Published online 2024 February 28.

Phytochemical Profiling for Leaf, Stem, Roots, and Seeds of Withania somnifera (L.) Dunal

Manas Ranjan Sahoo^{1,*}, Ramesh Raghava Varrier¹, Balatirupura Sundari Harihara Sharma¹, Anithakumari Rajendran¹ and Bala Guru¹

¹AVN Ayurveda Formulation Pvt Ltd, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, 625004, India

Corresponding author: AVN Ayurveda Formulation Pvt Ltd, Madurai, Tamil Nadu, 625004, India. Email: manasranjansahoo09@yahoo.com

Received 2024 February 18; Accepted 2024 February 28.

Abstract

Background: Withania somnifera (L.) Dunal, belonging to the Solanaceae family and commonly known as Ashwagandha, is a traditional Ayurvedic herb renowned for its adaptogenic properties. It plays a significant role in various classical Ayurvedic formulations and proprietary medicines. Traditionally, the roots of the plant are utilized over the aerial parts, such as leaves and stems. In recent years, a global increase in the use of Ashwagandha roots has been spurred by several modern pharmacological studies supporting its benefits.

Methods: This study examined different parts of the herb, including leaves, stems, roots, and seeds, using methods like phytochemical analysis, thin layer chromatography (TLC) analysis, high-performance thin layer chromatography (HPTLC) phytochemical profiling, UV-visible spectral analysis, and ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) profiling.

Results: The findings indicated that phytosterols, triterpenoids, and alkaloids were predominantly found in the leaves and stems, with lower concentrations in the roots and seeds. Ethanol extracts were particularly rich in total flavonoids, whereas aqueous extracts contained higher levels of phenolic compounds. High-performance thin layer chromatography fingerprinting varied for each part of the herb, effectively separating pigment-based components such as chlorophyll at 366 nm in the aerial parts. The ¹H NMR spectra showed distinct metabolite profiles for each part of the plant.

Conclusions: The analytical methods proposed in this study offer enhanced quality control and evaluation for the medicinal use of *W. somnifera* roots and its aerial components.

Keywords: Withania somnifera, Quality Control, HPTLC, TLC, ¹H NMR, UV-Vis

1. Background

The medicinal herb Withania somnifera, widely known as Ashwagandha, "Indian ginseng," or "Indian winter cherry," holds a significant place in traditional Indian medicine systems such as Ayurveda. This herb, a member of the Solanaceae family, has been utilized in both standalone and polyherbal Ayurvedic formulations for treating a variety of ailments for thousands of years (1). Recognized as an adaptogenic herb, it is also consumed as a dietary supplement to enhance overall health and well-being. Ashwagandha is a key ingredient in several important traditional Ayurvedic preparations, including Ashwagandharishta, Ashwagandhaleha, and Ashwagandhachoornam (2). Traditionally, this plant has been used for its immunomodulatory, anti-stress, and

neuroprotective effects, as well as for enhancing physical strength, stamina, and cognition, and as an aphrodisiac, classifying it as a rasayana or health rejuvenator owing to its wide range of health benefits (3). Clinical trials have validated its efficacy in managing stress, anxiety, fatigue, sleep disturbances, lower back pain, strengthening bones and muscles, treating neurodegenerative diseases, improving fertility, athletic performance, and memory, as well as in conditions like Parkinson's disease and thyroid disorders (4-6). Reported pharmacological properties of Ashwagandha include anti-inflammatory, anticancer, anti-rheumatoid arthritis, chemoprotective, antidepressant, anti-stress, adaptogenic, anxiolytic, neuroprotective, nootropic, antioxidant, cardioprotective, immunomodulatory, treatment of cerebral ischemia,

Copyright © 2023, Sahoo et al. This open-access article is available under the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 (CC BY 4.0) International License (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which allows for unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that the original work is properly cited.

and antiviral activities (5-10). Major phytoconstituents identified from the plant, such as alkaloids, flavonoids, and steroidal lactones, including withaferins, withanolides, and withanolide glycosides, known as withanosides, are responsible for its diverse biological activities (11-13). Traditionally and in modern pharmacological studies, the roots are predominantly used. However, with the increasing global demand for *W. somnifera* roots, recent research has also focused on its other aerial parts, such as leaves and stems.

2. Objectives

The current study aims to apply high-performance thin layer chromatography (HPTLC) for fingerprinting, along with evaluating physicochemical parameters and conducting preliminary phytochemical analyses of various parts of *W. somnifera*, including seeds, stems, leaves, and roots. This approach is intended to detect any adulteration in the herbal raw material, ensuring better quality and safety.

3. Methods

P-anisaldehyde, sulfuric acid, acetic acid, formic acid, ethyl acetate, chloroform, and methanol of analytical grade were procured from Loba Chemicals (India), Rankem (India), and Qualigens (USA). The herbal raw materials were sourced from the local market and authenticated by the botanist, Mr. Patchaimal. A herbarium specimen was archived for future reference in the herbarium of the AVN Ayurveda Formulation, Quality Control Department, under the voucher specimen number AVN/QC/WS/05.

