Published online: 2024 September 8.

Research Article

The Prevalence of Metabolic Syndrome After Cesarean and Natural Delivery in Southwest Iran, 2021-2022

Marzieh Hadavi (1)¹, Pegah Hassanpour², Aliashraf Mozafari (1)^{1,2}, Ali Khorshidi³, Hojjat Sayyadi⁴, Maryam Kheiry (1)^{4,*}

¹ Psychosocial Injuries Research Center, Ilam University of medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran

² Department of Epidemiology, Faculty of Health, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran

³ Health and Environment Research Center, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran

⁴ Non-Communicable Diseases Research Center, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran

corresponding author: Non-Communicable Diseases Research Center, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran. Email: m.kheiry@yahoo.com

Received 2024 February 14; Revised 2024 July 12; Accepted 2024 August 6.

Abstract

Background: The prevalence of abdominal obesity appears to vary depending on the mode of delivery. Abdominal obesity is the most common manifestation of metabolic syndrome and plays a significant role in diagnosing metabolic disorders.

Objectives: This study aimed to determine whether the mode of childbirth is associated with metabolic syndrome (MetS).

Methods: A total of 350 women who had either an elective cesarean section (CS) or natural vaginal delivery (NVD) at least three years ago were included in this cross-sectional study. The sample was recruited from the integrated health system (Sib) affiliated with Ilam University of Medical Sciences in southwest Iran, 2020 - 2021, using cluster randomization. Metabolic syndrome was assessed according to the 2005 revised NCEP ATP III criteria. Descriptive data were presented as means (standard deviations, SD) or frequencies (%). A *t*-test was used for comparison between groups, and logistic regression analysis was employed to estimate odds ratios (ORs).

Results: Metabolic syndrome was found in 18.29% of women in the NVD group and 30.29% in the elective CS group. Multivariate logistic analysis revealed that breastfeeding duration (P < 0.001) and NVD (P = 0.04) were significantly associated with a decreased likelihood of MetS among mothers. Each additional month of breastfeeding was shown to reduce the likelihood of MetS by 30%. The results also demonstrated that NVD had a protective effect against MetS (Adjusted Odds Ratio (OR): 0.57, 95% CI: 0.34 - 0.97, P = 0.04) after adjusting for age and breastfeeding duration.

Conclusions: Women with a history of CS were found to have a higher prevalence of MetS than those in the NVD group. This suggests that the mode of delivery may play a role in the development of MetS after childbirth. Therefore, obstetricians and midwives should consider the potential risk of disorders such as MetS when deciding on elective cesarean sections.

Keywords: Natural Vaginal Delivery, Cesarean Section, Metabolic Syndrome

1. Background

Metabolic syndrome (MetS) refers to a continuum of physiological, biochemical, clinical, and metabolic factors (1) and is a multifactorial condition characterized by abdominal obesity, elevated glucose levels, hypertension, and altered lipid metabolism (2). The underlying pathophysiology of MetS is complex and not fully understood, involving a combination of genetic predispositions, advancing age, unhealthy lifestyles, and excessive calorie intake (3). Obesity plays a central role in the development of MetS (4). The global prevalence of MetS varies significantly, ranging from 12.5% to 31.4%, depending on the diagnostic criteria used (5). A recent study in Iran reported that the prevalence of MetS among women is approximately 34% (6). The worldwide rise in obesity has contributed to an increase in both the incidence and earlier onset of MetS (7).

There are several definitions of MetS, but the most widely accepted is that of the adult treatment panel III (ATP-III). According to ATP-III, a diagnosis of MetS requires the presence of at least three of the following five criteria: Abdominal obesity (waist circumference > 102 cm in men and > 88 cm in women), hypertension (>

Copyright © 2024, Hadavi et al. This open-access article is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which allows for unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is properly cited.

130/85 mmHg), dysglycemia (fasting blood sugar > 110 mg/dL), hypertriglyceridemia (> 150 mg/dL), and low HDL levels (< 40 mg/dL in men and < 50 mg/dL in women) (8).

