Published Online: 2025 July 2

Research Article



A Decade-Long Study Focused on the Clinical and Microbiological Assessment of Patients Infected by *Achromobacter* Species

Zeynep Ture 🔟 ^{1,*}, Pınar Sağıroğlu 🔟 ², Esma Eryilmaz Eren 🔟 ³, Atalay Mustafa Altay 🔟 ²

¹ Department of Infectious Dseases, Gürlife Hospital, Eskişehir, Turkey

² Department of Clinical Microbiology, Erciyes University, Kayseri, Turkey

³ Department of Infectious Diseases and Clinically Microbiology, Kayseri City Education and Research Hospital, Kayseri, Turkey

^{*}Corresponding Author: Department of Infectious Diseases, Gürlife Hospital, Eskişehir, Turkey. Email: dr.zeynepture@gmail.com

Received: 18 March, 2025; Revised: 20 May, 2025; Accepted: 25 May, 2025

Abstract

Background: This study aimed to assess the characteristics of patients with *Achromobacter* spp. infections over a ten-year period at a tertiary care hospital.

Methods: A retrospective evaluation was conducted on the patients' demographic information, co-morbid conditions, laboratory results, antimicrobial susceptibilities, and treatments.

Results: The study included 154 patients along with their clinical isolates. The majority of *Achromobacter* species isolates were from surgical clinics (43%). A history of immunosuppressive disease or treatment was present in 40% of the individuals. Polymicrobial infections were identified in 50 patients, and a total of 18 patients (12%) died within 28 days. Trauma was less frequent (8% vs. 16%; P = 0.035), and the rate of chronic disease was lower (58% vs. 75%; P = 0.032) among patients with polymicrobial infections. These patients had a higher occurrence of abscess samples (68% vs. 36%; P < 0.001) and a lower 28-day mortality rate (4% vs. 18%; P = 0.037). *Achromobacter* spp. was isolated from blood cultures in 45 patients, with higher rates of hypertension (22% vs. 8.3%; P = 0.017) and coronary artery disease (45% vs. 7.3%; P = 0.003). Meropenem usage was more common in patients with bacteremia (29% vs. 11%; P = 0.006). The mortality rate was higher in the bacteremic patient group than in the non-bacteremic group (20% vs. 10.5%; P = 0.139).

Conclusions:*Achromobacter* spp. are frequently isolated from immunocompromised patients, but they can also be part of polymicrobial infections, especially in wound or abscess samples from surgical clinics. This is the first study linking polymicrobial *Achromobacter* spp. abscesses to reduced mortality. It has been observed that the mortality rate is higher in bacteremic patients even when broad-spectrum antibiotics are used.

Keywords: Achromobacter spp., Polymicrobial, Bacteremia, Resistance

1. Background

Achromobacter species is a motile, aerobic, oxidasepositive, non-fermentative Gram-negative bacillus (1). This microorganism is widely distributed in the environment and is most frequently associated with healthcare-associated infections (1, 2). The majority of cases occur in patients with some form of immunosuppression, particularly those with hematologic malignancies, with primary bacteremia being the most prevalent clinical presentation (3-6). Additional documented infections include urinary tract infections, abscesses, osteomyelitis, corneal ulcers, prosthetic valve endocarditis, peritonitis, and pneumonia (7-10). Surgical site infections caused by *Achromobacter* spp. are increasingly reported in the literature (11). In immunocompromised hosts, it is known to cause opportunistic infections, including bloodstream infections, pneumonia, and urinary tract infections (12). The species is ubiquitous in aquatic environments and is capable of colonizing various aqueous solutions, such as dialysis fluids, ultrasound gels, and even disinfectants used in hospital settings (13,

Copyright © 2025, Ture et al. This open-access article is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which allows for unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is properly cited.

How to Cite: Ture Z, Sağıroğlu P, Eryilmaz Eren E, Altay A M. A Decade-Long Study Focused on the Clinical and Microbiological Assessment of Patients Infected by Achromobacter Species. Jundishapur J Microbiol. 2025; 18 (7): e161389. https://doi.org/10.5812/jjm-161389.

14). Contaminated hospital environments, particularly water-containing equipment and healthcare workers' hands or gowns, can serve as reservoirs for these pathogens and play a critical role in the transmission of nosocomial infections (15). Resistance to beta-lactams, fluoroquinolones, and aminoglycosides, which are frequently used in empirical treatment, has been increasing in *Achromobacter* spp. isolates (16). The production of various beta-lactamase enzymes and the activity of efflux pumps play a significant role in the development of this resistance (1).

2. Objectives

The aim of this study was to investigate the resistance profile and microbiological characteristics of *Achromobacter* spp. isolated in a tertiary health institution during a 10-year period. It also aimed to examine the demographic data, treatment protocols, treatment responses, and prognoses of patients in whom *Achromobacter* spp. was isolated in any sample.

3. Methods

3.1. Study Design

A retrospective study was conducted from March 2014 to March 2024, utilizing original data extracted from the Bacteriology Laboratory's database, which cataloged all clinical samples positive for *Achromobacter* spp. The study was performed at a 1300-bed University Hospital.

3.2. Study Population and Data Sources

The study included all patients from whom Achromobacter spp. were isolated in clinical specimens during the specified time frame. Duplicate isolates, defined as strains with identical antimicrobial susceptibility profiles isolated from the same sample type in the same patient, were excluded. Isolates obtained from a single positive culture without significant clinical indicators were considered as colonization and excluded from the study. Details the patients' regarding age, gender, immunosuppression status, co-morbidities, and treatment unit were obtained from patient files. Information on antibiotic regimens and 28-day clinical outcomes was recorded. Immunocompromised status was ascertained based on the following parameters: A prior history of organ transplantation, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or immunosuppressive therapy, as well as the presence of co-morbidities requiring sustained corticosteroid administration. Polymicrobial infection was delineated as the concurrent isolation of more than one microorganism from a given specimen type.