3.1. Preparation of Sample Solution

Five grams of each raw material, including leaves, roots, stems, and seeds, was extracted with methanol using reflux. The extracts were prepared at a concentration of 100 mg/mL in methanol for HPTLC analysis. This same extract was utilized for UV analysis and preliminary phytochemical analysis (Figure 1).

3.2. Preparation of Derivatization Reagents

The anisaldehyde-sulfuric acid reagent (AS) was prepared by combining 0.5 mL of P-anisaldehyde with 10 mL of glacial acetic acid, then adding 85 mL of methanol and gradually incorporating 5 mL of concentrated sulfuric acid. The thin layer chromatography (TLC) plate was sprayed with AS and heated at 100°C for 5 - 10 minutes until derivatized zones appeared.

Dragendorff reagent was prepared by dissolving 850 mg of bismuth nitrate in 10 mL of glacial acetic acid and 40 mL of deionized water (solution A) and dissolving 8.0 g of potassium iodide in 30 mL of water (solution B). Solutions A and B were mixed in equal proportions, and 1 mL of this mixture was combined with 2 mL of glacial acetic acid and 10 mL of deionized water to formulate the Dragendorff reagent (14).

3.3. Determination of the Extractive Value

The extractive values were assessed using ethyl alcohol and water to gauge the quantity of medium-polar and highly-polar constituents in all the raw materials. A precise amount of 4.0 grams of coarsely powdered, shade-dried material was placed in a glass-stoppered conical flask and macerated with 100 mL of solvent for 6 hours, with frequent shaking, before being left to stand for 18 hours. The extract was then filtered through the Whatman filter paper grade 100. Then, 25 mL of the filtrate was evaporated to dryness in a petri dish, dried at 105°C, and weighed. The percentage of water-soluble and alcohol-soluble extractives relative to the dried material was calculated (13-15).

3.4. Determination of Ash Value

To determine the ash value, 2 grams of dried powdered material was accurately weighed and placed in a previously ignited silica crucible. The material was gradually ignited, increasing the temperature to 450°C until it turned into white ash. The sample was cooled in a desiccator and weighed. The percentage of total ash was calculated with reference to the dried herbal drug material.

The acid-insoluble ash value was determined by boiling the previously obtained ash with 25 mL of 2 M hydrochloric acid for 5 minutes. The insoluble matter was collected on ash-less filter paper, washed with hot water, ignited, cooled in a desiccator, and weighed. The percentage of acid-insoluble material was calculated based on the dry weight of the herbal drug (13-15).



Figure 1. Different parts of Withania somnifera

3.5. Preliminary Phytochemical Analysis

Phytochemical screening of the extracts was conducted using thin-layer chromatography on precoated silica gel TLC aluminum sheets (Sigma-Aldrich 60 F_{254}) to identify and test various phytochemicals (13-15).

3.6. UV-Vis Spectrophotometer Analysis

For UV-visible spectrophotometry analysis, 100 mg of each plant material was soaked overnight in 5 mL of ethyl alcohol and filtered through the Whatman No.1 filter paper. To profile the UV-Vis spectrum of the crude *Withania somnifera* extract, the extracts were scanned over a wavelength range of 200 to 800 nm using a Shimadzu spectrophotometer, Model 1700, and the characteristic peaks were identified. The peak values for each UV-Vis spectrum were recorded.

3.7. Determination of Total Phenolic Content and Total Flavonoid Content

The total phenolic content (TPC) of different plant parts was determined by the Folin-Ciocalteu method at 765 nm after 2 hours of incubation. Total phenolic content was calculated from a calibration curve derived from standard gallic acid solutions within a 10 - 50 mg/mL concentration range. The TPC was expressed in mg of gallic acid equivalent (GAE) per gram of extract (mg GAE/g extract).

The total flavonoid content (TFC) was measured using the aluminum chloride colorimetry method. After incubating for 1 hour at room temperature, the absorbance was measured at 420 nm using a UV/VIS spectrophotometer. Quantification was based on a quercetin standard curve, and the TFC was expressed as mg of quercetin equivalents per gram of extract (mg QAE/g extract) (16).

3.8. HPTLC Analysis

The HPTLC analysis was conducted on 10 imes 10 cm HPTLC aluminum plates coated with silica gel 60 F₂₅₄ (Sigma-Aldrich) using a CAMAG HPTLC system operated by VisionCATS 3.0 software. The sample solution was applied at a rate of 150 nL/s as a 5 mm band, spaced 8.5 mm apart, and positioned 8 mm from the plate's lower edge. The plates were developed in a saturated twin-trough chamber (20 min, with filter paper) until the solvent front reached 80 mm from the bottom edge. The mobile phase consisted of chloroform, methanol, acetone, and ammonia (8:1.5:0.8:0.5). After development, the plates were derivatized with AS and heated at 100°C for 3 minutes. The plates once derivatized with Dragendorff reagent, were washed with water to reveal distinct orange zones. Scanning was performed at 200 and 254 nm in absorbance mode, with a scanning speed of 20 mm/s and slit dimensions of 3×0.2 mm.

