Published online 2021 September 6.

Research Article

Characteristics and Hepatoprotective Activity of the *Curcuma heyneana* Rhizome Extract toward Wistar Rats Induced by Ethanol

Marianne Marianne ^{[1, 2, *}, Mariadi Mariadi ^{3, 2}, Sony Eka Nugraha⁴, Rosnani Nasution⁵, Pran Nando Syuhada⁶ and Sylvia Pandiangan⁶

¹Department of Pharmacology Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Sumatera Utara, 20155, Medan, Indonesia

²Nanomedicine Centre, Universitas Sumatera Utara, 20155, Medan, Indonesia

³Department of Pharmaceutical Technology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Sumatera Utara, 20155, Medan, Indonesia

⁴Department of Pharmaceutical Biology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Sumatera Utara, 20155, Medan, Indonesia

⁵Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Syiah Kuala, 23111, Aceh, Indonesia

⁶Undergraduate Students, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Sumatera Utara, 20155, Medan, Indonesia

corresponding author: Department of Pharmacology Pharmacy, Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Sumatera Utara, 20155, Medan, Indonesia. Email: marianne80@usu.ac.id

Received 2021 January 02; Revised 2021 May 24; Accepted 2021 May 25.

Abstract

Background: *Curcuma heyneana* (Valeton & Zijp.) or temu giring has various pharmacological activities. However, its hepatoprotective activity toward ethanol induction has never been carried out.

Objectives: The objective of this research was to evaluate the hepatoprotective activity of the *C. heyneana* rhizome extract toward Wistar rats induced by ethanol.

Methods: The research was initiated with the determination of curcuminoid content, total phenolic content, total flavonoid content, and characterization of extract using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Hepatoprotective activity was tested using the *C. heyneana* extract at doses of 50, 100, and 150 mg/kg with 5 g/kg ethanol as an inducer. Aspartate transaminase (AST), alanine transaminase (ALT), liver weight, and macroscopic and microscopic liver were used as parameters. Data were analyzed using analysis of variance (ANOVA).

Results: The curcuminoid content of the extract was 1.18% (w/w). Total phenolic content of the *C. heyneana* extract was 400.37 mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/g sample, while total flavonoid content was 27.25 mg quercetin equivalent (QE)/g sample. Nine compounds were identified in the extract. Administration of the extract at doses of 50, 100, and 150 mg/kg kept the liver normal. It was identified macroscopically from the dark red color without any white spot and normal liver weight. Furthermore, at doses of 50, 100, and 150 mg/kg, the extract inhibited AST and ALT elevation, which was significantly different from the negative control group (P < 0.05). The extract also prevented hepatocyte injury that was seen microscopically.

Conclusions: It can be concluded that the *C. heyneana* extract at doses of 50, 100, and 150 mg/kg is effective as hepatoprotective in the liver injury induced by ethanol.

Keywords: Hepatoprotective, Curcuma heyneana, Total Phenolic Content, Total Flavonoid Content, Liver Injury

1. Background

One of the causes of liver injury is the toxic effect or side effects of alcohol (1). Alcohol consumption is estimated to cause 3.3 million deaths each year and is responsible for 6% of the causes of death globally (2).

Some bioactive compounds from herbs such as silymarin are thought to protect the liver in various ways, such as stimulating the synthesis of endogenous antioxidants and act as an anti-inflammatory agent (3). In Indonesia, silymarin is imported and relatively expensive. Therefore, the use of Indonesian herbs that are effective as hepatoprotective needs to be further improved for the supply of hepatoprotective drugs that are safe, efficacious, and inexpensive.

One of the herbs that can act as a hepatoprotective agent is temu giring or *Curcuma heyneana*. This plant grows easily in Indonesia. Research has shown that the *C. heyneana* extract was able to inhibit the increase of alanine transaminase (ALT) and aspartate transaminase (AST) induced by paracetamol as well as rifampin and isoniazid (4,5). However, the hepatoprotective activity of this extract

Copyright © 2021, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

toward ethanol induction has never been studied.

2. Objectives

This research aimed to investigate the hepatoprotective activity of *C. heyneana* toward the rats induced by ethanol.

3. Methods

3.1. Plant

The rhizome was taken from PT. Sumatera Busan, Medan, Indonesia, harvested in July 2018. Plant authentication was conducted in Herbarium Medanense, Department of Biology, Universitas Sumatera Utara. The result was *Curcuma heyneana* (Valeton & Zijp.) (number: 3911/MEDA/2019).

