Published online 2020 July 29.

**Research Article** 

# Study of the Relationship Between Mean Platelet Volume and LAD as a Culprit Vessel in NSTEMI

Ahmad Separham<sup>1</sup>, Alireza Shahsavani<sup>1</sup> and Ali Heidari Sarvestani<sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Tabriz University of Medical Science, Tabriz, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Cardiovascular Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

corresponding author: Cardiovascular Research Center, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran. Email: ali.heidari2020@gmail.com

Received 2019 December 17; Revised 2020 May 02; Accepted 2020 June 21.

#### Abstract

**Background:** Among acute coronary patients, the ratio of non ST-elevation myocardial infarction (NSTEMI) is increasing and according to recent studies, less than 30% of myocardial infarctions (MIs) are due to ST-elevation myocardial infarction (STEMI). Unlike STEMI, in NSTEMI the ECG is not able to identify the culprit vessel.

**Objectives:** In this study, we aimed to evaluate the association of mean platelet volume (MPV) and NSTEMI due to left anterior descending lesion.

**Methods:** In this cross sectional study 349 patients with NSTEMI were included. Major cardiac risk factors (cigarette, diabetes mellitus (DM), hypertension (HTN), hyperlipidemia (HLP), angiographic findings, and drug history of patients were extracted from patients' medical files. Then the MPV in complete blood count results and angiography findings were analyzed for any association. According to culprit lesions patients were divided into left anterior descending (LAD) and non-LAD groups.

**Results:** There was a significant difference between the two groups (LAD vs. non-LAD) according to platelet count (P = 0.014), MPV (P = 0.001), HLP (0.024) and DM (0.048). Multivariate regression model has shown the MPV (OR = 0.46, 95%CI = 0.27 - 0.78) and DM (OR = 0.18, 95%CI = 0.06 - 0.54) as independent risk factors for NSTEMI due to LAD lesion. The significant positive correlation was also seen between MPV and left ventricle ejection fraction (LVEF) (r = 0.22, P = 0.006). The area under the curve (AUC) of MPV for predicting LAD culprit lesion was calculated as 69% (P < 0.0001). At cut off point of 9.15, has sensitivity and specificity of MPV for predicting LAD as culprit vessel was 100% and 30% respectively.

Conclusions: In NSTEMI patients MPV might be a good tool to differentiate patients with LAD as culprit vessel.

Keywords: NSTEMI, MPV, LAD, Culprit Vessel

## 1. Background

Acute coronary syndrome (ACS) includes patients with NSTEMI, STEMI and unstable angina (1). Despite progression in the prevention and treatment of cardiovascular diseases, it still continues to be recognized as the leading cause of death in the world. Finding the risk factors associated with cardiovascular disease (CVD) helps better prevention of the disease. Different risk stratification models have been designed for CVD. CVD associated risk factors are mainly categorized into two large groups; acquired and hereditary. The epidemiological studies suggested inappropriate diet, obesity and weight gain, low physical activity, smoking, hypertension, increased cholesterol and impaired lipid profiles, family history of CVD, age, sex, alcohol consumption, environmental factors, air pollution, and stress as the most important CVD related risk factors (2-4).

The ratio of NSTEMI to STEMI is increasing so that today only less than 30% of myocardial infarctions (MIs) are due to STEMI (5-7). Currently, we can detect even small sized myocardial necrosis with highly sensitive tests. Analyzing serial high-sensitivity cardiac troponin T (hs-cTnT) is the most common tool for myocardial injury screening, resulting in a higher diagnostic rate of NSTEMI patients (7). Previous studies have shown that STEMI patients have more hospital mortality than NSTEMI patients, but long-term outcomes after a percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) is independent of MI types (8). In STEMI patients, the incidence of cardiac failure and cardiogenic shock in the anterior MI is higher than those with inferior and lateral MI (9). Unlike STEMI patients, the electrocardiogram (ECG) is not a suitable tool to identify the involved vessel in NSTEMI patients. Several studies have used brain natriuretic peptide (BNP) and ECG to identify the culprit vessel responsible for NSTEMI. However, the ECG's ability to locate an oc-

Copyright © 2020, Multidisciplinary Cardiovascular Annals. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited. cluded vessel and the predictive value of BNP have usually been influenced by left ventricular failure (10).

