Published online 2023 May 10.

Review Article

The Critical Thinking Process: A Holistic View to Promote Critical Problem-solving in Health Profession Education

Nasim Gheshlaghi Azar^{1,*}, Shahram Yazdani 💿¹ and Zohreh Khoshgoftar 💿¹

¹Virtual School of Medical Education and Management, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

^c Corresponding author: Virtual School of Medical Education and Management, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: nasim_gheshlaghi@yahoo.com; gheshlaghi@sbmu.ac.ir

Received 2023 January 17; Revised 2023 February 01; Accepted 2023 February 05.

Abstract

Context: Critical thinking (CT) has been recognized as a key learning outcome in health profession education (HPE). A holistic, well-defined process for initiating CT is essential to promote critical problem-solving in HPE students. Various perceptions of CT have emerged from different paradigms and disciplines. Integration of this variability into the CT process could be beneficial for medical educators to develop CT in learners. This study aimed to outline the CT process in view of the multiple conceptualizations of CT.

Evidence Acquisition: In this narrative review, SID, Google Scholar, and PubMed databases were searched. After studying 35 selected articles, the CT process was formulated based on the multiple conceptualizations of CT.

Results: Multiple perceptions of CT, emerged from disciplines and paradigms, should be incorporated into the CT process to achieve strong CT. In view of this multiplicity, not only the CT skills but also its dispositions are of key importance in the CT process. Furthermore, the essential role of the context in which the CT takes place, one's own creativity, metacognition over the thinking process, social construction of meaning, caring to others, and seeking problems through challenging the systems should be considered. **Conclusions:** We outlined the CT process, grounded from multiple conceptualizations of CT, and advocated for the use of it by HPE students to promote critical problem-solving in facing complex challenges during their professional careers.

Keywords: Critical Thinking, Critical Thinking Skills, Critical Thinking Dispositions, Critical Thinking Process, Health Profession Education

1. Context

Critical thinking (CT), a key component of competence across domains, underlies health professionals' abilities and performance (1-3). Its deficit leads to cognitive biases, prejudices, misjudgments, and intolerances that contribute to diagnostic and therapeutic errors (4-6). In addition, CT is increasingly important in an era when biomedical science is progressing exponentially, and knowledge acquisition alone is insufficient for practitioners to function in complex clinical environments. Health professionals must also use CT to discriminate between well-justified and highly suspected data. In this regard, educational scholars have long been aware of the importance of CT as an educational ideal (7). Guiding the thinking path of the learners through a process seems not only to be effective in the difficult task of CT development (8) but also supports students in this complicated procedure (9). Accordingly, considering the importance of CT as a key educational outcome for health professionals, formulating a well-defined process for CT seems essential to promote critical problemsolving in health profession education (HPE) students.

Critical thinking conceptualization has dramatically evolved through paradigmatic transitions during the twentieth century. Critical thinking understanding as a set of skills, techniques, and logical procedures, which has been referred to Technical approach (10), is mainly influenced by the positivist tradition. Gradually, through the development of the paradigms of humanism, constructivism, feminism, and postmodernism, other dimensions arise in the discourse of CT (10). In this regard, cognitive aspects, such as creativity, intuition, insight (11, 12), and one's attitudes and dispositions toward CT (11, 13, 14), are mentioned in CT conceptualizations. Furthermore, the conscious participation of the individual in the social construction of meaning through interactions, dialogue, and discussion is taken into account (15). Moreover, different