Mothers play a crucial role in societal health, and the method of childbirth has long been considered a key indicator of social health (9). In recent decades, the rate of cesarean section deliveries has risen dramatically, exceeding recommended norms and accounting for 43% of all births in some countries (10). Cesarean delivery is associated with certain maternal and neonatal complications (11, 12). In parallel with the increasing rate of cesarean sections, there has been a rise in obesity and immune-related disorders, such as type 1 diabetes, allergies, and celiac disease (13-15).

According to clinical observations, abdominal obesity appears to be more prevalent in women with a history of cesarean section (CS) (16). A growing body of evidence suggests that maternal obesity may independently increase the risk of undergoing a CS delivery (6, 17, 18). One possible explanation for the development of metabolic syndrome (MetS) following cesarean delivery is the increase in abdominal obesity. Research has shown that visceral and intra-abdominal fat, unlike subcutaneous fat, is linked to inflammation. The accumulation of abdominal fat can lead to insulin resistance and a higher concentration of toxic free fatty acids in the portal circulation, which can contribute to the development of MetS. Conditions such as hypertension, pre-diabetes, diabetes, and cardiovascular events, all of which are criteria for MetS, are associated with abdominal obesity (19-21).

2. Objectives

However, to the best of our knowledge, no comprehensive study has been conducted on this specific topic. Given the importance of maternal health, the rising prevalence of MetS in society, and the role of obesity as a key determinant, this study aimed to determine the prevalence of MetS in women with a history of either natural vaginal delivery or cesarean section.

3. Methods

This cross-sectional study was conducted using data registered in the Sib database at Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Iran, in 2020 - 2021. Ethical approval was granted by the Ilam University of Medical Sciences Ethics Committee (IR.MEDILAM.REC.1399.110).

Women with a history of either CS or natural childbirth, who visited health clinics in Ilam city

between February 20, 2020, and February 20, 2021, were included in the study. A list of 11 health clinics in Ilam city was created, and three clinics were selected through simple random sampling. The number of women covered by each clinic was then determined, and the sample size was estimated by calculating the sample weight based on the population covered by each clinic. Simple random sampling was employed to recruit women from the selected health clinics. The sample size was determined using a prevalence rate of MetS in Iranian women, with P = 35%, α = 0.05, and d = 0.1, resulting in the selection of 350 eligible women through multistage sampling.

3.1. Inclusion Criteria

Women aged 30 - 40, with a history of two births (either natural or cesarean section), and for whom at least three years had passed since their last birth, were included in the study.

3.2. Exclusion Criteria

Women whose information in the Sib database was incomplete, those with a history of multiple births, women with a history of MetS before their first or second pregnancy, and those with a history of gestational diabetes mellitus (GDM) or any other chronic diseases (renal, rheumatological, etc.) were excluded from the study.

Data collected from the Sib database included demographic information, the number of visits, services provided to the mother, breastfeeding history, prior pregnancies, and clinical and paraclinical information. These were gathered using a researcher-developed checklist. In this study, MetS was diagnosed according to the NCEP ATP III criteria (22). According to these criteria (23), a diagnosis of Metabolic Syndrome requires the presence of any three of the following five factors: Abdominal obesity, hypertriglyceridemia, low HDL cholesterol, elevated blood pressure, or impaired fasting glucose.

3.3. Statistical Analysis

Quantitative variables were expressed as means and standard deviations, while qualitative variables were presented as absolute and relative frequencies. A *t*-test was employed to assess the significance of differences between the NVD and elective CS groups in predicting MetS outcomes. Logistic regression models were used to identify factors associated with the probability of having MetS. Odds ratios (OR) and 95% confidence