One of the major mechanisms in NSTEMI is activation of platelets at the site of ruptured plaque. Platelets secrete and express significant amounts of substances, which cause inflammation, thrombosis, and finally atherosclerosis. The high platelet volume is associated with platelet activity and increased platelet adhesion (11). The association of mean platelet volume with thrombosis and inflammation has been studied before. There is a lot of evidence suggesting MPV is associated with the pathophysiology of ACS (12). MPV is available test in emergency room, and therefore, it can be used as an appropriate marker to assess the risk in these patients.

#### 2. Objectives

In this study, we are going to determine whether MPV can help to predict the culprit vessel in NSTEMI patients or not.

#### 3. Methods

#### 3.1. Study Design

In this cross sectional study 349 patients with NSTEMI were evaluated between 2015 and 2017 in a tertiary center. Confirmed diagnosis of NSTEMI was defined according to fourth universal definition of MI (13). Patients with cTnI above the 99th percentile, T inversion > 1 mm, typical chest pain and new Q wave on ECG and also ST segment depression > 1 mm in the absence of ST elevation and new left bundle branch block were included. Patients with previous history of revascularization including percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) and coronary artery bypass grafting (CABG) were included. On the other hand, patients with a history of receiving blood transfusion in the last three months, history of cancer and chemotherapy, use of drugs that affect hematological factors, history of platelet disease and patients with acute and severe bleeding were excluded. In all patients, 2 mL venous blood sample was taken from median cubital vein collected in tubes with EDTA. The sample was analyzed by an automated blood cell analyzer (ADVIA 2120; Siemens, Forchheim, Germany), estimating MPV during half an hour of sample collection (14).

## 3.2. Assessments

Major cardiac risk factors (cigarette, diabetes mellitus (DM), hypertension (HTN), hyperlipidemia (HLP)) and angiographic findings and drug history of patients were extracted from patients' medical files. Then the MPV in complete blood count results and angiography findings were analyzed for any association. According to culprit lesions, patients were divided into LAD and non-LAD groups. Coronary artery disease was defined as any stenosis > 70% relevant to patients symptoms and ECG findings.

#### 3.3. Statistical Analysis

All data were analyzed by descriptive statistics including mean, standard deviation, and frequency. Means were compared by independent t-test. Proportions were compared by chi-square test. Multiple logistic regression analysis was used to compare the variables of patients in the two groups. The P Value less than 0.05 is considered statistically significant.

## 4. Results

In this study, 349 patients were evaluated. Majority of these patients (79.3%) were male. The mean age of patients was  $62.8 \pm 10.7$  (40 to 89 years old). The most common underlying disease was HTN. About half of the patients were smokers. Angiographic evaluation revealed single vessel disease (SVD) involvement in 44.8% of cases. The most involved culprit vessel was LAD (58.6%), followed by left circumflex (LCX) (24.1%) and right coronary artery (RCA) (17.2%). TIMI flow grade 3 was seen in majority of patients (79.3%) (Table 1).

There were significant differences between the two groups (LAD and non-LAD) according to platelet count (P = 0.014), MPV (P = 0.001), HLP (0.024) and DM (0.048) (Table 2). Multivariate regression analysis showed that MPV (OR = 0.46, 95% CI = 0.27 - 0.78) and DM (OR = 0.18, 95% CI = 0.06 - 0.54) were independent predictors of LAD culprit vessel (Table 3). The significant positive correlation was also seen between MPV and LVEF (r = 0.22, P = 0.006) (Table 4). Area under curve (AUC) showed that with a cutoff point of 9.15, MPV had sensitivity of 100% and specificity of 30%, for predicting LAD as culprit vessel (Figure 1).

## 5. Discussion

Our findings showed that in patients with NSTEMI due to LAD lesion compared with non-LAD lesion group; MPV and HLP were significantly higher. According to high sensitivity of MPV, as a simple available inexpensive test, it could be suggested as a valuable screening test in NSTEMI patients.