3.3. Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing

Achromobacter spp. growth in clinical samples brought to the Bacteriology Unit of the Central Laboratory of Ercives University Faculty of Medicine between March 2014 and March 2024 was retrospectively examined. The hospital information management system was used during the examinations. In the identification of bacteria, in addition to phenotypic properties such as colony morphology, pigment production, odor of bacteria, and gram staining patterns, biochemical tests such as catalase, oxidase, urease, indole, motility, esculin hydrolysis, ornithine, lysine, arginine decarboxylation, and oxidation-fermentation tests for various sugars such as sucrose, xylose, and glucose were used. In addition to these tests, Vitek 2 (Biomeriux, France) and Phoenix M50 (Becton Dickinson, USA) automated systems were used between 2014 and March 2020, and BD Sirius (Bruker, Germany) and Vitek MS Prime (Biomeriux, France) MALDI TOF MS systems were used to improve specieslevel identification between 2020 and March 2024. Disk diffusion test and gradient strip tests were used in antibiotic susceptibility tests of the isolates (ceftazidime, cefepime, ciprofloxacin, piperacillinmeropenem, trimethoprimtazobactam, and sulfamethoxazole). In the evaluation of antibiotic susceptibility tests, CLSI M100 and M45 documents were used between 2014 and 2020, and European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing (EUCAST) recommendations were used after Achromobacter xylosoxidans was added to the EUCAST clinical breakpoint tables after 2020. Identification of subgroups could not be made because some isolates could not be revived.

3.4. Treatment Description

The use of appropriate antibiotic therapy was deemed sufficient if at least one drug from the tested antimicrobial panel was employed in the patient's treatment plan.

3.5. Statistical Analysis

Data was analyzed using the SPSS 27.0 statistical software package. Patients' demographic characteristics, treatment departments, antimicrobial resistance patterns, and clinical outcomes were compared based on the presence of bacteremia and polymicrobial infections. The chi-square or Fisher's exact test was employed for the analysis of categorical variables, while the Shapiro-Wilk test was utilized to assess the normality of continuous variables. For variables demonstrating normal distribution, an independent *t*-test for two samples was applied, whereas the Mann-Whitney U test was used for those not following a normal distribution. A P-value of less than 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

4. Results

Achromobacter spp. was identified in 212 isolates during the study period. However, only 154 cultures were included in the analysis, as 24 patients were under the age of 18, 21 isolates demonstrated repeating culture positivity, and 13 cultures were deemed colonizations (Figure 1). The median age of the cohort was 52 years, with 68.5% being male. Among the 107 patients, at least co-morbidity one was present, with immunosuppression being the most prevalent (39.6%). The highest rate of isolation (42.9%) was observed from surgical clinics. The most frequently administered antibiotics were piperacillin-tazobactam (25.3%) and meropenem (16.2%). Polymicrobial infections were documented in 50 patients (32.5%). The 28-day mortality rate was 12% (Table 1). Anti-HIV antibody was tested during the hospitalization period, and none resulted in positive. The origin of the isolates is illustrated in Figure 2, showing that 46% originated from abscess and wound samples, 29% from blood, 13% from respiratory specimens, and 9% from urine samples. One percent of the isolates were obtained from samples such as catheter tips or cerebrospinal fluid.

Table 2 shows a comparative analysis of the characteristics, laboratory findings, and prognoses of patients with polymicrobial (n = 50) versus monomicrobial (n = 104) infections. The prevalence of having at least one chronic condition was significantly higher among patients with monomicrobial infections

(75% vs. 58%; P = 0.032). Additionally, the history of trauma was more prevalent in monomicrobial cases (16% vs. 8%; P = 0.035). The abscess/wound isolate rate in polymicrobial infections was higher than in monomicrobial infections (36% vs. 68%, P < 0.001), whereas monomicrobial infections were more frequently associated with isolates from blood (P = 0.004) and urine (P = 0.034) samples. No significant difference was observed between the groups in the comparison of white blood cells and C-reactive protein levels before and after treatment. The 28-day mortality rate was 17.6% in patients with monomicrobial infections, compared to 4% in those with polymicrobial infections (P = 0.037).



Figure 2. Types of the isolates

When comparing bacteremic (n = 45) and nonbacteremic (n = 109) patients, the incidence of hypertension (22% vs. 8.3%; P = 0.017) and coronary artery disease (45% vs. 7.3%; P = 0.003) was significantly higher in those with bacteremia. The prevalence of bacteremia was significantly higher in samples obtained from intensive care units (48% vs. 17%, P < 0.001). Conversely, the rate of polymicrobial infection was more pronounced in non-bacteremic patients (P = 0.004). In terms of antibiotic regimens, the utilization of meropenem was significantly higher in bacteremic patients (44% vs. 16%; P = 0.006). Although the 28-day mortality rate was higher among bacteremic patients



Figure 1. Flow chart of patients and isolates included in the study

(20% vs. 10.5%), this difference did not reach statistical significance (Table 3).

The antimicrobial susceptibilities of isolates are illustrated in Figure 3. Piperacillin-tazobactam exhibited the highest susceptibility rate (70%), followed by imipenem (60%). Resistance to cephalosporins exceeded 50%.

