Published online: 2024 June 16.

Research Article



Cytotoxic Activity and Molecular Docking Studies of the Fraction Containing Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β , 5 α , and 22E) from *Dysidea avara* Sponges

Melika Nazemi (1)^{1,*}, Mahdi Golshan², Mostafa Khaledi (1)³, Mohammadreza Shushizadeh (1)⁴, Masoud Zare⁵, Bahman Moradipoodeh (1)⁶, Kiana Jenabidehkordi (1)⁷

¹ Persian Gulf and Oman Sea Ecological Research Organization, Iranian Fisheries Research Organization, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Bandar Abbas, Iran

² Iranian Fisheries Research Organization, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Tehran, Iran

³ Natural, Traditional and Supplements Products Affairs, Iran Food and Drug Administration, Tehran, Iran

⁴ Marine Pharmaceutical Science Research Center, Department of Medicinal Chemistry, School of Pharmacy, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

⁵ Persian Gulf Marine Biotechnology Research Center, Persian Gulf Biomedical Sciences Research Institute, Bushehr University of Medical Sciences, Bushehr, Iran

⁶ Department of Laboratory Sciences, Lahijan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Lahijan, Iran

⁷ Department of Pharmaceutics, School of Pharmacy, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

*Corresponding author: Persian Gulf and Oman Sea Ecological Research Organization, Iranian Fisheries Research Organization, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Bandar Abbas, Iran. Email: melikanazemi@yahoo.com

Received 2024 April 14; Revised 2024 April 24; Accepted 2024 April 28.

Abstract

Background: Cancer is a global health burden, and the discovery of novel therapeutic agents remains a critical pursuit. Marine organisms, including sponges, have emerged as a promising source of structurally diverse natural products with potential anticancer properties.

Objectives: In the present study, the cytotoxic activity of the fraction containing Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β , 5α , and 22E), a steroid compound derived from *Dysidea avara*, was evaluated against Jurkat/E6-1 and Hek293 cells.

Methods: Multiple analytical techniques, including column chromatography, TLC, and GC-MS, were used to isolate and identify the compound from marine sponges from the Persian Gulf. The XTT assay determined the cytotoxic activity and western blot for P53 expression in the Jurkat/ E6-1 cell line. The compound was also docked within the poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 (PARP1) and E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase (MDM2) to investigate its potential mechanism of action. Furthermore, the pharmacological properties of the compound were predicted using PerADME, SwissADME, and Molinspiration tools.

Results: The results showed that Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β , 5α , and 22E) exhibited significant cytotoxic activity against Jurkat/E6-1 cells with an IC50 of 26.59 µg/mL. Western blotting analysis demonstrated a noticeable increase in the expression of P53 protein in cells treated with 50 and 100 µg/mL of the compound. In silico analysis revealed adequate binding energy against PARP1 and E3 MDM2 receptors. The compound also demonstrated favorable pharmacokinetic characteristics, specifically in absorption, distribution, metabolism, and excretion (ADME).

Conclusions: Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol $(3\beta, 5\alpha, and 22E)$ has the potential to be developed as a promising anti-cancer agent.

Keywords: Marine Sponge, Dysidea avara, Cytotoxicity, ADME, Molecular Docking, P53

1. Background

Cancer remains a significant global health challenge, contributing to nearly 10 million deaths in 2020 (1). Despite advancements in cancer diagnosis and treatment, numerous obstacles persist, including drug resistance, adverse effects, and high treatment costs (1). Hence, there is an imperative to explore new reservoirs of anticancer agents that are efficacious, safe, and economically viable. Marine organisms, particularly sponges, represent a vast reservoir of bioactive compounds with potential anticancer properties (2, 3).

Copyright © 2024, Nazemi et al. This open-access article is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which allows for unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is properly cited.

These compounds, sourced from marine plants, algae, bacteria, actinomycetes, fungi, and soft corals, have demonstrated promising outcomes in both in vitro and in vivo studies (4). Several marine-derived molecules are presently undergoing various stages of clinical trials for anticancer therapy, highlighting the promise of these natural products in cancer treatment (5).

E3 ubiquitin ligases, such as Mdm2, play a pivotal role in cancer initiation and progression (6, 7). They contribute to critical cancer characteristics like sustained proliferation, immune evasion, and apoptosis (7). Particularly, Mdm2 represents a potential drug target and prognostic biomarker in melanoma (8). The interaction between Mdm2 and P53, a tumor suppressor, is a central focus in cancer research, and natural products have displayed potential in modulating this interaction (9).

Poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 (PARP-1), pivotal in DNA repair and genomic stability, is often overexpressed in various cancers, rendering it a plausible therapeutic target (10). Inhibiting PARP1 can sensitize cancer cells to DNA damage and bolster the effectiveness of radio- and chemotherapy (10). This is particularly pertinent concerning P53, as PARP1 inhibitors have the potential to amplify the apoptotic response mediated by p53 (11). Moreover, the synthetic lethality of PARP1 inhibitors in BRCA1/2-deficient model systems underscores their promise in cancer treatment (12). However, PARP1's role in cancer is multifaceted, with evidence suggesting both oncogenic and tumor-suppressive functions (13).

Hence, natural products targeting Mdm2, PARP1, and P53 hold considerable promise in cancer therapy.

