Published online 2018 February 21.

Research Article

Determination of Antibiotic Resistance and Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis Profiles for the Extended-Spectrum β -Lactamase Producing Escherichia coli

Turkan Toka Ozer,¹ Alper Karagoz,² Gozde Yalcin,³ and Cenk A. Andac^{4,*}

¹Department of Medical Microbiology, Konya Hospital, Konya 42003, Turkiye

²Molecular Microbiology Research and Application Laboratory, Refik Saydam National Public Health Agency, Ankara 06100, Turkiye

³Biotechnology Institute, Ankara University, Ankara 06110, Turkiye
⁴Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Istinye University, Istanbul 34010, Turkiye

Corresponding author: Cenk A. Andac, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Istinye University, Istanbul 34010 Turkiye. Tel: +90-5364813012, E-mail: cenk_andac@yahoo.com

Received 2016 September 04; Revised 2017 July 09; Accepted 2018 January 06.

Abstract

Background: Production of extended-spectrum β -lactamase (ESBL) is one of the antibiotic resistance strategies in the bacteria. Extended spectrum β -lactamase producing *Escherichia coli* (*E. coli*) infections alarmingly increased in recent years in Turkey. **Objectives:** The current study aimed at determining antibiotic resistance and genotypic profiles of ESBL-positive *E. coli* isolates. **Methods:** Forty-five ESBL-positive *E. coli* species were isolated from a variety of units both at the Mevlana University Foundation Hospital and the Mevlana University Medical Center at Konya province in Turkey from December 2013 to December 2014. Antibiotic resistance profile was determined by the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method. Genotypic profile was determined by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE).

Results: The rate of ESBL production in *E. coli* strains was 13.1%. The isolates were highly resistant to penicillins, cephalosporins, and monobactams, while very low resistant to carbapenems. Four PFGE profiles were identified: profile A (2%), profile B (2%), profile C (67%), and profile D (29%). Profile C, the most commonly identified profile, possessed 6 subprofiles (profiles C1 - C6) with more than 85% clonal similarity; Profile C2 was the commonest identified subprofile of profile C (27%).

Conclusions: Extended spectrum β -lactamase producing *E. coli* strains were highly resistant to β -lactam antibiotics.

Keywords: Extended-Spectrum β -Lactamase, Resistance to Antibiotics, Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis, PFGE, Turkey, *Escherichia coli*

1. Background

Escherichia coli (E. coli), an aerobic bacterial species and the main lower intestine normal microflora, are of the leading causes of community-acquired and nosocomial infections. Virulence factors and antibiotic-resistance mechanisms are the main determinants of E. coli. These microorganisms cause urinary system infection, sepsis, peritonitis, meningitis of new-born infants, and wound infections. Use of antibiotics increased over the last decade due to nonprescription sales in many countries, frequent use in intensive care units (ICU), and use as chemotherapy in immunosuppressed and elderly patients. The increase in antibiotics use significantly increased antibiotic resistance throughout the world (1). One of the antibiotic resistance mechanisms developed by bacteria is the production of extended-spectrum- β -lactamase (ESBL), which hydrolyzes the β -lactam ring of antibiotics, including penicillins, first-, second-, and third-generation cephalosporins, and monobactams (2).

Extended spectrum β -lactamase production is phenotypically determined by the ESBL screening test, doubledisk synergy test, 3-dimensional test, E-test, and automated systems (i e, Phoenix and VITEK), while genotypic determination of ESBL involves epidemiological studies, determination of the mechanism of the antibiotic resistance, and determination of clonal relationship between causative bacterial strains. In terms of epidemiological studies, it is imperative that genotypic typing of bacterial strains be implemented by rapid and highly sensitive methods in order to decrease antibiotic resistance among different strains. Phenotypically determined ESBL tests can identify isolates to be used in subsequent genotypic test, giving rise to faster results than genotypic tests (3-6).

It appears that the ESBL resistance progressed towards

Copyright © 2018, Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited. carbapenem resistance over time since it was first identified in 1980s in Europe (7); it nowadays necessitates more frequent genotyping of infectious strains. Therefore, pulsed-field gel electrophoresis (PFGE) is one of the most preferred genotyping methods, particularly in molecular typing of *E. coli* and *Klebsiella* species. A positive result for the presence of ESBL varies based on geographical environment as well as the method used to identify ESBL (8, 9).