5. Discussion

In this study, 69.5% of patients presented with at least one chronic condition. The rate of immunosuppression was 40%, while malignancy was observed in 26% of the cohort. A laboratory-based surveillance study conducted by Işler et al. in Australia reported that 90% of patients with 195 bloodstream infections had a Charlson Comorbidity Index (CCI) score of 1 or higher, with 19% having a CCI score of 3 or higher (5). The group most frequently affected by *Achromobacter* spp. infections comprises patients with cystic fibrosis (17, 18), in whom bacterial colonization is likely due to persistent inflammation in the respiratory tract. Furthermore, *Achromobacter* strains can persist in the respiratory tract through various patho-adaptive mutations, such as biofilm formation, genetic diversification, immune evasion, and the development of antibiotic resistance (19).

In the literature, Achromobacter spp. has been identified as the causative agent of bacteremia in patients with chronic myeloid leukemia (20), cellulitis allogeneic hematopoietic stem following cell transplantation (12), and bacteremia following endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in individuals with cholangiocarcinoma (21).Achromobacter spp. should be considered a possible pathogen in immunocompromised patients due to its opportunistic character. The incidence of polymicrobial infections was more pronounced in samples procured from abscesses. A meta-analysis that investigated

Variables	Values (N = 154)
Age	52 (18 - 88)
Male gender	105 (68.5)
/ears	
March 2014 to March 2015	21 (13.6)
March 2015 to March 2016	13 (8.4)
March 2016 to March 2017	14 (10)
March 2017 to March 2018	12 (7.7)
March 2018 to March 2019	12 (7.7)
March 2019 to March 2020	9 (5.8)
March 2020 to March 2021	20 (12.9)
March 2021 to March 2022	22 (14.2)
March 2022 to March 2023	18 (11.6)
March 2023 to March 2024	13 (8.4)
At least one chronic disease	107(69.5)
Immunosuppression	61 (39.6)
Malignancy	41(26.6)
Diabetes mellitus	30 (19.5)
Hypertension	19 (12.3)
Coronary artery disease	19 (12.3)
Chronic kidney disease	18 (11.7)
Osteomyelitis	13 (8.4)
frauma	21 (13.6)
solated unit	
Surgical clinics	66 (42.9)
Internal clinics	52 (33.8)
Intensive care unit	41 (26.6)
Antibiotic treatments	
Piperacillin tazobactam	59 (38.3)
Meropenem	38 (24.6)
Cefepime/Ceftazidime	16 (10.2)
Others	41(26.6)
Polymicrobial infection	50 (32.5)
28-day mortality	18 (11.7)

surgical site infections attributable to *Achromobacter* spp. revealed concomitant infections with bacteria such as *Escherichia coli*, *Morganella morganii*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, and *Stenotrophomonas maltophilia*, particularly following intra-abdominal, neurological, thoracic, and gynecological procedures (22).

In a retrospective study of *Achromobacter* spp. infections in cystic fibrosis patients, it was noted that this bacterium ranked as the 5th most prevalent pathogen and frequently contributed to co-infections in the context of chronic *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* and Burkholderia cepacia complex infections (23). Similarly, *S. maltophilia* and *P. aeruginosa* were frequently identified as co-infecting organisms in a retrospective analysis of ventilator-associated pneumonia (VAP) caused by *Achromobacter* spp., where 84% of patients exhibited polymicrobial VAP (24). It is imperative to recognize that *Achromobacter* spp. can also behave as an opportunistic pathogen, playing a significant role in polymicrobial infections, especially in surgical site and abscess specimens.

In this study, the observed 28-day mortality rate was 11.7%, with mortality being higher in patients with monomicrobial infections. Given that these patients

