotic susceptibility profiles. Blood culture is considered the gold standard for diagnosing BSIs. Rapid and accurate pathogen identification is crucial for effective antimicrobial treatment (11). The average time for positive signaling of blood culture systems is approximately 24 hours. Gram staining and subculture processes also take at least 24 hours.

Organisms	No. of Strains	No. of Concordant	No. of Discordant	No. of Unidentified
Gram negative microorganisms	162	149 (92.0)	0	13 (8.0)
Enterobacteriaceae				
Escherichia coli	65	60	-	5
Klebsiella pneumonia	42	40	-	2
Enterobacter cloacae	6	5	-	1
Serratia marcescens	3	3		-
E. aerogenes	2	2	-	-
K. oxytoca	1	1	-	-
Proteus mirabilis	1	-	-	1
Other				
Acinetobacter baumannii	26	25	-	1
Pseudomonas aeruginosa	11	9	-	2
Stenotrophomonas maltophilia	2	1	-	1
Aeromonas hydrophila/caviae	1	1	-	-
Burkholderia cepacia	1	1	-	-
Moraxella catarrhalis	1	1	-	-
am positive microorganisms	134	89 (66.4)	7(5.2)	38 (28.4)
Staphylococcus spp.				
Staphylococcus aureus	41	27	3	11
S. epidermidis	32	23	-	9
S. hominis	14	10	-	4
S.haemolyticus	7	3	1	3
S. lugdunensis	3	3	-	-
S. capitis	2	2	-	-
S. caprae	1	1	-	-
S. simulans	1		1	-
S. warneri	2	1	-	1
Streptococcus spp.				
Streptococcus pneumonia	4	3	-	1
S. pyogenes	2	-	1	1
S. dysgalactiae	1	1	-	-
S. parasanguinis	1	1	-	-
S. sanguinis	1	1	-	-
S. anginosus	1	-	-	1
Enterococcus spp.				
Enterococcus faecium	12	6	1	5
E. faecalis	9	7	-	2
otal	296	238(80.4)	7(2.4)	51 (17.2)

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

Therefore, at least 48 hours are required for the identification of pathogens that reproduce in blood culture by traditional methods.

In the last decade, several rapid methods (real-time PCR, multiplex PCR, fluorescent in situ hybridization, and peptide nucleic acid hybridization) have come into use for the rapid identification of pathogens. However, not all pathogens can be detected with these methods, and the equipment and reagents required for the method are quite expensive (12). Over the last ten years, MALDI-TOF-MS has become a widely used tool for the rapid identification of microorganisms cultured on solid media. The MALDI-TOF-MS has had a revolutionary effect in microbiology laboratories due to its rapid and high-throughput detection of various types of pathogens (13).

Recently, direct identification protocols from positive BCBs have been developed to reduce the time of diagnosis. Several investigations have examined the use MALDI-TOF-MS for directly of identifying microorganisms in BCBs, employing different protocols (6-8). Consequently, it is aimed to better analyze the bacterial proteome by performing bacterial protein extraction through pre-processing with in-house and commercial protocols developed before the BCB is analyzed. In this research, we assessed the effectiveness of MALDI-TOF-MS for processing blood cultures using an in-house direct protocol prior to analysis.

In our study, we used a simple method made with equipment that can be easily found in every laboratory. With the use of such simple diagnostic protocols in clinical microbiology laboratories, rapid results can be produced that can positively affect the prognosis. In this study, we found that gram-negative bacteria were more accurately with identified MALDI-TOF-MS compared to gram-positive bacteria (92.0% versus 66.4%). These results are similar to other studies with MALDI-TOF-MS in the literature (9, 14, 15). For example, the identification rate was 85.0% for gram-negative aerobes, with gram-positive aerobes following (78.2%) in Lin et al.'s study (9). In the study by Jo et al., the overall correct identification rate was 81.8% (208/254), with a success rate of 73.9% for gram-positive isolates and 92.6% for gram-negative isolates (14). Mestas et al. found that organisms were correctly identified to the species level, with a significantly higher identification rate for gramnegative organisms (90.3%) compared to gram-positive organisms (78.4%) (15).

