Published online 2023 January 17.

Research Article

The Nurses' Perspectives About Barriers of Nurse-Physician Collaboration in Intensive Care Units: A Q-Methodology Study

Mohsen Hasanabadi 💿¹, Mahboubeh Taebi 💿² and Negin Masoudi Alavi 💿 ^{3,*}

¹Medical Surgical Nursing Department, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran
²Department of Midwifery and Reproductive Health, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran
³Trauma Nursing Research, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran

^{*}Corresponding author: Trauma Nursing Research, Kashan University of Medical Sciences, Kashan, Iran. Email: alavi.negin@yahoo.com

Received 2022 September 24; Revised 2022 November 05; Accepted 2022 November 23.

Abstract

Background: Nurse-physician collaboration is essential for providing effective and safe patient care in intensive care units. **Objectives:** This study aimed to determine the different viewpoints of nurses working in intensive care units about the barriers to nurse-physician collaboration in Kashan/Iran by 2020.

Methods: This was a cross-sectional study that employed a Q-methodology approach. Thirty intensive care nurses sorted the 24 statements into a 9-column Q-sort diagram ranging from -4 as not important to +4 as very important. The data were analyzed with PQMethod 2.35 software. The centroid factor analysis and varimax rotation were used for data analysis. The corresponding people for each extraction factor in the Q methodology were grouped and classified, and distinguishing statements were determined. **Results:** Four viewpoints were determined that could explain the 52.95% variance in the barriers to nurse-physician collaboration. The factors were named as different professional interests and lack of trust between nurses and physicians (26.77% of variance), goal confusion (10.74% of variance), systemic barriers to collaboration (8.17% of variance), and personal differences (7.25% of variance). **Conclusions:** Nurses had different viewpoints about barriers to nurse-physician collaboration. Different strategies and solutions are needed to improve collaboration, such as education, defining common goals, enhancing collaborative culture, and reaching mutual respect and understanding. Effective teamwork is essential for patient safety and a healthy work environment.

Keywords: Nurses, Physicians, Intersectoral Collaboration, Patient Care Team, Intensive Care Units

1. Background

Nurse-physician collaboration is essential for providing effective patient care. Professional collaboration means that two or more individuals with specialized knowledge, and specific roles, perform interdependent tasks and share a common goal, such as safe care for patients (1). Intensive care units provide critical care services for patients, and effective collaboration between nurses and physicians is even more important in these specialized wards (2).

Many studies show teamwork improves patient safety and prevents medical errors (3, 4). Effective teamwork also decreases mortality, nosocomial infection, need for ventilators, re-admission, and length of stay in ICUs (3, 5, 6). Patients are not the only ones who benefit. Teamwork can increase occupational well-being and job satisfaction and decrease clinician burnout (7-9).

In spite of the importance of nurse-physician collaboration, this issue might not be satisfied all the time. A

study in ICUs in Texas showed that only 33% of nurses rated the quality of collaboration and communication with the physicians as high or very high, but 73% of physicians reported the collaboration as high or very high that showing a great discrepant attitude (10). In Iran, the nursephysician professional relationship was moderate from the nurses' point of view, and 66% noted that they were respected by physicians (11). According to the studies, some different barriers and challenges limit inter-professional collaboration. The inability to build a professional relationship, selfishness, lack of mutual respect, and job pressures are some barriers to effective collaboration (12-15). A study in Iran in 2010 showed that personal conflicts, an embarrassment in requesting help or asking questions and being unaware of other professional roles and capabilities could threaten teamwork (16). Another study in Iran in 2015 showed that inadequate support the hospital management and weak leadership could diminish teamwork (17). Several studies have reported different barriers to nurse-physician collaboration, but nurses' viewpoints

Copyright © 2023, Modern Care Journal. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

as professionals have not been investigated. Understanding different perspectives are important because these perspectives shape behaviors (18). Nurse-physician collaboration is a social and professional phenomenon, so understanding the nurses' viewpoints might help illuminate this crucial subject.

2. Objectives

This research aimed to study different viewpoints of nurses working in ICUs about the barriers to nursephysician collaboration with Q methodology.