Marine sponges collected from the Persian Gulf have demonstrated antioxidant properties, with the methanolic extract of *Pseudosaberites clavatus* exhibiting the highest scavenging activity (10). These sponges also harbor antiangiogenic compounds with potential implications in cancer therapeutics (14). Moreover, marine organisms from the Gulf of Oman, encompassing the Persian Gulf, exhibit robust anticancer activity, with certain compounds inducing cell death in breast adenocarcinoma models (15). Specific compounds sourced from marine sponges, such as latrunculins and hydantoins, exhibit promise in inhibiting the growth and invasion of prostate cancer cells (16). These findings collectively suggest that marine sponges from the Persian Gulf may indeed possess anticancer properties.

Dysidea avara, a marine sponge, holds promise in cancer treatment owing to its bioactive compounds. Sponges of the genus *Dysidea* belong to the class *Demospongiae*, order *Dictyoceratida*, and family

Dysideidae (17). Baguley and Wilson (18) and McKeage (19) both discuss the potential of DMXAA, a compound derived from the sponge, in cancer treatment. DMXAA has shown productive interactions with radiation, hyperthermia, and chemotherapeutic drugs, demonstrating efficacy in combination with docetaxel in advanced prostate cancer. Turrini (20) further supports the potential of natural products in cancer treatment, highlighting the anticancer effects of polyphenols present in *D. avara*. Previous studies have indicated that sponges within the *Dysidea* spp. possess secondary metabolites with diverse biological properties (21-26). These studies collectively suggest that D. avara, along with its bioactive compounds, holds promise in the development of new anticancer strategies.

Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol $(3\beta, 5\alpha, \text{ and } 22E)$ is a compound with potential biological activities. It has been suggested to possess antioxidant and cytoprotective capabilities, although these have not been fully validated in vivo (27). Other related compounds, such as ergosta-4,6,8(14),22-tetraen-3-one, have been reported to exhibit diuretic, cytotoxic, antitumor, and immunosuppressive activities (28). Additionally, the compound's potential role in mitochondrial biogenesis, oxidative phosphorylation, and metabolic diseases, particularly diabetes, has been proposed (29). Further research is warranted to comprehensively elucidate the biological activities of ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol.

2. Objectives

This research aims to investigate the cytotoxic and molecular effects of ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β , 5α , and 22E) fraction, a natural compound isolated from *D. avara*, on cancer cells. The specific objectives include evaluating the compound's cytotoxicity against various cancer and normal cell lines, exploring its mechanism of action by measuring the expression of the P53 protein, and assessing its binding affinity and interaction mode with its target protein using computational methods. This study will reveal the anticancer potential of the ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β ,5 α , and 22E) fraction and will support the development of novel natural-based cancer therapeutics.

3. Methods

3.1. Sampling and Identification

Dysidea avara (Figure 1) was manually obtained from depths of 25-30 meters in the coastal waters of Hengam



Figure 1. Dysidea avara (photo by Melika Nazemi)

Island in the Persian Gulf, geographically located at N55°54′55″-E 55°54′40″ and N26°41′15″-E 26°36′43″. Marine sponges were rinsed using freshwater and distilled water to remove microscopic organisms. Samples were frozen at -20°C before being transferred to a laboratory. Taxonomic identification was achieved using the Hooper identification key, dissociated spicule mounts, light optical microscopes, scanning electron microscopes, and skeleton slides. The scientific name of the sponge sample was confirmed by the Persian Gulf and Oman Sea Ecological Research Organization (30).

3.2. Extraction and Isolation

The *D. avara* samples underwent initial extraction using a 1:1 mixture of methanol and dichloromethane for 48 hours, yielding concentrated extracts. The residual aqueous phase was then adjusted to 200 mL and partitioned against a 3:2 mixture of dichloromethane and ethanol (31). This process resulted in the formation of organic layers, which were evaporated to produce the sub-extracts SEA, SEB, SEC, SEE, and SEF with respective weights of 9.04 g, 27.12 g, 1.34 g, 0.414 g, and 0.34 g.

These sub-extracts were screened for steroids using thin-layer chromatography (TLC) with a vanillin reagent. The fraction that showed positive results, SEB, was further separated using column chromatography on a silica gel column with a gradient of n-hexane and ethyl acetate from 100:0 to 0:100. This yielded 15 fractions (SEB-1 to SEB-15), which were also tested for steroids.

Fraction SEB-11 (1.45 g) underwent further purification via silica gel column chromatography. A gradient elution system was employed, using a mixture of ethyl acetate and methanol with increasing polarity (from 100:0 to 0:100). The subfraction SEB11-9, eluted with a 90:10 ethyl acetate-methanol solvent system, exhibited a band with a positive response to the Vanillinsulphuric acid test for steroids. This band was isolated using thin-layer chromatography (TLC) (32). Following isolation by TLC, the band was dissolved in acetone and filtered, yielding the purified compound. The structure of the isolated compound was then elucidated using Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry (GC/MS) analysis. The analysis was performed on an Agilent 7000 Series Triple Quad GC/MS Mainframe model with helium as the carrier gas and a C5975 detector, located at the Shahid Beheshti University research laboratory.