3.9. Characterization of Secondary Metabolite by Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy

Qualitative and quantitative analyses of herbal drug extracts, including primary and secondary metabolites, can be efficiently performed using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy. Given that each herb possesses a unique set of secondary metabolites, the NMR spectra offer valuable insights into the chemistry of herbal extracts (17). The ¹H NMR spectra were recorded for alcoholic extracts of roots, leaves, stems, and seeds. For the NMR spectra, 50 mg of each extract was weighed, dissolved in 1 mL of deuterated solvent DMSO-d6 ($\delta_{\rm H}$ -2.5), and filtered into an NMR tube to eliminate any undissolved residue. The ¹H-NMR spectra were acquired using a Bruker Avance-III 600 MHz NMR spectrometer

4. Results and Discussion

4.1. Extractive Value

Extractive values serve as crucial indicators in evaluating crude drugs for the preparation of various dosage forms, such as decoctions, tablets, arishtams, and ghritams. A lower extractive value leads to a reduced yield of extracts, necessitating the use of a larger quantity of raw materials. Additionally, a lower extractive value may suggest the adulteration or mixing of the drug with other parts of raw materials or the use of exhausted raw materials. The extractive values of the ingredients are presented in Table 1. A higher water-soluble extractive value suggests that water is a more effective solvent for extracting herbal ingredients compared to ethyl alcohol, which yields fewer extracts. The comparison of extractive values indicates that the root part of the herb has higher water-soluble and alcohol-soluble extractive values compared to its other parts, such as leaves, stems, and seeds.

4.2. Ash Value

The ash value indicates the presence of inorganic substances within a drug and is a critical quality parameter. The higher the ash value, the greater the content of inorganic substances in the herbal material. An elevated ash value may indicate the adulteration, substitution, or contamination of the drug. Since the root is considered the medicinal part of the plant, its parameters are specified in the Ayurvedic pharmacopoeia, including (1) a total ash value of no more than 7.0%; (2) an acid-insoluble ash value of no more than 1.0%; and (3) an alcohol-soluble extractive value of not less than 15%. Thus, all the mentioned parameters for the root comply with pharmacopoeia standards (2). The parameters for other plant parts, such as leaves, stems, and seeds, are based on experimental data.

4.3. Phytochemical Test

The phytochemicals present in the plant are shown in Table 2. Preliminary phytochemical analyses revealed the presence of alkaloids, phenolics, flavonoids, phytosterols, and triterpenoids in both aqueous and ethanolic extracts of roots, leaves, stems, and seeds. Triterpenoids and steroids were identified as the primary constituents of leaves and stems. Alkaloids were detected in all samples except for the seeds extracted from the aqueous extract. Phytosterols and triterpenes were absent in the water extract of all samples. Tests for glycosides, triterpenes, and amino acids were negative in both alcoholic and water extracts of all samples.

4.4. UV Spectroscopic Analysis

UV-Vis spectroscopy, a simple and inexpensive technique, is useful for identifying and differentiating various phytochemicals and can be employed for the qualitative analysis of herbal drugs. Since plant extracts contain multiple ingredients, the UV-Vis spectra of the extract display several peaks. The UV-Vis spectroscopic analysis of plant extracts has been utilized as a quality control method for various herbal materials (17-20). The qualitative UV-Vis spectrum profile of the ethanolic extract is presented in Figure 2, and the absorption at different wavelengths is provided in Table 3. The qualitative UV-Vis profile showed separations at 273.50 nm and 260.00 nm with absorptions of 2.786 and 3.806 in the leaf extract and at 664.00, 355.50, 319.50, and 274.50 nm with absorptions of 0.183, 1.914, 4.000, and 3.806, respectively, in the stem extract. Additionally, 664.50, 608.00, 534.50, 502.50, 403.00, 335.50, 317.50, 298.50, 276.50, and 225.00 nm with absorptions of 0.870, 0.231, 0.269, 0.349, and 2.524 were observed in the leaf extract, and 354.50, 339.50, 322.00, 314.00, 292.50, and 262.50 nm with absorptions of 2.266, 3.612, 4.000, 4.000, 3.656, and 4.000 were noted. The highest number of peaks was observed in the leaf extract, indicating the presence of a diverse group of phytochemicals. Spectral bands beyond 500 nm were characteristic of chlorophyll-based pigments present in the plant. The prominent absorption bands in the leaf extract were related to flavonoid-type compounds, which were not as prominent in extracts from other parts of the plant. W. somnifera's UV-Vis spectra were found to be characteristic across all parts of the plant, suggesting their utility as quality control parameters for evaluating herbal drugs. These spectra can aid in assessing

Table 1. Physicochemical Parameters of Different Parts of Withania somnifera				
Parameters	Leaf	Stem	Seed	Roots
Water-soluble extractive value (%w/w)	23.35	22.96	19.04	31.21
Alcohol-soluble extractive value (%w/w)	5.48	1.98	11.77	18.5
Ash value (%w/w)	9.95	3.46	5.47	4.28
Acid-insoluble ash value (%w/w)	2.6	1.98	1.23	0.76