3. Methods

3.1. Design and Setting of the Study

This cross-sectional study that employed a Qmethodology approach was carried out from April to November 2020 in the general hospital of Kashan city. This hospital has 4 ICUs with 48 beds and 113 nursing personnel. Fourteen specialists provide medical treatments in ICUs, including anesthetics, surgeons, internists, cardiologists, and intensivists.

Q-methodology was first introduced by William Stephenson in 1935 (19). It is an approach for identifying and comparing individuals' viewpoints. In the Q methodology, the participants (P-sample) sort their viewpoints (Q-sorting) by ranking a set of statements (Q-statements) about a particular issue (18). Once the sorting is completed, the factor analysis is performed (20). The analysis tries to identify patterns of similarity and differences in how participants have ranked the statements according to their perceived agreement or importance. The factors that emerge as the common viewpoints of participants are interpreted qualitatively (18). The Q methodology is a proper method for investigating the different opinions about a subject (21).

This study was conducted in 6 stages according to the Q methodology phases:

Stage 1- develop the Q-statements: This means collecting statements that cover discrete ideas and concepts of the topic (22). This step aimed to find the barriers to nurse-physician collaboration in the literature. All the published articles in English and Persian about the barriers to collaboration in different settings from 2000 until the end of 2020 were collected. The research type could be both descriptive and interventional. The keywords of nurse, physician, nurse-physician, barriers, and collaboration and their synonyms were searched in PubMed, Embase, Scopus, SID, Google scholar, and Magiran. One thousand three hundred thirty-eight articles were probed in the first search, and after excluding duplicate and unrelated articles, the abstract of 112 articles was reviewed, and the statements that could represent a barrier were written. The articles were managed by EndNote X8 software.

The 56 statements were extracted from the literature, then 25 statements were omitted by researchers because they had similar content. So 31 items were piloted.

Stage 2- piloting: The comprehensibility of the statements and instructions and how easily participants can sort the statements are ensured at this stage (18). In this study, ten nursing and intensive care experts evaluated the statements. In order to reduce the researcher bias in selecting the statements, the experts were requested to revise the statements or add new ones if they thought the statements could not cover the subject adequately. The qualitative content analysis and quantitative content analysis, including content validity index (CVI) and content validity ratio (CVR), also were calculated (23). After deleting and revising the statements according to the views of experts, 24 items remained as Q-statements. The CVR and CVI scores of the statements were calculated at 0.718 and 0.972, respectively, which showed acceptable content validity.

Stage 3- participant selection (P-sample): Use purposive sampling to recruit participants who can articulate viewpoints on the topic because their opinions are important (22). The 30 nurses and nursing faculty members working or providing education in ICUs were invited to sort the statements. The participants in the study were recruited to reflect the diversity of age, gender, education, and work experience and were willing to participate in the study to represent different viewpoints. The inclusion criteria were at least two years of work experience in ICUs, a bachelor's degree in nursing, and a willingness to participate in the study. It is suggested that a 2:1 ratio of statements to participants is needed in the Q-methodology at a minimum (19). So the 30 participants seem to be adequate in this study.

Stage 4- data collection: Statements were written on similar cards, and participants sorted them (Q-sorting) according to some level of judgment (18). For the Q-sorting process, a forced distribution was chosen on a scale from -4 to +4 (not important to most important), as seen in Figure 1. During sorting, the participants were questioned about why they think a statement is not important or it is very important, and their answers were recorded to help the researchers in the interpretation stage. The demographic variables of each respondent and the number of each statement according to his/her Q-sorting were recorded.

Stage 5- analysis: Factor analysis is a statistical method for classifying participants according to their views (22). The data were analyzed with PQMethod 2.35 software. The centroid factor analysis and varimax rotation were used for data analysis. Factors were extracted using the follow-

Not Important			Neutral				Very Important		
- 4	- 3	- 2	- 1	0	+ 1	+ 2	+ 3	+ 4	

ing criteria: The total amount of variability explained, at least two Q-sorts per factor, and the eigenvalues greater than 1. According to the analysis results, the corresponding people for each extraction factor in the Q methodology were grouped and classified. These people had similar views about the barriers to nurse-physician collaboration in ICUs. Since the number of statements was 24, a factor loading more than 0.4 was accepted.