3.3. Cytotoxicity Assay

The T-lymphocytic leukemia cell line (Jurkat/E6-1) and the normal human embryonic kidney cell line (Hek293) were provided by the Pasteur Institute of Iran, and both cell lines were cultured in vitro as monolayers. The RPMI-1640 media (Gibco) were supplemented with 0.6 mg/mL glutamine, 200 IU/mL penicillin, 200 IU/mL streptomycin, and 0.1 mg/mL gentamycin. For the Sodium 3-[1-(phenylaminocarbonyl)-3,4-tetrazolium]bis(4-methoxy-6-nitro) benzene sulfonic acid hydrate (XTT) assay, cells were trypsinized and centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 5 minutes before being distributed into 96well plates at a density of 5×10^3 cells per well. A volume of 200 µL of RPMI-1640 medium was added to each well, and the plates were then incubated for 24 hours in a CO_2 incubator while the cell density was monitored using inverted microscopy. Following 48 hours of incubation to ensure cell attachment to the plate, the medium was replaced with 100 µL of fresh medium containing varying concentrations (2, 10, 50, 100, and 200 μ g/mL) of

the Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β , 5α , and 22E) fraction. After 24 hours, the results were assessed, and the experiment was conducted in triplicate. The samples were then incubated with 50 µL of XTT solution (1 mg/mL) for 6 hours, and optical density (OD) was measured at wavelengths of 490 nm and 690 nm using the Bio-Tek ELx 800 ELISA Reader model. The IC50 value was determined using a graph of percentage cell viability, representing the concentration at which 50% of the cells are killed (33).

3.4. Western Blot Analysis

The Jurkat/E6-1 and Hek293 cells were grown in 6-well plates and treated with different concentrations of Ergosta-14, 22-dien-3-ol (3 β , 5 α , 22E) after 24 hours of incubation at 37 °C and 5% CO2. The protein concentration was measured using a bicinchoninic acid (BCA) protein quantitation kit and subjected to SDS-PAGE (12% polyacrylamide), followed by transfer to PVDF membranes. After blocking the membranes with 5% skimmed milk-containing TBS-T solution (Tris-buffered saline with 0.1% Tween 20), the membranes were incubated with primary anti-Tumor protein P53 (P53) and anti- β -actin antibodies overnight at 4°C (34). The membranes were then incubated with the horseradish peroxidase (HRP)-labeled anti-rabbit immunoglobulin G (IgG) and visualized using a Chemi-Doc gel documentation system with chemiluminescence (ECL) kit. The blots were quantified using ImageJ software.

3.5. Molecular Docking Studies

The 2D structure of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β,5α, and 22E) was drawn using ChemBioDraw 12.0 and then minimized with MM+ and AM1 methods in Hyperchem 8 (35). Next, automated docking was performed with AutoDock 4.2.5.1 and ADT 1.5.6 using the DOCKFACE script (36). Subsequently, the crystal structures of Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 (PARP1) (3L3M) (37) and E3 ubiquitin-protein ligase (MDM2) (1T4E) (38) were downloaded from the Protein Data Bank. The proteins were prepared with ADT, and the grid maps were generated with AutoGrid (36). The grid sizes for 1T4E and 3L3M were $40 \times 40 \times 40$ and $74 \times 80 \times 82$, respectively, with 0.375 Å spacing. The grid centers for 1T4E and 3L3M were (43.807, 12.419, and 28.821) and (24.875, 11.115, and 26.683), respectively. The docked results were then clustered with a 2 Å RMSD cutoff. For internal validation, the co-crystal ligands of PARP1 (3L3M) and MDM2 (1T4E) were used as references. Moreover, 100 docking runs were executed with the Lamarckian genetic algorithm, and the protein-ligand interactions were analyzed with Chimera 1.13 (39) and LigPlot+ (40). Finally, the docking results were evaluated based on energy and interactions between Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β , 5 α , and 22E) and the targets.

3.6. Pharmacological Properties of Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, Excretion, and Toxicity

The pharmacokinetic profile of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3ol (3 β , 5 α , 22E), including absorption, distribution, metabolism, excretion, and toxicity (ADMET), was predicted using pkCSM (41) and SwissADME (42).

3.7. Statistical Analysis

The mean and standard deviation of three independent experiments were used to express the results. Statistical analysis was performed on the IC50 values obtained from the XTT assay. The Kolmogorov-Smirnov and Shapiro-Wilk tests were used to determine whether the IC50 values followed a normal distribution. The differences between groups were assessed by oneway analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey's post hoc test for multiple comparisons. The statistical analysis was conducted using GraphPad Prism software (version 9).

4. Results

4.1. Isolation and Verification of Steroids

The isolated fraction was confirmed to be Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β , 5α , and 22E) with the chemical formula C28H46O (structure shown in Figure 2). This steroid compound exhibited a molecular weight of 428.64 g/mol and was detected at 90% purity in the SEB11-9 fraction (eluted with a 90:10 ethyl acetate-methanol solvent system) at retention times between 40 and 48 minutes (Figure 2).

4.2. Cytotoxicity Assay

The XTT assay was used to evaluate the cytotoxicity of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β ,5 α , and 22E) on Jurkat/E6-1 and Hek293 cells. The response of Jurkat/E6-1 cells to increasing concentrations of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β ,5 α , and 22E) was exponential, with a significant decrease in viability observed at concentrations of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β ,5 α , and 22E), and a further decline at the highest concentrations tested. The estimated IC50 value for Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β , 5 α , and 22E) was 26.59 µg/mL for Jurkat/E6-1 cells. The in vitro dosage- and time-dependent activity of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β ,5 α , and 22E) against cultured human



Figure 2. GC spectrum of the fraction containing Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β, 5α, and 22E)



Figure 3. A, Cytotoxic assay of Ergosta-14, 22-don-3-ol, (3β.5α, 22E) treatment in Jurkat/E6-1; and B, Hek293. At 24 h of treatment, the activities of Ergosta-14, 22-don-3-ol, (3β.5α, 22E) against the viability of treated cells were evaluated through the XTT assay. The results are presented as mean ± SEM for triplicates. (ns not significant and **** P < 0.0001 vs untreated control).

embryonic kidney (Hek293) cells is shown in Figure 3B, and cytotoxic activity was not observed.