Table 2. Preliminary Phytochemical Analysis on Aqueous and Ethanolic Extracts of Withania somnifera^a

Compo	ounds	Chemical Tests	AE/Rt/WS	AE/Lf/WS	AE/St/WS	AE/Sd/WS	EE/Rt/WS	EE/Lf/WS	EE/St/WS	EE/Sd/WS
Alkaloids										
	Mayers test	++	+	+		++	+	+	+++	
	Wagner test	++	++	++		++	++		++	
	Dragendorff	++	++	++		++	++	+	++	
Carbol	nydrate test									
	Molish test	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	++	
Reduci	ng sugar									
	Fellings test	++	+	++		++	+	++		
Sugar										
	Benedict test	++	+	+		++	+	+		
Phenol	Phenolics									
	Ferric chloride						+	+		
	Lead acetate test	+	+	+	+	++	+	+	+++	
Flavonoids										
	Alcoholic NH4 OH	++	+	+	+++	++	+	+	+++	
Phytosterols and triterpenes										
	Libermann test					+	++	++	+	
Glycosi	ides	-	•			•		•		
Amino acids										
	Ninhydrine test									
Protein										
	Biuret test	-	-							

Abbreviations: AE, aqueous extract; EE, ethanolic extract; Rt, root; Lf, leaf; St, stem; Sd, seed; WS, Withania somnifera. ^a +, presence; -, absence; ++, present; +++, present in higher concentrations.

material variations related to identification, geographical differences, and seasonal changes (18-21).

4.5. Total Phenolic and Total Flavonoid Content

A calibration curve for gallic acid was plotted with concentrations of 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 μ g/mL. The resulting regression equation was Y = 0.0072 X + 0.0075, where Y represents the observed absorbance of an unknown sample, and X represents the concentration of the unknown sample. This equation facilitated the calculation of the phenolic content of the samples. Similarly, quercetin was utilized at concentrations of 20, 40, 60, 80, and 100 mg/mL to establish a calibration curve, yielding the regression equation Y = 0.0024 X + 0.046, where Y denotes the absorbance of the unknown sample and X the concentration of the unknown sample. The TFC

was determined using this equation in terms of quercetin equivalents. It was noted that the aqueous extracts of the plant parts contained more phenolic compounds than the corresponding alcoholic extracts. Conversely, the total flavonoids were higher in the alcoholic extracts of the plant compared to the aqueous extracts. The values for both TPC and TFC are presented in Table 4.

4.6. HPTLC Analysis

The HPTLC method was developed for phytochemical fingerprinting of various plant parts. The optimized mobile phase resulted in the good resolution under UV-254 nm, 366 nm, and visible light after derivatization. High-performance thin layer chromatography analysis revealed the presence of phytochemicals such as alkaloids, steroids, terpenoids, and phenolics. Each extract's



Figure 2. UV-Vis spectrum ethanolic extract of Withania somnifera. A, leaf extract; B, root extract; C, seed extract; D, stem extract.

fingerprint displayed a distinct chemical profile with varied bands at different Rf values, becoming more pronounced after TLC plate derivatization. One fingerprint from TLC plates derivatized with Dragendorff reagent is shown in Table 4. Under 254 nm, seed extracts displayed 5 spots at Rf values of 0.06, 0.23, 0.30, 0.50, and 0.84; leaf extracts showed 14 spots at Rf values of 0.05, 0.14, 0.17, 0.23, 0.26, 0.30, 0.39, 0.46, 0.52, 0.55, 0.60, 0.71, 0.84, 0.87, and 0.90; stem extracts displayed 13 spots at Rf values of 0.06, 0.14, 0.22, 0.25, 0.30, 0.42, 0.47, 0.51, 0.55, 0.60, 0.71, 0.84, 0.87; and roots showed eight spots at Rf values of 0.05, 0.15, 0.21, 0.28, 0.41, 0.50, 0.71, 0.84. Under 366 nm, seed extracts displayed 9 bands at Rf values of 0.04, 0.07, 0.16, 0.24, 0.27, 0.34, 0.37, 0.82, and 0.87; leaf extracts showed 12 bands at Rf values of 0.06, 0.14, 0.21, 0.24, 0.28, 0.32, 0.38, 0.51, 0.69, 0.83, 0.89, and 0.92; stem extracts displayed 13 bands at Rf values of 0.06,