These results are supported by previous studies on direct MALDI-TOF MS from positive blood cultures. Tsuchida et al. achieved 85.5% overall accuracy and 76.1% for gram-positive organisms with an optimized in-house lysis-filtration method (16). A large-scale study on 538 samples demonstrated a 93.4% accuracy for gramnegative and 78.9% for gram-positive bacteria (17). These findings corroborate our observations and highlight the potential of direct workflows while also confirming limitations in gram-positive detection.

The correct identification of gram-negative bacteria has a significant impact on the choice of antimicrobial agent to be used in treatment because there are many antibiotics that can be used to treat gram-negative bacteria, and resistance to antimicrobials is higher. The in-house method demonstrated high accuracy for gramnegative bacteria, consistent with findings from previous studies. The lower identification rate for grampositive bacteria may be attributed to the complex cell wall structure, lower bacterial concentration, or interference from blood components.

Optimization strategies, including chemical agents such as saponin and SDS, may enhance identification rates. However, similarly, the correct identification rates of gram-positive bacteria were lower than those of gram-negative bacteria in these studies (10, 18-23). In our study, 17.2% of the isolates were not identified by the method used. Of these, 54.9% were Staphylococcus species, consisting of 11 S. aureus, 9 S. epidermidis, 4 S. hominis, 3 S. haemolyticus, and 1 S. warneri. The rate of unidentified isolates has been reported to be between 10.0% and 13.0% in other studies (8, 14, 24). While discordant results were not detected in the gramnegative bacteria, they were observed in seven grampositive bacteria. The rates of discordant results in other studies ranged from 0% to 4%, and it was found to be 2.4% in our study. Most of these results were grampositive bacteria, commonly Staphylococci species, as seen in other studies (14, 24, 25).

The identification of bacteria causing BSI was accomplished in a short time, like 1 hour, with the method used in our study. The short identification period allows the treatment of patients with BSI to be started in a short time. The advantage of this method is that it provides results 48 hours earlier than the traditional identification method (26). It is also a simple and cost-effective method. Early detection of pathogens causing BSI can significantly reduce mortality rates, especially in critically ill patients, through early and effective treatment (27). At the same time, if these methods can be used to detect antimicrobial resistance, more accurate treatment protocols specific to the pathogen can be determined. In this way, misuse and overuse of broad-spectrum antibiotics are prevented (28).

5.1. Conclusions

In conclusion, the in-house method offers a rapid, cost-effective, and practical alternative for direct microbial identification from blood cultures. While gram-negative bacteria were accurately identified, further improvements are needed to enhance the accuracy for gram-positive bacteria. Future research should focus on refining lysis and extraction techniques tailored for gram-positive organisms and integrating the detection of antimicrobial resistance markers directly from blood culture samples. Such advances could support more targeted therapy, reduce hospital stay durations, and limit the emergence of resistance due to inappropriate antimicrobial use.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: B. C., G. A. G., and G. S. contributed to the study conception and design. B. C., H. D., and G. A. G. implemented the study. B. C. and G. A. G. analyzed and interpreted the data and B. C. drafted the manuscript. G. S. revised the work critically for intellectual content and granted final approval for publishing.

Conflict of Interests Statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after its publication. The data are not publicly available due to the inclusion of patient information and are therefore subject to data protection regulations.

Ethical Approval: The study was approved by Ethics Committee of Marmara University Medical School (approval number: 09.2018.053).

Funding/Support: The study received no funding/support.

