The Logbook Effect on Clinical Learning of Interns in Internal Ward Rotation in Shiraz University of Medical Sciences

Saber M, MD¹; Saberi Firouzi M, MD²; Azizi F, MD³

¹Member, Educational development center, Shiraz University of Medical sciences, ²Faculty Member, Gastrointestinal research center,

³Professor, Endocrine Research Center, Research Institute for Endocrine Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University (M.C.),

Abstract

Background and purpose: The learning experiences of medical students happen in diverse settings. Logbook is a long known tool to manage and guide these experiences but the studies had varying results this study is an attempt to examine the educational benefits of logbooks.

Methods: In this quasi-experimental study the externs were divided into to equivalent groups as intervention and control group. For the controls the routine program was delivered while logbook was used in addition to routine learning activities for intervention group. The students was examined with an OSCE and MCQ exam at completion of rotation. The results were compared.

Results: Of 53 subjects, 28 were assigned in control groups and 25 were placed in intervention groups. The intervention groups' performance on OSCE was not significantly different from control group $(14.84\pm1.87 \text{ vs } 13.93.2.15, P > 0.05)$. The intervention groups performance on 5 stations of OSCE assessing clinical skills compared with the control groups performance on these stations showed a significant difference of 6.4 out of 20 (P < 0.0001) while the intervention groups performance on 7 stations assessing factual knowledge compared with the control groups performance on these stations showed no significant difference (0.2, P > 0.05).

Conclusion: The introduction of logbook was associated with increased clinical skills while no improvement of factual knowledge was observed.

Key words: Logbook, Clinical Skill Training, Assessment

Journal of Medicine Eduction Summer & Fall 2008; 12(3,4): 62-66

Introduction

The close student-teacher relation helps organize and structure the students learning experience. This relation has been faded up. Today students learn their clinical skills in various settings so expecting that clinical teachers have a complete understanding of students' and interns' learning experiences is not practical(1-3).

Medical schools have a long experience in using logbook as a tool for documenting interns, residents and fellows learning experience which facilitates monitoring students' clinical learning (4-6). Many studies have examined different uses of

Corresponding author: Dr. Mahboobeh Saber is a member of education development center of Shiraz University of Medical sciences, Zand Blv Shiraz, Iran Email: saber_mahboobeh@yahoo.com Tel: 98 917 3160 700

logbooks in different setting with various results (6-11). Some approved its efficacy and some said that problems in using logbook were intimidating. Most of these studies are case reports and descriptive. The difference in setting and curriculum has occasionally led to controversial results.

In this study we attempted to examine logbook effects on clinical learning of externs in their internal ward rotation.

Methods

In this Quasi-experimental study externs passing their internal medicine rotations participated in 2 consecutive 2-month rotation. In the first rotation no intervention was introduced. In the second rotation the students completed a logbook. At the end of each rotation students took an OSCE, and a multiple choice question (MCQ). The exam results was analysed with SPSS software ver. 12.

The logbook used was developed based on internal medicine rotation in collaboration with clinical teachers of internal medicine group. The logbook was prepared in A5 size facilitating its use. In the introduction course goals, settings and how to fill the logbook were explained. Five forms including form for students' and teachers' and residents' information; form 2 for writing down the procedures and externs' role on performing the procedure; form 3 for reporting the patients they cared for; form 4 for reporting other patients of the ward, and form 5 for reporting the lectures delivered by the student. Before we used the form for the subjects a group of interns used if for a month. This pilot study helped us to rectify problems of logbook forms.

The OSCE exam had 12 stations. Each station was randomly selected from a pair of equivalent stations developed by internal medicine group.

For MCQ exam, 50 pairs of questions were developed based on the rotation objectives and two equivalent forms of 50 questions were made out. The students who attended in 2 consecutive months (in study interval), attending in OSE and MCQ exams and returning the completed logbook.

Results

In this study, of 59 extern passing internal medicine rotation 53 were included. Of these subjects 28 were assigned in control groups and 25 were placed in intervention groups. Based on GPA of 8 modules of internal medicine in physiopathology phase and the students scores on comprehensive

Table 1: The mean 8 of internal medicine modules GPA and basic science comprehensive exam

		- 0 - F
Exam marks	Mean	P-value
	(SD)	
The 8 IM module GPA	14.9	
of control group	(1.4)	
The 8 IM module GPA	14.2	
of intervention group	(1.3)	0.3
BSCE of control group	133.6	
	(15.2)	
BSCE of intervention	125.2	0.5
group	(11.5)	

marks	of	interven	tion	and	control	group
	~ -				• • • • • • • •	

basic science exam, the control and intervention group prior knowledge were equal (table 1).