Copyright © 2023, Journal of Medical Education. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited. contexts, along with the cultural and value systems embedded in those contexts, are considered in the perception of CT (10, 16, 17). Emerging from the critical theory and pedagogy, the ultimate goal of CT is to challenge traditional beliefs, as well as governing systems, to achieve social justice and freedom. In this way, a critical thinker intends to seek problems in the current systems (18). The conventional technical view of the CT process (as an inflexible, skill-based procedure derived from the positivisticanalytic tradition) has been criticized by some scholars, who consider such a mechanical process incapable of responding to complex multidimensional problems, which CT is essentially used to address such complicated issues (7, 14). Instead, various perceptions of CT emerged from the perspective of paradigms (constructivism, humanism, feminism, postmodernism), and disciplines (philosophy and psychology) should be considered to achieve comprehensive thinking. Accordingly, it seems necessary to incorporate multiple perceptions of CT, emanating from paradigms and disciplines, into its process to internalize "good thinking" in learners (10, 14, 15, 19). In this regard, the CT process is defined as a process in response to a complex problem, which does not necessarily lead to a specific response. In the CT process, the importance of thinking skills, as well as attitudinal dispositions, should be taken into consideration. Also, the supervisory role of metacognition over the CT process, and the context in which the CT is carried out, should not be neglected. Repetition of some steps and the round-trip between different stages, the social construction of meaning, flexibility, and discourse-oriented ness during the process are also considered. In this regard, multiple conceptualizations derived from different paradigmatic and disciplinary views of CT should be incorporated into its process. A holistic view of this multiplicity in the CT process seems to be beneficial for medical educators in guiding the learners during the process. The CT process, which is recommended in this article, is formulated based on this multiplicity. This comprehensive multi-faceted view of the CT process is neglected in most of the other processes, mostly emphasizing the CT skills, whereas the role of the dispositions, emotions, creativity, and contextual variations is overlooked.

In an attempt to achieve critical problem-solving by HPE students through a process, we identified the need to articulate multiple steps to conduct the CT process, integrating different conceptions of CT, derived from paradigmatic and disciplinary perspectives, into it. Accordingly, this study aimed to outline the CT process by CT skills and dispositions and describe how it can be used to better understand and address complex problems in HPE.

2. Evidence Acquisition

The aim of this narrative review of the literature was to scrutinize the CT process from the perspective of paradigms and disciplines. This study was performed based on the 4 stages presented by Fins et al. as cited in Demiris et al. (20) according to the following steps (Figure 1):



(1) The search terms included critical thinking, skill, disposition, process, and health profession education, which were extracted from the key studies known to the

authors in the field of CT.
Google Scholar, SID, and PubMed databases were searched for relevant literature based on the following inclusive criteria:

-The studies that were published between 1910 (the year of the introduction of the CT process by John Dewey) and January 2023.

- The studies that were not relevant or valid based on the Joanna Briggs Institute (JBI) checklist for texts and opinions.

- The studies that were not related to the CT process.

- The published studies in English or Persian language.

(2) The articles that did not meet the inclusion criteria were excluded from the study. The electronic search was supplemented with a manual search of the reference lists from identified relevant studies and/or review articles, which resulted in 895 articles.

(3) After removing the duplicate search results (n=223), the remaining articles (n = 672) were hand-searched by 1 author (NG) by reading the titles and abstracts to remove the papers that were not relevant or valid based on the JBI checklist for texts and opinions. The full-text versions of the remaining identified articles (n = 35) were subsequently obtained for a more detailed assessment.

(4) After reviewing the full text of the extracted studies, the current state of knowledge in the field was identified.

3. Results

Based on the results of this study, the CT process is summarized under the following steps: (Considering the flexible nature of the process, repetition of some steps and the round-trip between different stages can be done during the process. Accordingly, mentioning a specific number for each step is avoided.)

3.1. The CT Process

Sense, Notice, and Identify the Problem

I have no special talents. I am only passionately curious (Albert Einstein).

The first stage of the CT process can be considered one of the most important stages of it. The key role of "problem" at the beginning of the CT process has been considered by Ennis (21) and Facione et al. (22). Lipman (11) also emphasizes the importance of "problem-seeking" vs problem-solving." In fact, a critical thinker who is not indifferent to surrounding phenomena intends to seek problems in the current systems. Having the mental traits of inquisitiveness and intellectual skepticism enables the critical thinker to sense, feel, observe, notice, and identify the surrounding phenomena, difficulties, and problems (22, 23). Derived from postmodernism and critical theory and pedagogy, this intellectual skepticism accounts for the basic principle on which the CT process is mounted, leading to paradigm shifts (18, 24).

Define, Clarify, and Conceptualize the Problem

Problem definition is essential in the CT process, which has been done well in advance of formulating possible solutions to the problem (21). Asking questions regarding the problem (5Ws: What? Who? When? Why? Where? and How?) is of prime importance in problem clarification. In addition, dividing the problem into manageable sub-problems and exploring the intended and actual inferential relationships among the statements and questions (i.e., analysis) are very helpful in problem comprehension (7). Critical thinkers might ask questions regarding the problem's components, as well as its complexity, difficulty, and condition (25).

