Published online 2017 September 30.

Comparing Efficacy and Tolerability of Triamcinolone and Verapamil in Treatment of Hypertrophic Scars and Keloids

Abbas Zamanian,¹ Maryam Nokandeh,^{1,*} Elham Behrangi,¹ Zeynab Fazel,¹ and Zahra Azizian¹

¹Rasoul - e - akram Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Corresponding author: Maryam Nokandeh, Rasoul - e - akram Hospital, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: nokandeh_maryam@yahoo.com

Received 2017 July 10; Revised 2017 August 15; Accepted 2017 September 01.

Abstract

Background: Hypertrophic scars (HSC) and Keloids are fibroproliferative dermal lesions resulting in excessive accumulation of extracellular matrix in the dermis and subcutaneous tissue. Corticosteroid, especially triamcinolone acetonide, injections may be the first line treatment for the prevention and treatment of keloids and HSC. Some drugs that inhibit calcium channels, such as verapamil, have also been effective in treatment of these lesions. The present study aimed to assess and compare the efficacy of these two treatment options in patients with HSC and keloids.

Methods: The study used a randomized, single blind, parallel design to compare the effect of verapamil in comparison with triamcinolone on the healing of HSC and keloids in two groups comprising 25 patients. Patients were randomly allocated using random numbers to receive intralesional injection of 1 mL of either verapamil (2.5 mg) or triamcinolone (20 mg) every 3 weeks for 3 months. **Results:** Downward trends in all lesion parameters including height of lesion, as well as the scores for erythema, pigmentation in both groups was revealed. The change in characteristics of the lesion within the treatment was independent to patients' gender and age. Regarding drug - related complications, one case of atrophy was reported in triamcinolone group, however, not in the verapamil group.

Conclusions: Both verapamil and triamcinolone have significant therapeutic effects in decreasing the height of the lesion, improving erythema, improving the pliability of the lesion, and improving the hyperpigmentation. It seems that verapamil has less cost and side effects in comparison with triamcinolone, thus, it could be a good choice in treatment of keloids.

Keywords: Verapamil, Hypertrophic Scar, Keloid

1. Background

Hypertrophic scars (HSC) and Keloids are fibroproliferative dermal lesions resulting in excessive accumulation of extracellular matrix in the dermis and subcutaneous tissue (1). These lesions are caused by trauma, surgery, inflammation, burns, or possibly spontaneous (2). HSC lesions are fibrous, itchy, erythematous, and prominent lesions that are caused by damage to the deep dermis, remain within the ulcer zone, and often reducing size over time, while, keloids are lesions with similar characteristics that are caused by surgery, physical shock, and inflammatory reactions, usually extending beyond their primary zone and rarely recurring (3, 4). The pathogenesis of these lesions include migration and cell proliferation, inflammation, increased production of cytokines and proteins in extracellular matrix, as well as newly made from remodeling of the matrix (5, 6). The actual pathogenesis of keloids and HSC is not well defined. Generally, the pathogenesis of keloids and HSC is based on the function of fibroblast cells (7-9). The prolongation of the inflammatory process of wound healing in an infective scar, deep wound, or burn tissues may results in an exacerbated response of inflammatory cells, resulting in secretion of large amounts of cytokines such as transforming factor beta, which are fibrotic cytokines (10, 11). The excessive synthesis of collagen, fibronectin, and proteoglycans, as an extracellular matrix by fibroblasts, as well as reducing its degradation and remodeling can cause abnormal lesions such as keloids and HSC (12).

The treatment of keloids and hypertrophic scars is challenging and controversial. The first line options include the use of silicone sheets, compression therapy, and corticosteroid injections (13-15). Corticosteroid, especially triamcinolone acetonide, injections may be the first line treatment for the prevention and treatment of keloids and HSC (16). The function of corticosteroids is to inhibit the inflammatory cell migration and also to suppress the proliferation of fibroblasts, especially at high doses of the

Copyright © 2017, Journal of Skin and Stem Cell. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited drug (17). On the other hand, some drugs that inhibit calcium channels, such as verapamil, have been effective in treatment of these lesions, where the main mechanism of which is to stimulate the synthesis of pro - collagenase in keloids, HSC, and human implanted fibroblasts that finally result in depolarization of actin filaments, changes in cellular shape, and decreased fibrotic tissue production (17-21). The present study aimed to assess and compare the efficacy of these two treatment options in patients with HSC and keloids.

