Published online 2019 July 10.

Research Article



Effect of Pramipexole on Headache Relief in Patients with Concomitant Migraine and Restless Legs Syndrome; A Randomized, Controlled, Clinical Trial

Shahram Rafie¹, Farzaneh Karimian^{1,*}, Ahmad Ghomifar¹ and Amir Karimian²

Received 2017 January 11; Revised 2017 September 24; Accepted 2017 November 22.

Abstract

Background: Migraine is one of the most common hereditary disease, and considerable attention is always paid to trigger factors of migraine attacks and drugs effective in the prophylaxis of such complications. Recent studies are indicative of the high prevalence of migraine together with restless legs syndrome (RLS). Some hypotheses about a common dysfunction in dopamine synthesis in both diseases are proposed. However, no single mechanism to explain this concurrence and no effective drug for patients with these two diseases is found yet.

Objectives: The current study aimed at investigating the effect of pramipexole, an effective agent in the relief of RLS, through a randomized, clinical trial on the frequency of migraine headache attacks during three months.

Methods: In the current study, the patients with concomitant migraine and RLS were divided into two groups. One group (case) received propranolol and pramipexole, and the other group (control) received propranolol and placebo. The two groups were questioned before and after the intervention about the migraine disability assessment score (MIDAS), frequency, and severity of migraine attacks.

Results: According to the results, the MIDAS scores of the case group showed a greater reduction compared to that of the control group (52.67% vs. 35.74%). Moreover, the mean frequency of migraine attacks showed a greater reduction in the case group than in the control group (62.38% vs. 39.85%).

Conclusions: The current study results showed that pramipexole can be effective in reducing the frequency of migraine attacks and improving patients' activities of daily living.

Keywords: Migraine, RLS, MIDAS, Migraine Frequency, Pramipexole

1. Background

Migraine is one of the most common hereditary disease. The way to prevent and control of migraine attacks is always demanded by patients with recurrent migraine attacks. Some of the most important prophylactic drugs for migraine relief include tricyclic antidepressants, beta-blockers, and anticonvulsants (1). Studies reported a high prevalence of migraine together with extrapyramidal signs such as restless legs syndrome (RLS)(2). RLS leads to unpleasant feelings or paresthesia in the legs, occurring usually at night and during the early stages of sleep. RLS symptoms are quickly relieved by moving the legs (3). According to the results of the study by Fernandez, patients with RLS had higher lifetime prevalence of migraine than non-RLS controls (53.2% vs. 25.5%) (4). The

reason for this concurrence is not explained clearly using a single mechanism. Some studies attributed this concurrence to impaired dopamine synthesis in both diseases (5). Other studies also proposed the hypothesis of impaired central metabolism of iron in both diseases (2). Simultaneous manifestation and treatment of both diseases with a common drug is of great importance. This is due to the fact that insomnia caused by RLS can increase the risk of migraine attacks and treatment of common mood disorders associated with migraine may, on the other hand, exacerbate RLS symptoms (6).

2. Objectives

The present study aimed at investigating the effect of pramipexole (a dopamine agonist effective in RLS) on the

¹Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

²Department of Mechanical Engineering, Shahid Rajaee Teacher Training University, Tehran, Iran

^{*}Corresponding author: Golestan Hospital, Farvardin Street, Ahvaz, Iran. Tel: +98-6133743012, Fax: +98-6133743012, Email: aghomifar@gmail.com

frequency and severity of migraine attacks and disability of patients who simultaneously have migraine and RLS in the activities of daily living.

3. Methods

The present randomized, double-blind study protocol was approved by the Ethics Committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences (ethical code: 1394.391). The participants of the study included 30 patients with migraine with three or more attacks per month referred to Golestan Hospital, Ahvaz, Khuzestan Province, Iran from May 2015 to September 2016. The migraine was diagnosed in the subjects based on their detailed history and use of the International Headache Society (IHS) criteria (7). The patients were then questioned about their RLS symptoms by a neurologist. The diagnosis of RLS was based on the following criteria, established by the International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group (IRLSSG):

A strong urge to move your legs, usually accompanied by uncomfortable sensations typically described as crawling, creeping, cramping, tingling or pulling.

Symptoms begin or become worse when resting or inactive, such as when lying down or sitting.