Vizioli et al. examined the relationship between MPV and the risk and prognosis of cardiovascular diseases; they showed that increased MPV could be associated with cardiovascular risk (15). In another study by Bergoli et al., MPV was a predictor of coronary blood flow after percutaneous

Characteristics	Mean (Range) $\pm$ SD or Frequency (%)
Age	$62.8\pm10.7$
Gender (male)	79.3%
Risk factors	
Diabetes mellitus	24.1%
Hypertension	65.5%
Hyperlipidemia	17.2%
Smoking	48.3%
Number of vessels	
SVD	44.8%
2 VD	34.5%
3 VD	20.7%
Culprit vessel	
LAD	58.6%
Lcx	24.1%
RCA	17.2%
Thrombus TIMI	
Grade 1 - 4	86.2%
Grade 5	13.8%
TIMI flow grade	
Grade 0	6.9%
Grade 1	10.3%
Grade 2	3.4%
Grade 3	79.3%
White blood cells	10.13 (5.8 - 18.3) ± 2.94
Hemoglobin	14.49 (11.3 - 17.9) ± 1.89
Platelets	$217(99-312)\pm 55$
MPV	10.04 (8.4 - 12.2) ± 0.8
Peak troponin	3.35 (0.3 - 9.4) ± 2.6
LVEF	48.1(35-60)±6.5

Table 1. Descriptive Analysis

Abbreviations: LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MVP, mean platelet vol-
ume

coronary intervention (PCI) (16). Gasparyan et al. also investigated the association between MPV, thrombosis and inflammation in their study, and concluded that increased MPV has a considerable association with thrombosis and inflammation and could be used as prognostic factors (12). In another study in 2010, Chu et al., investigated the MPV as a predictor of cardiovascular risk, and showed that increased MPV in acute MI had correlation with death (11). Similar to our findings in a study conducted by Liu et al. in 2014, by studying 190 patients with NSTEMI they found that MPV was a reliable efficient tool in predicting LAD ob-

Variables	LAD	Non-LAD	P Value
Age	$62.5\pm8.4$	$62.8 \pm 13.37$	0.86
Gender: Male	70.6%	47.8%	0.002
White blood cell	$10.44 \pm 3.2$	$9.6\pm2.4$	0.128
Hemoglobin	$14.3\pm1.66$	$14.7\pm2.1$	0.65
Platelets	$227\pm47$	$204\pm 62$	0.014
MPV	$10.22\pm0.72$	$9.78\pm0.85$	0.001
Peak CInI	$3.2\pm2.7$	$3.4\pm2.5$	0.6
LVEF	$47.9\pm6.2$	$48.3\pm 6.9$	0.77
Smoking	47.1%	50%	0.73
Hypertension	70.6%	58.3%	0.156
Diabetes mellitus	17.6%	33.3%	0.048
Hyperlipidemia	23.5%	8.3%	0.024

Table 2. Comparison Variables in Patients with LAD and Non-LAD as a Culprit Vessel

Abbreviations: LVEF, left ventricular ejection fraction; MVP, mean platelet volume

Table 3. Multivariate Analysis					
Variables	OR —	95% Confidence interval			
		Lower	Upper		
Gender	0.448	0.136	1.474		
Platelets	0.991	0.983	0.999		
MPV	0.468	0.278	0.788		
DM	0.182	0.061	0.544		
HLP	3.517	0.908	13.619		

 $Abbreviations; {\tt DM}, diabetes\ mellitus; {\tt HLP}, hyperlipidemia; {\tt MVP}, mean\ platelet\ volume$ 