3.2. Chemicals

The chemicals used were curcuminoid (Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri, USA), gallic acid (Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri, USA), quercetin (Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri, USA), Follin-Ciocalteu's phenol reagent (Sigma-Aldrich, Missouri, USA), and ethanol (Merck, Germany).

3.3. Instruments

The instruments used in this experiment were GCMS-QP2010 SE (Shimadzu, Japan), UV-1800 UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Shimadzu, Japan), Thermo Scientific[™] Shandon[™] Finesse[™] 325 manual microtome (England), tissue embedding system (Amos AEC 380, Australia), and Leica TP1020 tissue processor (United States).

3.4. Animals

The animal model used in this research was threemonths-old Wistar rats bred in the Animal House of the Faculty of Pharmacy, Universitas Sumatera Utara. The protocol of animal handling was approved by the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Sumatera Utara (Number: 0314/KEPH-FMIPA/2019).

3.5. Extraction

The rhizomes of *C. heyneana* were extracted using the maceration method. Every one gram of dry rhizome was soaked with 10 mL of ethanol in a macerator. In the first six hours, it was stirred occasionally and then let stand for 18 hours. The macerate was filtrated, and then the maceration was repeated with at least half the volume of the initial maceration. After collecting all, the macerate was evaporated with a rotary evaporator until gaining a thick extract. The yield was 10.22% (6).

The amount of curcuminoid in the extract was tested using a spectrophotometer with a wavelength of 425 nm through the following procedure. The extract was weighed as much as 0.1063 g and extracted with two mL of chloroform, and then was mixed and sonicated for 60 minutes. After that, it was macerated for 24 hours, mixed, and centrifuged. The filtrate was moved into a 10 mL volumetric flask. One mL of chloroform was added into residue, extracted, and the filtrate was taken. This step was repeated 5 times. The filtrate was evaporated by using nitrogen gas over the water bath until dried. Furthermore, ethanol was added. Dilution was carried out 25 times. The absorbance was read at 425 nm using a spectrophotometer. The curcuminoid standard curve was carried out by weighing curcuminoid; subsequently, it was put into the volumetric flask, and ethanol was added. The dilution was made to get the standard curve. The standard: 1000 mg/kg (A). A was diluted 10 times to get a concentration of 100 mg/kg (B). The serial concentration was made from solution B. The curcuminoid content was calculated by using following equation: Total curcuminoid (%w/w) = [Concentration of curcuminoid (ppm) \times Last addition volume (mL) \times Dilution factor]/Sample weight (g).

3.7. Determination of Chemical Substances Using Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectra

The chemical substances in the extract were analyzed using gas chromatography-mass spectra (GC-MS) through the following procedure. The specifications of gas chromatography: (1) oven temperature from 80 to 300°C; (2) carrier gas was helium (He); (3) injection mode was split; (4) flow control mode was pressure 42.3 kPa; (5) the total flow was 117.5 mL/min; (6) column flow was 0.74 mL/min; (7) linear velocity was 31.8 cm/sec; (8) purge flow was 3.0 mL/min; and (9) split ratio was 153.0. The ion source temperature of the detector was 250°C, with the interface temperature 300°C. The column used was Rtx-5MS (5% diphenyl, 95% dimethyl polysiloxane) with a thickness of 0.25 μ m, a length of 30.0 m, and an inside diameter of 0.25 mm. Before injection, the extract was dissolved into ethanol with a dilution factor of 1.0000; then, it was put in a vial and was placed in the sample holder of GC. The sample was injected as much as 0.5 μ L automatically using the autoinjector. Identification and quantification were identified by matching retention times and mass spectral data with the library of WILEY7.LIB without using calibration standards.

3.8. Determination of Total Phenol

Total phenolic content of C. heyneana was measured using this procedure: 10 μ g/mL gallic acid was taken as much

as 0.5 mL and was put in a test tube; then, 2.5 mL of 10% Follin-Ciocalteu's reagent was added, and the solution was mixed for 1 minute. After that, it was let stand for 5 minutes, and 2 mL7.5% Na₂CO₃ solution was added and incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. The test was repeated three times. Furthermore, the solution was measured using a visible spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 766 nm. Total phenolic content was expressed in units of mg gallic acid equivalent (GAE)/g sample extract (7). The calculation of total phenolic content can be seen in following equation: Total phenolic content = [Sample concentration (μ g/mL) × Sample volume (L)]/[Sample weight (g) × Dilution factor].