Age 54 (18-84) 45 (18-88) Male gender 70 (67.3) 35 (70) At least one chronic disease 78 (75) 29 (58) Immunosuppression 46 (44.2) 15 (30) Malignancy 31 (29.8) 100 (20) Diabetes mellitus 24 (23.1) 6 (12) Coronary artery disease 15 (14.4) 4 (8) Chronic kidney disease 12 (11.5) 6 (12) Osteomyelitis 9 (8.7) 4 (8) Trauma 17 (16.3) 4 (8) Blood 38 (37) 7 (14) Urine 13 (12.5) 1 (2) Respiratory samples 12 (11.5) 9 (18) Type of units 30 (28) 17 (34) Medical units 35 (34) 17 (34) Surgical units 30 (28) 11 (22) White blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10265 (4720-1760) Orst-treatment laboratory 9 9 9 White blood cell 710 (10-34000) 8365 (3520-1797) Orst-treative protein <th7< th=""><th>=50) P</th><th>Polymicrobial (N = 50)</th><th>Monomicrobial (N = 104)</th><th>Variables</th></th7<>	=50) P	Polymicrobial (N = 50)	Monomicrobial (N = 104)	Variables
Male gender 70 (67.3) 35 (70) At least one chronic disease 78 (75) 29 (58) Immunosuppression 46 (44.2) 15 (30) Malignancy 31 (29.8) 01 (20.0) Diabetes mellitus 24 (23.1) 6 (12) Coronary artery disease 15 (14.4) 4 (8) Chronic kidney disease 9 (8.7) 4 (8) Osteomyelitis 9 (8.7) 4 (8) Osteomyelitis 9 (8.7) 4 (8) Osteomyelitis 9 (8.7) 4 (8) Bood 37 (35.6) 4 (8) Blood 38 (37) 7 (14) Urine 38 (37) 7 (14) Pre fourits 9 (8.7) 9 (8.7) Medical units 38 (37) 7 (14) Surgical units 12 (11.5) 9 (8.7) Medical units 35 (34) 17 (34) Surgical units 44 (42) 22 (44) Intensive care units 35 (390-22560) 10265 (4720-1760) Write blood cell 855 (390-22560) 10265 (4720-1760) <td>0.771</td> <td>45 (18 - 88)</td> <td>54 (18 - 84)</td> <td>Age</td>	0.771	45 (18 - 88)	54 (18 - 84)	Age
At least one chronic disease 78 (75) 29 (58) Immunosuppression 46 (44.2) 15 (30) Malignancy 31 (29.8) 10 (20) Diabetes mellitus 24 (23.1) 6 (12) Coronary artery disease 15 (14.4) 4 (8) Chronic kidney disease 12 (11.5) 6 (12) Osteomyelitis 9 (8.7) 4 (8) Tauma 70 (16.3) 4 (8) Tauma 71 (15.3) 4 (8) Blood 38 (37) 7 (14) Blood 38 (37) 10 (20) Type of inits 35 (34) 7 (13) Medical units 35 (34) 17 (34) Surgical units 35 (34) 17 (34) Medical units 36 (28) 11 (20) White blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10265 (4720-1760) Creactive protein 72 (1370) 67 (123) White blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10265 (4720-1760) Creactive protein 710 (110-34000) 8365 (3520-1797)	0.973	35 (70)	70 (67.3)	Male gender
Immunosuppression 46(44.2) 15(30) Malignancy 31(29.8) 10(20) Diabetes mellitus 24(23.1) 6(12) Coronary artery disease 15(14.4) 4(8) Chronic kidney disease 12(11.5) 6(12) Osteomyelitis 9(8.7) 4(8) Tatum 17(16.3) 4(8) Toto steomyelitis 9(8.7) 4(8) Malignancy 37(35.6) 34(68) Blood 38(37) 7(14) Virine 13(12.5) 12(12) Respiratory samples 13(12.5) 12(12) Medical units 35(34) 71(34) Surgical units 35(34) 71(34) Surgical units 30(28) 11(2) Metic blood cell 855(390-22560) 1026(4720-1760) White blood cell 855(390-22560) 67(123) Multi blood cell 8365(350-2560) 67(123) White blood cell 30(1295) 67(123)	0.032	29 (58)	78 (75)	At least one chronic disease
Malignancy 31 (29.8) 10 (20) Diabetes mellitus 24 (23.1) 66 (22) Coronary artery disease 15 (14.4) 46 (8) Chronic kidney disease 12 (11.5) 66 (22) Osteomyelitis 9 (8.7) 46 (8) Taum 17 (16.3) 46 (8) Totomat kidney disease 17 (16.3) 46 (8) Blood 37 (35.6) 34 (68) Blood 38 (37) 71 (4) Virine 13 (12.5) 9 (18) Medical units 35 (34) 17 (34) Surgical units 35 (34) 17 (34) Mute blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10 (25 (472.4760)) White blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 67 (123.0760) White blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10 (25 (472.4760)) White blood cell 8365 (350-0100) 10	0.091	15 (30)	46 (44.2)	Immunosuppression
Diabetes mellitus 24 (23.1) 6 (12) Coronary artery disease 15 (14.4) 4 (8 (8) Chronic kidney disease 12 (11.5) 6 (12) Osteomyelitis 9 (8.7) 4 (8) Taum 17 (16.3) 4 (8) Totolated sample 37 (35.6) 4 (8) Blood 38 (37) 7 (14) Urine 13 (12.5) 10 (10) Respiratory samples 12 (11.5) 9 (18) Medical units 35 (34) 17 (34) Surgical units 30 (28) 11 (22) Medical units 30 (28) 11 (22) Mutic blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10 (265 (4720-1760)) White blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10 (265 (4720-1760)) Creactive protein 72 (1-370) 67 (1-235) White blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10 (265 (4720-1760)) Mutic blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10 (265 (4720-1760)) Mutic blood cell 710 (110-34000) 8365 (3520-1797)	0.197	10 (20)	31 (29.8)	Malignancy
Coronary artery disease 15 (14.4) 4 (8) Chronic kidney disease 12 (11.5) 6 (12) Osteomyelitis 9 (8.7) 4 (8) Traum 17 (16.3) 4 (8) Top of isolated sample 17 (16.3) 4 (8) Blood 37 (35.6) 34 (68) 16 Blood 38 (37) 7 (14) 16 Urine 13 (12.5) 9 (12) 16 Respiratory samples 12 (11.5) 9 (18) 16 Medical units 35 (34) 17 (34) 16 Surgical units 30 (28) 11 (22) 16 Medical units 30 (28) 10 (25) 16 Yhite blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10 (25 (4720-1760)) 16 White blood cell 70 (17.370) 67 (12.35) 17 White blood cell 710 (110-34000) 8355 (350-1797) 17 Yhite blood cell 710 (110-34000) 8355 (350-1797) 17	0.104	6 (12)	24 (23.1)	Diabetes mellitus
Chronic kidney disease12 (11.5)6 (12)Osteomyelitis9 (8.7)4 (8)Traum17 (16.3)4 (8)Tope of isolated sample37 (35.6)34 (68)Blood38 (37)7 (14)Ibod38 (37)7 (14)Inine31 (12.5)9 (18)Respiratory samples12 (11.5)9 (18)Medical units35 (34)17 (34)Intensive care units30 (28)11 (22)Intensive care units30 (28)10 25 (4720-176 00)White blood cell8855 (390-22560)0265 (4720-176 00)Intensive care units72 (1-370)67 (1-235 00)Intensive care units30 (1-29 (1-370))38 (35 (3520-179 00)Intensive care units30 (1-29 (1-300))38 (35 (3520-179 00)Intensive care units30 (1-29 (1-300))38 (35 (1-350 (1-300))Intensive care units30 (1-29 (1-300))38 (35 (1-300 (1-300))Intensive care units30 (1-29 (1-300 (1-300 (1-300 (1-300 (1-300 (1-300 (1-300 (1-300 (1-300 (1-300 (1-3	0.256	4 (8)	15 (14.4)	Coronary artery disease