Variables	Pearson Correlation	P Value
Age	-0.104	0.211
WBC	.170*	0.041
НЬ	0.098	0.240
Plt	-0.151	0.070
Peak CTnI	-0.110	0.188
LVEF	.225**	0.006
Number of vessel	0.079	0.343

struction in NSTEMI patients. On the other hand, B-type natriuretic peptide and ECG were not good tools in predicting LAD infarct location (17). In contrary with our findings Misumida et al. by studying 763 patients with NSTEMI found that MPV had no significant association with the incidence of LAD and non-LAD involvement, and did not have a significant role in predicting infarct site in NSTEMI pa-



tients (18). In a study by Misumida et al., MPV in LAD culprit group was higher than non-LAD culprit groups (8.6 vs. 8.4 respectively) (P value = 0.72). Contrary to this study, Liu et al. showed that MPV of LAD culprit group was smaller than those with left circumflex or right coronary arteries  $(9.0 \pm 1.5 \text{ versus } 9.8 \pm 1.6, P < 0.001)$ . Our study showed a significantly higher MPV in LAD culprit group, so the results of current studies are controversial and more studies are needed for a better understanding about the role of MPV and culprit lesion and prognosis of patients with ischemic heart disease. Yazici et al. showed the correlation between left ventricular ejection fraction (LVEF) and MPV. They defined depressed LVEF as LVEF  $\leq$  50%. Lower MPV was associated with depressed LVEF (P = 0.02) (19). MPV is associated with inflammation (20). Hence, some factors associated with LAD lesions such as lower LVEF in some cases and higher inflammation because of more extensive necrosis might be triggers of higher MPV in NSTEMI with LAD culprit lesion.

#### 5.1. Limitations of Study

Our study was a cross sectional study. A cohort study following the outcome of peoples with higher MPV according to future occurrence of ischemic heart disease is needed for better results. In all patients, determining the culprit lesion was done by patients' corresponding interventional cardiologist and it is possible that some difficulties in determining exact culprit lesion during procedure affect our results.

## 5.2. Conclusion

In conclusion, our findings indicated that MPV might have a predictive value in identifying culprit lesions in NSTEMI patients.

## Acknowledgments

We wish to thank all our colleagues and staff of CCU ward.

## Footnotes

**Conflict of Interests:** Authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical Approval:** All procedures performed in studies involving human participants were in accordance with the ethical standards of the local ethics committee of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences and with the 1964 Helsinki Declaration.

**Funding/Support:** The study was financially supported by grants from the Medical Research Center of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences.

**Informed Consent:** Written informed consent was obtained from all patients and normal individuals.

#### References

- Overbaugh KJ. Acute coronary syndrome. *Am J Nurs*. 2009;**109**(5):42–52. quiz 53. doi: 10.1097/01.NAJ.0000351508.39509.e2. [PubMed: 19411905].
- Niiranen TJ, Vasan RS. Epidemiology of cardiovascular disease: recent novel outlooks on risk factors and clinical approaches. *Expert Rev Cardiovasc Ther.* 2016;14(7):855–69. doi: 10.1080/14779072.2016.1176528. [PubMed: 27057779]. [PubMed Central: PMC4961207].
- Shi A, Tao Z, Wei P, Zhao J. Epidemiological aspects of heart diseases. Exp Ther Med. 2016;12(3):1645–50. doi: 10.3892/etm.2016.3541. [PubMed: 27602082]. [PubMed Central: PMC4998208].
- Vishram JK. Prognostic interactions between cardiovascular risk factors. Dan Med J. 2014;61(7):B4892. [PubMed: 25123126].
- Townsend N, Wilson L, Bhatnagar P, Wickramasinghe K, Rayner M, Nichols M. Cardiovascular disease in Europe: epidemiological update 2016. Eur Heart J. 2016;**37**(42):3232–45. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehw334. [PubMed: 27523477].
- Zhang Q, Zhao D, Xie W, Xie X, Guo M, Wang M, et al. Recent Trends in Hospitalization for Acute Myocardial Infarction in Beijing: Increasing Overall Burden and a Transition From ST-Segment Elevation to Non-ST-Segment Elevation Myocardial Infarction in a Population-Based Study. *Medicine (Baltimore)*. 2016;**95**(5). e2677. doi:10.1097/md.00000000002677. [PubMed: 26844503]. [PubMed Central: PMC4748920].
- Vakili H, Sadeghi R, Toofaninejad N, Akbari T, Kachoueian N. One-and Six-month Outcomes of Patients with Non-ST Elevation Myocardial Infarction. International Journal of Cardiovascular Practice. 2016;1(3):51–6.
- Abbott JD, Ahmed HN, Vlachos HA, Selzer F, Williams DO. Comparison of outcome in patients with ST-elevation versus non-STelevation acute myocardial infarction treated with percutaneous coronary intervention (from the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Dynamic Registry). *Am J Cardiol.* 2007;**100**(2):190–5. doi: 10.1016/ji.amjcard.2007.02.083. [PubMed: 17631068].