3.9. Determination of Total Flavonoid Content

Total flavonoid content of C. heyneana was measured using this procedure: 25 mg of the extract was dissolved in 50 mL methanol; then, 2 mL of solution was pipetted and added with 10 mL methanol. Afterward, 2 mL of this solution was taken and added with 0.1 mL10% aluminum chloride, 0.1 mL acetic sodium, as well as 2.8 mL distilled water. The solution was incubated at room temperature for 30 minutes. The absorbance was measured using a spectrophotometer with a wavelength of 434 nm. Flavonoid concentration in the extract was calculated using the regression equation from quercetin calibration. The result was expressed in units of mg quercetin equivalent (QE)/g sample extract. The calculation of total flavonoid content can be seen in following equation: Total flavonoid content = [Sample concentration (μ g/mL) × Sample volume (L)]/[Sample weight (g) \times Dilution factor].

3.10. Hepatoprotective Activity of Curcuma heyneana

Animals were divided into 6 groups, with 5 rats in each group. The experimental design can be seen in Table 1.

One hour after administration of the extract/carboxymethyl cellulose/catechin on the 7th day, the rats were given an ethanol dose of 5 g/kg BW. After that, the rats fasted overnight. On the 8th day, the rats were sacrificed, and the blood was withdrawn from the heart. The blood was put in the tube and then was centrifuged with 1500 - 3000 rpm for 10 - 15 minutes. The serum was taken to analyze ALT and AST (8).

3.11. Determination of Macroscopic Liver

The rats were sacrificed, and then the liver was taken out, washed with 0.9% NaCl and weighed, and its color, surface texture, and size was observed. The relative organ weight was calculated using following equation:

Relative organ weight (%) =
$$\frac{Liver weight (g)}{Rat weight (g) \times 100\%}$$

3.12. Determination of Microscopic Liver

The liver was soaked in 10% buffered formalin in preparation for hematoxylin-eosin (HE) staining.

4. Results

The curcuminoid content of the extract is 1.18% w/w. Chemical substances detected by GC-MS can be seen in Figures 1 and 2.

According to Figure 2, the chemical substances detected were 9 compounds.

4.1. Total Phenolic Content

The regression of gallic acid is presented in Figure 3, and total phenolic content of the *C. heyneana* extract is shown in Table 2.

Based on Table 2, total phenolic content was quite high at 400.37 mg GAE/gram extract. This values a half compared to quercetin, which is a pure compound.

4.2. Total Flavonoid Content

The regression of quercetin can be seen in Figure 4, and total flavonoid content of the *C. heyneana* extract can be seen in Table 3.

4.3. Hepatoprotective Activity

4.3.1. The Relative Organ Weight

The result of relative organ weight can be seen in Table 4.

Based on Table 4, acute ethanol ingestion at the end of the experiment showed an increase in liver weight, even though this increase was not significantly different from the normal control. However, this fact indicated that there was inflammation in the liver that might cause the liver weight to increase. However, administration of the extract at doses of 50, 100 and, 150 mg/kg showed that the liver weight was lower than the negative control and close to the liver weight of the normal control. It means that without any hepatoprotective agent, the rat's liver is more vulnerable to injury.

4.3.2. Macroscopic Findings of Liver

The results of macroscopic observations are shown in Figure 5.

According to Figure 5, the animals which did not receive any extract had pale red livers with white spots, suggesting an injury in the liver. Administration of the extract prevents the injury of the liver that can be seen from the liver color as dark red and without any white spots.

Table 1. Experimental Design				
Groups	Treatments			
Group 1 (negative control)	The rats were administered 0.5% carboxymethyl cellulose (suspending agent of extract) orally once a day for 7 days.			
Group 2	The rats were administered extract dose of 50 mg/kg BW orally once a day for 7 days.			
Group 3	The rats were administered extract dose of 100 mg/kg BW orally once a day for 7 days.			
Group 4	The rats were administered extract dose of 150 mg/kg BW orally once a day for 7 days.			
Group 5 (positive control)	The rats were administered catechin dose of 150 mg/kg BW orally once a day for 7 days.			
Group 6 (normal control)	The rats were given food and water freely for 7 days.			