To formulate these questions, a critical thinker's inquisitive and analytical mind leads to the useful implementation of questioning and analyzing skills, finally resulting in better problem definition.

Actively Gather Information About the Problem/Sub-problems

Once the problem and sub-problems have been defined, the critical thinker actively gathers information about the problem and its components from different sources, including observations, experiences, reflections, and communications/consultations. This information, which is mainly related to the context in which the problem has occurred, clarifies the current conditions of the problem context and its characteristics and limitations. In this regard, being familiar with information-gathering strategies about the problem from multiple sources is considered an essential skill for critical thinkers (7, 21).

In this way, the key role of the individual's mental habits toward searching and obtaining necessary and sufficient information about the problem with an open and fair mind is emphasized. In fact, all aspects of the subject, even those that are not in line with the individual's mental orientations, should be considered. Accordingly, an inquisitive critical thinker who seeks the truth, searches for resources with an open, flexible, and fair mind and has a continuous desire to be well-informed seems to be successful in her/his intellectually effortful information gathering (13, 22).

Set Goals for Solving Problems

Before choosing a solution for a problem, the goals of the problem-solving process should be set. Depending on the goals, variable solution options might develop. For example, consider a dentist (as a critical thinker) who tries to alleviate a patient's severe toothache (solve the problem). If the dentist sets a short-term goal for reducing the patient's pain, a prescription of anti-inflammatory drugs might be a solution option. If she/he intends to solve the patient's problem permanently, root canal therapy or extraction of the tooth might be considered as a solution option.

Usually, goal-directed people who are purposive in nature deliberately set goals for different achievements in their lives. Therefore, having a mental trait of goaldirectedness and purposiveness might enable a critical thinker to skillfully set goals for the problem-solving process.

Actively Gather Evidence About Solution Options

Based on the goals of the problem-solving process, the critical thinker actively devises and carries out a plan of systematic observation that uncovers the relevant evidence. The plan includes a critical review of the relevant peer-reviewed literature and identifying competing relevant world views. Hence, a critical thinker must be equipped with advanced information-gathering skills, including search strategies and familiarity with relevant databases, to unearth the correct sources needed to solve complex problems (7, 21).

In addition to advanced information-gathering skills, being habitually inquisitive and intellectually perseverant and having a propensity to seek reasons and truth are initiative motivators in this phase. Furthermore, respect and openness to other people's points of view (i.e., being open and fair-minded to relevant viewpoints) are important dispositions, enabling a critical thinker to conduct this phase successfully (13, 14, 22).

Evaluate the Validity and Accuracy of the Evidence and Its Sources

Undoubtedly, a critical assessment of the evidence is an essential part of the CT process. In this way, critical evaluation of evidence itself and its sources, using the skills such as reasoning, judging, assessing, evaluating, and critical appraisal, should be considered a key phase in the CT process. Critical evaluation of evidence should be conducted based on intellectual standards, including clarity, accuracy, precision, relevance, depth, breadth, logic, significance, and fairness (14). In this way, applying the mentioned criteria in the course of evaluation of evidence, as well as the mental habits toward considering alternatives, being attentive to others' views and reasons, and revising beliefs with an open, fair, and flexible mind, are among the dispositions that enable the critical thinker to achieve "good thinking" or "perfection of thought" (13, 14, 22).

Synthesize the Evidence to Develop a Solution Option List

To synthesize the evidence, the critical thinker makes inferences and draws conclusions from relevant and valid evidence. Moreover, she/he creatively proposes alternatives to develop the solution option list (7, 8, 11, 26). Finally, the solution option list is developed based on inferring conclusions and alternative propositions.

In this regard, a comprehensive attitude toward the evidence synthesis, along with the creativity of the individual, is important to successfully conduct this phase. Accordingly, a critical thinker's disposition toward intellectual integration of evidence, as well as her/his creativity, should be considered as effective mental traits to synthesize the evidence and develop the solution option list.

Set Criteria of Appropriateness to Impose on Options

Once the solution options are developed, the critical thinker should set the criteria of appropriateness concerning the feasibility, effectiveness, efficacy, and acceptance of options in the context (11). The critical thinker, using the skill of situational analysis, might consider if the solution options are feasible in the context of the cost, limited geographic access, stakeholders' acceptance, and time limitation. Further, reasonable expectations should be kept in mind when setting the criteria of appropriateness. For example, a sophisticated new technology, which has been proven successful in treating an illness, might not be an appropriate solution option in an underdeveloped urban area due to several factors, including a lack of expert technicians, limited financial resources, and a lack of cultural acceptance.