0.15, 0.21, 0.24, 0.33, 0.37, 0.43, 0.51, 0.64, 0.69, 0.74, 0.83, 0.90. Both leaf and stem extracts exhibited distinct red fluorescent bands at Rf values of 0.24, 0.28, 0.92, and 0.90, respectively, characteristic of chlorophyll pigments, indicating the presence of abundant chlorophyll in the aerial parts. The red fluorescent are, characteristic of the chlorophyll pigments of plants. These pigments based zones were found to be characteristic features of the aerial parts (22). Roots displayed nine spots at Rf values of 0.06, 0.19, 0.23, 0.31, 0.36, 0.70, 0.74, 0.82, and 0.89, without red fluorescent bands, suggesting these are unique to aerial parts and can be used to detect the admixture of aerial parts with roots. The red fluorescent bands are due to the presence of chlorophyll, which is abundant in the aerial photosynthetic parts. Derivatization with anisaldehyde-sulfuric acid revealed violet, blue, and grey bands at various Rf values across all

Extracts	Wave Length	Absorbance
	661.00	0.008
Root	273.50	2.786
	260.00	3.806
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
		-
		-
	664.00	0.183
	609.00	0.060
	532.50	0.069
	355.50	1.914
	319.50	4.000
m	274.50	3.806
	-	-
	-	-
	-	-
		-
	664.50	0.870
	608.00	0.231
	534.50	0.269
	502.50	0.349
_	403.00	2.524
ſ	335.50	4.00
	317.50	4.00
	298.50	3.806
	276.50	4.000
	225.00	3.156
	663.00	0.010
Seed	354.50	2.266
	339.50	3.612
	322.00	4.000
	314.00	4.000
	292.50	3.656
	262.50	4.000
	-	-
	-	-
		-
		1

. . . .

Samples	Total Phenolic Content	Total Flavonoid Content
Aqueous extract of the root	2.20	2.46
Aqueous extract of leaf	1.31	2.75
Aqueous extract of the stem	4.16	0.11
Aqueous extract of the seed	2.48	5.17
Alcohol extract of the root	1.98	9.75
Alcohol extracts of the leaf	1.60	4.21
Alcohol extracts of the stem	3.76	10.21

0.98

8.96

Table 4. Percentage of Total Phenolic and Total Flavonoid Contents ^a

^a Values are expressed as %w/w.

Alcohol extract of the

seed

extracts. Comparatively, seeds displayed 9 bands, leaves 15 bands, stems 14 bands, and roots 9 bands at different Rf values. After derivatization with Dragendorff's reagent, seeds showed 3 spots, leaves 13 spots, stems 11 spots, and roots only 4 bands. The presence of alkaloid-containing orange zones was higher in leaves and stems than in roots and seeds, indicating a higher concentration of alkaloids in the aerial parts. Similarly, the number of triterpenoids and plant sterols was greater in the aerial parts than in the roots and seeds, with leaves and stems showing similar constituents in the HPTLC profile (Figure 3, Table 5).

4.7. Nuclear Magnetic Resonance-Based Metabolic Characterization W. somnifera Extracts

The ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy has proven to be a valuable tool for the phytochemical analysis of *W. somnifera* (22). This study employed ¹H-NMR to characterize the metabolic profiles of the roots, leaves, stems, and seeds of *W. somnifera*. The NMR spectral fingerprint is presented in Figure 4. The peak for DMSO-d6 was consistently observed at 2.50 ppm across all spectra. The NMR spectra disclosed distinct variations in metabolites among the different plant parts. For the roots, NMR signals ranged from $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.83 to 3.02 ppm, corresponding to methylene, methine, secondary, and quaternary methyl groups. Peaks at 6.67, 6.61, 6.50, 6.38, 6.25 ppm were indicative of the characteristic shifts of unsaturated protons of withanolide lactone, and signals at 5.66, 5.64, 5.39, 5.35, 5.31, 5.18, 4.91, 4.65, 4.50, 4.35,



Figure 3. High-performance thin layer chromatography (HPTLC) chromplate and chromatogram of the different extracts of Withania somnifera

f able 5. High-Performance Thin Layer Chromatography Fingerprint After Derivatization with Dragendorff Reagent			
	Rf Value	Area (AU)	
	0.06	1562.50	
	0.15	5997.90	
	0.26	5480.10	
	•		
Seed			
	-	-	
	· ·	•	
	0.06	1060.00	
	0.13	6266.70	
	0.18	1123.30	
	0.28	11609.70	
	0.30	7839.20	
	0.46	4930.80	
Leaf	0.54	3165.40	
	0.55	3351.40	
	0.59	1636.50	
	0.69	16926.60	
	0.78	1790.70	
	0.82	243.10	
	0.90	4786.80	
	0.05	173.40	
	0.14	491.60	
	0.17	111.20	
	0.25	3212.70	
	0.29	2916.80	
	0.35	2332.90	
Stem	0.39	2220.40	
	0.71	3468.10	
	0.77	850.00	
	0.83	1318.80	
	0.88	278.30	
	•	•	
	0.06	814.80	
	0.14	5570.30	
	0.30	15787.30	
	0.71	11839.00	
	•	•	
Root		•	
	-		
	-		

4.30, 4.26, 4.22, 3.91, 3.87, 3.83, 3.81 ppm were attributed to oxymethine and oxymethylene protons. Peaks around $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.10 to 6.5 corresponded to characteristic shifts of unsaturated protons at positions 2 and 3 of withanolide lactone, with peaks at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.85, 0.83, 0.86, 1.15, 1.17, 1.23, 1.49, 1.91, 2.16 associated with methyl groups and quaternary methyl groups of steroidal lactone (23, 24). These spectral signal patterns are characteristic of withanolide steroidal lactones found in the roots of *W. somnifera*.