2. Objectives

The current study aimed at determining both antibiotic resistance pattern and PFGE genotyping of 45 *E. coli* species isolated from patients hospitalized in Mevlana University Foundation Hospital (MUFH-Konya) and the Mevlana University Medical Center (MUMC) at Konya, Turkey from December 2013 to December 2014. Data presented in the current study were prospectively used to identify the most suitable antibiotic treatment for future *E. coli* infection cases in MUFH-Konya.

3. Methods

3.1. Ethics Statement

Ethics Committee of Mevlana University approved the study protocol (87/2015).

3.2. Patients and Clinical Specimens

A total of 342 specimens were collected from 326 outpatients as well as 14 inpatients in a variety of units both at the Mevlana University Foundation Hospital (MUFH-Konya) and Mevlana university medical center in Konya (MUMC-Konya) from December 2013 to December 2014. ESBL-producing isolates were then stored at -20°C in the medical microbiology laboratory in MUMC-Konya. In general, 1 specimen per patient was included. Exceptionally, 4 specimens from 1 outpatient and 1 inpatient, each of 2, were also included in the current study as these specimens gave rise to different strains of ESBL-producing E. coli isolates. A total of 45 clinical isolates were obtained from 43 Turkish patients. More specifically, isolates 3 - 4 were obtained from 1 outpatient at 2-month intervals and isolates 9-10 were obtained from 1 inpatient at 3-day intervals (Figure 1). Extended spectrum β -lactamase producing *E. coli* isolates listed in lines 1-36 were taken from 35 outpatients. while isolates in lines 37 - 45 were taken from 8 inpatients (Figure 1). Clinical data for each patient infected with E. coli were retrieved from MUFH-Konya.

3.3. Isolation and Identification of E. coli Strains

Specimens were inoculated and incubated for 18 - 24 hours in the microbiology laboratory at MUMC-Konya by the conventional methods according to the clinical and laboratory standards institute (CLSI) guidelines (8). Extended spectrum β -lactamase positive *E. coli* isolates were identified by the initial screening test. Inhibition zones \leq 22 mm for ceftazidime (CAZ, 30 μ g), \leq 27 mm for aztreonam (ATM, 30 μ g), \leq 27 mm for cefotaxime (CTX, 30 μ g), and \leq 25 mm for ceftriaxone (CRO, 30 μ g) were considered as ESBL production. Confirmation tests were implemented by double-disk synergy and combination disk methods according to CLSI guidelines (8).

3.4. Antibiotic Resistance Studies

Antibiotic resistance profile of E. coli isolates was determined in MUMC-Konya by the Kirby-Bauer disk diffusion method according to the CLSI guidelines (8), which included a series of antibiotic disks (Bioanalyse, Ankara, Turkiye) containing amikacin (AK, 30 μ g), ampicillin (AM, 10 μ g), ampicillin-sulbactam (SAM, 10 μ g/10 μ g), amoxicillin-clavulonate (AMC, 20 μ g/10 μ g), aztreonam (ATM, 30 μ g), ceftazidime (CAZ, 30 μ g), cefotaxime (CTX, 30 μ g), cefoperazone (CEP, 75 μ g), carbenicillin (PY, 100 μ g), cefepime (FEP, 30 μ g) cefuroxime (CXM, 30 μ g), ciprofloxacin (CIP, 5 μ g), cefixime (CFM, 5 μ g), cefoxitin (FOX, 30 μ g), cefaclor (CEC, 30 μ g), cefazolin (CZ, 30 μ g), ceftriaxone (CRO, 30 μ g), fosfomycin (FF, 50 μ g), gentamycin (GN, 10 μ g), imipenem (IMP, 10 μ g), meropenem (MEM, 10 μ g), nitrofurantoin (F, 300 μ g), netilmicin (NET, 30 μ g), piperacillin (PRL, 100 μ g), piperacillin/tazobactam (TZP, 100 μ g/10 μ g), tetracyclin (TE, 30 μ g), trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole (SXT, 23.75 μ g/1.25 μ g), ceftazidime/clavulonic acid (CZC, 30 μ g/10 μ g), and cefotaxime/clavulonic acid (CTC, 30 μ g/10 μ g). Escherichia coli ATCC 25922 was used as the control strain in the antibiotic susceptibility tests. The isolates were classified as resistant (R), intermediate-resistant (I), or susceptible(S).