References

- Font MD, Thyagarajan B, Khanna AK. Sepsis and septic shock basics of diagnosis, pathophysiology and clinical decision making. *Med Clin North Am.* 2020;**104**(4):573-85. [PubMed ID: 32505253]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mcna.2020.02.011.
- Magoon R, Jose J. Hemo"dynamic" management and septic shock prognosis: Additional insights into the "dynamics". *Am J Emerg Med.* 2023;**70**:205. [PubMed ID: 37270359]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajem.2023.05.033.
- Shi X, Sharma S, Chmielewski RA, Markovic MJ, VanEpps JS, Yau ST. Rapid diagnosis of bloodstream infections using a culture-free phenotypic platform. *Commun Med (Lond)*. 2024;4(1):77. [PubMed ID: 38654117]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC11039749]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s43856-024-00487-x.
- Frost R, Newsham H, Parmar S, Gonzalez-Ruiz A. Impact of delayed antimicrobial therapy in septic ITU patients. *Critical Care*. 2010;14(Suppl 2). https://doi.org/10.1186/cc9123.
- Pariona JGM, Oliveira FA, Scoton PH, Barron-Pastor HJ, Pariona EMM, Zaccariotto TR, et al. Rapid diagnostic of multidrug-resistant sepsis pathogens directly from blood culture bottles using MALDI-TOF and the EUCAST RAST. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2024;**109**(2):116247.
 [PubMed ID: 38484476]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2024.116247.
- Di Gaudio F, Indelicato S, Indelicato S, Tricoli MR, Stampone G, Bongiorno D. Improvement of a rapid direct blood culture microbial identification protocol using MALDI-TOF MS and performance comparison with SepsiTyper kit. J Microbiol Methods. 2018;155:1-7. [PubMed ID: 30442592]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2018.10.015.

- Lin HH, Tseng KH, Tien N, Lin YT, Yu J, Hsueh PR, et al. Evaluation of the rapid sepsityper protocol and specific MBT-Sepsityper module for the identification of bacteremia and fungemia using bruker biotyper MALDI-TOF MS. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2022;55(6 Pt 2):1330-3. [PubMed ID: 35981943]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2022.07.005.
- Tanner H, Evans JT, Gossain S, Hussain A. Evaluation of three sample preparation methods for the direct identification of bacteria in positive blood cultures by MALDI-TOF. *BMC Res Notes*. 2017;**10**(1):48. [PubMed ID: 28100271]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5241956]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-016-2366-y.
- Lin JF, Ge MC, Liu TP, Chang SC, Lu JJ. A simple method for rapid microbial identification from positive monomicrobial blood culture bottles through matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization time-offlight mass spectrometry. J Microbiol Immunol Infect. 2018;51(5):659-65. [PubMed ID: 28711436]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmii.2017.03.005.
- Ferreira L, Sanchez-Juanes F, Munoz-Bellido JL, Gonzalez-Buitrago JM. Rapid method for direct identification of bacteria in urine and blood culture samples by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization timeof-flight mass spectrometry: Intact cell vs. extraction method. *Clin Microbiol Infect.* 2011;17(7):1007-12. [PubMed ID: 20718803]. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2010.03339.x.
- Watson CM, Al-Hasan MN. Bloodstream infections and central lineassociated bloodstream infections. *Surg Clin North Am.* 2014;94(6):1233-44. [PubMed ID: 25440121]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.suc.2014.08.003.
- Riedel S, Carroll KC. Early identification and treatment of pathogens in sepsis: Molecular diagnostics and antibiotic choice. *Clin Chest Med.* 2016;**37**(2):191-207. [PubMed ID: 27229637]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ccm.2016.01.018.
- van Belkum A, Welker M, Pincus D, Charrier JP, Girard V. Matrixassisted laser desorption ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry in clinical microbiology: What are the current issues? *Ann Lab Med.* 2017;**37**(6):475-83. [PubMed ID: 28840984]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5587819]. https://doi.org/10.3343/alm.2017.37.6.475.
- Jo SJ, Park KG, Han K, Park DJ, Park YJ. Direct identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing of bacteria from positive blood culture bottles by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization timeof-flight mass spectrometry and the vitek 2 system. *Ann Lab Med.* 2016;**36**(2):117-23. [PubMed ID: 26709258]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4713844]. https://doi.org/10.3343/alm.2016.36.2.117.
- Mestas J, Felsenstein S, Bard JD. Direct identification of bacteria from positive BacT/ALERT blood culture bottles using matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time-of-flight mass spectrometry. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis.* 2014;80(3):193-6. [PubMed ID: 25139844]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diagmicrobio.2014.07.008.
- Tsuchida S, Murata S, Miyabe A, Satoh M, Takiwaki M, Matsushita K, et al. An improved in-house lysis-filtration protocol for bacterial identification from positive blood culture bottles with high identification rates by MALDI-TOF MS. J Microbiol Methods. 2018;148:40-5. [PubMed ID: 29608928]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2018.03.014.
- Barberino MG, Silva MO, Arraes ACP, Correia LC, Mendes AV. Direct identification from positive blood broth culture by matrix-assisted laser desorption-ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry (MALDI-TOF MS). *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2017;**21**(3):339-42. [PubMed ID: 28399425]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9427800]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2017.03.007.
- Cheon DH, Jang H, Choi YK, Oh WS, Hwang S, Park JR, et al. Clinical evaluation of advanced MALDI-TOF MS for carbapenemase subtyping in Gram-negative isolates. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2025;63(1). e0147524. [PubMed ID: 39611795]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC11784181]. https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.01475-24.