4.3. Western Blot Analysis

The study investigated the activity of Ergosta-14,22dien-3-ol (3 β , 5 α , and 22E) treatment on the expression of P53 in Jurkat/E6-1 cell lines. The cells were treated with 10, 50, and 100 µg/mL of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol for 24 hours, and the expression of P53 and β -actin proteins was analyzed using Western blotting. The results, shown in Figure 4, indicate a significant increase in P53 expression in cells treated with 50 and 100 µg/mL of

Jundishapur J Nat Pharm Prod. 2024; 19(3): e147745.

Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol compared to the untreated control group.

4.4. Molecular Docking Activities

This study used molecular docking simulations to explore the binding mode of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β , 5α , and 22E) with PARP and MDM2. The free binding energy (ΔG bind) and the critical residues involved in the interactions were calculated and reported in Table 1 and Figures 5 and 6. The aim was to understand the molecular mechanism of action of this compound. Table 1 shows that the best-docked poses had ΔG bind



Figure 4. Cells were treated with concentrations of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β, 5α, and 22E) (10, 50, and 100 µg/mL) for 24 hours (controls remained untreated). In this study, βactin served as an internal control. Pixel density values are displayed for P53. ImageJ software was used to determine the quantitative levels of P53 after Western blot analysis. The results are presented as mean ± SEM for triplicates. (Na not significant and *P < 0.05 vs. untreated control). P53 gene expression.



Figure 5. Molecular docking studies of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β, 5α, and 22E) against MDM2 (1T4E). Binding mode and molecular interaction of hit ligands with 1T4E. A, The surface representation of 3L3M shows the binding mode of the docked compound. B, Ligplot + profile of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β, 5α, and 22E) docking on PDB structures of MDM2. The figure illustrates the 3D and 2D binding modes of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β, 5α, and 22E) with the key amino acid residues of 1T4E.

values ranging from -12.78 kcal/mol for 313 m to -10.50 kcal/mol for 1t4e. The compound had higher docking affinity than the co-crystal ligands, except for 1t4e. These results suggest that Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β , 5 α , and 22E) had the strongest binding, especially for 313m. Appendix 1 shows the compound formed a hydrogen

bond with Gln72A and van der Waals contacts with several other residues. The docking simulations of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β , 5 α , and 22E) with 3L3M showed a hydrogen bond with Asp109A and hydrophobic interactions with His201A and Tyr246A. The docking procedure was validated by redocking the



Figure 6. Molecular docking studies of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β, 5α, and 22E) against poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase-1 (PARPI) (3L3M). Binding mode and molecular interaction of hit ligands with 3L3M. A, The surface representation of 3L3M shows the binding mode of the docked compound;. B, ligplot + profile of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β, 5α, and 22E) docking on PDB structures of PARPI. The figure illustrates the 3D and 2D binding modes of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β, 5α, and 22E) with the key amino acid residues of 3L3M.

Table 1. Binding Energies and Amino Acid Residues of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β , 5 α , and 22E) Used for Targets					
Receptors	Parameters				
	Binding Energy, kcal/mol	Number of Hydrogen Bonds	Amino Acid Residues	Number of Hydrophobic Interactions	Amino Acid Residues
3l3m	-12.78	1	Asp109A	13	Arg217(A), Ile211(A), Tyr235(A), His201(A), Ser243(A), Phe236(A), Lys242(A), Ala237(A), Gly202(A), Tyr246(A), Ile234(A), Gly233(A), Gly215(A)
1t4e	-10.50	1	Gln72A	14	Val93(A), Ile61(A), Ser17(A), Gly16(A), Leu54(A), His96(A), Ile19(A), Tyr100(A), Ile99(A), Phe55(A), Leu57(A), Gly58(A), Met62(A), Tyr67(A).

natural PARP1 (3L3M) and MDM2 (1t4e) substrates. The RMSD values of the redocked ligands were 0.08 and 0.06, respectively. Appendices 1 and 2 show the hydrogen bonds and van der Waals contacts of the redocked ligands with the active site residues. These findings confirm the reliability of the docking method and the binding mode of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β , 5 α , and 22E) with its targets.

4.5. Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, Excretion, and Toxicity Analysis

The pharmacokinetic profile of a compound specifies its properties of Absorption, Distribution, Metabolism, and Excretion (ADME), whereas toxicity defines a compound's safety profile. Small structural changes can have a significant impact on drug candidates' pharmacokinetic and toxicity properties. Experimental analysis of small molecules' pharmacokinetics and toxicity properties is both time-consuming and expensive and does not always scale accurately between animal and human models. The SwissADME tool is used to assess Drug-Likeness and molecule properties, while the pkCSM tool comprehensively characterizes the pharmacokinetic and toxicity properties of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β , 5 α , and 22E). The molecule properties, drug-likeness, and ADMET details of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3ol (3 β , 5 α , and 22E) are shown in Appendix 3.

5. Discussion

The emergence of drug-resistant isolates has increased the need for novel natural compounds. The results of the present study indicate that the fraction containing the compound Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β ,5 α ,22E) extracted from *D. avara* species sponge has cytotoxic effects on lymphocyte cell lines at concentrations of 26.59 µg/mL. However, it does not

exhibit lethal effects on human embryonic kidney cells, indicating that the fraction containing the compound Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol $(3\beta,5\alpha,22E)$ selectively targets cancer cells. An experiment was performed on compounds (E)-tetracos8-en-5-ynoic acid (2) and (22E)ergosta-5,8,22-trien-7-one-3b-ol extracted from the marine Biemna ehrenbergi from the Red Sea using the MTT assay. The findings showed that both compounds had a significant lethal effect on colon cancer cells (HCT-16) at 40 and 45 μ g/mL (43). Karthikeyan et al. (44) conducted a study to examine the impact of ethyl acetate ester extract from Saccostrea glomerata containing Ergosta-5,22-dien-3-ol $(3\beta,22E)$ on breast cancer epithelial cells. The findings revealed that the extract had a lethal effect on cancer cells at a concentration of 100 μ g/mL.