3.5. Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis

Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis was implemented on a BioRad CHEF-DR III PFGE System (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA USA) at the Biotechnology Institute at Ankara University, Ankara, Turkey.

3.5.1. Preparation of Samples

Bacterial isolates were suspended in 3 mL cell suspension buffer (CSB) solution. These cells were then centrifuged at 2500 g at 4°C for 15 minutes, followed by pipetting out the CSB solution. The cell pellets were added with

					Antibiotic Resistance Profile	PFGE Dendogram
LineIs	olate No	Origin of isolates	Date of isolation	Place of isolaton	AM PY SAM AMC CTZ CTZ CTZ CTZ CTZ CTZ CTZ CTZ CTZ CT	g g S Line
1	2	Urine	23.07.2014	Pediatrics /MUMC	R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R S S R R R S S R S S	
2	32	Urine	24.03.2014	Pediatrics /MUMC	R R S R R S I R R I R R S R R R S S S R R R S S S S	
3	3	Urine	28.06.2014	Urology/MUFH	R R R I R S S R R R R R S R R R S S S R R S S S S	
4	33	Urine	17.04.2014	Internal medicine/ MUFH	R R R R R S R R R R R R R R R R R S S S R R S S S S	
5	4	Urine	25.08.2014	Urology/MUFH	R R S I R S I R R S R R S R R R I S S S R R S S S R	
6	34	Urine	11.04.2014	Pediatrics/MUMC	R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R R S S R R R S S R S S	
7	5	Urine	23.05.2014	Gynecology/MUMC	R R R I R S I R R S R R S R R R I S S S R S R	6
8	35	Urine	27.02.2014	Urology /MUMC	R R R R R R S R R S R I S R R S S S S S	1
9	6	Urine	19.08.2014	Gynecology/MUMC	R R S S R S S R R S R R S R R R I S S R R R S S S S	8
10	36	Urine	07.03.2014	Urology /MUMC	R R R R R S R R R S R R R R R R R I S S R R R S S S S	95
11	7	Urine	19.04.2014	Urology /MUFH	R R S I R S I R R S R R S R R R I S S R R R R	
12	38	Urine	09.05.2014	Internal medicine/ MUFH	R R R R R S R R R R R R S R R R S S R R R S S R S S	11
13	8	Urine	23.07.2014	Pediatrics /MUMC	R R I I R S R R R S R R S R R R I S S R R R S S R S S	12
14	12	Urine	25.07.2014	Urology/MUFH	R R I I R S I R R S R R S R R R I S S R R S S S S	63 13
15	40	Urine	10.02.2014	Pediatrics /MUFH	R R R I R S S R I S R S S I R R S S S R S S S S	14
16	13	Urine	12.04.2014	Urology /MUMC	R R R R I R R R R R R S R R R S S R R S S S R S S	15
17	41	Urine	05.09.2014	Gynecology/MUMC	R	
18	43	Urine	02.10.2014	Pediatrics /MUMC	R	
19	15	Urine	29.05.2014	Urology/MUFH	R	10
20	44	Urine	15.10.2014	Urology/MUFH	R S S S R S S R R S R R S R S R S S S R R R R S S S S	19
21	16	Urine	24.07.2014	Urology /MUMC	R R R R S S S S R R R R R I S S S S R S S S S	$\frac{20}{21}$ - C3
22	45	Urine	20.10.2014	Pediatrics /MUFH	R	21
23	17	Urine	22.03.2014	Pediatrics /MUMC	R R S I R S S R R S R R S R R R R S S S R R S S R S S	22
24	46	Urine	23.10.2014	Urology/MUFH	R	87.96 23 1 C4
25	18	Urine	09.05.2014	Pediatrics /MUMC	R	
26	47	Urine	24.10.2014	Urology/MUFH	R	25
27	48	Urine	26.10.2014	Gynecology/MUFH	R R S S R S R R R S R R S R R R R S S R R R S S R S S	20
28	20	Urine	14.02.2014	Pediatrics /MUMC	R	-05
29	49	Urine	08.11.2014	Pediatrics /MUFH	R	20 20
30	23	Urine	04.06.2014	Urology/MUFH	R R I I R S R R R I R R S R R R R S S R R R S S S S	29
31	50	Urine	13.11.2014	Internal medicine/ MUFH	R R S S R S S R R S R R S R R R S S S R S R S S R R S	96 31
32	24	Urine	12.02.2014	Pediatrics /MUMC	R	<u><u>31</u> -<u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u><u></u></u>
33	51	Urine	19.11.2014	Pediatrics /MUMC	R R R R R S R R S R R S R R R I S S S S	
34	25	Urine	06.01.2014	Urology/MUFH	R	
35	53	Urine	27.11.2014	Pediatrics /MUMC	R R R S R S R R R S R R S R R S S S S R S S I R S S	141014 1 11 110 31111 35
36	54	Vagen	20.11.2014	Gynecology/MUMC	R R R R R S R R S R R R R R R R I S S R R S R S	
37	56	Sputum	30.12.2014	ICU/MUFH	R R S S R S R R R S R R S R R R R S S R R S S S S R	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 3 1 3 7
38	52	Wound	20.11.2014	ICU/MUFH	R R R I R I R R R S R R S R R R R S S R R S R S	38
39	42	Urine	01.10.2014	Pediatrics service/MUFH	R R R R R I R R R R R R R R R R S S R S S S S	39 - D
40	31	Urine	07.07.2014	ICU/MUFH	R R I I R S R R R S R R S R R R R S S R R R R	40
41	28	Wound	20.12.2013	General surgery service/MUFH	R R R R R S S S R S R S R S R R R S S S R R R S S R R R S S R S S R S S	
42	27	Urine	11.09.2014	ICU/MUFH	R R R R R R S I R S R S S R R R R S S R R S S S S	42
43	9	Catheter	30.12.2013	ICU/MUFH	R R R S R S R R R S I R S R R R R S S R R R R	43
44	10	Aspiration	02.01.2014	ICU/MUFH	R R S S R S R R R S R R S R R R R S S R R R S S R S S	1 100 1 11 10 100 00000 144
45	11	Urine	09.07.2014	Orthopedics service/MUFH	R R S S R S I R R S R R S R R I S S R R R S S S S	4