- Trial SPRIN, Haim M, Hod H, Reisin L, Kornowski R, Reicher-Reiss H, et al. Comparison of short-and long-term prognosis in patients with anterior wall versus inferior or lateral wall non-Q-wave acute myocardial infarction. *The American journal of cardiology*. 1997;**79**(6):717–21.
- Cannon CP, McCabe CH, Stone PH, Rogers WJ, Schactman M, Thompson BW, et al. The electrocardiogram predicts one-year outcome of patients with unstable angina and non-Q wave myocardial infarction: results of the TIMI III Registry ECG Ancillary Study. *Journal of the American College of Cardiology*. 1997;30(1):133–40.
- Chu SG, Becker RC, Berger PB, Bhatt DL, Eikelboom JW, Konkle B, et al. Mean platelet volume as a predictor of cardiovascular risk: a systematic review and meta-analysis. J Thromb Haemost. 2010;8(1):148– 56. doi: 10.1111/j.1538-7836.2009.03584.x. [PubMed: 19691485]. [PubMed Central: PMC3755496].
- Gasparyan AY, Ayvazyan L, Mikhailidis DP, Kitas GD. Mean platelet volume: a link between thrombosis and inflammation? *Curr Pharm Des*. 2011;17(1):47-58. doi: 10.2174/138161211795049804. [PubMed: 21247392].
- Thygesen K, Alpert JS, Jaffe AS, Chaitman BR, Bax JJ, Morrow DA, et al. Fourth universal definition of myocardial infarction (2018). *Eur Heart J.* 2019;40(3):237–69. doi: 10.1093/eurheartj/ehy462. [PubMed: 30165617].
- Chung SP, Yune HY, Park YS, You JS, Hong JH, Kong T, et al. Usefulness of mean platelet volume as a marker for clinical outcomes after out-ofhospital cardiac arrest: a retrospective cohort study. *Journal of Thrombosis and Haemostasis.* 2016;14(10):2036–44.

- Vizioli L, Muscari S, Muscari A. The relationship of mean platelet volume with the risk and prognosis of cardiovascular diseases. *Int J Clin Pract.* 2009;63(10):1509–15. doi: 10.1111/j.1742-1241.2009.02070.x. [PubMed: 19769707].
- Bergoli LCC, Castanho ES, Gonçalves SC, Wainstein RV, Piardi D, Araújo G, et al. Mean Platelet Volume as a Predictor of Major Cardiovascular Outcomes and Final Coronary Flow in Patients Undergoing Primary Percutaneous Coronary Intervention. *Revista Brasileira de Cardiologia Invasiva*. 2014;22(3):240–4.
- Liu Q, Wang T, Chen R, Liu C, Yue W, Hong J, et al. Mean platelet volume predicts left descending artery occlusion in patients with non-ST-elevation myocardial infarction. *Platelets*. 2014;25(4):246–51.
- Misumida N, Kobayashi A, Fox JT, Kanei Y. Does mean platelet volume predict the location of the infarct-related artery in non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction patients? *Platelets*. 2015;26(7):706–9.
- Yazici HU, Poyraz F, Sen N, Tavil Y, Turfan M, Tulmac M, et al. Relationship between mean platelet volume and left ventricular systolic function in patients with metabolic syndrome and STelevation myocardial infarction. *Clin Invest Med.* 2011;**34**(6). E330. doi: 10.25011/cim.v34i6.15892. [PubMed: 22129921].
- Şenel E, Acar B, Demir E. Mean Platelet Volume: A Reliable Marker of Inflammation in Recurrent Apthous Stomatitis and Behçet Disease? Indian dermatology online journal. 2017;8(6):468–70. doi: 10.4103/idoj.IDOJ\_405\_16. [PubMed: 29204391].