Figure 1. The chemical substances detected with gas chromatography-mass spec	tra
--	-----

Table 2. Total phenolic content of the Curcuma heyneana Extract and Quercetine				
Sample Number	Sample Weight (g)	Absorbance	Total Phenolic Content (mg GAE/g Sample)	
Curcuma heyneana extract				
1	0.051	0.6611	401.61	
2	0.053	0.6609	386.83	
3	0.054	0.6622	412.63	
Average			400.37	
Quercetin				
1	0.025	0.5156	630.6	
2	0.025	0.7167	891.4	
3	0.025	0.7306	909.5	
Average			810.5	

4.3.3. ALT and AST Levels

The results of ALT and AST levels can be seen in Table 4.

< 0.05). The higher the dose of the *C. heyneana* extract, the greater the ability to inhibit the increase of ALT and AST.

According to these results, administration of a high dose of ethanol at the end of treatment increases ALT and AST levels significantly compared to the normal control (P < 0.05). However, administration of the *C. heyneana* extract for 7 days significantly inhibited the elevation of ALT and AST levels compared to the negative control group (P

4.3.4. Microscopic Findings of Liver

Microscopic findings of liver can be seen in Figure 6.

Based on Figure 6, the liver cells from all groups appeared the same as the normal control except for the negative control. In the negative control group, the liver cells

Peak	Retention time (minute)	Area %	Identified ions (m/z)	Similarity Index*	Formula	Compound
1	5.317	8.60	596, 581, 525, 512, 467, 428, 398, 377, 360, 329, 285, 248, 212, 192, 152, 137, 95, 81, 55	98	C ₁₀ H ₁₆ O	Bicyclo[2.2.1]heptan-2-one, 1,7,7-trimethyl
2	11.287	27.97	592, 550, 490, 457, 412, 384, 349, 310, 289, 251, 230, 187, 162, 122, 94, 66, 53	75	$C_{16} H_{20} O_2$	2,4-Di-spironorbornylcyclobuta-1,3-dione
3	11.604	0.97	595, 562, 527, 486, 436, 399, 370, 328, 307, 260, 205, 202, 159, 119, 91, 77, 53	81	C ₁₅ H ₂₄ O	(+) spathulenol
4	15.585	1.82	595, 583, 528, 495, 440, 409, 377, 341, 320, 273, 259, 228, 186, 158, 115, 89, 77, 51	73	C ₁₄ H ₁₈	Octahydroanthracene
5	15.807	47.53	585, 560, 534, 478, 454, 414, 372, 328, 303, 281, 246, 213, 175, 161, 119, 91, 77, 53	75	C ₁₅ H ₂₄	(-)-Alpha-Neoclovene H_3C H_3C H_3C H_3C H_3C H_3C
6	16.628	2.04	595, 567, 551, 498, 463, 440, 397, 383, 341, 324, 281, 262, 233, 201, 149, 122, 94, 65, 55	77	C ₂₀ H ₂₈ O ₆	IH-2,8a- Methanocyclopenta[a]cyclopropa[e]cyclodecen-II one
7	16.970	2.89	593, 562, 530, 510, 466, 452, 414, 389, 355, 332, 279, 249, 213, 187, 163, 122, 107, 57, 55	69	C ₂₉ H ₅₄	as-Indacene, dodecahydro-4-(I-octylnonyl)
8	21.679	2.64	586, 551, 535, 477, 463, 444, 390, 358, 327, 313, 281, 263, 210, 177, 153, 137, 95, 69, 55, 53, 41, 27	87	C ₁₀ H ₁₈ S	Thiogeraniol
9	22.182	5.54	583, 565, 529, 503, 461, 430, 403, 385, 331, 315, 281, 252, 210, 187, 153, 123, 95, 69, 53	90	$C_{15} H_{26} O$	cis-Farnesd

Figure 2. Peak, retention time (minute), area (%), identified ions (m/z), similarity index, and formula of the chemical substances detected with gas chromatography -mass spectra (*library: WILEY7.LIB).