Figure 1. Antibiotic Resistance Patterns and PFGE Profiles of ESBL-Producing E. coli Isolates at MUFH (Mevlana University Foundation Hospital) and MUMC (Mevlana University Medical Center)

AM: Ampicillin, PY: Carbenicillin, SAM: Ampicillin-sulbactam, AMC: Amoxicillin-clavulonate, PRL: Piperacillin, TZP: Piperacillin/tazobactam, CAZ: Ceftazidime, CTX: Cefotaxime, CEP: Cefoperazone, FEP: Cefepime, CXM: Cefuroxim, CFM: Cefixime, FOX: Cefoxitin, CEC: Cefaclor, CZ: Cefazolin, CRO: Ceftriaxone, ATM: Aztreonam, IPM: Imipenem, MEM: Meropenem, TE: Tetracycline, SXT: Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, NET: Netilmicin, AK: Amikacin, GN: Gentamycin, F: Nitrofurantoin, FF: Fosfomycin.

1 mL of CSB solution and mixed by pipetting in and out several times. The cell concentrations were adjusted to absorbance 1 at 590 nm by UV/V in spectrometer (Beckman Coulter, USA). A 100- μ L aliquot of preheated 2% lowmelting temperature agarose was pipetted into 1.7 mL vials mounted on a water bath (45 - 50°C). A 100- μ L aliquot of each bacterial suspension was pipetted into each Eppendorf tube and placed in a water bath. Finally, 1 mL of sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS) solution (10%) was added to the Eppendorf tubes and mixed slowly by pipetting in and out several times.

Bacterial isolates in broth medium were carefully transferred into little molds (10 mm \times 5 mm \times 1.5 mm, Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA USA), which were then cooled down at 4°C for 10 minutes until homogenously solidified. The agarose molds were taken out of their molds and transferred into 5-mL tubes containing 0.5 mL cell lysis solution (CLS)-I and shaken at 37°C for 1 hour in a water bath. After removal of CLS-I from the tubes, 0.5 mL of CLS-II was

Jundishapur J Microbiol. 2018; 11(3):e57878.

added into each tube, followed by shaking at 50°C for 0.5 hour in a water bath. When CLS-II was completely removed, the agarose molds were washed 3 times with TE buffer at 50°C for 3 hours. After removal of TE buffer, 100 μ L of XbaI restriction enzyme was added to the tubes with agarose molds in TE buffer and incubated at 37°C for 2 hours. After removal of the enzyme buffer, the agarose molds were stored in a refrigerator for 15 minutes before use in PFGE.