Stage 6- interpretation: Qualitative interpretation of the viewpoints according to the most negative and positive statements in every factor and developing narratives for each factor representing a viewpoint (18). In this study, the participants with similar opinions were grouped as a factor. The most and less important and distinguishing statements for every factor were interpreted qualitatively, and narrative explanations were provided for every factor. Distinguishing statements are statements that rank in a position that significantly differs from the rank in other factors.

3.2. Ethical Considerations

The research protocol was independently reviewed and approved by the ethics committee of Kashan University of Medical Sciences with the ethical code of IR.KAUMS.NUHEPM.REC.1398.039. The research objectives were explained to all participants, and written informed consent was obtained. The confidentiality of the participants and their views were respected. The participants had the right to leave the study at any time. This research has been conducted following the Declaration of Helsinki's ethical principles.

4. Results

Table 1 summarizes the participants' characteristics. The data analysis resulted in four factors of opinion groups that could explain 52.95% of the variance. The categories of viewpoints were: (1) different professional interests and lack of trust between nurses and physicians; (2) goal confusion; (3) systemic barriers to collaboration; and (4) personal differences.

Fable 1. The Characteristics of the Participants				
Participants Characteristics	$\textbf{Mean} \pm \textbf{SD}$	Minimum	Maximum	
Age	36.83±6.85	25	50	
Work experience	13.6 ± 6.88	3	28	
Sex, No. (%)				
Male	16 (53.3)			
Female	14 (46.7)			

The factor loadings for each participant are presented in Table 2. Five participants didn't classify to any viewpoints because of factor loading less than 0.4 or crossloading between factors.

There was no correlation between factors that shows each can represent a viewpoint. Q-statements and their corresponding rank values for four factors are presented in Table 3.

Note: The number in the crusher represents the number of the statement in Table 3.

Viewpoint 1- different professional interests and lack of trust between nurses and physicians: Ten participants made this viewpoint (Table 4). The participants in this viewpoint believed that nurses and physicians have different professional interests [9]; for example, physicians like to discharge patients as soon as possible to have an empty bed for a new patient, but nurses prefer to discharge patients in more stable conditions. Besides, based on nurses' perceptions, both professions are not interested in collaboration [1] and do not trust each other [4]. Some believe doctors do not trust nurses and think they do not have enough education, and nurses do not trust physicians and think that physicians do not spend enough time assessing patients. These are the main barriers to nurse-physician collaboration. Nurses in this view also believed that physi-

Participant	Sex	Age	Viewpoint 1: Different Professional Interests	Viewpoint 2: Goal Confusion	Viewpoint 3: Systemic Barriers in Collaboration	Viewpoint 4: Personal Differences
1	Male	25	0.21	0.17	-0.03	0.74 ^a
2	Male	33	0.10	0.18	0.8 ^a	0.19
3	Female	38	0.28	0.34	-0.07	0.62 ^a
4	Male	30	0.66 ^a	0.36	0.25	-0.25
5	Female	30	0.40	0.37	-0.01	0.59
6	Male	35	0.50	0.43	0.08	0.26
7	Male	40	0.09	0.10	-0.03	0.62 ^a
8	Female	26	0.46 ^a	0.19	0.23	0.22
9	Female	35	0.27	-0.13	0.22	0.57 ^a
10	Male	40	0.02	0.76 ^a	0.03	0.15
11	Female	45	0.43 ^a	0.26	0.24	0.33
12	Female	38	0.17	-0.15	0.66 ^a	0.29
13	Male	28	0.27	0.38	0.51 ^a	0.29
14	Female	48	0.13	0.67 ^a	0.13	0.38
15	Female	35	0.72 ^a	0.31	-0.19	0.12
16	Male	32	0.69 ^a	-0.09	0.31	0.21
17	Male	39	0.75 ^a	-0.05	-0.14	0.32
18	Female	30	0.63 ^a	0.24	0.17	0.39
19	Male	45	0.72 ^a	0.01	-0.02	0.01
20	Male	38	0.13	0.05	0.26	0.65 ^a
21	Female	29	0.41 ^a	-0.02	0.06	0.31
22	Female	50	0.56 ^a	-0.08	-0.21	0.45
23	Male	40	0.11	0.37	0.00	0.30
24	Male	35	-0.15	0.03	-0.38	0.32
25	Female	44	0.21	0.44 ^a	-0.16	-0.28
26	Male	30	0.26	0.06	-0.66 ^a	0.21
27	Female	43	0.17	0.34	-0.27	0.06
28	Male	39	0.05	0.70 ^a	-0.10	-0.02
29	Male	27	-0.41	0.67 ^a	0.08	0.28
30	Female	46	0.10	0.89 ^a	0.17	-0.05

^a The participants that represent the viewpoint.

cians stay in ICUs for a very short time [11], and they hardly see each other, so this inadequate time does not provide a context for collaboration.

