Published online 2022 June 16.

Research Article

Associations of Socio-demographic, Clinical, and Biochemical Parameters with Quality of Life Among Hemodialysis Patients in Morocco

Ibtihale Boukhira 😳 1, 2, *, Said Jidane 💿 1 and Lahcen Belyamani 💿 1

¹Research Team in Acute Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy of Rabat, Mohammed V University of Rabat, Morocco ²High Institute of Nursing Professions and Technical Health, Agadir, Morocco

^{*} Corresponding author: Research Team in Acute Medicine, Faculty of Medicine and Pharmacy of Rabat, Mohammed V University of Rabat, Morocco; High Institute of Nursing Professions and Technical Health, Agadir, Morocco. Email: ibtihalee@gmail.com

Received 2022 January 16; Revised 2022 April 30; Accepted 2022 May 07.

Abstract

Background: Nearly 850 million people suffer from chronic kidney disease (CKD) worldwide, indicating its importance as a public health problem. Several studies have confirmed that quality of life is strongly associated with increased risks of morbidity and mortality in CKD patients, and hemodialysis patients have a lower level of health-related quality of life (HRQoL) compared to the general population.

Objectives: This study tries to investigate HRQoL and kidney disease quality of life (KDQoL) and explore their associated factors among hemodialysis patients in the Souss Massa region in Morocco.

Methods: Four hundred and forty-one hemodialysis patients were included in this cross-sectional study. The participants were at or above 18 years old, received at or above three months of hemodialysis, did not have serious changes in their lifestyle, and signed the consent form. Clients who were unable to respond or did not sign the consent form were excluded. Sociodemographic, clinical, and biological parameters were collected, and quality of life (QoL) (using KDQOL-SF-v1.3) was assessed. Through univariate and multivariate analysis, factors associated with HRQoL and kidney function could be determined.

Results: The prevalence of poor QoL among hemodialysis patients in HRQoL and KDQoL was 29.5% and 21.3%, respectively. In the multivariate analysis, the poor HRQoL was significantly associated with anemia (CI: 1.02 - 2.79; P = 0.037), frequency of dialysis sessions per week (CI: 1.04 - 4.66; P = 0.030), and poor KDQoL was associated with age (CI: 0.24 - 0.79; P = 0.006), occupational status (CI: 1.48 - 8.53; P = 0.028), and support for medical costs (CI: 0.13 - 0.73; P = 0.007).

Conclusions: According to the results, hemodialysis patients have poor QoL. Factors with a significant association were age, anemia, occupational status, number of dialysis sessions, and medical coverage. Future directions for these patients should try to treat anemic patients, intensify dialysis sessions, and reduce or guarantee free medical costs.

Keywords: Hemodialysis, Health-Related Quality of Life, Kidney Disease, Sociodemographic Factors, Clinical Factors

1. Background

Chronic kidney disease (CKD) is a public health problem, with a global prevalence of nearly 850 million, which is 20 times more common than HIV and twice the estimated global prevalence of diabetes (1). It is projected to become the fifth leading cause of death in the world by 2040 (2), and is considered the epidemic of the 21st century due to rising morbidity and mortality rates (3). In 2017, 12 million people lost their lives due to CKD, and 6975 million cases of all-stage CKD were recorded, for a global prevalence of 91% (4).

CKD affects between 10 to 16% of the general adult population in Asia, Australia, Europe, and North America (4). In Africa, the overall prevalence in the general population was 15.8% for CKD stages 1 to 5, and 4.6% for CKD stages 3 to 5 (5). Due to the increased prevalence in Africans of known risk factors for CKD such as diabetes, hypertension, genetic polymorphisms, like apolipoprotein L1, and sickle cell trait, African descendants are at high risk of developing CKD and progressing to end-stage renal disease (ESRD) (5-7).

The prevalence of CKD in people with diabetes was at 13% in sub-Saharan Africa and ranged from 11 to 20% in North Africa (8). While the prevalence of CKD was 4% in Egypt in 2016, it was 7.4% in Morocco (5).

Morocco is a low- or middle-income country (LMIC)

Copyright © 2022, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

where the demographic transition resulting from population aging, urbanization, and the global diabetes epidemic is exposing an increasing number of people to CKD (9). According to the results of the MAREMAR (Maladie Rénale Chronique au Maroc) study: The prevalence of CKD, hypertension, obesity, and diabetes is 6.6%, 28.2%, 24.2%, and 32.8%, respectively (10).

Diabetes and hypertension, and vascular diseases are the two major underlying End-Stage Renal Disease (ESRD) in Morocco (9), where hemodialysis (HD) becomes a necessity in renal replacement therapies (RRT) (11). According to the Moroccan Society of Nephrology, the number of ESRD patients treated by hemodialysis has increased from about 7000 patients in 2008 to 30000 in December 2018 (12).