The leaf NMR spectra exhibited a broad range of metabolites between δ 0.61 - 8.31 ppm. Resonances in the aromatic region from 7.06 - 8.31 ppm were identified for

secondary plant metabolites like flavonoids kaempferol and phenolics such as ferulic acid and chlorophyll pigments (25, 26). Signals between $\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.68 - 2.27 corresponded to methylene, methine, secondary, and quaternary methyl moieties, the signals at $\delta_{\rm H}$ 6.14, 6.11, 5.33, and 5.31 ppm, with oxymethine and oxymethylene protons observed at $\delta_{\rm H}$ -4.90, 4.54, 4.41, 4.30, 4.27, 4.23, 4.17, 4.14, 4.11, 4.08, 3.98, and 3.82 ppm, indicative of the ergostane skeleton-based C-28 steroidal lactones known as withanolides (27, 28). A similar pattern of NMR signals in the stem and leaf extracts suggested the presence of similar withanolide class compounds. Across all samples,



Figure 4. ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance data of Withania somnifera roots, stems, leaves, and seeds

the resonance of steroidal compounds in the range of 0.7 to 2.8 ppm was notably abundant, with the leaf extract exhibiting the highest diversity of metabolites (Table 6).

Table 6. ¹H NMR Spectroscopic Data of the Extracts of Different Parts of Withania somnifera

Herbal Extracts	$^{\rm 1}{\rm H}$ NMR Characteristic Signals, $\delta_{\rm H}$ (ppm) in DMSO-d6 at 600 MHz
Roots	6.67, 6.61, 6.50, 6.38, 6.25, 5.66, 5.64, 5.39, 5.35, 5.31, 5.18, 4.91, 4.65, 4.50, 4.35, 4.30, 4.26, 4.22, 3.91, 3.87, 3.83, 3.81, 3.77, 3.74, 3.71, 3.70, 3.66, 3.65, 3.63, 3.57, 3.49, 3.41, 3.38, 3.35, 3.30, 3.29, 3.26, 3.15, 3.13, 3.11, 1.09, 3.06, 3.04, 3.02, 2.93, 2.87, 2.38, 2.35, 2.31, 2.30, 2.18, 2.16, 1.92, 1.91, 1.49, 1.23, 1.17, 1.15, 0.86, 0.85, 0.83
Stem	$\begin{array}{l} 6.61, 6.23, 6.14, 6.11, 5.86, 5.83, 5.33, 5.25, 5.18, 4.90, 4.87, 4.57, \\ 4.44, 4.31, 4.17, 3.89, 3.82, 3.79, 3.75, 3.64, 3.57, 3.74, 3.64, 3.57, \\ 3.43, 3.32, 3.30, 3.28, 3.17, 3.06, 3.01, 2.92, 2.88, 2.62, 2.40, \\ 2.35, 2.27, 2.20, 2.07, 1.95, 1.91, 1.84, 1.77, 1.75, 1.70, 1.69, 1.47, \\ 1.22, 1.14, 1.09, 0.95, 0.93, 0.86, 0.83, 0.78, 0.64, 0.59. \end{array}$
Leaf	$\begin{array}{l} 8.31, 8.18, 7.10, 7.07, 6.14, 5.33, 5.31, 4.90, 4.54, 4.41, 4.30, 4.27, \\ 4.23, 4.17, 4.14, 4.11, 4.08, 3.98, 3.82, 3.77, 3.70, 3.57, 3.43, 3.30, \\ 3.24, 3.16, 3.12, 3.06, 2.93, 2.88, 2.74, 2.72, 2.68, 2.62, 2.27, \\ 2.20, 2.18, 2.16, 2.12, 1.93, 1.49, 1.47, 1.23, 1.15, 1.10, 1.05, 0.93, \\ 0.91, 0.85, 0.83, 0.78, 0.68, 0.64, 0.61. \end{array}$
Seed	7.12, 7.02, 6.98, 6.88, 6.69, 5.37, 5.35, 5.31, 5.30, 5.27, 5.18, 5.16, 4.94, 4.87, 4.66, 4.61, 4.58, 4.48, 4.45, 4.44, 4.42, 4.35, 4.27, 4.23, 3.97, 3.90, 3.87, 3.84, 3.80, 3.77, 3.69, 3.66, 3.57, 3.46, 3.44, 3.41, 3.40, 3.37, 3.34, 3.30, 3.26, 3.18, 3.14, 3.06, 2.75, 2.37, 2.29, 2.20, 2.04, 2.02, 1.99, 1.47, 1.25, 0.93, 0.89, 0.85, 0.75, 0.68, 0.61.