Osteomyelitis 9 (8.7) 4 (8) Trauma 77 (16.3) 4 (8) Type of isolated sample 37 (35.6) 34 (68) Abscess/wound 37 (35.6) 34 (68) 34 (68) Blood 38 (37) 7 (14) 14 Urine 13 (12.5) 10 (2) 12 Respiratory samples 12 (11.5) 9 (18) 14 Medical units 35 (34) 17 (34) 14 Surgical units 30 (28) 11 (22) 14 Mthe blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10265 (4720-1760) 10265 (4720-1760) White blood cell 72 (1-370) 67 (1-235) 10265 (4720-1760) Post-treatment laboratory 10265 (4720-1760) 10265 (4720-1760) White blood cell 710 (110-34000) 8365 (3520-1797) White blood cell 7100 (110-34000) 8365 (3520-1797) White blood cell 710 (110-34000) 8365 (3520-1797)	0.933	6 (12)	12 (11.5)	Chronic kidney disease
Trauma 17(16.3) 4 (8) Type of isolated sample 37(35.6) 34 (68) Abscess/wound 37(35.6) 34 (68) Blood 38 (37) 7(14) Blood 38 (37) 10(2) Urine 13 (12.5) 9(18) Respiratory samples 12 (1.5) 9(18) Medical units 35 (34) 17 (34) Surgical units 34 (42) 22 (44) Intensive care units 30 (28) 11 (22) Mylite blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10265 (4720-1760) Post-treatment laboratory 10265 (4720-1760) 10265 (4720-1760) White blood cell 72 (1-370) 67 (1-23) Mylite blood cell 710 (110-34000) 8855 (332-0797) White blood cell 710 (110-34000) 8855 (332-0797)	0.919	4(8)	9 (8.7)	Osteomyelitis
Type of isolated sample 37(35.6) 34(68) Abscess/wound 37(35.6) 34(68) Blood 38(37) 7(14) Urine 38(37) 1(2) Respiratory samples 12(1.5) 9(18) Type of units 35(34) 17(34) Medical units 35(34) 17(34) Surgical units 44(42) 22(44) Intensive care units 30(28) 11(22) Myite blood cell 8855(390-22560) 10265(4720-1760) Post-treatment laboratory 67(1-235) White blood cell 72(1-370) 67(1-235) White blood cell 710(110-34000) 8855(332-0797) White blood cell 710(110-34000) 2815(332-0797)	0.035	4 (8)	17 (16.3)	Trauma
Abscess/wound 37(35.6) 34(68) Blood 38(37) 7(14) Urine 13(12.5) 12(12) Respiratory samples 12(11.5) 9(18) Type of units 35(34) 17(34) Medical units 35(34) 17(34) Surgical units 30(28) 11(22) Intensive care units 30(28) 11(22) White blood cell 8855(390-22560) 10265(4720-17600) Post-treatment laboratory 0 0 White blood cell 8855(390-22560) 10265(4720-17600) Post-treatment laboratory 0 0 White blood cell 710(110-34000) 8365(3520-17970) White blood cell 710(110-34000) 28365(3520-17970) C-reactive protein 30(1-295) 29(1-88)				Type of isolated sample
Blood 38 (37) 7 (14) Urine 13 (12.5) 12 (2) Respiratory samples 12 (1.5) 9 (18) Type of units 35 (34) 17 (34) Medical units 35 (34) 17 (34) Surgical units 30 (28) 11 (22) Intensive care units 30 (28) 10 265 (4720-1760) White blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10 265 (4720-1760) C-reactive protein 71 (10 - 34000) 8855 (350-1797) White blood cell 71 (10 - 34000) 38 (53 520-1797) White blood cell 710 (110 - 34000) 38 (53 520-1797) C-reactive protein 30 (1-295) 29 (1-88)	< 0.001	34 (68)	37 (35.6)	Abscess/wound
Itrine 13 (12.5) 12 (1) Respiratory samples 12 (1.5) 9 (18) Type of units 12 (1.5) 9 (18) Medical units 35 (34) 17 (34) Surgical units 34 (42) 22 (44) Intensive care units 30 (28) 11 (22) Pre-treatment laboratory 10 205 (4720-1760) White blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10 205 (4720-1760) Post-treatment laboratory 10 67 (1-235) Post-treatment laboratory 10 835 (3520-1797) Post-treative protein 30 (1-295)	0.004	7 (14)	38 (37)	Blood
Respiratory samples 12 (11.5) 9 (18) Type of units 35 (34) 17 (34) Medical units 35 (34) 17 (34) Surgical units 44 (42) 22 (44) Intensive care units 30 (28) 11 (22) Pre-treatment laboratory 10265 (4720-1760) 10265 (4720-1760) White blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10265 (4720-1760) Post-treatment laboratory 10265 (4720-1760) 10265 (4720-1760) White blood cell 72 (1-370) 67 (1-235) 10265 (3520-1797) Post-treatment laboratory 1010 (110-34000) 8855 (3520-1797) 102 (1-88) Of the blood cell 7110 (110-34000) 8855 (3520-1797) 102 (1-88)	0.034	1(2)	13 (12.5)	Urine
Type of units 1000000000000000000000000000000000000	0.274	9 (18)	12 (11.5)	Respiratory samples
Medical units 35 (34) 17 (34) Surgical units 44 (42) 22 (44) Intensive care units 30 (28) 11 (22) Pre-treatment laboratory Image: Support Su				Type of units
Surgical units 44 (42) 22 (44) Intensive care units 30 (28) 11 (22) Pre-treatment laboratory Image: State St	0.966	17 (34)	35 (34)	Medical units
Intensive care units 30 (28) 11 (22) Pre-treatment laboratory Constraint Constraint <t< td=""><td>0.842</td><td>22 (44)</td><td>44 (42)</td><td>Surgical units</td></t<>	0.842	22 (44)	44 (42)	Surgical units
Pre-treatment laboratory Image: State	0.368	11 (22)	30 (28)	Intensive care units
White blood cell 8855 (390-22560) 10265 (4720-1760) C-reactive protein 72 (1-370) 67 (1-235) Post-treatment laboratory 7110 (110-34000) 8365 (3520-1797) C-reactive protein 30 (1-295) 29 (1-88)				Pre-treatment laboratory
C-reactive protein 72 (1-370) 67 (1-235) Post-treatment laboratory 710 (110-34000) 8365 (3520-1797) White blood cell 7110 (110-34000) 8365 (3520-1797) C-reactive protein 30 (1-295) 29 (1-88)	00) 0.446	10265(4720-17600)	8855 (390-22560)	White blood cell
Post-treatment laboratory Post-treatment laboratory White blood cell 7110 (110-34000) 8365 (3520-17970) C-reactive protein 30 (1-295) 29 (1-88)	0.775	67 (1-235)	72 (1-370)	C-reactive protein
White blood cell 7110 (110-34000) 8365 (3520-17970) C-reactive protein 30 (1-295) 29 (1-88)				Post-treatment laboratory
C-reactive protein 30 (1-295) 29 (1-88)	0) 0.443	8365 (3520-17970)	7110 (110-34000)	White blood cell
	0.465	29 (1-88)	30 (1-295)	C-reactive protein
28-day mortality 16 (17.6) 2 (4)	0.037	2(4)	16 (17.6)	28-day mortality