Another study indicated that isolated steroid compounds of Dysidea sp. demonstrated cytotoxic activities against human epidermoid carcinoma cells. Additionally, avarone, isolated from the Chinese marine sponge *D. avara*, inhibited the activity of four human cancer cell lines: Cervix (HeLa), lung (A549), breast (MDA231), and hepatoma (QGY7703) (45). Khaledi et al. (25) showed that extracts from Persian Gulf *D. avara* possessed anti-inflammatory activity following seven days of treatment. Mice treated with the extract displayed a dose-dependent and significant improvement compared to controls.

The present study investigated the potential activity of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β, 5α, and 22E) on the expression of the P53 protein in Jurkat/E6-1 cell lines. Western blotting analysis showed that cells treated with 50 and 100 ug/mL of the compound significantly increased P53 expression compared to the control. These results suggest that Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β, 5α, and 22E) may have a potential role in upregulating P53 expression in cancer cells, which could be a promising strategy for cancer treatment since P53 is a well-known tumor suppressor gene. Its activation can lead to cell cycle arrest and apoptosis in cancer cells (47). Therefore, Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β , 5 α , and 22E) may have the ability to induce P53 expression, which could potentially be a mechanism for its anti-cancer activity. However, further investigations are required to elucidate and comprehend the mechanism of action of Ergosta-14,22dien-3-ol (3β, 5α, and 22E) on P53 expression and to determine its potential as a therapeutic agent for cancer treatment (46). Additionally, it would be interesting to examine the activity of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3 β , 5 α , and 22E) on other molecular targets associated with cancer development and progression.

Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibition can potentially be used in cancer treatment through at least two mechanisms: enhancing tumor sensitivity to DNAdamaging chemotherapeutic drugs and producing "synthetic lethality" in PARP-dependent cells (47). Small molecule MDM2 inhibitors can block the interaction of MDM2 and P53 by binding to the region I of the MDM2 protein, resulting in an increase in P53 levels and activation of the P53 signaling pathway (48). As a result, small-molecule MDM2 inhibitors inhibit cell proliferation and increase apoptosis (49, 50). The physicochemical characteristic and pharmacological properties study revealed that Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol $(3\beta, 5\alpha, \text{ and } 22E)$ could be a potent PARP1 and MDM2 inhibitor. The molecular docking results show that Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol $(3\beta, 5\alpha, \text{ and } 22E)$ has binding affinities with the active sites of PARP-1 and MDM2. The binding energies were lower than co-crystal ligands, indicating higher affinity, especially for PARP-1 inhibition. These findings are consistent with previous studies, for example, Polybromobiphenyl ether derivatives and monocyclofarnesol-type sesquiterpenes from the Indonesian marine sponge Lamellodysidea cf. herbacea have been identified as PTP1B inhibitors (51). Similarly, a polybromodiphenyl ether from the same sponge has been found to inhibit PTP1B activity (52). These findings suggest that marine sponge compounds may have PARP-1 inhibitory properties.

On the other hand, MDM2 suppresses the tumorsuppressing functions of P53 by promoting its rapid degradation (53, 54). These findings highlight the intricate interplay between PARP-1, MDM2, and P53 in the context of DNA damage repair and tumor suppression. The current results suggest that Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol may act through similar mechanisms involving PARP-1 and MDM2 inhibition. The ADME predictions indicate favorable pharmacokinetics for Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol. The high gastrointestinal absorption and membrane permeability suggest good bioavailability upon oral administration.

In the present study, Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β , 5α , and 22E) was docked onto PARP1 and MDM2 proteins, and the docking score was compared with reference compounds 2-{2-fluoro-4-[(2S)-piperidin-2-yl] phenyl}-1H-benzimidazole-7-carboxamide and DI-Chlorobenzodiazepine. It was found that the free binding energy between the compound and PARP1 (target protein) yielded the best outcome of all the dockings in the study. This study represents the first report of PARP1 and MDM2 docking for Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β , 5α , and 22E). These findings provide preliminary evidence

for the anti-cancer potential of Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol against T-lymphocytic leukemia.

5.1. Conclusions

In the current study, Ergosta-14,22-dien-3-ol (3β, 5α, and 22E), a compound extracted from *D. avara*, specifically induced the death of Jurkat carcinoma cells at very low concentrations while showing no effect on healthy HEK-293 cells. The results of molecular docking ADME predictions suggest that and further experimental validation is required to confirm the effectiveness, safety, and pharmacokinetics of this steroid derived from a marine sponge. Elucidating its pharmacological mechanisms could facilitate its development as a promising marine anti-cancer agent. Therefore, reproducing D. avara marine sponge and then isolating and purifying these compounds could pave the way for developing potent medicinal and drug supplements for improving cancer patients.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material(s) is available here [To read supplementary materials, please refer to the journal website and open PDF/HTML].

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design, M. N., M. G., and M. KH.; analysis and interpretation of data, B. M., M. Z., K. J., and M. S.; drafting of the manuscript, M. N., M. KH.; critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content, M. S., M. G., M. Z., and K. J.; statistical analysis, M. N., M. KH., and B. M.

Conflict of Interests Statement: The authors certify that they have NO affiliations with or involvement in any organization or entity with any financial interest.