Table 1. Demographic and Clinical Variables in the Natural Vaginal Delivery and Cesarean Section Groups ^{a, b}						
Characteristics	NVD; (N = 175)	CS Delivery; (N = 175)	P-Value;(<i>t</i> -Test)			
Age (y)	35.02 ± 3.01	35.31±2.90	0.36			
BMI, (kg/m ²)	26.16 ± 4.47	28.27±4.38	< 0.001 ^C			
Breastfeeding (mo)	11.77 ± 3.12	10.83 ± 2.28	0.001 ^c			
Systolic BP, mmHg	111.67 ± 10.40	111.09 ± 9.20	0.58			
Diastolic BP, mmHg	71.61 ± 8.83	73.61 ± 6.88	0.02 ^c			
Triglyceride, mgdL	126.05 ± 21.27	135.91±24.69	< 0.001 ^c			
Cholesterol, mgdL	172.04 ± 20.12	181.70 ± 14.24	< 0.001 ^C			
HDL, mgdl	47.13 ± 10.63	47.54 ± 8.38	0.69			
FBS, mgdl	82.78 ± 9.78	84.90 ± 8.66	0.03 ^c			
HbA1c (%)	4.07 ± 2.78	3.88 ± 0.39	0.75			
A_2hpp, mgdL	115.48 ± 17.33	117.59 ± 16.19	0.24			
Waist size, cm	86.57±7.81	91.75 ± 9.39	< 0.001 ^c			

Abbreviations: BMI, Body Mass Index; BP, blood pressure; HDL, high density lipoprotein; FBS, fasting blood sugar; HbA1c, glycated hemoglobin; A_2hpp, 2-hour postprandial glucose

^a Values are expressed as mean ± SD.

^b Quantitative variables were compared between two groups using the Student's *t*-test.

^c P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

intervals (CI) were calculated based on the logistic regression analysis results. Statistical analyses were conducted using STATA 12 software, with a statistical significance level set at P < 0.05.

4. Results

In this study, 350 women with a mean age of 35.2 ± 2.95 years were assessed, divided into two groups: NVD (n = 175) and CS delivery (n = 175). Metabolic syndrome (MetS) was identified in 53 women (30.3%) in the CS group and 32 women (18.3%) in the NVD group. As shown in Table 1, significant differences were observed between the CS and NVD groups regarding BMI, waist circumference, fasting blood sugar (FBS), breastfeeding duration, and lipid levels. Other descriptive variables are summarized in Table 1. Women without MetS had a longer breastfeeding duration compared to those with MetS (Table 2).

Univariate analysis indicated that breastfeeding (P < 0.001) and NVD (P = 0.009) were associated with a reduced risk of MetS (P < 0.05) (Table 2). The odds ratio (OR) of developing MetS was nearly twice as high in women undergoing CS compared to those with NVD (OR = 1.94, 95% CI: 1.18 - 3.20, P = 0.009). Each year increase in breastfeeding duration decreased the likelihood of MetS by approximately 30% (Table 2 and Figure 1).

In the final regression model, adjusted for breastfeeding duration and age, the type of delivery was significantly associated with the risk of MetS. Women in the NVD group had a 33% lower likelihood of developing MetS compared to those in the CS group (OR = 0.57, 95% CI: 0.34 - 0.97, P = 0.04), confirming that NVD served as a protective factor against MetS (P = 0.04) (Table 2). Figure 2 shows that while the prevalence of MetS was higher in the CS group than in the NVD group, this difference was modulated by an increase in breastfeeding duration. Our findings demonstrated that for each additional month of breastfeeding, the odds of developing MetS decreased by 29% (OR = 0.71, 95% CI: 0.64 - 0.80, P < 0.001). Notably, none of the mothers who breastfeed for more than 20 months were diagnosed with MetS, regardless of whether they had NVD or CS delivery.

5. Discussion

In the present study, we aimed to compare the prevalence of MetS in women with a history of CS or NVD. The study of metabolic syndrome and the factors influencing it after childbirth is of great importance given the rising prevalence of obesity and metabolic syndrome in women (24). In this study, 30% of mothers who underwent CS and 18% of mothers with a history of NVD were identified with MetS. Studies have revealed that an increase in maternal BMI is also linked to a rise in emergency CS delivery rates (17, 25-27). Research has indicated that CS is associated with slight increases in blood pressure, BMI, and fat mass, but not with other metabolic risk factors (28). Metabolic syndrome is connected to various reproductive factors, such as the