Table 2. Comparison of the Characteristics and Prognoses of Patients with Polymicrobial and Monomicrobial Infection

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

predominantly presented with bloodstream infections, it was hypothesized that the incidence of septic manifestations may have been elevated in this group. A retrospective analysis of 14 patients with Achromobacter spp. infections reported that those presenting with septic symptoms were bacteremic, and that the three patients who succumbed were also bacteremic and had presented with sepsis (4). Additionally, it was documented that mortality in patients with Achromobacter spp. infections following lung transplantation (27%) exceeded that of patients without such infections (12%) (6). When Achromobacter spp. was implicated as the etiologic agent in VAP, the mortality rate was reported to be 9% (24).

In a meta-analysis by Ronin et al. that evaluated surgical site infections caused by *Achromobacter* spp., it was reported that cases with mortality were mostly seen after complications and complicated surgery that led to mediastinitis, peritonitis, or endocarditis (22). Mortality rates were observed to fluctuate based on the clinical presentation and the site of infection; however, they remained elevated across all cases. Prompt identification of the infectious pathogen and its antimicrobial resistance, followed by the application of appropriate treatment, is crucial.

In this study, when comparing 45 bacteremic patients to those without bacteremia, it was observed that chronic conditions such as hypertension and coronary artery disease were more prevalent among bacteremic individuals, and the majority of these patients were managed in intensive care units. In instances of bacteremia outbreaks linked to or disinfectants pharmaceuticals, contaminated patients had affected predominantly chronic conditions, such as hematological malignancies or required hemodialysis (25, 26). In an observational

ariables	Bacteremic (N = 45)	Non-bacteremic (N = 109)	Р
ge	55 (18 - 84)	50 (18 - 88)	0.850
1ale gender	25 (55.6)	80 (73.4)	0.03
t least one chronic disease	33 (73)	74 (68)	0.50
Immunosuppression	18 (40)	43 (39.4)	0.94
Malignancy	13 (29)	28 (25.7)	0.68
Diabetes mellitus	13 (29)	17 (15.6)	0.05
Hypertension	10 (22)	9 (8.3)	0.01
Coronary artery disease	11 (45)	8 (7.3)	0.00
Chronic kidney disease	8 (17.8)	10 (9.2)	0.13
Osteomyelitis	1(2.2)	12 (11.4)	0.01
rauma	4 (8.9)	17 (15.6)	0.27
ype of units			
Medical units	19 (42.2)	33 (30.3)	0.15
Surgical units	5 (11)	61 (56)	< 0.0
Intensive care units	22 (48.9)	19 (17.4)	< 0.0
olymicrobial infection	7 (15.6)	43 (39.4)	0.00
ntibiotic treatments			
Piperacillin tazobactam	20 (44.4)	39 (35.7)	0.56
Meropenem	20 (44.4)	18 (16.6)	0.00
Cefepime/ceftazidime	6 (13.3)	10 (9.2)	0.95
Others	14 (31.1)	27(24.7)	0.32
8-day mortality	8 (20.0)	10 (10.5)	0.13

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).



Figure 3. Antimicrobial susceptibilities of Achromobacter spp. isolates

study by Siddiqui et al. (4), it was reported that all bacteremic patients had either a hematological malignancy or an autoimmune disease. Although the difference in mortality was not statistically significant, a higher mortality rate was noted among bacteremic patients. Meropenem treatment rates were higher in patients with bacteremia, but mortality was still higher in this patient group. Considering the resistance rates, the fact that the mortality rate was higher despite the use of appropriate antibiotics indicates that other parameters such as patients' ICU severity scores and source control should also be taken into consideration.

In the present study, resistance rates to cefepime and ceftazidime were observed to exceed 50% in Achromobacter spp. isolates, whereas the lowest resistance rates were noted for piperacillin, tazobactam, and carbapenems. In the report by Marion-Sanchez et al., 59% of isolates from hospital-acquired infections exhibited fluoroquinolone resistance, while 38% were categorized as intermediate (2). In a 2014 analysis of antimicrobial susceptibility from isolates of 109 cystic fibrosis patients in France, the majority were found to have acquired fluoroquinolone resistance, with additional acquired resistance mechanisms reported for piperacillin, tazobactam, carbapenems, and ceftazidime-avibactam, particularly in A. xylosoxidans isolates (27). Carbapenem resistance was reported to exceed 50% in bacteremic patients (28).