Data Availability: All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article (and its supplementary information files).

Funding/Support: This study was funded by the Iran National Science Foundation, Presidency of the Islamic Republic of Iran, under project number 94/sad/4326, dated 94/6/25.

References

 Huang M, Lu JJ, Ding J. Natural Products in Cancer Therapy: Past, Present and Future. *Nat Prod Bioprospect*. 2021;11(1):5-13. [PubMed ID: 33389713]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7933288]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13659-020-00293-7.

- Amador ML, Jimeno J, Paz-Ares L, Cortes-Funes H, Hidalgo M. Progress in the development and acquisition of anticancer agents from marine sources. *Ann Oncol.* 2003;14(11):1607-15. [PubMed ID: 14581267]. https://doi.org/10.1093/annonc/mdg443.
- 3. da Rocha AB, Lopes RM, Schwartsmann G. Natural products in anticancer therapy. *Curr Opin Pharmacol*. 2001;1(4):364-9. [PubMed ID: 11710734]. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1471-4892(01)00063-7.
- Khalifa SAM, Elias N, Farag MA, Chen L, Saeed A, Hegazy MF, et al. Marine Natural Products: A Source of Novel Anticancer Drugs. *Mar Drugs*. 2019;17(9). [PubMed ID: 31443597]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6780632]. https://doi.org/10.3390/md17090491.
- Petit K, Biard JF. Marine natural products and related compounds as anticancer agents: an overview of their clinical status. *Anticancer Agents Med Chem.* 2013;13(4):603-31. [PubMed ID: 23140351]. https://doi.org/10.2174/1871520611313040010.
- Sun Y. E3 ubiquitin ligases as cancer targets and biomarkers. Neoplasia. 2006;8(8):645-54. [PubMed ID: 16925947]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC1601942]. https://doi.org/10.1593/neo.06376.
- Sampson C, Wang Q, Otkur W, Zhao H, Lu Y, Liu X, et al. The roles of E3 ubiquitin ligases in cancer progression and targeted therapy. *Clin Transl Med.* 2023;13(3). e1204. [PubMed ID: 36881608]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9991012]. https://doi.org/10.1002/ctm2.1204.
- Bielskiene K, Bagdoniene L, Mozuraitiene J, Kazbariene B, Janulionis E. E3 ubiquitin ligases as drug targets and prognostic biomarkers in melanoma. *Med (Kaunas)*. 2015;**51**(1):1-9. [PubMed ID: 25744769]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medici.2015.01.007.
- Summers M. Ubiquitin Proteasome System Current Insights into Mechanism Cellular Regulation and Disease. London, UK: intechopen; 2019. https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.78430.
- 10. Chen S. Poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase 1 and its inhibitors in tumor treatment. *J Int Oncol*. 2010;**43**:263-5.
- Peralta-Leal A, Rodriguez MI, Oliver FJ. Poly(ADP-ribose)polymerase-1 (PARP-1) in carcinogenesis: potential role of PARP inhibitors in cancer treatment. *Clin Transl Oncol.* 2008;**10**(6):318-23. [PubMed ID: 18558578]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12094-008-0207-8.
- Schiewer MJ, Knudsen KE. Transcriptional roles of PARP1 in cancer. Mol Cancer Res. 2014;12(8):1069-80. [PubMed ID: 24916104]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4134958]. https://doi.org/10.1158/1541-7786.MCR-13-0672.
- Rajawat J, Shukla N, Mishra DP. Therapeutic Targeting of Poly(ADP-Ribose) Polymerase-1 (PARP1) in Cancer: Current Developments, Therapeutic Strategies, and Future Opportunities. *Med Res Rev.* 2017;**37**(6):1461-91. [PubMed ID: 28510338]. https://doi.org/10.1002/med.21442.
- Senthilkumar K, Ramajayam G, Venkatesan J, Kim S, Ahn B. Marine Sponge-Derived Antiangiogenic Compounds for Cancer Therapeutics. In: Pallela R, Ehrlich H, editors. Marine Sponges: Chemicobiological and Biomedical Applications. New Delhi: Springer; 2016. p. 305-14. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-81-322-2794-6_14.
- Dobretsov S, Tamimi Y, Al-Kindi MA, Burney I. Screening for Anti-Cancer Compounds in Marine Organisms in Oman. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2016;16(2):e168-74. [PubMed ID: 27226907]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4868515]. https://doi.org/10.18295/squmj.2016.16.02.006.
- El Sayed K, Shallal H, Mudit M, Prestridge J, Muralidharan A, Thomas S, et al. Marine natural products are potential source for novel drugs for the treatment of metastatic prostate cancer. *Cancer Res.* 2007;67(9_Supplement):5533.
- 17. Tommonaro G, Iodice C, AbdEl-Hady FK, Guerriero G, Pejin B. The Mediterranean Sponge Dysidea avara as a 40 Year Inspiration of Marine Natural Product Chemists. *J Homeopath Ayurved Med*. 2014;**s1**. https://doi.org/10.4172/2167-1206.s1-001.