Figure 3. Regression of gallic acid

Table 3. Total flavonoidcontent of Curcuma heyneana					
Sample Number	Sample Weight (g)	Average of Absorbance	Total Flavonoid Content (mg QR/g Sample)		
1	0.02514	0.6036	27.34		
2	0.02509	0.5787	26.26		
3	0.02513	0.6214	28.15		
Average of total flavonoid content	27.25				

Table 4. Parameters of Liver Injury					
Groups	Relative Organ Weight (%) \pm SE	ALT Level (IU/L) \pm SE	AST Level (IU/L) \pm SE		
Negative control	3.65 ± 0.20	$140.0\pm12.33^{\text{ a}}$	351.0 ± 48.22 ^a		
Extract dose of 50 mg/kg	3.60 ± 0.14	$94.0\pm7.10^{\text{ b}}$	$173.4 \pm 7.78^{\rm b}$		
Extract dose of 100 mg/kg	3.58 ± 0.10	$91.6\pm10.09^{\rm \ b}$	$167.4 \pm 8.38^{\rm \ b}$		
Extract dose of 150 mg/kg	3.40 ± 0.11	$85.2\pm5.83^{\rm \ b}$	$164.2 \pm 11.96^{\rm \ b}$		
Positive control (Catechin)	3.36 ± 0.24	$83.4\pm2.87^{\rm b}$	$158.0\pm11.83^{\rm \ b}$		
Normal control	3.29 ± 0.06	75.5 ± 4.05	149.4 ± 11.12		

^a Significantly different from the normal control group (P < 0.05). ^b Significantly different from the negative control group (P < 0.05).



appeared to be injured with dilatation at the sinusoid and the existing blood in the central vein.

5. Discussion

Ethanol is mainly metabolized in the liver by alcohol dehydrogenase (ADH), catalase, as well as cytochrome P4502E1, which catalyzes the oxidation of ethanol to acetaldehyde, and subsequently binds to the cellular macromolecules with the covalent bonds, forming toxic adducts and eventually, leading to cell death. Further, acetaldehyde is metabolized by aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH) to acetate, which is a less active metabolite, and then is broken down into water and carbon dioxide for easy elimination (9). In this research, the amount of ethanol ingested to the rats was excessive as much as 5 g/kg BW. This amount was intended to produce oxidative stress and peroxidation of liver lipids that can be seen from reactive oxygen species (ROS), hepatic malondialdehyde (MDA), and nitric oxide levels (not measured in this research) (10).

Based on this experiment, injury in the liver can be seen from macroscopic examination (pale color and white spot), the elevation of AST and ALT, and microscopic examination (sinusoid dilatation and the existing blood in the central vein). In this study, administration of *C. heyneana* at doses of 50, 100, and 150 mg/kg, 7 days before ingestion of a high dose of ethanol, revealed the protective effect to the liver that might be seen from inhibiting the elevation of AST and ALT, as well as the normal appearance of macroscopic and microscopic assessments of hepatocytes. The ability of *C. heyneana* to protect the liver from injury is suggested by its antioxidant activity.

Phenolic compounds are secondary metabolites found in plants, containing numerous compounds: (1) simple flavonoids, (2) phenolic acids, (3) complex flavonoids, and (4) colored anthocyanins (11). In this research, the determination of phenolic compounds was performed with total phenolic and flavonoid contents. Determination of the secondary metabolites is an important issue to justify the mechanism of the protective effect of *C. heyneana* on the liver. Since phenolic compounds, especially flavonoids, have been proved to be responsible for many mechanisms of cell protection, they may be applied to the prevention and treatment of human diseases (12). The prominent flavonoid present in the extract is curcuminoid as much as 1.18 %.

In this study, antioxidant activity was supported with phenolic and flavonoid contents existing in *C. heyneana*. One of the phenolic compounds that exist in *C. heyneana* is curcuminoid that is suggested to offer a protective mecha-



Figure 5. Macroscopic findings of rats' livers: A, negative control; B, extract dose of 50 mg/kg; C, extract dose of 100 mg/kg; D, extract dose of 150 mg/kg; e, catechin; f, normal control.