3.5.2. PFGE, Monitoring, and Data Analysis

Here, 1% pulsed-field certified agarose (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA USA) in 0.5X TBE (Tris/borate/EDTA) buffer (pH 8.4) was casted in a PFGE chamber. Agarose molds treated with XbaI restriction enzyme were then implanted into the wells of the pulsed-field agarose gel using a PFGE comb. The agarose gel implanted with bacterial DNA was then placed into chamber of the PFGE instrument filled with 1900 -2000 mL of 0.5X TBE buffer. The following PFGE experimental parameters were used: restriction enzyme: FastDigest Xbal; pulse angle: 120°; current: 6 V/cm²; temperature: 14°C; time: 20 hours. Following the PFGE experiment, the agarose gel was transferred into a solution of ethidium bromide (5 μ g/mL) in 400 mL of distilled water for staining. The gel was then destained in distilled water.

DNA bands on the destained agarose gel were pictured by GeneGenius gel bio imaging system (Sygene) and saved in TIFF format. DNA band profiles were analyzed by GelCompar II Software version 3.0 (Applied Maths, Sint-Martens-Latern, Belgium). The pictures were normalized based on reference DNA bands from *E. coli* strain ATCC 25922. Dendogram analysis of the PFGE profiles was conducted by an unweighted pair group method using arithmetic averages (UPGMA) (11). *Escherichia coli* strains were correlated on PFGE dendogram by the Dice correlation coefficient.

The relatedness of PFGE bands were evaluated based on 4 categories proposed by Tenover et al. (10): Indistinguishable, closely related, possibly related, and unrelated. Here, genetically indistinguishable isolates were epidemiologically identical isolates, referring to the same number of bands with the same size. Closely related isolates differed in a single genetic event; i e, a point mutation or insertion or deletion of DNA, leading to 2 - 3 fragment differences. Possibly related isolates were less likely to be epidemiologically related, involving 2 independent genetic events that gave rise to 4-6 band differences brought by insertions/deletions on DNA or the gain/loss of restriction sites. Isolates with more than 2 genetic events, leading to more than 7 fragments, were considered genetically unrelated.

4. Results

Extended spectrum β -lactamase producing *E. coli* strains were isolated by culturing 39 urine, 1 tracheal aspiration, 2 wound, 1 sputum, 1 vaginal, and 1 urine catheter samples at the Mevlana University Foundation Hospital (MUFH-Konya) and the Mevlana University Medical Center in Konya (MUMC-Konya) from December 2013 to December 2014 (Figure 1).

An antibiotic resistance profile and rate for 45 ESBLproducing *E. coli* isolates are given in Figure 1 and Table 1, respectively. As mentioned in the materials and methods section, ESBL-producing *E. coli* couples 3 - 4 and 9 - 10 (Figure 1) were obtained from 2 different patients. Possibly these isolates were originate from different *E. coli* strains as they exhibited different antibiotic resistance profiles; for instance, with ampicillin-sulbactam, cefepime, aztreonam, and fosfomycin for isolates 3 - 4 and with ampicillinsulbactam for isolates 9 - 10. As a result, a total of 45 ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates, 9 isolated from 8 inpatients, were considered in all statistical analyses. The reason why the ESBL-producing *E. coli* species isolated from inpatients were included in the current study was to see if there were any relationships between isolates obtained from inpatients and outpatients. A total of 342 specimens were taken from both inpatients (n = 14) and outpatients(n = 326), overall, the ratio of ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates was 13.1%, while this ratio for outpatients was 11% (36 ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates out of 326 specimens) and that of inpatients was 64.2% (9 ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates out of 14 specimens).