- Lee JE, Jo SJ, Park KG, Suk HS, Ha SI, Shin JS, et al. Evaluation of modified saponin preparation method for the direct identification and antimicrobial susceptibility testing from positive blood culture. *J Microbiol Methods*. 2018;**154**:118-23. [PubMed ID: 30321566]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mimet.2018.10.004.
- Simon L, Ughetto E, Gaudart A, Degand N, Lotte R, Ruimy R. Direct Identification of 80 Percent of Bacteria from Blood Culture Bottles by Matrix-Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization-Time of Flight Mass Spectrometry Using a 10-Minute Extraction Protocol. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2019;**57**(2). [PubMed ID: 30463897]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6355546]. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.01278-18.
- Florio W, Cappellini S, Giordano C, Vecchione A, Ghelardi E, Lupetti A. A new culture-based method for rapid identification of microorganisms in polymicrobial blood cultures by MALDI-TOF MS. *BMC Microbiol*. 2019;**19**(1):267. [PubMed ID: 31783786]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6884914]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-019-1641-1.
- 22. Florio W, Cappellini S, Giordano C, Vecchione A, Ghelardi E, Lupetti A. An automated sample preparation instrument to accelerate positive blood cultures microbial identification by MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry (Vitek MS). *Front Microbiol.* 2018;**9**:911. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.00911.
- Zhou M, Yang Q, Kudinha T, Sun L, Zhang R, Liu C, et al. An improved In-house MALDI-TOF MS protocol for direct cost-effective identification of pathogens from blood cultures. *Front Microbiol*. 2017;8:1824. [PubMed ID: 29033904]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5625089]. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2017.01824.

- Azrad M, Keness Y, Nitzan O, Pastukh N, Tkhawkho L, Freidus V, et al. Cheap and rapid in-house method for direct identification of positive blood cultures by MALDI-TOF MS technology. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2019;**19**(1):72. [PubMed ID: 30658585]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6339441]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-019-3709-9.
- Demir M, Hazirolan G. Rapid bacterial identification from positive blood cultures by MALDI-TOF MS following short-term incubation on solid media. *Infect Dis Clin Microbiol*. 2024;6(2):141-6. [PubMed ID: 39005699]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC11243770]. https://doi.org/10.36519/idcm.2024.319.
- 26. Sherwin R, Winters ME, Vilke GM, Wardi G. Does early and appropriate antibiotic administration improve mortality in emergency department patients with severe sepsis or septic shock? J Emerg Med. 2017;53(4):588-95. [PubMed ID: 28916120]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jemermed.2016.12.009.
- van Belkum A, Bachmann TT, Ludke G, Lisby JG, Kahlmeter G, Mohess A, et al. Developmental roadmap for antimicrobial susceptibility testing systems. *Nat Rev Microbiol*. 2019;**17**(1):51-62. [PubMed ID: 30333569]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7138758]. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0098-9.
- Labriola L. Antibiotic locks for the treatment of catheter-related blood stream infection: Still more hope than data. *Semin Dial.* 2019;**32**(5):402-5. [PubMed ID: 30950116]. https://doi.org/10.1111/sdi.12807.