Obviously, to understand the needs and demands of stakeholders, dispositions such as an open and flexible mind, empathy with the stakeholders of the related context, and humility against them are very helpful in determining the criteria of appropriateness tailored to the related context (11, 13, 14).

Deliberately Weigh Options against Criteria and Select the Preferred Option

Although such multi-criteria decision-making may seem complicated at first glance, a critical thinker who is familiar with the principles and skills of reasoning, including induction and deduction (formal logic) and interpretation and inference (informal logic), can successfully weigh options against criteria and select the best solution option (27). Accordingly, a critical thinker who has autonomy, courage, and confidence in her/his decision-making procedure might successfully select the best option (14).

It should be noted that sometimes the CT process does not end with a specific result, but a number of options realize the criteria due to multiple points of view on the problem (15). Furthermore, in some circumstances, the CT process will not reach a specific conclusion and remains openended due to insufficient evidence and contextual limitations. Complementary investigations and seeking consensus are recommended in the aforementioned circumstances.

Design the Implementation Plan and Consider the Methodological Details of Preferred Options

Once the preferred path among all the options under consideration is determined, the critical thinker should apply the final judgment to the real situation. In this way, an implementation plan should be developed using "design thinking" skills. In planning the implementation phase, several questions concerning methodological aspects of solution options should be taken into consideration. For example, what are the methodological details in implementing the solution option/s? Are there barriers and/or limitations which should be considered in the action phase? How the required resources for the implementation phase will be provided?

The mental orientation of the critical thinker toward designing an executive plan and not ending the CT process to theoretical solution options is of key importance in the successful conduction of this stage.

Predict Consequences of Preferred Options and Acknowledge Different Outcomes of Preferred Options

Critical thinking is outcome-oriented, applied thinking. Hence, a critical thinker should be able to anticipate the outcomes of the preferred options in practice and acknowledge their consequences (11).

Furthermore, the critical thinker, in addition to using the skills of future thinking (including divergent thinking), should also have the courage to intelligently accept undesirable and unexpected consequences (11, 14).

Seek Consensus Based on a Coherent and Dialogical Argument on a Controversial, Contemporary Topic

Given that CT is often formed in the wake of challenging and disputed questions/problems, the critical thinker should seek consensus on controversial issues, using discussion and dialogue with others, not merely to persuade them but to achieve a new socially-constructed meaning (15). Accordingly, CT's dispositions toward constructive dialogue and debate, which results from a collaborative spirit, should be considered to seek an intellectual agreement.

Critical Reflection Over the Whole Process and Self-regulate Whenever Is Necessary

The key role of a critical thinker's metacognition over her/his thinking process to determine any shortcomings,

errors, biases, and limitations in the thinking path has been emphasized by scholars (11, 26, 28). In this way, the critical thinker should consciously reflect on her/his CT process and evaluate the potential biases.

It would appear that having an attitude of critical reflection over the own thinking process, as well as being willing to accept and correct her/his own biases, helps a critical thinker to revise the process and identify its strengths and weaknesses.

The CT process, by its skills and dispositions, is featured in Table 1.

4. Discussion

This study defined the CT process in terms of its essential elements derived from various perspectives of CT conceptualization. Accordingly, while the role of CT skills is considered in the CT process, the key role of CT dispositions, context, individual's creativity, pluralism in meaning-making, and flexible nature of the CT process should be considered. Based on the comprehensive literature review of the authors of this study, it seems that since the introduction of the first CT process by Dewey in 1910 (29), which has been synchronized with the dominancy of the positivistic tradition, the commonality of the skill-based approach has been evident in many processes. In some of these processes, derived from Bloom's cognitive taxonomy, cognitive skills (such as explanation, analysis, inference, interpretation, evaluation, and selfregulation) are emphasized. In this way, the CT process comes with concrete objective steps that mainly include cognitive skills. Based on this approach to CT, critical thinkers are encouraged to avoid mental subjectivism, intuition, and creativity to achieve justice and fairness (17). In this regard, little attention is paid to one's dispositions, attitudes, and mental habits. Furthermore, the skill-based approach advances the process of CT regardless of the context in which thinking takes hold (12). Inspired by the skillbased approach, philosophers of this era emphasize the realization of CT using logical reasoning skills (30-33), and cognitive psychologists also highlight the role of skills in the CT process (34). Gradually, with the dramatic paradigmatic evolutions, scholars who believe in the humanistic constructivist conceptualization of CT criticize the skillbased approach due to the reduction of CT to a set of skills and procedures. Humanistic understanding of CT, which reasserts the role of human uniqueness, self-exploration, and social interaction, seeks to replace objectivity with subjectivity, abstraction with contextualization, and positivistic notions of truth with socially constructed truths