A PFGE dendogram for the 45 *E. coli* isolates is shown in Figure 1. Based on PFGE analysis criteria proposed by Tenover et al. (10), 4 profiles were epidemiologically different from each other: Profile A that was one of the least commonly identified profiles (2%), profile B that was another least commonly identified profile (2%), profile C that was the most commonly identified profile (67%), and profile D that was the second most commonly identified profile (29%) (Figure 1). Profile C possessed 6 sub-profiles: profiles C1 (7%), C2 (27%), C3 (13%), C4 (2%), C5 (16%), and C6 (2%) (Figure 1). The majority of the ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates were resistant to penicillins, cephalosporins, and monobactam (Table 1).

5. Discussion

Resistance of ESBL-producing E. coli strains to antibiotics was a growing problem over the last decade worldwide. The public health institution of Turkey (PHI-Turkey) reported in 2011 that the rate of ESBL-producing E. coli strains was 21% in Turkey (11). The prevalence of ESBLproducing E. coli strains in the USA was 3.9%, 36% of which was due to community-acquired infections from 2009 to 2010 (12). The rate of ESBL-producing E. coli strains in Canada was 5.5 out of 100,000 people, 71% of which was due to community-acquired infections (13). The rate of community-acquired ESBL-producing E. coli infections in Israel was reported 57.8% in 2004 (14), while these rates were 1.5% - 7.6% in Brazil, 3.5% in Italy, and 4.5% in Saudi Arabia (15). The ESBL rate was 13.3% in the studied hospital, which seemed about 8% lower than that of reported by PHI-Turkey and relatively higher than that of the developed Western countries (16).

According to a multi-center antibiotic resistance study in Turkey in 2011, published by the Turkish national antimicrobial resistance surveillance system at PHI-Turkey, ESBL-positive *E. coli* isolates were resistant to aminopenicillins (75%), aminoglycosides (24%), fluoroquinones (48%), and third generation cephalosporins (44%). Based on the antibiotic resistance rates given in Table 1 for the ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates, the antibiotic resistance

Table 1. Antibiotic-Resistance in ESBL-Producing E. coli Species Given in Figure 1

Antibiotic	R(%)	R+I(%)
Ampicillin	100	-
Carbenicillin	97.8	-
Ampicillin-sulbactam	57.7	66.7
Amoxicillin-clavulonate	53.3	80
Piperacillin	97.7	100
Piperacillin/tazobactam	22.2	35.6
Ceftazidime	51.1	64.4
Cefotaxime	97.3	95.6
Cefoperazone	97.8	100
Cefepime	24.4	28.9
Cefuroxim	97.8	100
Cefixime	88.9	91.1
Cefoxitin	17.7	97.8
Cefaclor	-	100
Cefazolin	100	-
Ceftriaxone	97.8	100
Aztreonam	53.3	75.6
Imipenem	0	0
Meropenem	2.2	-
Tetracyclin	77.8	-
Trimethoprim-sulfamethoxazole	88.9	-
Ciprofloxacin	64.4	-
Netilmicin	26.7	-
Amikacin	2.2	-
Gentamycin	46.7	48.9
Nitrofurantoin	2.2	4.4
Fosfomycin	6.6	-

Abbreviations: I, intermediate resistance; R, resistance.

(R) rate was 100% for aminopenicillins (based on ampicillin data only), 19% for aminoglycosides, 64% for fluoroquinolones (based on ciprofloxacin data only), and 87% for third-generation cephalosporins. As compared to the aforementioned Turkish national data published in 2011 by PHI-Turkey, it seems that resistance of ESBL-producing *E. coli* strains to aminopenicillins, fluoroquinolones, and third-generation cephalosporins increased significantly in Konya province of Turkey, while resistance to aminoglycosides was about 6% lower than that of reported by PHI-Turkey.

It was determined in the studied laboratory that ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates acquired high resistance

against cephalosporin antibiotics, confirming that these isolates were ESBL-producing *E. coli* strains that possibly possessed chromosomal class C (AmpC) ESBL for nonoxyimino cephalosporins (i e; cefoperazone, cefoxitin, cefaclor, and cefazolin) as well as plasmid encoded TEM-, SHV-, or CTX-M-type ESBLs for oxyimino-cephalosporins (i e; cefuroxime, ceftazidime, cefotaxime, and ceftriaxone). In general, community acquired ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates evaluated in the studied hospital expressed high susceptibility to carbapenems by 97.8% - 100%, amikacin by 97.8%, nitrofurantoin by 95.6%, and fosfomycin by 93.4%, while mild susceptibility to cefoxitine by 82.3%, netilmicin by 73.3%, and cefepime by 71.1%.