Symptom get better when move, such when walk or stretch, at least as long as the activity continues.

Symptoms are not solely accounted for by another condition such as leg cramp, leg swelling or arthritis (8).

The patients were also examined for secondary causes of RLS such as uremia and iron deficiency anemia. They were included in the study if the secondary causes of RLS were rejected. In the current study, due to taking propranolol, the patients with heart diseases such as heart block and bradycardia were not included in the study. The participants of the study were randomly divided into two groups using the four block method. Both groups received propranolol (20 mg) twice a day. The case group received pramipexole 0.18 mg and the control group received placebo one hour before sleep for three months. The MIDAS and IRLSSG questionnaires were filled out for patients before and three months after the treatment. The migraine disability assessment score (MIDAS) questionnaire (supplementary file Appendix 1) is quite a useful tool in clinical trials. There were five questions that asked patients regarding their disability associated with migraine headache during the last three months. The MIDAS scores interpretation reflects the degree of disability in patients; the scores 0 - 5 (days) show little or no disability, 6 - 10 indicate mild disability, 11 - 20 moderate disability, and > 21, severe disability. Furthermore, there are two questions that indicate the number of headache days and headache intensity during the last three months. The patients scored their

headache's severity from 0 to 10 where score 10 showed the maximum intensity headache (9). Moreover, patients were questioned about the side effects of drugs such as orthostatic hypotension and bradycardia at each visit, and they were excluded from the study if any side effects were observed. The data were expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. For each group, changes in the MIDAS score, frequency, and intensity of headache were compared by paired-samples t-test between the beginning and the end of the study.

4. Results

Table 1 shows the information of the study population. One patient from the case group and two patients from the control group were excluded from the study due to lost to follow-up. No significant difference was observed between the two groups in MIDAS score and frequency and severity of migraine at baseline. After three months of treatment, the mean MIDAS score in case group reduced from 41.27 to 19.533 and in the control group reduced from 42.733 to 27.46 (52.67% vs. 35.74%). Compared to the placebo group, the changes in the MIDAS score, in the case group was significant, at the end of the study (P = 0.001) (Table 2). After three months of intervention, the mean frequency of migraine attacks in the case group reduced from 7.266 to 2.733 and in control group reduced from 6.87 to 4.13 per month, respectively (62.38% vs. 39.85%). Compared with the placebo group, this reduction was significant in the case group (P = 0.001). Although severity of migraine reduced in both groups after the treatment, this reduction was not significant in the control group, compared with that of the case group (52.13% vs. 47.56%).

able 1. The Information of the Study Population					
Variable	Treatment Group	Control Group	P Value		
Age, y			0.50		
Mean \pm SD	29.21 ± 4.36	30.06 ± 2.26			
Range	15 - 50	15 - 50			
Gender			0.456		
Male	6	4			
Female	9	11			

5. Discussion

The results obtained from the current study showed that compared with the control group, the MIDAS score and frequency of migraine attacks reduced in the case

Table 2. Comparison of MIDAS Scores, Headache Frequency, and Severity of Migraine Attacks Between Baseline and Three Months After Treatment^a

Variable	Treatment Group	Control Group	P Value
MIDAS score			
Baseline	41.27	42.733	0.094
Three months after treatment	19.533	27.46	0.001
Number of headache days per month			
Baseline	7.266	6.87	0.558
Three months after treatment	2.733	4.13	0.001
Headache severity			
Baseline	7.1333	7.5333	0.295
Three months after treatment	4.23	4.6667	0.095

 $^{^{}m a}$ Values are expressed as mean \pm SD.

group. In a previous clinical trial, the effect of pramipexole (0.7 - 0.18 mg) on 10 patients with migraine and RLS was investigated. Frequency and severity of migraine attacks significantly improved in 50% of the patients, of whom 80% also had morning headaches before treatment (10). These findings were consistent with those of the present study in terms of reduced frequency of migraine attacks. Recently, concurrence of migraine and RLS is frequently reported. The reason for this relationship is not explained thus far directly using a single mechanism. Pramipexole (a dopamine agonist) reduces the frequency and severity of migraine attacks and disability of patients with migraine in the activities of daily living, which suggests impairment in dopamine synthesis among such patients. Functional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) findings, which shows the role of basal ganglia in pain processing in patients with migraine, support this hypothesis (2). Insomnia is a known trigger for migraine. On the other hand, studies show that treatments for RLS such as pramipexole relive symptoms of RLS by improving sleep (11). With this mechanism, pramipexole can play a significant role in reducing the frequency and severity of migraine attacks, especially morning migraines. According to the results of the present study, pramipexole may be effective in reducing migraine attacks and disability of patients with migraine in the activities of daily living.