- Baguley BC, Wilson WR. Potential of DMXAA combination therapy for solid tumors. *Expert Rev Anticancer Ther.* 2002;2(5):593-603. [PubMed ID: 12382527]. https://doi.org/10.1586/14737140.2.5.593.
- McKeage MJ. The potential of DMXAA (ASA404) in combination with docetaxel in advanced prostate cancer. *Expert Opin Investig Drugs*. 2008;**17**(1):23-9. [PubMed ID: 18095916]. https://doi.org/10.1517/13543784.17.1.23.
- Turrini E, Maffei F, Milelli A, Calcabrini C, Fimognari C. Overview of the Anticancer Profile of Avenanthramides from Oat. Int J Mol Sci. 2019;20(18). [PubMed ID: 31540249]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6770293]. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms20184536.
- 21. Tommonaro G, Iodice C. The Mediterranean Sponge Dysidea avara as a 40 Year Inspiration of Marine Natural Product Chemists. J Biodiversit Endangered Species. 2014;1(s1). https://doi.org/10.4172/2332-2543.s1-001.
- Nazemi M, Khaledi M, Golshan M, Ghorbani M, Amiran MR, Darvishi A, et al. Cytotoxicity Activity and Druggability Studies of Sigmasterol Isolated from Marine Sponge Dysidea avara Against Oral Epithelial Cancer Cell (KB/C152) and T-Lymphocytic Leukemia Cell Line (Jurkat/ E6-1). Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2020;21(4):997-1003. [PubMed ID: 32334461]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7445982]. https://doi.org/10.31557/AP[CP.2020.21.4.997.
- 23. Nazemi M, Motallebi A, Abbasi E, Khaledi M, Zare M. Antibacterial, antifungal, and cytotoxic activity of the fraction contains squalene in the acetone extract of a sea cucumber, Stichopus hermanni. *Iran J Fisheries Sci.* 2022;**21**(6):1495-507.
- Golfakhrabadi F, Khaledi M, Nazemi M, Safdarian M. Isolation, identification, and HPTLC quantification of dehydrodeoxycholic acid from Persian Gulf sponges. J Pharm Biomed Anal. 2021;197:113962.
 [PubMed ID: 33640688]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpba.2021.113962.
- Khaledi M, Sharif Makhmal Zadeh B, Rezaie A, Nazemi M, Safdarian M, Nabavi MB. Chemical profiling and anti-psoriatic activity of marine sponge (Dysidea avara) in induced imiquimod-psoriasis-skin model. *PLoS One.* 2020;**15**(11). e0241582. [PubMed ID: 33253155]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7703918]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0241582.
- Khaledi M, Atiq HZQ, Chamkouri N, Mojaddami A. Molecular docking and druggability studies of terpenoid-derived metabolites from marine sponges as IL-17A inhibitors. *Iran Chemical Commun.* 2019;7(5):480-93.
- Cheah IK, Halliwell B. Ergothioneine; antioxidant potential, physiological function and role in disease. *Biochim Biophys Acta*. 2012;1822(5):784-93. [PubMed ID: 22001064]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbadis.2011.09.017.
- Chen H, Chen D, Li Q, Li P, Zhao YY. Research progress on pharmacology, pharmacokinetics and determination of ergosta-4, 6, 8 (14), 22-tetraen-3-one. *China J Chinese Materia Med.* 2014;**39**(20):3905-9.
- Schreiber SN, Emter R, Hock MB, Knutti D, Cardenas J, Podvinec M, et al. The estrogen-related receptor alpha (ERRalpha) functions in PPARgamma coactivator 1alpha (PGC-1alpha)-induced mitochondrial biogenesis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2004;101(17):6472-7. [PubMed ID: 15087503]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC404069]. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0308686101.
- Hooper JN, Van Soest RW. Systema Porifera. A Guide to the Classification of Sponges. In: Hooper JN, Van Soest RW, Willenz P, editors. Systema Porifera: A Guide to the Classification of Sponges. Boston, MA: Springer US; 2002. p. 1-7. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4615-0747-5_1.
- Salmoun M, Braekman JC, Dewelle J, Darro F, Kiss R, De Voogd NJ, et al. New terpenoids from two Indonesian marine sponges. *Nat Prod Res.* 2007;21(2):149-55. [PubMed ID: 17365702]. https://doi.org/10.1080/14786410600899233.