2. Methods

The study used a randomized, single blind, parallel design to compare the effect of verapamil with triamcinolone on the healing of HSC and keloids in two groups comprising 25 patients each. Patients who satisfied the selection criteria and gave written informed consent to participate in the study were chosen for the study. Inclusion criteria for the study included patients between the age of 8 and 60 years, at least one HSC or keloid, size of scar between 2 to 10 cm, and caused by different reasons such as burns, trauma, or surgery. The exclusion criteria used for the study were family history of keloids, dark pigmented skin, pregnancy or lactation, as well as patients with systemic illness such as diabetes mellitus, mental disorder, cancer, and cardiac disease. Patients were allocated using random numbers to receive intralesional injection of 1ml of either verapamil (2.5 mg) or triamcinolone (20 mg) every 3 weeks for 3 months. Clinical assessment of the scars was performed at the beginning of the study and 3 months after starting treatment. The drugs were administered till the scar flattened or for a maximum period of three months. The clinical assessment of the scar was based on the Vancouver Scar Scale, which is the standard scale used universally for scar assessment. This scale was slightly modified for our patients. The scale scores the scars on four parameters namely pigmentation, erythema, pliability, and height. In addition, the scar height was also measured using a centimeter scale. At each visit the patients' scars were photographed on a digital camera. The level of patients' satisfaction was also scaled as 1+ to 3+; a higher scale indicated higher level of satisfaction. The study was approved by the Ethics Committee at Iran University of Medical Sciences.

Results were presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) for quantitative variables and were summarized by absolute frequencies and percentages for categorical variables. Normality of data was analyzed using the Kolmogorov - Smirnoff test. Categorical variables were compared using Chi - square test or Fisher's exact test when more than 20% of cells with expected count of less than 5 were observed. Quantitative variables were also compared with t - test or Mann - Whitney U test. The trend of the change in study parameters was assessed by the Repeated Measure ANOVA test. For the statistical analysis, the statistical software SPSS version 16.0 for windows (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL) was used. P values of 0.05 or less were considered statistically significant.

3. Results

In total, 50 patients (25 receiving triamcinolone and 25 receiving verapamil) were assessed. There was no difference between the two groups in gender (36% and 32%, P = 0.765) and mean age (34.44 \pm 13.38 years and 31.28 \pm 11.18 years, P = 0.369). Regarding the changes in parameters of the lesions including height of lesion, as well as the scores for erythema, pigmentation, and pliability within the follow - up time, although it was revealed downward trends in all parameters in both groups, no difference was found in the trend of the changes in parameters between the two groups (Table 1). With the presence of sex and age variables as the confounders, the change in characteristics of the lesion within the treatment was independent to patients' gender and age (Table 2). The level of satisfaction was shown to be higher in the triamcinolone group than in the verapamil group (mean satisfaction score: 2.28 \pm 0.68 versus 1.88 \pm 0.60, P = 0.036), which is probably due to the use of lidocaine for diluting the drug and also for lowering the pain caused by injection. Regarding drug - related complications, one case of atrophy was reported in the triamcinolone group, however, not in the verapamil group.