When devising an empirical treatment regimen for infections caused by *Achromobacter* spp., factors such as the infection site, the severity of the disease, and regional resistance patterns must be carefully considered. Based on the resistance data from our region, particular caution should be exercised when empirically administering aminoglycosides, cephalosporins, and fluoroquinolones.

5.1. Conclusions

This extensive study represents a thorough examination of *Achromobacter* spp. isolates procured from a tertiary healthcare facility. It is imperative to acknowledge that polymicrobial agents may frequently underline surgical site infections, while bacteremia, particularly prevalent in intensive care units, poses a significant risk. Understanding local antimicrobial resistance profiles is paramount for the initiation of targeted and effective empirical therapies. Given the resistance patterns observed, piperacillin-tazobactam or carbapenems should be considered first-line options when selecting empirical treatment strategies, thereby optimizing therapeutic outcomes and mitigating resistance-related complications.

5.2. Limitations

The main limitation of this study is that it was designed in a single center and retrospectively. Since identification methods and antibiotics used for sensitivity can change over the years, there may be gaps in the data over a 10-year period. In addition, the inability to classify *Achromobacter* at the genus level is another limitation of this study. The transition from CLSI to EUCAST during the study period may create uncertainty in resistance rates. However, in the stored strains that could be revived, susceptibility was studied according to EUCAST standards and current data were used.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Data curation, investigation, methodology, writing-original draft preparation: Z. T.; Conceptualization, project administration, supervision, validation: P. S.; Visualization, formal analysis: E. E. E.; Writing-review and editing: M. A.

Conflict of Interests Statement: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after publication. The data are not publicly available due to including patient's private information.

Ethical Approval: The study received ethical approval from the Erciyes University Clinical Research Ethics Board (date: 15.05.2024, No: 2024/6).

Funding/Support: The present study received no funding/support.

References

- Isler B, Kidd TJ, Stewart AG, Harris P, Paterson DL. Achromobacter Infections and Treatment Options. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2020;64(11). [PubMed ID: 32816734]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7577122]. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01025-20.
- Marion-Sanchez K, Pailla K, Olive C, Le Coutour X, Derancourt C. Achromobacter spp. healthcare associated infections in the French West Indies: a longitudinal study from 2006 to 2016. *BMC Infect Dis.* 2019;**19**(1):795. [PubMed ID: 31500579]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6734299]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-019-4431-3.

- La Bella G, Salvato F, Minafra GA, Bottalico IF, Rollo T, Barbera L, et al. Successful Treatment of Aortic Endocarditis by Achromobacter xylosoxidans with Cefiderocol Combination Therapy in a Non-Hodgkin Lymphoma Patient: Case Report and Literature Review. *Antibiotics (Basel)*. 2022;11(12). [PubMed ID: 36551343]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9774427]. https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11121686.
- Siddiqui T, Patel SS, Ghoshal U, Sahu C. Clinicomicrobiological Profile of Infections by Achromobacter: An Emerging Nosocomial Pathogen in Indian Hospitals. *Int J Appl Basic Med Res.* 2023;**13**(2):59-63. [PubMed ID: 37614834]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10443449]. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijabmr.ijabmr_520_22.
- Isler B, Paterson DL, Harris PNA, Ling W, Edwards F, Rickard CM, et al. Achromobacter Species: An Emerging Cause of Community-Onset Bloodstream Infections. *Microorganisms*. 2022;**10**(7). [PubMed ID: 35889168]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9323057]. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10071449.
- Crone CG, Rezahosseini O, Schultz HHL, Qvist T, Johansen HK, Nielsen SD, et al. Achromobacter spp. in a Cohort of Non-Selected Pre- and Post-Lung Transplant Recipients. *Pathogens*. 2022;11(2). [PubMed ID: 35215124]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8877520]. https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens11020181.
- Calvo CI, Hoy N, Rourke KF. Refining Bacteriuria as a Risk Factor for Complications After Urethroplasty: Identifying the Culprit. Urology. 2024;186:1-6. [PubMed ID: 38354912]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.urology.2024.01.013.
- Imani S, Wijetunga A, Shumborski S, O'Leary E. Chronic osteomyelitis caused by Achromobacter xylosoxidans following orthopaedic trauma: A case report and review of the literature. *IDCases*. 2021;25. e01211. [PubMed ID: 34277350]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8267561]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idcr.2021.e01211.
- Kengni Tameze J, Korpak K, Compagnie M, Levie H, Cherifi S, Lali SE. Mitral endocarditis caused by Achromobacter xylosoxidans in an older patient: Case report and literature review. *IDCases*. 2022;27. e01421. [PubMed ID: 35198382]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8844215]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.idcr.2022.e01421.
- Tripathi N, Koirala N, Kato H, Singh T, Karri K, Thakur K. First Documented Case of Percutaneous Endoscopic Gastrostomy (PEG) Tube-Associated Bacterial Peritonitis due to Achromobacter Species with Literature Review. *Case Rep Gastrointest Med.* 2020;**2020**:4397930. [PubMed ID: 32047677]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7007964]. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/4397930.
- Esposito S, Pisi G, Fainardi V, Principi N. What is the role of Achromobacter species in patients with cystic fibrosis? *Front Biosci* (*Landmark Ed*). 2021;26(12):1613-20. [PubMed ID: 34994175]. https://doi.org/10.52586/5054.
- Oyama Y, Yasunaga M, Honda A, Maki H, Masamoto Y, Kobayashi T, et al. Severe cellulitis caused by Achromobacter xylosoxidans after allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell transplantation. *J Infect Chemother*. 2021;27(5):770-2. [PubMed ID: 33468424]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiac.2020.12.025.
- Tian J, Zhao T, Tu R, Zhang B, Huang Y, Shen Z, et al. Achromobacter species (sp.) outbreak caused by hospital equipment containing contaminated water: risk factors for infection. *J Hosp Infect.* 2024;**146**:141-7. [PubMed ID: 38403082]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2024.02.002.
- Yoon SH, Kim H, Lim SM, Kang JM. Nosocomial outbreak of Achromobacter spp. bacteremia due to germicide contamination: a systematic review. Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci. 2022;26(17):6374-81.