- Kaya B, Menemen Y, Saltan FZ. Flavonoid compounds identified in Alchemilla L. species collected in the north-eastern Black Sea region of Turkey. Afr J Tradit Complement Altern Med. 2012;9(3):418-25. [PubMed ID: 23983376]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3746660]. https://doi.org/10.4314/ajtcam.v9i3.18.
- Roehm NW, Rodgers GH, Hatfield SM, Glasebrook AL. An improved colorimetric assay for cell proliferation and viability utilizing the tetrazolium salt XTT. *J Immunol Methods*. 1991;**142**(2):257-65. [PubMed ID: 1919029]. https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1759(91)90114-u.
- Khaledi M, Moradipoodeh B, Moradi R, Baghbadorani MA, Mahdavinia M. Antiproliferative and proapoptotic activities of Sea Cucumber H. Leucospilota extract on breast carcinoma cell line (SK-BR-3). *Mol Biol Rep.* 2022;49(2):1191-200. [PubMed ID: 35043255]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-021-06947-0.
- 35. Zare S, Fereidoonnezhad M, Afshar D, Ramezani Z. A comparative QSAR analysis and molecular docking studies of phenyl piperidine derivatives as potent dual NK(1)R antagonists/serotonin transporter (SERT) inhibitors. *Comput Biol Chem.* 2017;67:22-37. [PubMed ID: 28043073]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2016.12.004.
- Fereidoonnezhad M, Faghih Z, Mojaddami A, Sakhteman A, Rezaei Z. A comparative docking studies of dichloroacetate analogues on four isozymes of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase in humans. *Dent.* 2016;1(4):5.
- Penning TD, Zhu GD, Gong J, Thomas S, Gandhi VB, Liu X, et al. Optimization of phenyl-substituted benzimidazole carboxamide poly(ADP-ribose) polymerase inhibitors: identification of (S)-2-(2fluoro-4-(pyrrolidin-2-yl)phenyl)-1H-benzimidazole-4-carboxamide (A-966492), a highly potent and efficacious inhibitor. J Med Chem. 2010;53(8):3142-53. [PubMed ID: 20337371]. https://doi.org/10.1021/jm901775y.
- Grasberger BL, Lu T, Schubert C, Parks DJ, Carver TE, Koblish HK, et al. Discovery and cocrystal structure of benzodiazepinedione HDM2 antagonists that activate p53 in cells. *J Med Chem.* 2005;**48**(4):909-12. [PubMed ID: 15715460]. https://doi.org/10.1021/jm049137g.
- Pettersen EF, Goddard TD, Huang CC, Couch GS, Greenblatt DM, Meng EC, et al. UCSF Chimera–a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. *J Comput Chem.* 2004;25(13):1605-12. [PubMed ID: 15264254]. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcc.20084.
- Laskowski RA, Swindells MB. LigPlot+: multiple ligand-protein interaction diagrams for drug discovery. J Chem Inf Model. 2011;51(10):2778-86. [PubMed ID: 21919503]. https://doi.org/10.1021/ci200227u.
- Pires DE, Blundell TL, Ascher DB. pkCSM: Predicting Small-Molecule Pharmacokinetic and Toxicity Properties Using Graph-Based Signatures. J Med Chem. 2015;58(9):4066-72. [PubMed ID: 25860834].
 [PubMed Central ID: PMC4434528]. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jmedchem.5b00104.
- Daina A, Michielin O, Zoete V. SwissADME: a free web tool to evaluate pharmacokinetics, drug-likeness and medicinal chemistry friendliness of small molecules. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7:42717. [PubMed ID: 28256516]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5335600]. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep42717.
- 43. Youssef DT, Badr JM, Shaala LA, Mohamed GA, Bamanie FH. Ehrenasterol and biemnic acid; new bioactive compounds from the Red Sea sponge Biemna ehrenbergi. *Phytochemistry Letters*. 2015;**12**:296-301. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytol.2015.04.024.
- Karthikeyan SC, Velmurugan S, Donio MB, Michaelbabu M, Citarasu T. Studies on the antimicrobial potential and structural characterization of fatty acids extracted from Sydney rock oyster Saccostrea glomerata. Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob. 2014;13:332. [PubMed ID: 25599648]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4298963]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12941-014-0057-x.
- 45. Jiao WH, Huang XJ, Yang JS, Yang F, Piao SJ, Gao H, et al. Dysidavarones A-D, new sesquiterpene quinones from the marine sponge Dysidea

avara. Org Lett. 2012;14(1):202-5. [PubMed ID: 22133022]. https://doi.org/10.1021/ol202994c.

- Lim HK, Bae W, Lee HS, Jung J. Anticancer activity of marine sponge Hyrtios sp. extract in human colorectal carcinoma RKO cells with different p53 status. *Biomed Res Int.* 2014;2014:413575. [PubMed ID: 25243139]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4163483]. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/413575.
- Morales J, Li L, Fattah FJ, Dong Y, Bey EA, Patel M, et al. Review of poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (PARP) mechanisms of action and rationale for targeting in cancer and other diseases. *Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr.* 2014;24(1):15-28. [PubMed ID: 24579667]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4806654].

https://doi.org/10.1615/critreveukaryotgeneexpr.2013006875.

- Gupta A, Shah K, Oza MJ, Behl T. Reactivation of p53 gene by MDM2 inhibitors: A novel therapy for cancer treatment. *Biomed Pharmacother*. 2019;**109**:484-92. [PubMed ID: 30551517]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2018.10.155.
- 49. Hou H, Sun D, Zhang X. The role of MDM2 amplification and overexpression in therapeutic resistance of malignant tumors. *Cancer Cell Int.* 2019;**19**:216. [PubMed ID: 31440117]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6704499]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12935-019-0937-4.
- 50. Kojima K, Konopleva M, McQueen T, O'Brien S, Plunkett W, Andreeff M. Mdm2 inhibitor Nutlin-3a induces p53-mediated apoptosis by

transcription-dependent and transcription-independent mechanisms and may overcome Atm-mediated resistance to fludarabine in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. *Blood.* 2006;**108**(3):993-1000. [PubMed ID: 16543464]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC1895860]. https://doi.org/10.1182/blood-2005-12-5148.

- Kapojos MM, Abdjul DB, Yamazaki H, Kirikoshi R, Takahashi O, Rotinsulu H, et al. Protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B inhibitory polybromobiphenyl ethers and monocyclofarnesol-type sesquiterpenes from the Indonesian marine sponge Lamellodysidea cf. herbacea. *Phytochemistry Letters*. 2018;24:10-4. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytol.2017.11.016.
- Yamazaki H, Sumilat DA, Kanno S, Ukai K, Rotinsulu H, Wewengkang DS, et al. A polybromodiphenyl ether from an Indonesian marine sponge Lamellodysidea herbacea and its chemical derivatives inhibit protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B, an important target for diabetes treatment. *J Nat Med.* 2013;67(4):730-5. [PubMed ID: 23274914]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3765847]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11418-012-0735-y.
- Ashcroft M, Vousden KH. Regulation of p53 stability. Oncogene. 1999;18(53):7637-43. [PubMed ID: 10618703]. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.onc.1203012.
- Haupt Y, Maya R, Kazaz A, Oren M. Mdm2 promotes the rapid degradation of p53. *Nature*. 1997;387(6630):296-9. [PubMed ID: 9153395]. https://doi.org/10.1038/387296a0.