On the other hand, these participants believed that the hierarchical culture in hospitals that physicians are at the top of that hierarchy [2], and is not an important barrier to collaboration. They also believed the sentence that ICU health care services was not patient-centered [24] were unrelated to nurse-physician collaboration. They did not feel that physicians were reluctant to hear nurses' comments [18], and they thought this was not a barrier to collaboration.

Viewpoint 2- goal confusion: This viewpoint was made by 6 participants (Table 4). Participants in this viewpoint believed that there is a hierarchical culture in hospitals [2], that physicians are at the top, and hierarchy differences can diminish collaborative interactions. These two professions have goal confusion [16]. Nurses do not know the trend and objectives of the treatments by physicians, and physicians do not know the objectives of nursing

NO	Statements	Viewpoint 1: Different Professional Interests	Viewpoint 2: Goal Confusion	Viewpoint 3: Systemic Barriers in Collaboration	Viewpoint 4: Personal Differences
	Nurses and physicians are not interested in collaborating with each other	+3 ^a	+2 ^a	0	+1
	Nurses and physicians are in different hierarchies, and they have different perspectives	+1	+4 ^a	0	0
	Nurses and physicians have different beliefs and religious attitudes	+1	-1	-3 ^a	+4 ^a
	Nurses and physicians are not trusting each other	+2 ^a	-2	+1	+1
	There are cultural differences between physicians and nurses	-1	0	-2	-2
	Nurses are afraid that their honor might not be respected by physicians	0	-2 ^a	+1	+2
	Nurses and physicians have little experience in collaboration	+2	-1	+2	-4 ^a
	There is a lack of planning and education for teamwork	-2	+2	+3 ^a	+2
	Physicians and nurses have different interests	+4 ^a	0	+1	-3 ^a
)	Physicians don't like to collaborate with nurses	0	+1	-2	+3 ^a
	Physicians stay in ICU for the short time	+3 ^a	0	-1	-1
2	Physicians receive more support from management than nurses	-1	+1	+2 ^a	+1
3	There are serious shortages in the number of nurses and physicians	+1	+3 ^a	+1	-2
1	There are personal conflicts between nurses and physicians	-2	-2	-3 ^a	+3 ^a
5	Nurses and physicians have a huge difference in incomes	+1	+1	+2	+2 ^a
5	Nurses and physicians don't have shared goals	0	+3 ^a	-1	0
,	There are rigid hierarchy in hospitals	-3 ^a	+2	-2	0
3	Physicians don't like to hear the comments of nurses, even if they are right	-4 ^a	-1	+3 ^a	-1
Ð	Physicians are afraid that nurses might make their decisions under question	-1	-4 ^a	-1	-2
0	Nurses and physicians don't respect each other	+2	-3 ^a	0	-1
1	Nurses and physicians don't have adequate self-esteem	-1	+1	-4 ^a	-3 ^a
2	There is a lack of collaborative culture in ICUs	-2	-1	+4 ^a	+1
3	Teamwork is not considered important in ICUs	0	-3 ^a	-1	-1
1	The health care services are not patient-centered in ICUs	-3 ^a	0	0	0

^a Distinguishing statements.

Mod Care J. 2023; 20(2):e131741.