nism through two ways: (1) direct, and (2) indirect. A direct mechanism is carried out by blocking the radical molecule or scavenging free radicals by donating a hydrogen atom to radicals (13). Generally, the radical-scavenging activity of flavonoids depends on the molecular structure and the substitution pattern of hydroxyl groups, i.e., the availability of phenolic hydrogens and the possibility of stabilization of the resulting phenoxyl radicals via hydrogen bonding or by expanded electron delocalization (14, 15), whereas by activating endogenous antioxidants, indirect protection defenses systems like superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), glutathione peroxidase (GPx), glutathione reductase (GR), glutathione, and glutathione S-transferase (GSH) (16). Free radicals generated from ethanol ingestion lead to the decreased endogenous antioxidants and increased lipid peroxidation. Administration of curcuminoids may reverse that condition by enhancing the number of endogenous antioxidants, as mentioned above, and decreasing lipid peroxidation (10). The mechanism underlying the curcuminoid to protect the liver is by reducing the levels of proinflammatory mediators such as tumor necrosis factor-alpha (TNF- α), interleukin-6 (IL-6), and monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 (MCP-1). Moreover, curcumin significantly inhibited extracellular matrix deposition, reduced the number of activated stellate cells, and decreased the levels of high-mobility group box 1 (HMGB1), toll-like receptor 4 (TLR4), and toll-like receptor 2 (TLR2) expression (17). It is not only curcuminoid as a phenolic compound that is responsible for liver protection, but essential oils in *C. heyneana* are also responsible for hepatoprotective activity. The data of essential oils were obtained from GC-MS. Some studies have mentioned that camphor, spathulenol, and farnesol found in the composition of C. heyneana volatile oil have various pharmacological activities. Camphor may enhance the immune system, and spathulenol may have strong antioxidants and prevent inflammation, while farnesol may reduce oxidative stress and inflammation (18-20). Therefore, various chemical compounds in C. heyneana contribute in different ways to protect the liver from injury.

5.1. Conclusion

It can be concluded that the *C. heyneana* extract at doses of 50, 100, and 150 mg/kg are effective as hepatoprotective



Figure 6. Microscopic findings of the liver with a magnification of 40 × 10. A, negative control; B, a dose of 50 mg/kg; C, a dose of 100 mg/kg; D, a dose of 150 mg/kg; E, positive control; F, normal control

in ethanol, causing liver injury. Further research is needed to do extract fractionation to get the pure compound that is responsible for the hepatoprotective effect.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design, M.M.(1), M.M.(2), and S.E.N.; Acquisition of data, P.N.S. and S.P.; Analysis and interpretation of data, M.M.(1), M.M.(2), S.E.N., and R.N.; Drafting of the manuscript, M.M.(1), M.M.(2), and S.E.N.; Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, M.M.(1) and R.N.; Statistical analysis, P.N.S. and S.P.; Administrative, technical, and material support, M.M.(1); Study supervision, M.M.(1).

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest for this manuscript.

Ethical Approval: The Ethics Committee, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, Universitas Sumatera Utara (Number: 0314/KEPH-FMIPA/2019).

Funding/Support: This research was funded by the Universitas Sumatera Utara in the Basic Research Scheme of Talenta (contract number: 4167/UN5.1.R/PPM/2019), April 1st, 2019.

References

- Cederbaum AI, Lu Y, Wu D. Role of oxidative stress in alcohol-induced liver injury. *Arch Toxicol.* 2009;83(6):519–48. doi: 10.1007/s00204-009-0432-0. [PubMed: 19448996].
- European Association for the Study of the Liver. EASL Clinical Practice Guidelines: Management of alcohol-related liver disease. J Hepatol. 2018;69(1):154–81. doi: 10.1016/j.jhep.2018.03.018. [PubMed: 29628280].
- Vargas-Mendoza N, Madrigal-Santillan E, Morales-Gonzalez A, Esquivel-Soto J, Esquivel-Chirino C, Garcia-Luna YM, et al. Hepatoprotective effect of silymarin. World J Hepatol. 2014;6(3):144–9. doi: 10.4254/wjh.v6.i3.144. [PubMed: 24672644]. [PubMed Central: PMC3959115].
- Zully AF, Harahap U, Marianne M. Hepatoprotector activity of ethanol extract of temu giring rhizome (Curcuma heyneana Val) in the white rat which induced paracetamol [dissertation]. Medan, Indonesia: Universitas Sumatera Utara; 2014.
- Marianne M, Harahap U, Hasibuan PAZ, Thampati CM, Harefa HS. Hepatoprotective activity of the ethanol extract of Curcuma heyneana rhizome on isoniazid and rifampin-induced liver injury rats. J HerbMed Pharmacol. 2020;9(4):333–8. doi: 10.34172/jhp.2020.42.
- 6. Ministry of Health Republic of Indonesia. *[Indonesian herbal pharma-copoeia]*. Jakarta, Indonesia: Directorate General of Pharmaceuticals and Medical Devices; 2017. 531 p. Indonesian.
- Škerget M, Kotnik P, Hadolin M, Hraš AR, Simonič M, Knez Ž. Phenols, proanthocyanidins, flavones and flavonols in some plant materials and their antioxidant activities. *Food Chem*. 2005;89(2):191–8. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2004.02.025.