Variables	Metaboli	Metabolic Syndrome		b r r r	Adjusted OB (05% CI)	
	Yes (n = 85)	No (n = 265)	Ciude OK (95% Ci)	P-value "	Aujusteu Ok (95% CI)	P-Value °
Age (y)	35.22 ± 3.18	35.14 ± 2.88	$1.01 \pm 0.93 - 1.10$	0.83	-	-
Breastfeeding, (mo)	9.68 ± 2.37	11.82 ± 2.69	$0.71 \pm 0.64 - 0.80$	< 0.001	$0.71 \pm 0.64 - 0.80$	< 0.001
Mode of delivery						
CS delivery	53 (30.29)	122 (69.71)	1 ^c	-	1	-
NVD	32 (18.29)	143 (81.71)	0.52 (0.31 - 0.85)	0.009	0.57 (0.34 - 0.97)	0.04

Abbreviations: NVD, natural vaginal delivery; OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

^aValues are expressed as mean \pm SD.

^b The significance level was considered as 0.05.

^c Reference category.

^d P Value for crude OR.

^e P Value for adjusted OR.



Figure 1. Predicting the probability of metabolic syndrome based on the duration of breastfeeding

onset of menarche, the number of births, and the age at first birth (29). However, to our knowledge, this is the first study to investigate the relationship between mode of delivery and metabolic syndrome in women.

The OR of developing MetS in women with a history of CS was nearly double that of mothers who had NVD. Specifically, the OR for developing MetS in women with NVD was found to be 0.57, indicating a 33% lower likelihood of MetS in these women compared to those who underwent CS. This finding suggests that NVD may be a protective factor against MetS. Despite this result, we did not find any studies directly linking metabolic syndrome to mode of delivery. Abdominal obesity following cesarean delivery is one factor that may explain the higher rate of MetS among women who delivered via this method. Studies indicate that visceral and intra-abdominal fat, unlike subcutaneous fat, can promote inflammation. Additionally, abdominal fat has



Figure 2. Predicting the probability of metabolic syndrome for delivery type based on the duration of breastfeeding (Adjusted predictions with 95% confidence interval)

been linked with insulin resistance, leading to a higher concentration of toxic free fatty acids in the portal blood flow, which predisposes women to metabolic syndrome (16, 17, 19). Abdominal obesity is also associated with an increased risk of hypertension, pre-diabetes, diabetes, and cardiovascular events, all of which are risk factors for metabolic syndrome (20, 21).

Mothers who had NVD and did not have MetS were found to have a longer duration of breastfeeding. Our findings align with a cross-sectional study conducted in Poland, which indicated that women who breastfed had a lower rate of MetS (30). Similarly, the Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study demonstrated that women may be more protected against MetS if they breastfeed for up to 12 months (31). However, another study conducted three years postpartum found no dose-response relationship between the duration of lactation and MetS (32). These varying results may be attributed to factors such as selection bias, differences in study timeframes, declines in breastfeeding rates, or unmeasured biomarkers in the studies.

Univariate analysis in our study revealed that both breastfeeding and NVD were strongly associated with a

reduced risk of MetS. Specifically, the duration of breastfeeding was significantly linked to a decreased likelihood of MetS, with each additional year of breastfeeding lowering the risk by nearly 30%. The prevalence of MetS was higher among women with a history of CS delivery compared to those with NVD. Importantly, we found that the difference in MetS prevalence between the two delivery methods diminished with an increase in breastfeeding duration. Notably, mothers who breastfed for more than 20 months in either group had zero prevalence of MetS.

Additionally, other studies have reported that breastfeeding has a protective effect against the development of MetS after delivery, with breastfeeding for 1 to 1.5 years significantly reducing the risk (31). Experimental research has also indicated that not breastfeeding may be associated with weight gain (33), obesity (34), and MetS (35) postpartum.

Altogether, women with a history of cesarean section are advised to follow healthcare guidance and undergo appropriate preventive measures to reduce the risk of abdominal obesity and MetS. Our study has several limitations. First, its crosssectional design limits the ability to infer causal relationships. Secondly, while we found an association between metabolic syndrome and mode of delivery, it is important to determine whether this relationship is causal or influenced by confounding factors. Third, the small sample size necessitates caution when interpreting the results.