Steps of the CT Process	CT Skills	CT Dispositions
Sense, notice, and identify the problem	Observation; Feeling	Intellectual skepticism; Seeking problem
Define, clarify, and conceptualize the problem	Questioning; Clarification	Inquisitiveness; Analyticity
Actively gather information about the problem/sub-problems		Inquisitiveness; Truthseeking; Desire to be wellinformed; Disposition toward searching resources with an open and flexible mind
Set goals for solving the problem	Goal setting	Purposiveness
Actively gather evidence about solution options	Advanced informationgathering skills	Habitually inquisitive; Intellectual perseverant; Propensity to seek reasons and truth; Respect and openness to other people's points of view
Evaluate the validity and accuracy of the evidence and its sources	Reasoning; Judging; Assessing; Evaluating; Critical appraisal	Disposition toward considering alternatives, being attentive to other people's views and reasons, and revising beliefs with an open, fair, and flexible mind
Synthesize the evidence to develop a solution option list	Making inferences; Proposing creative alternatives; Synthesis	Intellectual integration and creativity
Set criteria of appropriateness to impose on options	Situational analysis; Setting the criteria of appropriateness	Openmindedness; Intellectual empathy and humility
Deliberately weigh options against criteria and select preferred options	Reasoning	Intellectual autonomy and courage; Confidence in reasoning
Design the implementation plan and consider methodological details of preferred options	Design thinking	Disposition toward designing an executive plan
Predict the consequences of preferred options and acknowledge different outcomes of preferred options	Future thinking; Anticipate outcomes; Predict consequences	Intellectual courage
Seek consensus based on a coherent and dialogical argument on a controversial, contemporary topic	Dialogue; Seeking consensus	Disposition toward constructive dialogue and seeking consensus
Critical reflection over the whole process and self-regulate whenever is necessary	Critical reflection; Selfregulation	Disposition toward critical reflection and selfregulation

Table 1. The Critical Thinking Process by Its Skills and Dispositions

Abbreviation: CT, critical thinking.

(10). In this regard, the importance of one's attitudinal dispositions in the CT process is highlighted in the later conceptualizations of CT by philosophers (11, 13, 14) and cognitive psychologists (8). In addition, based on the feminist school of thought, the skill-based approach to CT is considered a logo of the Western and masculine mode of thinking that does not include feminine non-analytical, imaginative, caring, and empathetic modes of thinking (35, 36).

Despite the dominance of the skill-based approach over the discourse of the CT process, attempts have been made to add elements derived from the evolved schools of thought in the CT process path. In this manner, Ennis (13, 37) points out the role of situation and context to some extent. He also pays attention to the role of overview and revision of the thinking process in the last step of the CT process, indicating the role of metacognition. In line with Ennis, Facione, and Gittens (26) highlight "scrutinizing" in the CT process, abbreviated as "IDEAS,", which confirms the role of reflection and internal control in advancing the process of thinking (I, identify the problem and set priorities; D, determine relevant information and deepen understanding; E, enumerate options and anticipate consequences; A, assess the situation and make a preliminary decision; S, scrutinize the process and self-correct as needed). The CT process was presented by Hitchcock in 2018 while implicitly mentioning some elements derived from humanistic approaches, such as the role of "imagination" and "counseling" in the process of CT; however, he did not highlight the key role of "context." He also pointed out the achievement of a specific result and solution as the consequence of the process, which is contrary to the concepts of uncertainty and pluralism in the understanding of CT (7).