- Srivastava A, Shivanandappa T. Hepatoprotective effect of the root extract of Decalepis hamiltonii against carbon tetrachlorideinduced oxidative stress in rats. *Food Chemistry*. 2010;**118**(2):411–7. doi: 10.1016/ji.foodchem.2009.05.014.
- Edenberg HJ. The genetics of alcohol metabolism: Role of alcohol dehydrogenase and aldehyde dehydrogenase variants. *Alcohol Res Health*. 2007;**30**(1):5–13. [PubMed: 17718394]. [PubMed Central: PMC3860432].
- Yousef MI, Omar SA, El-Guendi MI, Abdelmegid LA. Potential protective effects of quercetin and curcumin on paracetamol-induced histological changes, oxidative stress, impaired liver and kidney functions and haematotoxicity in rat. *Food Chem Toxicol*. 2010;48(11):3246– 61. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2010.08.034. [PubMed: 20804811].
- Babbar N, Oberoi HS, Sandhu SK, Bhargav VK. Influence of different solvents in extraction of phenolic compounds from vegetable residues and their evaluation as natural sources of antioxidants. *J Food Sci Technol*. 2014;**51**(10):2568–75. doi: 10.1007/s13197-012-0754-4. [PubMed: 25328197]. [PubMed Central: PMC4190265].
- Middleton MDE. Biological properties of plant flavonoids: An overview. Int J Pharmacogn. 2008;34(5):344-8. doi: 10.1076/phbi.34.5.344.13245.
- Amić D, Davidović-Amić D, Bešlo D, Trinajstić N. Structure-radical scavenging activity relationships of flavonoids. *Croatica chemica acta*. 2003;**76**(1):55–61.
- Bors W, Heller W, Michel C, Saran M. Flavonoids as antioxidants: Determination of radical-scavenging efficiencies. *Methods Enzymol.* 1990;186:343–55. doi: 10.1016/0076-6879(90)86128-i. [PubMed: 2172711].
- Rice-Evans CA, Miller NJ, Paganga G. Structure-antioxidant activity relationships of flavonoids and phenolic acids. *Free Radic Biol Med.* 1996;20(7):933–56. doi: 10.1016/0891-5849(95)02227-9. [PubMed: 8743980].
- Masella R, Di Benedetto R, Vari R, Filesi C, Giovannini C. Novel mechanisms of natural antioxidant compounds in biological systems: involvement of glutathione and glutathione-related enzymes. *J Nutr Biochem.* 2005;16(10):577–86. doi: 10.1016/j.jnutbio.2005.05.013. [PubMed: 16111877].
- Tu CT, Yao QY, Xu BL, Wang JY, Zhou CH, Zhang SC. Protective effects of curcumin against hepatic fibrosis induced by carbon tetrachloride: modulation of high-mobility group box 1, Toll-like receptor 4 and 2 expression. *Food Chem Toxicol.* 2012;**50**(9):3343–51. doi: 10.1016/j.fct.2012.05.050. [PubMed: 22683883].
- do Nascimento KF, Moreira FMF, Alencar Santos J, Kassuya CAL, Croda JHR, Cardoso CAL, et al. Antioxidant, anti-inflammatory, antiproliferative and antimycobacterial activities of the essential oil of Psidium guineense Sw. and spathulenol. *J Ethnopharmacol.* 2018;**210**:351–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2017.08.030. [PubMed: 28844678].
- Al Marzoqi AH, Hameed IH, Idan SA. Analysis of bioactive chemical components of two medicinal plants (Coriandrum sativum and Melia azedarach) leaves using gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS). *Afr J Biotechnol.* 2015;14(40):2812–30. doi: 10.5897/ajb2015.14956.
- Khan R, Sultana S. Farnesol attenuates 1,2-dimethylhydrazine induced oxidative stress, inflammation and apoptotic responses in the colon of Wistar rats. *Chem Biol Interact.* 2011;**192**(3):193–200. doi: 10.1016/j.cbi.2011.03.009. [PubMed: 21453689].