4. Discussion

Various invasive therapeutic protocols and medical treatments are commonly used for treating HSC and keloids. In severe cases, the need for surgical repair is felt. Medical treatments are mainly based on the use of different types of corticosteroids or other local or systemic injection options that have been considered in recent years. Corticosteroid injections, for the prevention and treatment of keloids and hypertrophic scars, may be the first line of treatment. Corticosteroids can suppress inflammation and mitosis as well as increase vascular contraction in the scar tissue. In this regard, triamcinolone suppresses the immune responses by reducing the activity and volume of the lymphatic system, reducing the concentration of immunoglobulin and complement components, reducing the passage of immune complexes through the basement membranes and also the re - activity of the tissue against the antigen - antibody interaction. In total, this

rameters Adjusted for Sex and Age

Item		Triamcinolone	Verapamil	P Value
Heigh	t of lesion			
	First assessment	3.07 ± 1.28	3.20 ± 1.23	0.714
	Second assessment	2.20 ± 1.16	2.53 ± 1.16	0.330
	Third assessment	1.52 ± 1.11	1.94 ± 0.92	0.148
	Fourth assessment	0.95 ± 0.88	1.41 ± 0.83	0.065
Eryth	ema score			
	First assessment	2.64 ± 0.49	2.44 ± 0.71	0.253
	Second assessment	2.24 ± 0.66	2.04 ± 0.74	0.317
	Third assessment	1.68 ± 0.69	1.56 ± 0.71	0.548
	Fourth assessment	1.24 ± 0.59	1.00 ± 0.58	0.155
Pliabi	lity score			
	First assessment	2.68 ± 0.48	2.59 ± 0.59	0.570
	Second assessment	2.04 ± 0.54	2.05 ± 0.38	0.968
	Third assessment	1.48 ± 0.59	$\textbf{1.64} \pm \textbf{0.49}$	0.331
	Fourth assessment	0.88 ± 0.67	$\textbf{1.18} \pm \textbf{0.59}$	0.109
Pigme	entation score			
	First assessment	2.40 ± 0.58	2.44 ± 0.71	0.828
	Second assessment	1.72 ± 0.74	1.72 ± 0.84	0.999
	Third assessment	$\textbf{1.36}\pm\textbf{0.76}$	$\textbf{1.40}\pm\textbf{0.76}$	0.853
	Fourth assessment	0.96 ± 0.74	0.88 ± 0.60	0.675

 Table 1. The Change in Lesion Characteristics in Both Treatment Groups

drug is bound to its cytoplasmic receptors to enter the cell nucleus. This complex coupled with specific regions of DNA stimulates the transcriptional process of mRNA and subsequently generates enzymes that are believed to be responsible for inflammatory effects. The metabolism of corticosteroids is mainly found in the skin itself, however, the triamcinolone metabolism is lower than other corticosteroids, thus, its systemic absorption is relatively high. Several studies have been conducted on the effects of triamcinolone on the treatment of HSC and keloids. In particular, the use of this drug has been evaluated in combination with other methods, such as cryotherapy (22), fluorouracil (23), and radiofrequency (24). Nevertheless, the use of localized injection of triamcinolone alone was associated with significant improvements in HSC and keloids symptoms by decreasing the height, erythema, hyperpigmentation of the lesion, and increasing pliability of the lesion. More interestingly, a large proportion of patients had complete satisfaction with their treatment due to the use of lidocaine for diluting the drug and also for lowering the pain caused by injection that was not used for verapamil group. As a second therapeutic option, verapamil injection was also assessed in the treatment of HSC and keloids. In re-

Item	Mean Square	F	Sig.		
Height of lesion					
Intercept	43.666	10.115	0.003		
Sex	0.051	0.012	0.914		
Age	1.821	0.422	0.519		
Group	6.381	1.478	0.230		
Erythema score					
Intercept	32.186	24.307	0.000		
Sex	0.001	0.001	0.973		
Age	1.189	0.898	0.348		
Group	1.396	1.055	0.310		
Pliability score					
Intercept	32.854	52.032	0.000		
Sex	0.476	0.753	0.390		
Age	1.511	2.394	0.129		
Group	0.915	1.449	0.235		
Pigmentation score					
Intercept	33.740	19.915	0.000		
Sex	0.465	0.275	0.603		
Age	0.372	0.219	0.642		

Table 2. The Effect of Treatment Regimen on the Trend of the Change in Lesion Pa-

cent meta - analysis on the therapeutic effects of verapamil on HSC, it was found that, although treatment with verapamil was similar in function to other therapeutic options, the side effects and cost of the drug is less than the other (25, 26). In another study, verapamil combination therapy with silicone gel plates was used to treat HSC and keloids, resulting in significant improvements in HSC in the animal model, although results in human samples are still uncertain (27).