In conclusion, the incorporation of various perceptions of CT into its process to promote strong critical problem-solving in learners seems to be considered by medical educators. Accordingly, this study outlined a welldefined systematic procedure for the CT process, highlighting the role of CT skills and dispositions in the thinking path. In addition, the key role of the context (in which the problem has occurred), the critical thinker's subjectivity, control over the thinking process, and the discourseoriented nature of the procedure should not be neglected.

Formulation of the CT process, based on its multiple conceptualizations, is one of the most important strengths of this study, leading to a rich understanding of the CT process. As a weakness of this study, the limitation of the literature search to the English and Persian databases may result in some articles being overlooked.

5. Summary

The necessity to define CT based on its multiple conceptualizations to achieve strong thinking in learners, facing medical educators intending to teach CT with a difficult task. Guiding the thinking path of students through a well-defined process could partly address the hectic task of developing CT in the learners, which in turn, enables health profession students to respond successfully to complicated issues during their careers.

The CT process, in view of the multiplicity derived from paradigms and disciplines, is in response to a complex problem, not solely based on thinking skills but also the essential role of the critical thinker's mental habits and dispositions toward CT is taken into consideration. Also, the critical thinker's creativity, emotions, and metacognition over the thinking process should not be neglected in the CT process. Furthermore, it should be noted that contextual requirements, social construction of meaning, flexibility, and discourse-oriented ness during the process, repetition of some steps, and the round-trip between different stages are of key importance in the CT process. The CT process, in terms of the incorporation of the aforementioned elements into it, was outlined in this study.

Acknowledgments

The research team acknowledged Dr. Soleiman Ahmady, Dr. Fakhrossadat Hosseini, Dr. Mohammadreza Hosseinzadeh and Dr. Forouzan Karimi from the Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, for their valuable scientific consultations.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: N.G. conceived and designed the study and drafted the manuscript. In addition, she actively participated in data acquisition, analysis, and interpretation and critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. S. Y. conceived and designed the study

and critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. He was the main supervisor of the study. Z. K. participated in data acquisition, analysis, and interpretation and critically revised the manuscript for important intellectual content. She was the second supervisor of the study.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare no competing interest.

Ethical Approval: This study was approved by the Research Ethics Committees of the School of Medical Education affiliated with Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences in Tehran, Iran, with the code of IR.SBMU.SME.REC.1398.078.

Funding/Support: The research received no funding.

References

- Institute of Medicine (US) Committee on the Health Professions Education Summit. Greiner AC, Knebel E, editors. *Health Professions Education: A Bridge to Quality*. Washington DC, USA: National Academies Press; 2003.
- O'Sullivan PS, Blevins-Stephens WL, Smith FM, Vaughan-Wrobel B. Addressing the National League for Nursing critical-thinking outcome. Nurse Educ. 1997;22(1):23–9. [PubMed ID: 9069939]. https://doi.org/10.1097/00006223-199701000-00008.
- Swing SR. The ACGME outcome project: retrospective and prospective. *Med Teach*. 2007;29(7):648–54. [PubMed ID: 18236251]. https://doi.org/10.1080/01421590701392903.
- Croskerry P. Cognitive forcing strategies in clinical decisionmaking. Ann Emerg Med. 2003;41(1):110–20. [PubMed ID: 12514691]. https://doi.org/10.1067/mem.2003.22.
- 5. Hare W. Critical thinking as an aim of education. In: Marples R, editor. *The Aims of Education*. London and New York: Routledge; 1999. p. 85–99.
- Graber ML, Franklin N, Gordon R. Diagnostic error in internal medicine. Arch Intern Med. 2005;165(13):1493–9. [PubMed ID: 16009864]. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.165.13.1493.
- Hitchcock D. Critical Thinking: A Guide to Evaluating Information. 30. Methuen; 2018. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53562-3.
- Halpern DF. Thought and knowledge: An introduction to critical thinking. Psychology Press; 2013.
- Sohrabi S, Ahmady S, Khoshgoftar Z. Student Support, the Missing Link of Virtual Learning in the Era of COVID-19. J Med Educ. 2022;21(1). https://doi.org/10.5812/jme-127559.
- Kahlke R, White J. Critical Thinking in Health Sciences Education: Considering "Three Waves". Creative Education. 2013;4(12):21–9. https://doi.org/10.4236/ce.2013.412A1004.
- 11. Lipman M. Thinking in education. Cambridge University Press; 2003.
- 12. Thayer-Bacon BJ. Transforming critical thinking: Thinking constructively. Teachers College Press; 2000.
- Ennis RH. Critical Thinking Dispositions: Their Nature and Assessability. Informal Logic. 1996;18(2). https://doi.org/10.22329/il.v18i2.2378.
- 14. Paul R, Elder L, Bartell T. A brief history of the idea of critical thinking. The Foundation for Critical Thinking; 1997.
- Prime L. Remapping Critical Thinking Theory: A Critique of Richard Paul's Model of Critical Thinking. University of Massachusetts Boston; 1998.
- McLaren P. Foreword: Critical thinking as a political project. In: Walters KS, editor. *Re-thinking reason: New perspectives on critical thinking*. Albany, USA: State University of New York Press; 1994. p. 9–15.