4.8. Conclusions

This phytochemical investigation highlighted the presence of alkaloids, phenolics, flavonoids, phytosterols, triterpenes, carbohydrates, and sugars. High-performance thin layer chromatography analysis emerged as a straightforward, fast, and effective method for quality control. This study performed a comparative analysis of different parts of W. somnifera, revealing that HPTLC fingerprints for the leaves, roots, stems, and seeds differ, enabling the differentiation of its various parts. The aerial parts (leaves and stems) exhibited a greater number of TLC bands for alkaloids, phytosterols, and triterpenoids compared to the roots and seeds. The leaves and stems displayed distinct red fluorescence zones indicative of chlorophyll-based pigments present in the aerial parts, which were missing in the roots and seeds. The NMR spectra of extracts from different parts of W. somnifera were unique, suggesting their use as an additional tool for the quality control of W. somnifera roots. The leaf extract's NMR fingerprint showed a greater chemical diversity compared to other plant parts, making proton NMR a valuable parameter for the identification, differentiation, and analysis of raw materials. This comprehensive

approach is beneficial for the quality analysis of *W. somnifera*, ensuring the superior quality of raw materials for effective herbal medicine and dietary supplements.

Acknowledgments

The authors extend their sincere gratitude to our esteemed managing director, Dr. Ramesh R. Varrier, for providing the necessary research facilities at AVN Ayurveda Formulation Pvt Ltd, Madurai, India-625004. Additionally, thanks are due to the Department of Chemistry, Gandhigram Rural University, Dindigul, Tamil Nadu, India, for NMR instrumental assistance and spectral recording.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: MRS: Concepts, design, literature search, data analysis, manuscript preparation; RRV: Concepts, definition of intellectual content, manuscript editing, manuscript review; BH: Experimental studies, data analysis; AKR: Design, data analysis, manuscript preparation, manuscript editing; BG: Manuscript editing, manuscript review.

Conflict of Interests: There are no conflicts of interest.

Data Availability: The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after publication.

Funding/Support: The authors declared no funding/support.

References

- Dar NJ, Hamid A, Ahmad M. Pharmacologic overview of Withania somnifera, the Indian Ginseng. *Cell Mol Life Sci*. 2015;72(23):4445–60. [PubMed ID: 26306935]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00018-015-2012-1.
- Government of India Ministry of Health And Family Welfare Department of Ayush. *The Ayurvedic Pharmacopoeia of India, Part-I, Vol-1.* 2010. Available from: https://www.ayurveda.hu/api/API-Vol-1.pdf.
- Singh N, Yadav SS, Rao AS, Nandal A, Kumar S, Ganaie SA, et al. Review on anticancerous therapeutic potential of Withania somnifera (L.) Dunal. J Ethnopharmacol. 2021;270:113704. [PubMed ID: 33359918]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jep.2020.113704.
- Lopresti AL, Smith SJ. Ashwagandha (Withania somnifera) for the treatment and enhancement of mental and physical conditions: A systematic review of human trials. J Herb Med. 2021;28:100434. https: //doi.org/10.1016/j.hermed.2021.100434.

- Saleem S, Muhammad G, Hussain MA, Altaf M, Bukhari SNA. Withania somnifera L.: Insights into the phytochemical profile, therapeutic potential, clinical trials, and future prospective. *Iran J Basic Med Sci.* 2020;23(12):1501–26. [PubMed ID: 33489024]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7811807]. https://doi.org/10.22038/IIJBMS.2020.44254.10378.
- Sharifi-Rad J, Quispe C, Ayatollahi SA, Kobarfard F, Staniak M, Stepień A, et al. Chemical Composition, Biological Activity, and Health-Promoting Effects of Withania somnifera for Pharma-Food Industry Applications. J Food Qual. 2021;2021:8985179. https://doi.org/ 10.1155/2021/8985179.
- Kalra R, Kaushik N. Withania somnifera (Linn.) Dunal: a review of chemical and pharmacological diversity. *Phytochem Rev.* 2017;16(5):953–87. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11101-017-9504-6.
- Freitas Misakyan MF, Wijeratne EMK, Issa ME, Xu YM, Monteillier A, Gunatilaka AAL, et al. Structure-Activity Relationships of Withanolides as Antiproliferative Agents for Multiple Myeloma: Comparison of Activity in 2D Models and a 3D Coculture Model. J Nat Prod. 2021;84(8):2321-35. [PubMed ID: 34445874]. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.1c00446.
- Salve J, Pate S, Debnath K, Langade D. Adaptogenic and Anxiolytic Effects of Ashwagandha Root Extract in Healthy Adults: A Double-blind, Randomized, Placebo-controlled Clinical Study. *Cureus*. 2019;11(12). e6466. https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.6466.
- Chandrasekhar K, Kapoor J, Anishetty S. A prospective, randomized double-blind, placebo-controlled study of safety and efficacy of a high-concentration full-spectrum extract of ashwagandha root in reducing stress and anxiety in adults. *Indian J Psychol Med.* 2012;34(3):255–62. [PubMed ID: 23439798]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3573577]. https://doi.org/10.4103/0253-7176.106022.
- Girme A, Saste G, Pawar S, Balasubramaniam AK, Musande K, Darji B, et al. Investigating 11 Withanosides and Withanolides by UHPLC-PDA and Mass Fragmentation Studies from Ashwagandha (Withania somnifera). ACS Omega. 2020;5(43):27933–43. [PubMed ID: 33163776]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7643146]. https://doi.org/10.1021/acsomega. 0c03266.
- Chen LX, He H, Qiu F. Natural withanolides: an overview. Nat Prod Rep. 2011;28(4):705–40. [PubMed ID: 21344104]. https://doi.org/10. 1039/c0np00045k.
- Glotter E, Waitman R, Lavie D. Constituents of Withania somnifera Dun. Part VIII. A new steroidal lactone, 27-deoxy-14-hydroxy-withaferin A. J Chem Soc C Organic. 1966:1765. https://doi.org/10.1039/j39660001765.
- Raaman N. Qualitative phytochemical screening. *Phytochemical Techniques*. New Delhi: New India Publishing Agency; 2006. p. 20–4.
- Harborne AJ. Phytochemical Methods: A Guide to Modern Techniques of Plant Analysis. 3rd ed. Dordrecht: Springer; 1998.
- 16. Wagner H, Bladt S. Plant Drug Analysis: A Thin Layer Chromatography Atlas. 2nd ed. New York: Springer-Verlag; 2001.