Variables	Viewpoint 1: Different Professional Interests	Viewpoint 2: Goal Confusion	Viewpoint 3: Systemic Barriers in Collaboration	Viewpoint 4: Personal Differences
Number of participants	10	6	4	5
Age	36.1± 8.2	40.6±7.5	32.25 ± 4.3	35.2 ± 6
Work experience	13.2 ± 8.6	16.3 ± 7.5	9±4.5	12.8 ± 5.9
Sex				
Male	4	3	3	3
Female	6	3	1	2
Eigenvalue	8.03	3.22	2.45	2.17
Variance	26.77	10.74	8.17	7.25
Some key statements	Different interests [9]; not interested in collaboration [1]; not trusting each other [4]	Different perspectives [2]; don't have shared goals [16]	Lack of collaborative culture [22]; inadequate education for teamwork [8]	Different beliefs and attitud [3]; personal conflicts [14]; th difference in incomes [15]

care. Each profession does its tasks separately, so nursephysician collaboration does not happen adequately. In some cases, this problem is reflected in medication errors, where nurses are using the wrong medication or the wrong dosage because they do not communicate adequately with physicians who have ordered the medication. Besides, there are shortages of nurses and physicians in ICUs [13], so they should provide services for numerous patients and do not have adequate time for communication. This is the reason that they prefer to follow their tasks separately.

Nurses in this viewpoint believe that physicians are not afraid that nurses will criticize their decisions [19], and these two professions have mutual respect for each other [20], and both believe that teamwork is important [23]. Therefore, these issues are not the main problems of collaboration.

Viewpoint 3- systemic barriers in collaboration: Four participants (3 males and one female) shared this viewpoint (Table 4). The participants in this viewpoint thought there was a lack of collaborative culture in ICUs [22], and the physicians and nurses didn't receive education for teamwork [8]. Teamwork doesn't have a place in planning. Besides, physicians receive more support from the system [12], which limits the context of collaboration, and this might make physicians not pay adequate attention to the nurses' comments even when they are right [18].

These nurses think that not having self-esteem [21] and personal conflicts between nurses and physicians [14], or different religious beliefs and attitudes [3] are not important barriers to nurse-physician collaboration.

Viewpoint 4- personal differences: This viewpoint shares the opinions of 5 participants (Table 4). The participants in this viewpoint thought there were personal differences between nurses and physicians [14]. Their income

is considerably different [15], so their social class, hobbies, and living conditions are different, and this will separate their religious and social attitudes [3]. These differences lead to personal conflicts between these two professions [14], and most doctors dislike collaborating with nurses [10].

On the opposite side, the lack of experience in collaboration [7], not having adequate self-esteem [21], and having different interests [9] are not important issues in nursephysician collaboration.

5. Discussion

The current study found that nurses working in ICUs have different viewpoints about barriers to nursephysician collaboration. Some believe that these two professionals have different interests, and there is a lack of trust between them. Mutual trust is important for communication and collaboration (24). A study by Aghamohammadi et al. in 2018 in Iran showed that the dominance of physicians and considering nurses as their assistants and not their colleagues could negatively influence nursephysician collaboration (25). Nurses and physicians often do not appreciate the role of each other; they view collaboration differently; doctors view collaboration as following their orders, while nurses seek a more active role (26).

Some participants noted that nurses and physicians have different hierarchies and different perspectives. They have different goals and prefer to do their tasks separately.

The flow of information is not usually effective between healthcare providers with different academic degrees and hierarchies, such as nurses and physicians. This might cause a problem with teamwork (27). Nursephysician collaboration is not just working together in a common environment; effective teamwork needs shared goals (25). A qualitative content analysis done by Kvande et al. in intensive care units in Norway in 2013 showed that the establishment of shared goals and clinical understanding, open dialogue, and willingness to listen to each other were the main factors in nurse-physician communication (28). Nygren et al. in Sweden in 2018 showed that the vast majority of nurses reported that teamwork toward shared goals, equal relationships within the team, and efficient organization were the prerequisites for inter-professional teamwork (29).

The present study showed that some nurses prioritized systemic barriers, such as a lack of collaborative culture in ICUs and not paying attention to teamwork in planning and education. These nurses were younger and had less work experience. This might give them the benefit of looking at the issue deeper. A study showed a significant negative correlation between age and overall attitude in teamwork (30). Inadequate education was one of the barriers that were distinguished in this viewpoint. Critical care nurses and physicians may benefit from training in conflict resolution, listening skills, and conducting collaborative rounds (10). Ballangrud et al. in Norway in 2016 showed that team training programs affect the teamwork behavior and communication of nurses and physicians in the surgical ward (31). The imbalance between the willingness to collaborate versus the desire to maintain autonomy is another systemic barrier to effective interprofessional collaboration (32). These are important systemic factors that can influence teamwork culture.