5.1. Limitations of the Study

Since patients with migraine with more than three attacks per month should receive prophylactic treatment, lack of using antimigraine drugs and relying on placebo or pramipexole, which their effects on migraine attacks

are not proved yet, was attributed to the ethical considerations. Therefore, both groups in the current study received propranolol as a standard treatment for migraine. Because of the small sample size, these findings need to be confirmed in a large-scale randomized, controlled trial.

Supplementary Material

Supplementary material(s) is available here [To read supplementary materials, please refer to the journal website and open PDF/HTML].

Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank Dr. Seyyed Ehsan Mohammadiani Nejad for his comments that greatly improved the manuscript.

Footnotes

Conflict of Interests: Authors declared no conflict of interest.

Funding/Support: There was no financial support for the current study.

References

- Ropper A, Samuels M, Klein J. Adams and Victor's principles of neurology. McGraw-Hill Education; 2014.
- d'Onofrio F, Barbanti P, Petretta V, Casucci G, Mazzeo A, Lecce B, et al. Migraine and movement disorders. *Neurol Sci.* 2012;33 Suppl 1:S55-9. doi: 10.1007/s10072-012-1042-9. [PubMed: 22644172].
- 3. Rowland LP, Pedley TA. Merritt's neurology. LWW; 2015.
- Fernandez-Matarrubia M, Cuadrado MI, Sanchez-Barros CM, Martinez-Orozco FJ, Fernandez-Perez C, Villalibre I, et al. Prevalence of migraine in patients with restless legs syndrome: A case-control study. *Headache*. 2014;54(8):1337–46. doi: 10.1111/head.12382. [PubMed: 24842340].
- Cologno D, Cicarelli G, Petretta V, d'Onofrio F, Bussone G. High prevalence of dopaminergic premonitory symptoms in migraine patients with restless legs syndrome: A pathogenetic link? *Neurol Sci.* 2008;29 Suppl 1:S166–8. doi: 10.1007/s10072-008-0915-4. [PubMed: 18545925].
- Baughman KR, Bourguet CC, Ober SK. Gender differences in the association between antidepressant use and restless legs syndrome. Mov Disord. 2009;24(7):1054-9. doi: 10.1002/mds.22517. [PubMed: 19353713].
- Headache Classification Committee of the International Headache Society. Classification and diagnostic criteria for headache disorders, cranial neuralgias and facial pain. *Cephalalgia*. 1988;8 Suppl 7:1–96. [PubMed: 3048700].
- Allen RP. Minimal clinically significant change for the International Restless Legs Syndrome Study Group rating scale in clinical trials is a score of 3. Sleep Med. 2013;14(11):1229. doi: 10.1016/j.sleep.2013.08.001. [PubMed: 24051118].
- Stewart WF, Lipton RB, Whyte J, Dowson A, Kolodner K, Liberman JN, et al. An international study to assess reliability of the migraine disability assessment (MIDAS) score. *Neurology*. 1999;53(5):988–94. doi: 10.1212/wnl.53.5.988. [PubMed: 10496257].

- Suzuki K, Suzuki S, Miyamoto M, Miyamoto T, Numao A, Watanabe Y, et al. Does pramipexole treatment improve headache in patients with concomitant migraine and restless legs syndrome? *Tremor Other Hy*perkinet Mov (NY). 2013;3. doi: 10.7916/D8XD10D9. [PubMed: 24116342]. [PubMed Central: PMC3779820].
- Oertel WH, Stiasny-Kolster K, Bergtholdt B, Hallstrom Y, Albo J, Leissner L, et al. Efficacy of pramipexole in restless legs syndrome: a six-week, multicenter, randomized, double-blind study (effect-RLS study). Mov Disord. 2007;22(2):213–9. doi:10.1002/mds.21261. [PubMed: 17133582].