5.1. Conclusion

This study found that women who had undergone cesarean section were more likely to develop metabolic syndrome compared to those who had natural vaginal delivery (NVD). Since we did not assess or compare certain confounding variables, such as BMI, waist circumference, and lipid levels between the NVD and CS groups at the time of delivery, we cannot rule out their influence on the results. Therefore, we cannot definitively conclude that mode of delivery is a direct predictor of MetS. Additionally, our findings suggest that increased breastfeeding duration and the choice of NVD are associated with a lower likelihood of MetS. As a result, obstetricians and midwives should consider the potential risk of MetS when deciding whether to perform elective CS. Moreover, planning to reduce the rate of unnecessary cesarean sections is recommended.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to express their gratitude to the Clinical Research Development Unit, Razi Educational, Therapeutic and Research Center, Ilam University of Medical Sciences, Ilam, Iran.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Marzieh Hadavi and Pegah Hassanpour: Conceived and designed the evaluation, drafted the manuscript, and participated in designing the evaluation. Aliashraf Mozafari and Hojjat Sayyadi: Performed parts of the statistical analysis and helped to draft the Manuscript. Ali Khorshidi, Maryam Kheiry and Pegah Hassanpour: Re-evaluated the clinical data and revised the manuscript. Marzieh Hadavi, Aliashraf Mozafari, and Maryam Kheiry: Collected manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interests Statement: The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Data Availability: The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after publication.

Ethical Approval: The Ethical clearance was approved by Ilam University of Medical Sciences Ethics Committee (IR.MEDILAM.REC.1399.110).

Funding/Support: There is no funding/support.

References

- 1. McCracken E, Monaghan M, Sreenivasan S. Pathophysiology of the metabolic syndrome. *Clin Dermatol.* 2018;**36**(1):14-20. [PubMed ID: 29241747]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clindermatol.2017.09.004.
- Ra JS, Kim SO. Beneficial effects of breastfeeding on the prevention of metabolic syndrome among postmenopausal women. *Asian Nurs Res* (*Korean Soc Nurs Sci*). 2020;14(3):173-7. [PubMed ID: 32673757]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.anr.2020.07.003.
- Saklayen MG. The global epidemic of the metabolic syndrome. *Curr* Hypertens Rep. 2018;20(2):12. [PubMed ID: 29480368]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5866840]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11906-018-0812-z.
- Scheidl TB, Brightwell AL, Easson SH, Thompson JA. Maternal obesity and programming of metabolic syndrome in the offspring: Searching for mechanisms in the adipocyte progenitor pool. *BMC Med.* 2023;21(1):50. [PubMed ID: 36782211]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9924890]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-023-02730-z.
- Adil SO, Musa KI, Uddin F, Khan A, Khan I, Shakeel A, et al. Prevalence of undiagnosed metabolic syndrome using three different definitions and identifying associated risk factors among apparently healthy adults in Karachi, Pakistan: A cross-sectional survey in the year 2022. Arch Public Health. 2024;82(1):22. [PubMed ID: 38378657]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10877913]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13690-024-01250-3.
- Fatahi A, Doosti-Irani A, Cheraghi Z. Prevalence and incidence of metabolic syndrome in iran: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int J Prev Med*. 2020;**11**:64. [PubMed ID: 32577194]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7297433]. https://doi.org/10.4103/ijpvm.IJPVM_489_18.
- Puhkala J, Kinnunen TI, Vasankari T, Kukkonen-Harjula K, Raitanen J, Luoto R. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome one year after delivery in Finnish women at increased risk for gestational diabetes mellitus during pregnancy. *J Pregnancy*. 2013;2013:139049. [PubMed ID: 23577256]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3616344]. https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/139049.
- Grundy SM, Cleeman JI, Daniels SR, Donato KA, Eckel RH, Franklin BA, et al. Diagnosis and management of the metabolic syndrome: An american heart association/national heart, lung, and blood institute scientific statement. *Circulation*. 2005;**112**(17):2735-52. [PubMed ID: 16157765]. https://doi.org/10.1161/CIRCULATIONAHA.105.169404.
- Omani-Samani R, Mohammadi M, Almasi-Hashiani A, Maroufizadeh S. Cesarean section and socioeconomic status in Tehran, Iran. J Res Health Sci. 2017;17(4):394. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7189948].
- Betran AP, Ye J, Moller AB, Zhang J, Gulmezoglu AM, Torloni MR. The increasing trend in caesarean section rates: Global, Regional and National Estimates: 1990-2014. *PLoS One.* 2016;**11**(2). e0148343.
 [PubMed ID: 26849801]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4743929]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0148343.
- Larsson C, Djuvfelt E, Lindam A, Tunon K, Nordin P. Surgical complications after caesarean section: A population-based cohort study. *PLoS One.* 2021;**16**(10). e0258222. [PubMed ID: 34610046]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8491947]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0258222.