Some nurses thought that personal differences such as differences in beliefs and religious attitudes, differences in income, and personal conflicts between professionals were the most important barriers to nurse-physician collaboration. In Ethiopia, religion could affect nursephysician inter-professional collaboration (33). A study by Mazdaki et al. in Iran in 2016 showed that physician specialist income was ten times more than nurses. This ratio was 2.3 in England and 3.3 in Turkey (34). This huge difference in income in Iran compared to other countries might make some nurses skeptical about nurse-physician collaboration. A study by Hailu et al. in Ethiopia in 2014 reported that the salary of nurses was a significant predictor of perceived respect and satisfaction with nurse-physician communication in patient care (35). These differences might have a role in unproductive nurse-physician collaboration.

This study had some limitations. The statements about collaboration barriers could be expanded if a qualitative study had been done before this cross-sectional study. Therefore, we recommend this subject for further study. This research is limited to nurses' viewpoints about the barriers to nurse-physician collaboration. The views of physicians can complete the picture, so we suggest investigating the views of physicians in future studies using Qmethodology.

5.1. Conclusions

We concluded that there are different perspectives among nurses about this important issue that were named as different interests and lack of trust between nurses and physicians, goal confusion, systemic barriers to collaboration, and personal differences. These barriers need different strategies and solutions, such as education, defining shared goals, enhancing collaborative culture, and reaching mutual respect and understanding. Improving teamwork is essential for patient safety and providing a healthy work environment.

Acknowledgments

The authors are thankful to the research deputy of the Kashan University of Medical Sciences for approving and supporting this study. We also express our gratitude to the nurses who participated in this study.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: MH conceived and designed the research and collected the data; MT conceived the research and performed the data analysis and helped to draft the manuscript; NMA conceived the research, performed data analysis, and drafted the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: There is no conflict of interest in this study.

Data Reproducibility: The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after publication.

Ethical Approval: This study is approved under the ethical code of IR.KAUMS.NUHEPM.REC.1398.039.

Funding/Support: This study was supported by grant number 98116 from the deputy of research at Kashan University of Medical Sciences.

Informed Consent: The research objectives were explained to all participants, and written informed consent was obtained.

References

 Dietz AS, Pronovost PJ, Mendez-Tellez PA, Wyskiel R, Marsteller JA, Thompson DA, et al. A systematic review of teamwork in the intensive care unit: what do we know about teamwork, team tasks, and improvement strategies? J Crit Care. 2014;29(6):908-14. [PubMed ID: 25001565]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcrc.2014.05.025.