The dialysis population is growing rapidly worldwide, and the majority (approximately 89%) of these patients are on hemodialysis (HD) (13), which is the commonest form of RRT in the world (14).

In Morocco, it is the most prevalent modality of RRT (99%) (9). Certainly, there are treatment methods for HD that ensure patients' comfort and improve their life expectancy. However, HD is still a heavy alternative, which considerably affects both the physical and mental quality of life (QoL) of end-stage renal failure patients (15). Thus, the QoL of ESRD patients must be explored and measured in order to better identify and monitor the conditions of patients.

QoL has emerged as an important concept and an indispensable target in health and medical research and practice. Understanding QoL is an important step in promoting patient care and rehabilitation to alleviate symptoms and adjust ineffective therapies (16). QoL in health is a specific approach that covers individual satisfaction and well-being in front of the disease, treatment, and health condition (17).

QoL is also important for identifying discomforts and concerns that may affect patients, which can be communicated to future patients and allow them to anticipate the consequences of their disease and the discomforts of their treatment (16), particularly QoL is still of high importance for dialysis, given the profound effect of hemodialysis on patients' daily lives.

Studies have consistently shown that hemodialysis patients suffer from a lower level of health-related quality of life (HRQoL) and life expectancy compared to the general population (5, 10, 15, 18), due to vulnerability and deficit of physical, mental, and social well-being (19). Poor QoL is strongly associated with an increased risk of morbidity and mortality in ESRD (20). However, in Asia and Africa, the Qol of HD patients is poorly studied, particularly in LMIC (19).

In Morocco, few studies have addressed the QoL of

hemodialysis patients. Notably, a recent study conducted by Chrifi Alaoui et al. (21), which intended to compare the QoL of patients that undergone HD and peritoneal dialysis, and the study of Bouidida (22), which focused on the translation and validation of KDQoL-SF v 1.3 in dialectal Arabic. In Morocco, particularly in the Souss Massa region (where hemodialysis patients face several constraints), factors associated with QoL in ESRD patients have not been studied.

According to a recent study conducted in the region of Souss Massa (23), 54% of hemodialysis patients are inactive, 10% are disabled, more than 62% of patients use a common means of transportation, and the majority suffer from transportation costs and direct expenses related to external assessment and imaging. This can sometimes cause renunciation of dialysis care. In the Souss Massa region, despite free dialysis sessions in the public sector, hemodialysis patients still face difficulties in terms of geographical access to dialysis care, and enormous financial and social difficulties (lack of stable income), which inevitably affect their QoL. Thus, investigating sociodemographic, clinical, and biological factors associated with QoL of hemodialysis patients in Morocco, particularly in the Souss Massa region, is of high importance and a necessary task. Knowledge and learning about these factors not only are essential to improve patients' care but also help the most vulnerable and pave the way for developing appropriate interventions (11, 24). Public health interventions should take into account all aspects affecting the QoL of hemodialysis patients.

Additionally, the level of professional qualification and experience of the medical and nursing staff can influence the QoL of hemodialysis patients (25); hence, nephrologists and nurses should assimilate and understand all factors that can affect the QoL of this vulnerable population, knowing that one of the major goals of CKD management is to implement interventions that improve HRQoL (11).

In this context, we conducted this multicenter study on a relatively large sample. According to the best knowledge of the authors, this is the first study in the Souss Massa region that investigated HRQoL and KDQoL in the hemodialysis population, without forgetting associated social, demographic, clinical, and biological factors. Also, the present study was based on KDQoL-SF 36 Tm scale, which is a widely accepted assessment tool to assess QoL in hemodialysis patients.

2. Objectives

In our study, we will investigate HRQoL and KDQoL and explore their associated factors among hemodialysis patients in the Souss Massa region in Morocco using the short form of kidney disease (KDQoL-SF™ version 1.3).

3. Methods

3.1. Ethics Approval and Consent

The ethics committee of biomedical research of Mohamed the Vth University of Medicine and Pharmacy of Rabat has approved this study (N/R: Case number 11/20). Confidentiality of information was respected, and all participants provided and signed written informed consent.

3.2. Study Design and Settings

Following a cross-sectional design, this study was conducted on 441 hemodialysis patients from February-September 2020. The participants were recruited from all public-sector hemodialysis centers (9 centers) in the Souss Massa region, Morocco. The study was carried out in all dialyses centers (9 centers) under the public sector in the Souss region of Morocco: Dialysis center of Agadir regional hospital, dialysis center of Inzegane provincial hospital, dialysis center of Ait Melloul, dialysis center of Eljihadia, dialysis center of Taroudant provincial hospital, dialysis center of Oulad Taima, dialysis center of Biougra provincial hospital, dialysis center of Tiznit provincial hospital and dialysis center of Tata provincial hospital.