The externs training program in this rotation includes various seminars and rounds. Similar teachers in similar settings trained both groups. Both groups attended the exam sessions of MCQ and OSCE in 24th day of the second month.

The OSCE for both groups consisted of 12 5-minutes stations. The intervention groups' performance on OSCE was not significantly different from control group (14.84 \pm 1.87 vs 13.93.2.15, P > 0.05).

Some stations of OSCE assessed clinical skills while others assessed factual knowledge. The intervention groups performance on 5 stations assessing clinical skills compared with the control groups performance on these stations showed a significant difference of 6.4 out of 20 (P < 0.0001) while the intervention groups performance on 7 stations assessing factual knowledge compared with the control groups performance on these stations showed no significant difference (0.2, P > 0.05).

The students' performance on MCQ test was not significantly different in intervention and control group $(13.96\pm1.87 \text{ vs } 15.8\pm188; P=0.3).$

The Pearson correlation coefficient of students scores in OSCE MCQ tests, GPA of internal medicine modules, comprehensive basic science exam are shown in table (2). The OSCE result had no correlation with other tests.

Table2: the correlation of the 8 IM module GPA,BSCE,MCQ,OSCE marks with each other

Exam	The	BSCE	MCQ	OSCE
markas	8 IM			
	module			
	GPA			
The 8 IM	1			
module				
GPA				
BSCE	R=	1		
	527/0			
	P <			
	001/0			
MCQ	R=	R=	1	
	0.357	310/0		
	P <	P <		
	009/0	07/0		
OSCE	R=	R=	R=153/0	1
	180/0	069/0	P < 3/0	
	P < 2/0	P < 7/0		

The score of set of stations assessing knowledge was correlated with, CBSE, MCQ tests, and GPA of internal medicine modules while the score of set of stations assessing clinical skills had no sigSummer & Fall 2008 Vol. 12,No.3 ,4

Table 3: the correlation of the 8 IM module
GPA,BSCE,MCQ,OSCE marks with the clinical
set of OSCE stations and knowledge-based set of
OSCE stations

Exam markas	clinical set	knowledge-
	of OSCE	based set of
	stations	OSCE stations
The 8 IM module	12/0- R=	427/0R=
GPA	P < 3/0	P < 002/0
BSCE	028/0 R=	092/0R=
	P < 8/0	P < 5/0
MCQ	512 /0- R=	481/0R=
	P < 0001/0	P < 0002/0
OSCE	153/0- R=	39/0 R=
	P < 3/0	P < 02/0

nificant with CBSE, MCQ test, and GPA of internal medicine module. table (3)

Discussion

The introduction of logbook was associated with increased clinical skills while no improvement of factual knowledge was observed. In most experiences of logbook, this tool has been used to assess clinical skills. This may be explained by more attention to the kind of patients students visited (16.17).

In a study in 1995 in Alberta, Canada, the number of clinical experiences reported in logbook was correlated positively with OSCE score (18).

Although the logbook had no effect on students' performance in area of factual knowledge, the correlation of OSCE stations assessing knowledge and MCQ test is evidence of concurrent validity. In other words there is no need to test factual knowl-edge in OSCE stations where other tests of knowl-edge are given independently. Other students also showed that the number of visited patients by students had not associated with performance on tests of knowledge (12, 20).

The logbook uses in different program is varied

including:

1. Objective-orienting of students learning experience (21, 22, 23).

2. Documenting the learning experience of students (7, 9)

- 3. Monitoring
- 4. Management of feedback to students (10, 24)
- 5. Program evaluation (12, 25)
- 6. Reflection on experiences (26)

In this study logbooks were developed to cover uses 1, 2, 4, 6. Our students believed that the logbook was successful in objective orientation of learning experiences, documenting these experiences, and reflection on experiences but it seems that no improvement on students-teacher interaction happened. This was a limitation of this study which might be due to lack of education on logbook in part of clinical teachers. Further study of whole of logbook would be useful.