- Richardson J, West MA, Cuthbertson BH. Team working in intensive care: current evidence and future endeavors. *Curr Opin Crit Care*. 2010;16(6):643–8. [PubMed ID: 20736827]. https://doi.org/10.1097/MCC.0b013e32833e9731.
- Weller J, Boyd M, Cumin D. Teams, tribes and patient safety: overcoming barriers to effective teamwork in healthcare. *Postgrad Med J.* 2014;90(1061):149–54. [PubMed ID: 24398594]. https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2012-131168.
- West MA, Lyubovnikova J. Illusions of team working in health care. J Health Organ Manag. 2013;27(1):134–42. [PubMed ID: 23734481]. https://doi.org/10.1108/14777261311311843.
- Kang XL, Brom HM, Lasater KB, McHugh MD. The Association of Nurse-Physician Teamwork and Mortality in Surgical Patients. West J Nurs Res. 2020;42(4):245–53. [PubMed ID: 31215348]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6920603]. https://doi.org/10.1177/0193945919856338.
- Kim MM, Barnato AE, Angus DC, Fleisher LA, Kahn JM. The effect of multidisciplinary care teams on intensive care unit mortality. *Arch Intern Med.* 2010;**170**(4):369–76. [PubMed ID: 20177041]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4151479]. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2009.521.
- Pakpour V, Ghafourifard M, Salimi S. Iranian Nurses' Attitudes Toward Nurse-Physician Collaboration and its Relationship with Job Satisfaction. J Caring Sci. 2019;8(2):111–6. [PubMed ID: 31249821]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6589484]. https://doi.org/10.15171/jcs.2019.016.
- Welp A, Manser T. Integrating teamwork, clinician occupational wellbeing and patient safety - development of a conceptual framework based on a systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2016;16:281. [PubMed ID: 27430287]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4950091]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-016-1535-y.
- Welp A, Meier LL, Manser T. The interplay between teamwork, clinicians' emotional exhaustion, and clinician-rated patient safety: a longitudinal study. *Crit Care*. 2016;**20**(1):110. [PubMed ID: 27095501]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4837537]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-016-1282-9.
- Thomas EJ, Sexton JB, Helmreich RL. Discrepant attitudes about teamwork among critical care nurses and physicians. Crit Care Med. 2003;31(3):956-9. [PubMed ID: 12627011]. https://doi.org/10.1097/01.CCM.0000056183.89175.76.
- Nikandish R, Karajizadeh M, Rasekh R, Soleimanijafarbiglo M, Golafshan L, Roozrokh Arshadi Montazer M. The Nurses' Perception of Nurse-Physician Professional Relationship in Abu-Ali-Sina Organ Transplantation in Shiraz: A Descriptive Study in Iran. J Health Man & Info. 2020;7(4):206–12.
- Bjurling-Sjoberg P, Wadensten B, Poder U, Jansson I, Nordgren L. Balancing intertwined responsibilities: A grounded theory study of teamwork in everyday intensive care unit practice. J Interprof Care. 2017;31(2):233–44. [PubMed ID: 28140715]. https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2016.1255184.
- Eldar R, Marincek C, Kullmann L. Need for rehabilitation teamwork training in Europe. *Croat Med J.* 2008;49(3):352– 7. [PubMed ID: 18581613]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC2443619]. https://doi.org/10.3325/cmj.2008.3.352.
- Goldman J, Kitto S, Reeves S. Examining the implementation of collaborative competencies in a critical care setting: Key challenges for enacting competency-based education. J Interprof Care. 2018;32(4):407-15. [PubMed ID: 29161170]. https://doi.org/10.1080/13561820.2017.1401987.
- Stocker M, Pilgrim SB, Burmester M, Allen ML, Gijselaers WH. Interprofessional team management in pediatric critical care: some challenges and possible solutions. *J Multidiscip Healthc*. 2016;9:47– 58. [PubMed ID: 26955279]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4772711]. https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S76773.
- Shaghayeghfard B, Derakhshan Rad SA, Kamali Sarvestani F. [Attitude survey of physiatrist and rehabilitation professionals towards team working process in the city of Shiraz]. J Res Rehabil Sci. 2013;8(8):1319– 27. Persian.
- 17. Behnia O, Hossein Pour M, Zarea K. [The Analysis of the Team

Working Facilitating Factors among nurses Working in Training Centers affiliated with the University of Jondi SHapour in Ahvaz]. Avicenna J Nurs Midwifery Care. 2017;**24**(4):247-55. Persian. https://doi.org/10.21859/nmj-24045.