- Walters KS. Re-thinking reason: New perspectives in critical thinking. State University of New York Press; 1994.
- Gambrill E. Critical thinking in clinical practice: Improving the quality of judgments and decisions. John Wiley & Sons; 2006.
- Kahlke R, Eva K. Constructing critical thinking in health professional education. *Perspect Med Educ*. 2018;7(3):156–65. [PubMed ID: 29619664]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6002289]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40037-018-0415-z.
- Demiris G, Oliver DP, Washington KT. Behavioral intervention research in hospice and palliative care: Building an evidence base. Academic Press; 2018.
- Ennis RH. Critical thinking: A streamlined conception. The Palgrave handbook of critical thinking in higher education. Springer; 2015. p. 31–47.
- 22. Facione PA, Sanchez CA, Facione NC, Gainen J. The disposition toward critical thinking. *J Gen Educ.* 1995;**44**(1):1–25.
- McPeck JE. Critical Thinking and Subject Specificity: A Reply to Ennis. Educ Res. 1990;19(4):10–2. https://doi.org/10.3102/0013189x019004010.
- 24. Freire P. Education for critical consciousness. Bloomsbury Publishing; 2021.
- 25. Skehan P, Foster P. Complexity, accuracy, fluency and lexis in taskbased performance: A meta-analysis of the Ealing research. In: Van Daele S, Housen A, Kuiken F, Pierrard M, Vedder I, editors. *Complexity, Fluency and Accuracy and Fluency in Second Language Use, Learning and Teaching.* Brussels, Belgium: University of Brussels Press; 2007. p. 207– 26.
- 26. Facione P, Gittens CA. Think critically. Pearson; 2015.

- Yazdani S, Abardeh MH. Clinical reasoning in medicine: a concept analysis. J Med Educ. 2017;16(3). https://doi.org/10.22037/jme.v16i3.17755.
- Yadollahi A, Yazdani S. Defining Cognitive, Higher Order Thinking, and Psychomotor Meta-Skills: Three-Curricular Content Concept Analysis. J Med Educ. 2020;19(3). https://doi.org/10.5812/jme.110334.
- 29. Dewey J. How we think. Courier Corporation; 1997.
- Lai ER. Critical thinking: A literature review. Pearson's Research Reports; 2011. 39 p.
- Glaser E. An Experience in the Development of Critical Thinking. Advanced School of Education at Teacher's College, Columbia University; 1941.
- 32. Ennis RH. A concept of critical thinking. *Harvard Educ Rev.* 1962;**32**(1):81-111.
- Siegel H. Educating Reason: Critical Thinking, Informal logic, and the Philosophy of Education. *Informal Logic*. 1985;7(2). https://doi.org/10.22329/il.v7i2.2706.
- Halpern DF. Teaching critical thinking for transfer across domains. Dispositions, skills, structure training, and metacognitive monitoring. Am Psychol. 1998;53(4):449–55. [PubMed ID: 9572008]. https://doi.org/10.1037//0003-066x.53.4.449.
- 35. Noddings N. Caring: A relational approach to ethics and moral education. Univ of California Press; 2013.
- Hayman J. Unit 2-Part 2-A Feminist Perspective on Critical Thinking. Thinking, Reasoning, Relating. Open Library; 2019.
- Azar NG, Yazdani S, Khoshgoftar Z. Critical thinking process through the lens of paradigms and disciplines: A critical review. *Int J Health Sci.* 2021:856–65. https://doi.org/10.53730/ijhs.v5nS2.13891.