0.013

0.007

0.932

4.1. Conclusion

Group

In general, in our study, there was no difference in the therapeutic effect of verapamil and triamcinolone on the treatment of HSC and keloids, however, verapamil was more acceptable with fewer side effects and cost.

As a general result, both verapamil and triamcinolone have acceptable healing effects in the treatment of HSC and keloids, and have significant therapeutic effects in decreasing the height of the lesion, improving erythema, improving the pliability of the lesion, and improving the hyperpigmentation.

References

- Slemp AE, Kirschner RE. Keloids and scars: a review of keloids and scars, their pathogenesis, risk factors, and management. *Curr Opin Pediatr.* 2006;**18**(4):396–402. doi: 10.1097/01.mop.0000236389.41462.ef. [PubMed: 16914994].
- 2. Wheeland RG. Keloids and hypertrphic scars. In: Arndt KA, Robinson JK, Leboit PE, Wintroub BU, editors. *Cutaneous Medicine and Surgery*. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier; 1996. p. 900–5.
- 3. Murray JC. Keloids and hypertrophic scars. *Clin Dermatol.* 1994;12(1):27-37.
- 4. Muir IF. On the nature of keloid and hypertrophic scars. *BrJ Plast Surg.* 1990;**43**(1):61–9. [PubMed: 2310898].
- Niessen FB, Spauwen PHM, Schalkwijk J, Kon M. On the nature of hypertrophic scars and keloids: a review. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 1999;104(5):1435–58.
- Sephel GC, Woodward SC. Repair, regeneration, and fibrosis. In: Rubin E, editor. Rubin's Pathology. Baltimore: Lippincott, Williams & Wilkins; 2001. p. 84–117.
- Deitch EA, Wheelahan TM, Rose MP, Clothier J, Cotter J. Hypertrophic Burn Scars. J Trauma Inj Infect Crit Care. 1983;23(10):895–8. doi: 10.1097/00005373-198310000-00009.
- 8. Lewis WHP, Sun KKY. Hypertrophic scar: a genetic hypothesis. *Burns*. 1990;**16**(3):176-8. doi: 10.1016/0305-4179(90)90033-s.
- Murray CJ, Pinnel SR. Keloids and excessive dermal scarring. In: Cohen IK, Diegelmann RF, Lindblad WJ, editors. Woundhealing, Biochemical and Clinical Aspects. Philadelphia: Saunders Elsevier; 1992. p. 500–9.
- Bayat A, Arscott G, Ollier WER, Mc Grouther DA, Ferguson MWJ. Keloid disease: clinical relevance of single versus multiple site scars. *BrJ Plast Surg.* 2005;**58**(1):28–37. doi: 10.1016/j.bjps.2004.04.024.
- 11. Placik OJ, Lewis VJ. Immunologic associations of keloids. Surg Gynecol Obstet. 1992;175(2):185–93. [PubMed: 1636146].
- Smith CJ, Smith JC, Finn MC. The Possible Role of Mast Cells (Allergy) in the Production of Keloid and Hypertrophic Scarring. *J Burn Care Rehabil*. 1987;8(2):126–31. doi: 10.1097/00004630-198703000-00008.
- Golladay ES. Treatment of keloids by single intraoperative perilesional injection of repository steroid. *South Med J.* 1988;81(6):736–8. [PubMed: 3287640].
- 14. Goslen JB. The role of steroids in preventing scar formation. In: Thomas JR, Holt GR, editors. *Facial Scars: Incisions, Revisions, and Camouflage*. St. Louis: CV Mosby; 1989. p. 83–97.
- Atiyeh BS. Nonsurgical management of hypertrophic scars: evidence-based therapies, standard practices, and emerging methods. Aesthetic Plast Surg. 2007;31(5):468–92. discussion 493-4. doi: 10.1007/s00266-006-0253-y. [PubMed: 17576505].
- Trisliana Perdanasari A, Torresetti M, Grassetti L, Nicoli F, Zhang YX, Dashti T, et al. Intralesional injection treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids: a systematic review regarding outcomes. *Burns*

Trauma. 2015;**3**:14. doi: 10.1186/s41038-015-0015-7. [PubMed: 27574660]. [PubMed Central: PMC4964100].