- Churruca K, Ludlow K, Wu W, Gibbons K, Nguyen HM, Ellis LA, et al. A scoping review of Q-methodology in healthcare research. *BMC Med Res Methodol*. 2021;**21**(1):125. [PubMed ID: 34154566]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8215808]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12874-021-01309-7.
- Yeun EJ. Q-methodology: Understanding and applying it to nursing and health research. Nurs Health Sci. 2021;23(4):777–81. [PubMed ID: 32472608]. https://doi.org/10.1111/nhs.12744.
- Moseya N, Mashegoane S, Govender S, Makhubela M. Consciring subjects: Q methodology described. *Health SA*. 2020;25:1163. [PubMed ID: 32391173]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7203205]. https://doi.org/10.4102/hsag.v25i0.1163.
- Innes K, Cotton S, Campbell MK, Elliott J, Gillies K. Relative importance of informational items in participant information leaflets for trials: a Q-methodology approach. *BMJ Open.* 2018;8(9). e023303. [PubMed ID: 30185580]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6129101]. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023303.
- 22. Tang X, Tong Q, Li Z, Chen Z, Weng M, Zhang X, et al. Difference and Commonness Among CLP, NON-CLP Patients and Their Parents Seeking for Orthodontic Treatment: A Questionnaire Applying Q Methodology to Investigate Motives. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2019;**13**:2047-60. [PubMed ID: 31827321]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6902877]. https://doi.org/10.2147/PPA.S224254.
- Zeraati M, Alavi NM. Designing and validity evaluation of Quality of Nursing Care Scale in Intensive Care Units. J Nurs Meas. 2014;22(3):461– 71. [PubMed ID: 25608432]. https://doi.org/10.1891/1061-3749.22.3.461.
- Sasaki H, Yonemoto N, Mori R, Nishida T, Kusuda S, Nakayama T. Use of the ICU Nurse-Physician Questionnaire (ICU N-P-Q): testing reliability and validity in neonatal intensive care units in Japan. *BMJ Open*. 2016;6(5). e010105. [PubMed ID: 27160841]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4874164]. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010105.
- Aghamohammadi D, Dadkhah B, Aghamohammadi M. Nurse-Physician Collaboration and the Professional Autonomy of Intensive Care Units Nurses. *Indian J Crit Care Med.* 2019;23(4):178– 81. [PubMed ID: 31130789]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6521826]. https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10071-23149.
- Elsous A, Radwan M, Mohsen S. Nurses and Physicians Attitudes toward Nurse-Physician Collaboration: A Survey from Gaza Strip, Palestine. Nurs Res Pract. 2017;2017:7406278.
 [PubMed ID: 28326194]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5343283]. https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/7406278.
- Baker DP, Amodeo AM, Krokos KJ, Slonim A, Herrera H. Assessing teamwork attitudes in healthcare: development of the TeamSTEPPS teamwork attitudes questionnaire. *Qual Saf Health Care*. 2010;**19**(6). e49. [PubMed ID: 20702444]. https://doi.org/10.1136/qshc.2009.036129.
- Kvande M, Lykkeslet E, Storli SL. ICU nurses and physicians dialogue regarding patients clinical status and care options-a focus group study. Int J Qual Stud Health Well-being. 2017;12(1):1267346. [PubMed ID: 28452605]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5328360]. https://doi.org/10.1080/17482631.2016.1267346.
- Nygren US, Tindberg Y, Eriksson L, Larsson U, Sandberg H, Nordgren L. Healthcare professionals' perceptions about interprofessional teamwork: a national survey within Swedish child healthcare services. *BMC Health Serv Res.* 2021;21(1):265. [PubMed ID: 33752664]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7983257]. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-021-06139-3.
- Hossny EK, Sabra HE. The attitudes of healthcare professionals towards nurse-physician collaboration. *Nurs Open*. 2021;8(3):1406– 16. [PubMed ID: 33378598]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8046133]. https://doi.org/10.1002/nop2.756.
- Ballangrud R, Aase K, Vifladt A. Longitudinal team training programme in a Norwegian surgical ward: a qualitative study of nurses' and physicians' experiences with teamwork skills. *BMJ Open.*

2020;**10**(7). e035432. [PubMed ID: 32641327]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7348475]. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-035432.

- Samavedam S. Collaboration and Autonomy: Mere Buzzwords? Indian J Crit Care Med. 2019;23(4):164. [PubMed ID: 31130785]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6521818]. https://doi.org/10.5005/jp-journals-10071-23145.
- Endris Y, Selassie MW, Edmealem A, Ademe S, Yimam W, Zenebe Y. Nurse-Physician Inter-Professional Collaboration and Associated Factors at Public Hospitals in Dessie City, Amhara, Northeastern Ethiopia, 2021. J Multidiscip Healthc. 2022;15:1697-708. [PubMed ID: 35971397]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9375560]. https://doi.org/10.2147/JMDH.S373599.
- Mazdaki A, Rezapour A, Azari S, Harati Khaliabad T, Behzadifar M, Razi Moghadam M, et al. A comparison of the earnings of specialist physicians and nurses before and after implementing the Iran's New Tariffs Book. *Med J Islam Repub Iran*. 2018;32:37. [PubMed ID: 30159288]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6108265]. https://doi.org/10.14196/mjiri.32.37.
- 35. Hailu FB, Kassahun CW, Kerie MW. Perceived Nurse-Physician Communication in Patient Care and Associated Factors in Public Hospitals of Jimma Zone, South West Ethiopia: Cross Sectional Study. PLoS One. 2016;11(9). e0162264. [PubMed ID: 27632162]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5025155]. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0162264.