Pulsed-Field Gel Electrophoresis dendogram in Figure 1, determined using the ESBL-producing E. coli isolates, revealed that profiles A, B, C, and D were genetically unrelated by < 85% clonal similarity. Majority of the ESBL-producing E. coli species isolated from outpatients with communityacquired infections in Konya were grouped into profile C family with 6 genetically closely related subprofiles. In regards to ESBL production against cephalosporins in profile C, lines 9, 23, 29, and 34 in the PFGE dendogram in Figure 1 had the same antibiotic profile against cephalosporins, while these ESBL-producing E. coli isolates possess different antibiotic resistance profiles against antibiotics other than cephalosporins. It was found that all subprofiles of profile C were epidemiologically closely related to each other with > 85% clonal similarity, caused likely by a single genetic event leading to 2 - 3 fragment differences. Here, profile C2 was the most commonly identified subprofile (12 specimens out of 45 (27%).

It should be noted here that ESBL-producing isolates marked in lines 37 - 45 in Figure 1 were obtained from inpatients, which all shared the same genotypic profile, profile D. Each of the antibiotic profiles of ESBL-producing E. coli species isolated from inpatients admitted to the intensive care unit (ICU) at MUFH, shown in Figure 1, possessed different antibiotic resistance profiles, suggesting the likelihood that these E. coli strains were rather presented to the hospital by patients, but not produced from nosocomial infections. Likewise, antibiotic profile of E. coli isolates from inpatients in other departments of the hospital including pediatrics service, general surgery service, and orthopedics service possessed different antibiotic profiles; increasing the possibility of community-onset infection (Figure 1). Luckily, cross-contamination between different units of the hospital was not observed. In addition, 4 outpatients with different antibiotic resistance profiles also shared the same genotypic profile D as the outpatients. Differences noticed with the antibiogram of ESBLproducing E. coli isolates possessing the same PFGE profile indicated that the isolates possessed identical chromosomal Xba1 cutting sites with slightly varying genetic sequences between the cutting sites, resulting in slightly different antibiotic resistance profiles.

Interestingly, ESBL-producing *E. coli* isolates in profile D as well as in subprofiles C2, C3, and C5 were predominantly resistant to penicillins, cephalosporins, and monobactams, while they exhibited a variable resistance profile against aminoglycosides. It is likely that resistance to aminoglycosides was of plasmid origin as the *E. coli* strains shared the same PFGE bands in the aforementioned profiles.

Prevalence of ESBL-producing *E. coli* strains in community-acquired infections significantly increased

recently in Turkey. The current study laboratory data indicated that majority of the *E. coli* strains isolated from under study hospitals were highly resistant to penicillins, cephalosporins, and monobactam, posing an imminent threat in the treatment of future community-acquired *E. coli* infections in Konya. Therefore, it seems crucial to prescribe more susceptible antibiotics against future *E. coli* infections based on the antibiotic susceptibility data (Figure 1).

6. Conclusion

It was concluded that carbapenems (i e, imipenem and meropenem), amikacin, nitrofurantoin, or fosfomycin can be prescribed as the first-line treatment of future community-acquired *E. coli* infections, while cefoxitine, netilmicin or cefepime should be considered as the second-line treatment for such infections in Konya province. Due to very low number of samples collected from inpatients, it is too early to conclude the presence of a nosocomial *E. coli* infection in the studied hospitals from 2013 to 2014.

Acknowledgments

Authors would like to thank the institute of biotechnology at Ankara university for giving the permission to use the PFGE facility. The current study was presented orally at 7th Eurasia congress of infectious diseases (EACID) (30 Sep. -03 Oct. 2015), Tbilisi, Georgia.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Turkan Toka Ozer and Cenk A. Andac: study design, and outlining and organizing the experimental procedures; Alper Karagoz, Cenk A. Andac, and Gozde Yalcin: pulsed-field gel electrophoresis conduction; Turkan Toka Ozer: determination of antibiotic resistance of bacteria; Turkan Toka Ozer, Cenk A. Andac, and Alper Karagoz: data analysis and interpretation of the results; Cenk A. Andac and Turkan Toka Ozer: writing up the manuscript.

Financial Disclosure: Authors declared no financial disclosure.