- Woisky RG, Salatino A. Analysis of propolis: some parameters and procedures for chemical quality control. *J Apic Res.* 1998;**37**(2):99–105. https://doi.org/10.1080/00218839.1998.11100961.
- Porto NM, de Barros YL, Basílio IJ, de Fátima Agra M. Microscopic and UV/Vis spectrophotometric characterization of Cissampelos pareira of Brazil and Africa. *Rev Bras Farmacogn*. 2016;**26**(2):135–46. https://doi. org/10.1016/ji.bjp.2015.10.006.
- Giridhar V. Quality control of herbal drugs through UV-Vis spectrophotometric analysis. International Journal of Ayurvedic Medicine. 2015;6(1).
- 20. Kalaichelvi K, Dhivya SM. Screening of phytoconstituents, UV-VIS Spectrum and FTIR analysis of Micrococca mercurialis (L.) Benth. *Int. J Herb Med.* 2017;**5**(6):40–4.
- Dhivya SM, Kalaichelvi K. Uv-Visible Spectroscopic and Ftir Analysis of Sarcostemma Brevistigma, Wight. And Arn. Int J Curr Pharm Res. 2017;9(3):46. https://doi.org/10.22159/ijcpr.2017.v9i3.18890.
- Kim SB, Bisson J, Friesen JB, Pauli GF, Simmler C. Selective Chlorophyll Removal Method to "Degreen" Botanical Extracts. J Nat Prod. 2020;83(6):1846–58. [PubMed ID: 32426979]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7398693]. https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.jnatprod.0c00005.
- Sidhu OP, Annarao S, Chatterjee S, Tuli R, Roy R, Khetrapal CL. Metabolic alterations of Withania somnifera (L.) dunal fruits at different developmental stages by NMR spectroscopy. *Phytochem Anal.* 2011;22(6):492–502. [PubMed ID: 21425379]. https://doi.org/10. 1002/pca.1307.
- Namdeo AG, Sharma A, Yadav KN, Gawande R, Mahadik KR, Lopez-Gresa MP, et al. Metabolic characterization of Withania somnifera from different regions of India using NMR spectroscopy. *Planta Med.* 2011;77(17):1958–64. [PubMed ID: 21728148]. https: //doi.org/10.1055/s-0031-1279997.
- Moujir LM, Llanos GG, Araujo L, Amesty A, Bazzocchi IL, Jimenez IA. Withanolide-Type Steroids from Withania aristata as Potential Anti-Leukemic Agents. *Molecules*. 2020;**25**(23). [PubMed ID: 33291428]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7731379]. https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25235744.
- Bhatia A, Bharti SK, Tewari SK, Sidhu OP, Roy R. Metabolic profiling for studying chemotype variations in Withania somnifera (L.) Dunal fruits using GC-MS and NMR spectroscopy. *Phytochemistry*. 2013;93:105–15. [PubMed ID: 23578960]. https: //doi.org/10.1016/ji.phytochem.2013.03.013.
- Tong X, Zhang H, Timmermann BN. Chlorinated Withanolides from Withania somnifera. *Phytochem Lett.* 2011;4(4):411-4. [PubMed ID: 22125584]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3223914]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.phytol.2011.04.016.
- Lee BS, Yoo MJ, Kang H, Lee SR, Kim S, Yu JS, et al. Withasomniferol D, a New Anti-Adipogenic Withanolide from the Roots of Ashwagandha (Withania somnifera). *Pharmaceuticals (Basel)*. 2021;**14**(10). [PubMed ID: 34681241]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8537031]. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph14101017.