- Storksen HT, Garthus-Niegel S, Adams SS, Vangen S, Eberhard-Gran M. Fear of childbirth and elective caesarean section: A population-based study. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2015;15:221. [PubMed ID: 26382746]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4573308]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-015-0655-4.
- Barros AJ, Santos LP, Wehrmeister F, Motta JV, Matijasevich A, Santos IS, et al. Caesarean section and adiposity at 6, 18 and 30 years of age: Results from three pelotas (Brazil) birth cohorts. *BMC Public Health*. 2017;**17**(1):256. [PubMed ID: 28292278]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5351260]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-017-4165-3.
- Phillips J, Gill N, Sikdar K, Penney S, Newhook LA. History of cesarean section associated with childhood onset of T1DM in Newfoundland and Labrador, Canada. J Environ Public Health. 2012;2012:635097.
 [PubMed ID: 22829848]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3399344]. https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/635097.
- Decker E, Hornef M, Stockinger S. Cesarean delivery is associated with celiac disease but not inflammatory bowel disease in children. *Gut Microbes.* 2011;2(2):91-8. [PubMed ID: 21637025]. https://doi.org/10.4161/gmic.2.2.15414.
- Al-Kubaisy W, Al-Rubaey M, Al-Naggar RA, Karim B, Mohd Noor NA. Maternal obesity and its relation with the cesarean section: A hospital based cross sectional study in Iraq. *BMC Pregnancy Childbirth*. 2014;14:235. [PubMed ID: 25034025]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4223585]. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2393-14-235.
- Angeliki A, Dimitrios P, Chara T. Maternal obesity and its association with the mode of delivery and the neonatal outcome in induced labour: Implications for midwifery practice. *Eur J Midwifery*. 2020;2:4. eng. [PubMed ID: 33537565]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7839088]. https://doi.org/10.18332/ejm/85792.
- McIntyre HD, Gibbons KS, Flenady VJ, Callaway LK. Overweight and obesity in Australian mothers: Epidemic or endemic? *Med J Aust.* 2012;**196**(3):184-8. [PubMed ID: 22339524]. https://doi.org/10.5694/mja11.11120.
- Despres JP, Lemieux I, Bergeron J, Pibarot P, Mathieu P, Larose E, et al. Abdominal obesity and the metabolic syndrome: Contribution to global cardiometabolic risk. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol.* 2008;**28**(6):1039-49. [PubMed ID: 18356555]. https://doi.org/10.1161/ATVBAHA.107.159228.
- Hirani V, Zaninotto P, Primatesta P. Generalised and abdominal obesity and risk of diabetes, hypertension and hypertensiondiabetes co-morbidity in England. *Public Health Nutr.* 2008;11(5):521-7. [PubMed ID: 17767799]. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1368980007000845.
- St-Pierre J, Lemieux J, Vohl MC, Perron P, Tremblay G, Despres JP, et al. Contribution of abdominal obesity and hypertriglyceridemia to impaired fasting glucose and coronary artery disease. *Am J Cardiol.* 2002;**90**(1):15-8. [PubMed ID: 12088772]. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9149(02)02378-0.
- 22. Eckel RH, Grundy SM, Zimmet PZ. The metabolic syndrome. *Lancet.* 2005;**365**(9468):1415-28. [PubMed ID: 15836891]. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(05)66378-7.
- Moy FM, Bulgiba A. The modified NCEP ATP III criteria maybe better than the IDF criteria in diagnosing Metabolic Syndrome among Malays in Kuala Lumpur. *BMC Public Health*. 2010;**10**:678. [PubMed ID: 21054885]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2989964]. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-10-678.
- 24. de Onis M, Blossner M, Borghi E. Global prevalence and trends of overweight and obesity among preschool children. *Am J Clin Nutr.*

2010;**92**(5):1257-64. [PubMed ID: 20861173]. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2010.29786.