- Ahuja RB, Chatterjee P. Comparative efficacy of intralesional verapamil hydrochloride and triamcinolone acetonide in hypertrophic scars and keloids. *Burns*. 2014;**40**(4):583–8. doi: 10.1016/j.burns.2013.09.029. [PubMed: 24182692].
- Margaret Shanthi FX, Ernest K, Dhanraj P. Comparison of intralesional verapamil with intralesional triamcinolone in the treatment of hypertrophic scars and keloids. *Indian J Dermatol Venereol Leprol.* 2008;74(4):343-8. [PubMed: 18797054].
- Lee RC, Doong H, Jellema AF. The response of burn scars to intralesional verapamil. Report of five cases. *Arch Surg.* 1994;**129**(1):107-11. [PubMed: 8279934].
- Boggio RF, Boggio LF, Galvao BL, Machado-Santelli GM. Topical verapamil as a scar modulator. *Aesthetic Plast Surg.* 2014;38(5):968-75. doi: 10.1007/s00266-014-0400-9. [PubMed: 25189298].
- Yang JY, Huang CY. The effect of combined steroid and calcium channel blocker injection on human hypertrophic scars in animal model: a new strategy for the treatment of hypertrophic scars. *Dermatol Surg*. 2010;**36**(12):1942–9. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-4725.2010.01759.x. [PubMed: 20973868].
- Meymandi SS, Moosazadeh M, Rezazadeh A. Comparing Two Methods of Cryotherapy and Intense Pulsed Light with Triamcinolone Injection in the Treatment of Keloid and Hypertrophic Scars: A Clinical Trial. Osong Public Health Res Perspect. 2016;7(5):313–9. doi: 10.1016/j.phrp.2016.08.005. [PubMed: 27812490]. [PubMed Central: PMC5079190].
- Ren Y, Zhou X, Wei Z, Lin W, Fan B, Feng S. Efficacy and safety of triamcinolone acetonide alone and in combination with 5-fluorouracil for treating hypertrophic scars and keloids: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Int Wound J.* 2017;14(3):480–7. doi: 10.1111/iwj.12629. [PubMed: 27427423].
- Yu S, Li H. Microplasma radiofrequency technology combined with triamcinolone improved the therapeutic effect on Chinese patients with hypertrophic scar and reduced the risk of tissue atrophy. *Ther Clin Risk Manag.* 2016;12:743–7. doi: 10.2147/TCRM.S104109. [PubMed: 27274259]. [PubMed Central: PMC4869595].
- Li Z, Jin Z. Comparative effect and safety of verapamil in keloid and hypertrophic scar treatment: a meta-analysis. *Ther Clin Risk Manag.* 2016;**12**:1635–41. doi: 10.2147/TCRM.S118748. [PubMed: 27877046]. [PubMed Central: PMC5108599].
- Wang R, Mao Y, Zhang Z, Li Z, Chen J, Cen Y. Role of verapamil in preventing and treating hypertrophic scars and keloids. *Int Wound J.* 2016;**13**(4):461-8. doi: 10.1111/iwj.12455. [PubMed: 25968157].
- Rha EY, Kim YH, Kim TJ, Yoo G, Rhie JW, Kim HJ, et al. Topical Application of a Silicone Gel Sheet with Verapamil Microparticles in a Rabbit Model of Hypertrophic Scar. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2016;**137**(1):144–51. doi: 10.1097/PRS.000000000001889. [PubMed: 26710018].