[PubMed ID: 36111940]. https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_202209_29664.

- Clara L, Staneloni MI, Salazar E, Greco G, Visus M, Lizzi A, et al. Report of two events of nosocomial outbreak and pseudo-outbreak due to contamination with Achromobacter spp. *Rev Argent Microbiol.* 2022;**54**(3):175-80. [PubMed ID: 35012807]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ram.2021.10.004.
- Okoliegbe IN, Hijazi K, Cooper K, Ironside C, Gould IM. Longitudinal Surveillance and Combination Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing of Multidrug-Resistant Achromobacter Species from Cystic Fibrosis Patients. Antimicrob Agents Chemother. 2020;64(11). [PubMed ID: 32816722]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7577167]. https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01467-20.
- Edwards BD, Greysson-Wong J, Somayaji R, Waddell B, Whelan FJ, Storey DG, et al. Prevalence and Outcomes of Achromobacter Species Infections in Adults with Cystic Fibrosis: a North American Cohort Study. J Clin Microbiol. 2017;55(7):2074-85. [PubMed ID: 28446570]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5483909]. https://doi.org/10.1128/JCM.02556-16.
- Cools P, Ho E, Vranckx K, Schelstraete P, Wurth B, Franckx H, et al. Epidemic Achromobacter xylosoxidans strain among Belgian cystic fibrosis patients and review of literature. *BMC Microbiol.* 2016;**16**(1):122. [PubMed ID: 27342812]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4919866]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-016-0736-1.
- Veschetti L, Boaretti M, Saitta GM, Passarelli Mantovani R, Lleo MM, Sandri A, et al. Achromobacter spp. prevalence and adaptation in cystic fibrosis lung infection. *Microbiol Res.* 2022;263:127140. [PubMed ID: 35931003]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2022.127140.
- Traglia GM, Furtado N, Escalante J, Almuzara M, Cittadini RM, Tuttobene MR, et al. Dynamic evolution of Achromobacter xylosoxydans in a patient with leukemia receiving antibiotic treatment. *Int J Antimicrob Agents*. 2024;64(2):107218. [PubMed ID: 38815701]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC11426103]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2024.107218.
- Jo IH, Ko SW. Acute cholangitis with Achromobacter xylosoxidans bacteremia after endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography in hilar cholangiocarcinoma: A case report. *World J Clin Cases*. 2024;**12**(20):4377-83. [PubMed ID: 39015928]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC11235522]. https://doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v12.i20.4377.
- Ronin E, Derancourt C, Cabie A, Marion-Sanchez K. Achromobacter spp. Surgical Site Infections: A Systematic Review of Case Reports and Case Series. *Microorganisms*. 2021;9(12). [PubMed ID: 34946073].
 [PubMed Central ID: PMC8704055]. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9122471.
- Kerem E, Orenti A, Zolin A, Annicchiarico L, Drevinek P, Ecfspr with the list of contributing authors. Clinical outcomes associated with Achromobacter species infection in people with cystic fibrosis. *J Cyst Fibros*. 2023;22(2):334-43. [PubMed ID: 36418214]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2022.11.001.
- Wood GC, Jonap BL, Maish G3, Magnotti LJ, Swanson JM, Boucher BA, et al. Treatment of Achromobacter Ventilator-Associated Pneumonia in Critically Ill Trauma Patients. *Ann Pharmacother*. 2018;**52**(2):120-5. [PubMed ID: 28906137]. https://doi.org/10.1177/1060028017730838.
- Vazquez Castellanos JL, Copado Villagrana ED, Torres Mendoza BMG, Gallegos Durazo DL, Gonzalez Plascencia J, Mejia-Zarate AK. First bacteremia outbreak due Achromobacter spp. in hemodialysis patients in Mexico. *Nefrologia (Engl Ed)*. 2022;**42**(1):101-3. [PubMed ID: 36153889]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nefroe.2022.03.003.

- 26. Hugon E, Marchandin H, Poiree M, Fosse T, Sirvent N. Achromobacter bacteraemia outbreak in a paediatric onco-haematology department related to strain with high surviving ability in contaminated disinfectant atomizers. *J Hosp Infect*. 2015;**89**(2):116-22. [PubMed ID: 25499179]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2014.07.012.
- 27. Amoureux L, Sauge J, Sarret B, Lhoumeau M, Bajard A, Tetu J, et al. Study of 109 Achromobacter spp. isolates from 9 French CF centres

reveals the circulation of a multiresistant clone of A. xylosoxidans belonging to ST 137. *J Cyst Fibros*. 2019;**18**(6):804-7. [PubMed ID: 31104975]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcf.2019.04.005.

28. Kar M, Singh R, Tejan N, Jamwal A, Dubey A, Chaudhary R, et al. One year experience of Achromobacter bacteremia at a tertiary care hospital in Northern India. Access Microbiology. 2023;5(9). https://doi.org/10.1099/acmi.0.000588.v3.