Reference:

1. Dent JA. Teaching and learning medicine In: Dent JA, Harden R, M (editors) A practical guide for medical teachers.Publication of Churchill livingstone.Edinburge 2001-1-12

2. Longlois JP.Thach S.Teaching at the bed side. Fam Med 2000; 32(8):528-30.

3. Nair BR, Coughlan J.L. Hensley M.J. Impediments to bed-side teaching. Med Educ 1998;32(2):159-162.

4. Hunter RD, Maciejewski B [•], leer JW , kinay M , Heeren G . Training logbook For radiotherapy . Radiother Oncol 2004 ; 70 ; 117 – 121

5. Paice E, Moss F, west G, Grant J. Association of use a logbook and experience as a preregistration house officer : interview survey . Br Med J 1997 ; Jan 18 ; 213 - 216

6. Blake k, Commentaries: The Daily grind-use of logbooks and portfolios for documenting undergraduate activities. Med Educ 2001; 35:11097-11098.

7. Murray E, Alderman P, Coppola W, et al .What do students actually do on an internal medicine

clerkship? A log diary study. Med Educ 2001; 35:1101-1107.

8. Dolmans D, Schmidt, Beek VD, et al. Does a student log provide a means to better structure clinical education? Med Educ 1999; 33:089-094.

9. Dennick R, Case study 2:Use of logbooks. Med Educ 2000;34(suppl1):66-68.

10. Patil NG, lee p. Interactive logbooks for medical students: are they useful? . Med Educ 2002; 36:672-677.

11. Alderson Tst, Oswald NT . Clinical experience of medical students in primary care : use of an electronic log in monitoring experience and in guiding education in the Cambridge Community base clinical course. Med Educ. 1999;33:429-433.

12. Ferrell BG. Demonstrating the efficacy of the patient logbook as a program evaluation tool . Acad Med 1991;66(9):549-s51.

13. Vanelc EP, Barrigua – Unal RM.Use of patient encounter documentation (log) system at three Medical schools . Teach Learn Med 1993 ; 5 ; 164 -8

14. Hobbs J , Mongan PF , Tollison JW . A decentralized clerckship : Strategies for stamdarclizing content and instruction . Fam Med 1987 ; 19 ; 133 -36

15. Monro JGC. Computer analysis of the student log diary : An aid in teaching of general practice and family Medicine . Med Educ 1984 ; 18 ; 75 -9.

16. Blaskewics RJ, Pack R, Chibnall J.T, et al .The Influence of testing context and clinical Rotation order on students OSCE performance. Acad Med 2004; 79(6):597-601.

17. Dolmans DHJM, Wolfhagen IHAP, Essed G.M, et al . The impact of supervision , patient mix and numbers of students on the effectives of clinical rotations. Acad Med 2002;77(4):332-335.

18. Charenay M, Nlayuire T, skakun E, et al. Does volume of clinical experience affect performance of clinical clerks on surgery exit examination? : Am J Surg 1996;172(4):366-72.

19. Neumayen l, McNamara R.M, Dayton M, et al. Does volume of patient seen in an outpatient setting Impact text scores? Am J Surg 1998;175:511-514.

20. Ellozy S.H, Kaiser S, Bauer J.J, Does Clinical Exposure Affect Medical student Examination performance? Am J Surg 2000; 176:282-285.

21. Dolmans D, Schmidt, Beek VD, et al. Does a student log provide a means to better structure clinical education? Med Educ 1999; 33:089-094.

22. Jolly B, clinical logbooks, recording clinical experience may not be enough. Med Educ 1999;33:086-088.

23. Van Der Hem-Stokroos HH, Daelmans H.E.M., Van Der Veluten C.P.M., et al. A qualitative study of constructive clinical learning experiences. Med Teach 2003; 25(2): 120-126.

24. Doque G . Web - based evaluation of Medi-

cal clelrckship : a new approach to immediacy and efficacy of feed back and assessment . Med Teach 2003; 25; 510 - 514

25. Whit comb ME . Using clinical out come data to reform Meadical education . Acad Med 2005 ; 80; 117 - 201

26. Lonka k, Slote v, Halttunen M,etal. Portfolio as a learning tool in obstetrics and gynecology undergraduate training. Med Educ 2001; 35:1125-1130.

27. Patricoski CT , shanonk , Doyle GA . The accuracy of patient encounten logbooks used by family medicine clerckship student . Fam Med 1998 ; 30 ; 487 - 489 .

28. Raghoebar-krieger HM, Sleijfer D, Bender . The Reliability of logbook data of medical student: an estimation of inter observer agreement, sensitivity and specificity. Med Educ 2001;35:624-631.