Funding/Support: No funding/support was available to this research.

References

- Karli S, Ceran N, Genc I, Inan A, Ozturk D, Tasdemir C. Investigation of tigecycline susceptibility of extended spectrum beta-lactamase positive escherichia coli strains isolated from community and nosocomial infections. *Ankem Derg.* 2010;24(4):209–14.
- Olsen RH, Bisgaard M, Lohren U, Robineau B, Christensen H. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli isolated from poultry: a review of current problems, illustrated with some laboratory findings. *Avian Pathol.* 2014;43(3):199–208. doi: 10.1080/03079457.2014.907866. [PubMed: 24666286].
- Menon T, Bindu D, Kumar CP, Nalini S, Thirunarayan MA. Comparison of double disc and three dimensional methods to screen for ESBL producers in a tertiary care hospital. *Indian J Med Microbiol*. 2006;24(2):117–20. [PubMed: 16687862].
- Wiegand I, Geiss HK, Mack D, Sturenburg E, Seifert H. Detection of extended-spectrum beta-lactamases among Enterobacteriaceae by use of semiautomated microbiology systems and manual detection procedures. *J Clin Microbiol*. 2007;45(4):1167–74. doi: 10.1128/JCM.01988-06. [PubMed: 17287329].
- Nameghi SA. Genotyping escherichia coli isolates by pulsed-field gel electrophoresis master thesis in cell molecular biology. Sodertorn Hogskola Stockholm. 2007.
- Lina TT, Khajanchi BK, Azmi IJ, Islam MA, Mahmood B, Akter M. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli in Bangladesh. *PLoS One.* 2014;9(10):108735. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0142287. [PubMed: 26678487].
- Rodriguez-Martinez JM, Poirel L, Nordmann P. Extended-spectrum cephalosporinases in Pseudomonas aeruginosa. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*. 2009;**53**(5):1766–71. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01410-08. [PubMed: 19258272].

- Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI). Performance standards for antimicrobial susceptibility testing. CLSI Document M100-S21. Wayne, PA: CLSI; 2011.
- Mohajeri P, Darfarin G, Farahani A. Genotyping of ESBL Producing Uropathogenic Escherichia coli in West of Iran. *Int J Microbiol.* 2014;2014:276941. doi: 10.1155/2014/276941. [PubMed: 24839441].
- Tenover FC, Arbeit RD, Goering RV, Mickelsen PA, Murray BE, Persing DH. Interpreting chromosomal DNA restriction patterns produced by pulsed field gel electrophoresis: criteria for bacterial strain typing. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1995 9;33(9):2233–9. [PubMed: 7494007].
- 11. Health Protection Agency (HPA) . PHI-Turkiye. 2011. Available from: http://www.thsk.gov.tr/en/.
- Gupta K, Bhadelia N. Management of urinary tract infections from multidrug-resistant organisms. *Infect Dis Clin North Am*. 2014;28(1):49– 59. doi: 10.1016/j.idc.2013.10.002. [PubMed: 24484574].
- Pitout JD, Hanson ND, Church DL, Laupland KB. Population-based laboratory surveillance for Escherichia coli-producing extendedspectrum beta-lactamases: importance of community isolates with blaCTX-M genes. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2004;38(12):1736–41. doi: 10.1086/421094. [PubMed: 15227620].
- Colodner R, Rock W, Chazan B, Keller N, Guy N, Sakran W, et al. Risk factors for the development of extended-spectrum beta-lactamaseproducing bacteria in nonhospitalized patients. *Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis*. 2004;23(3):163–7. doi: 10.1007/s10096-003-1084-2. [PubMed: 14986159].
- Abreu AG, Marques SG, Monteiro-Neto V, Goncalves AG. Extendedspectrum beta-lactamase-producing enterobacteriaceae in community-acquired urinary tract infections in Sao Luis, Brazil. *Braz J Microbiol.* 2013;**44**(2):469–71. doi: 10.1590/S1517-83822013005000038. [PubMed: 24294239].
- Bali E, Acik L, Sultan N. Phenotypic and molecular characterization of SHV, TEM and CTX-M and extended spectrum beta-lactamase produced by Escherichia coli, Acinobacter baumannii and Klebsiella isolates in a Turkish hospital. *J Microbiol Res.* 2010;4(8):650–4.