3.3. Study Participants

All hemodialysis patients (n = 441), recruited from all public-sector hemodialysis centers (9 centers) in the Souss Massa region, met the following inclusion criteria: Age \geq 18 years old, duration of hemodialysis \geq 3 months, lack of recent change in usual lifestyle habits, and prior agreement. Patients unable to respond and/or in a coma, patients with psychiatric disorders, and non-consenting were excluded from the study.

3.4. Instruments and Measurements

After a review of several articles on this topic and in consultation with experts in this field, a questionnaire was designed to collect sociodemographic data, which included age and sex, health insurance status, education level, marital status, occupation, living conditions, residence, and medical bills. The following anamnestic data were collected using the medical records: Comorbidities, toxic habits, and compliance with hygienic-dietary rules; clinical and dialytic data included: Body mass index, number of dialysis sessions per week, duration of hemodialysis, inter dialytic weight gain, and vascular approach; and biological data included: Hemoglobin (Anemia is defined as Hgb < 11 g/dL) (26), phosphoremia, albumin, blood calcium, and thyroid workup.

Participants' QoL was studied using the Kidney Disease Quality of Life Short Form: KDQoL-SF 36 Tm (version 1.3) (27), with the validated Moroccan version (22). In fact, the KDQoL-long form is the first version of the KDQoL, which has 134 items covering 11 kidney disease-specific scales, as it is a long questionnaire, it often results in insufficient responsiveness. Thus, the KDQoL-SF v.1.3 is the most suitable measurement tool for large-scale assessments in dialysis centers, and it is the most widely used standard tool for determining QoL in CKD patients worldwide (25, 27). This can be attributed to its ease of administration and relatively low burden on patients and staff.

This commonly used instrument is specifically developed for people with kidney disease and on dialytic treatment (27). It consists of two parts. The first part, which is the generic core (HRQoL), intends to assess the general state of health of hemodialysis patients and has two dimensions: Mental component summary (MCS) and physical component summary (PCS). It contains 36 items in different areas: Physical function (10 items), general health (5 items), pain (2 items), role function (4 items), social functioning (2 items), well-being and role (3 items), physical role (5 items), and energy / tiredness (4 items) (27, 28). Two summary scores are generated: The physical component summary (PCS) and the mental component summary (MCS). The HRQoL score was obtained using the mean value of the two obtained scores.

The second part, which is a specific core (KDQoL) about renal failure, is a kidney disease component summary (KDCS) (28), targeting the particular concerns of people with kidney disease and on dialysis to assess CKD-specific QoL. It contains 43 items across different domains: Symptoms / dysfunctions related to the renal failure scale (12 items), effects / charges in connection with the renal failure scale (8 items), sleep (4 items), the burden of kidney disease (4 items), quality of social interactions (3 items), work status (2 items), sexual (2 items) and cognitive function (3 items), dialysis staff encouragement (2 items), social support (2 items), and patient satisfaction (1 item) (28). The items were recoded to be between zero (the lowest) and 100 (the highest) to calculate the subscale scores, with higher scores representing better QoL. Then, the average of the items belonging to the same scale was calculated to obtain the KDCS score, which is the KDQoL score. The scores were calculated following the user manual (27).

Following KDQoL guidelines (29), using the mean and standard deviation (SD) for the HRQoL score and the KDQoL score, three categories of QoL level were defined for HRQol and KDQol: Poor, moderate, and good. A level below the mean-1SD (standard deviation) was considered poor QoL; a level equal to the mean \pm SD was considered moderate QoL, and a level above the mean +1SD was considered good QoL.

3.5. Steps of Data Collection

Once permission to access the dialysis centers was granted by the regional health director, the managers of these units were contacted and informed of the study protocols with detailed explanations, and appointments for data collection were made. To avoid participant fatigue, they were asked to participate in the study and provide information before their hemodialysis session began. All eligible patients who were willing to participate were invited to complete the survey after providing them with all essential information regarding the study. During the face-toface interviews, the questionnaire was administered by the investigator of this research; on average, each interview lasted approximately 25 minutes.

3.6. Data Management and Statistical Analysis

The factors associated with HRQoL and KDQoL were determined by univariate and multivariate logistic regression. The independent variables with a P value less than 0.25 in the univariate analysis were all included in the multivariate logistic regression analysis. For any P < 0.05, the difference is considered statistically significant. Data analysis was administered by the SPSS software package for Windows (ver. 13.0; SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). The pseudo-R-squared test and the Hosmer-Lemeshow chi-square test were used to check the model fit before interpreting the final model.

4. Results

4.1. Characteristics of the Participants

Four hundred and forty-one chronic hemodialysis patients were studied. The mean age of participants was 56.05 ± 15.67 , while the median was 58 years (IRQ 45 -68). One hundred and thirty-five patients (30.6%) are elderly (> 65 years). One hundred and ninety-two patients (43.5%) were female. Four hundred and thirty-eight patients (99.3%) were from urban areas, and only 32 (7.3%) were covered for medical costs. Sociodemographic characteristics of patients are summarized in Table 1.