- Zhou YB, Li HT, Si KY, Zhang YL, Wang LL, Liu JM. Association of elective cesarean delivery with metabolic measures in childhood: A prospective cohort study in China. Nutr Metab Cardiovasc Dis. 2019;29(8):775-82. [PubMed ID: 31151881]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.numecd.2019.04.007.
- 26. Sebire NJ, Jolly M, Harris JP, Wadsworth J, Joffe M, Beard RW, et al. Maternal obesity and pregnancy outcome: A study of 287,213 pregnancies in London. *Int J Obes Relat Metab Disord*. 2001;**25**(8):1175-82. [PubMed ID: 11477502]. https://doi.org/10.1038/sj.ijo.0801670.
- Bernardi JR, Pinheiro TV, Mueller NT, Goldani HA, Gutierrez MR, Bettiol H, et al. Cesarean delivery and metabolic risk factors in young adults: A Brazilian birth cohort study. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2015;**102**(2):295-301. [PubMed ID: 26085513]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6546227]. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.114.105205.
- Horta BL, Gigante DP, Lima RC, Barros FC, Victora CG. Birth by caesarean section and prevalence of risk factors for noncommunicable diseases in young adults: A birth cohort study. *PLoS One*. 2013;8(9). e74301. [PubMed ID: 24040224]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3767800]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0074301.
- 29. Naghipour M, Joukar F, Amini Salehi E, Hassanipour S, Mansour Ghanaei F. [The association between age at first pregnancy and number of deliveries with metabolic syndrome and its components: Results from Persian Guilan Cohort Study (PGCS)]. *Iran J Obstetrics, Gynecol Infertility*. 2022;**25**(6):1-11. Persian.
- Suliga E, Ciesla E, Gluszek-Osuch M, Lysek-Gladysinska M, Wawrzycka I, Gluszek S. Breastfeeding and prevalence of metabolic syndrome among perimenopausal women. *Nutrients*. 2020;**12**(9). [PubMed ID: 32899228]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7551428]. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12092691.
- Ramezani Tehrani F, Momenan AA, Khomami MB, Azizi F. Does lactation protect mothers against metabolic syndrome? Findings from the Tehran Lipid and Glucose Study. J Obstet Gynaecol Res. 2014;40(3):736-42. [PubMed ID: 24738118]. https://doi.org/10.1111/jog.12236.
- Stuebe AM, Kleinman K, Gillman MW, Rifas-Shiman SL, Gunderson EP, Rich-Edwards J. Duration of lactation and maternal metabolism at 3 years postpartum. J Womens Health (Larchmt). 2010;19(5):941-50. [PubMed ID: 20459331]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2924789]. https://doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2009.1660.
- Baker JL, Gamborg M, Heitmann BL, Lissner L, Sorensen TI, Rasmussen KM. Breastfeeding reduces postpartum weight retention. *Am J Clin Nutr.* 2008;88(6):1543-51. [PubMed ID: 19064514]. https://doi.org/10.3945/ajcn.2008.26379.
- Bobrow KL, Quigley MA, Green J, Reeves GK, Beral V, Million Women Study C. Persistent effects of women's parity and breastfeeding patterns on their Body Mass Index: Results from the Million Women Study. Int J Obes (Lond). 2013;37(5):712-7. [PubMed ID: 22777544]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3647235]. https://doi.org/10.1038/ijo.2012.76.
- 35. Gunderson EP, Jacobs DJ, Chiang V, Lewis CE, Feng J, Quesenberry CJ, et al. Duration of lactation and incidence of the metabolic syndrome in women of reproductive age according to gestational diabetes mellitus status: a 20-Year prospective study in CARDIA (Coronary Artery Risk Development in Young Adults). *Diabetes*. 2010;**59**(2):495-504. [PubMed ID: 19959762]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2809964]. https://doi.org/10.2337/db09-1197.