The mean body mass index (BMI) was 23.88 \pm 3.40 kg/m². The mean duration of hemodialysis was 64.80 \pm 49.71 months, and 335 participants (76%) were anemic. Forty-two patients (9.5%) do three sessions per week, while the majority (90.5%; n = 399) do two dialysis sessions per week. All collected clinical, dialytic, and biological parameters are summarized in Table 1.

4.2. Quality of Life

For HRQoL, the global mean score (SMG) was 40.27 ± 10.27 and for KDQoL was 40.74 with a standard deviation (SD) of 9.44 (Table 2). In summary, the participants' QoL in the two cores of the KDQOL-SF 36 Tm were as follows: 29.5% (n = 132) of participants had a poor HRQOL, and 21.3% (n = 94) had poor KDQoL (Table 3).

4.3. Factors Associated with Poor HRQoL and Poor KDQoL on Univariate and Multivariate Analysis

4.3.1. Univariate Analysis

Sociodemographic factors associated with poor HRQoL and Poor KDQoL on univariate analysis are presented in Table 4.

Clinical, dialytic, and biological factors associated with poor HRQoL and poor KDQoL on univariate analysis are presented in Table 5.

4.3.2. Multivariate Analysis

In univariate analysis, age, anemia, marital status, toxic habits, duration of hemodialysis, living conditions, interdialytic weight gain, frequency of dialysis sessions per week, comorbidities (diabetes and cardiovascular disease), and BMI were associated with poor HRQoL. Those associated with poor KDQoL were age, occupational status, support for medical costs, duration of hemodialysis, frequency of dialysis sessions per week, comorbidities (arterial hypertension), and vascular access.

These variables were chosen because of their correlation with the poor QoL in the two cores generic HRQoL and specific KDQoL, identified by univariate analysis and reported in the literature.

In multivariate analysis, factors that presented a strong statistical correlation (P < 0.05) with the poor level of QoL in HRQoL were: Anemia and frequency of dialysis sessions per week (Table 6), and for poor KDQoL, variables of age, professional status, and support for medical costs showed strong statistical correlation (Table 7).

5. Discussion

The results of this study revealed that in the HRQoL, the mean score was 40.27 points. It is almost similar to the value reported by Zouari from Tunisia (ie, 38.2) (30). Moreover, this finding agrees with two series from Morocco and the United States, which, respectively, indicated that scores on these subscales were between 32.6 and 44.2 points in the study of Chrifi Alaoui (21), and between 36.6 and 49 points in the study of Cohen (31). While in Al Salmi's series from Oman (18) and in Tannor's work from South Africa (32), this rate was between 58.23 and 59.3 points, respectively. This

Socio-demographic Characteristic		Clinical Characteristic		Dialytic and Biological Characteristic		
Variables	No. (%)	Variables	No. (%)	Variables	No. (%)	
Age (y)	56.05 ± 15.67	Anemia		Interdialytic weight gain (IDWG)	2.38 ± 1.05	
18 - 45	113 (25.6)	Yes (Hgb < 11.0 g/dL)	335 (76)	< 1 kg	205 (46.5)	
46-65	193 (43.8)	No (Hgb \geq 11.0 g/dL)	106 (24)	1 - 2 Kg	155 (35.1)	
> 65	135 (30.6)	Respect hygieno-dietetic rules		> 2 kg	81 (18.4)	
Gender		Respect	10 (2.3)	Duration on hemodialysis (mon)	64.84 ± 49.6	
Male	249 (56.5)	No respect	431 (97.9)	< 50	208 (47.2)	
Female	192 (43.5)	Toxic habits		50 - 100	152 (34.5)	
Education		Yes	17 (3.9)	> 10	81 (18.4)	
Illiterate	281 (63.7)	No	424 (96.1)	Number of dialysis sessions /week		
Primary	79 (17.9)	Body mass index (kg/mÂ ²)	23.18 ± 3.6	2 sessions	399 (90.5)	
Secondary	36 (8.2)	< 18.5	74 (16.8)	3 sessions	42 (9.5)	
Tertiary	41 (9.3)	18.5 - 24.9	241 (54.6)	Vascular access		
Higher education	4 (0.9)	25 - 29.9	126 (28.8)	AVF proximal	131 (29.7)	
Occupation		Hypertension		AVF distal	293 (66.4)	
Employee	51 (11.6)	Yes	235 (53.3)	Tunneled jugular catheter	17 (3.9)	
Self employed	12 (2.7)	No	206 (46.7)	PTH (mg/mL)	476.17 \pm 216.	
Unemployed	375 (85)	Diabetes mellitus		< 300	136 (30.8)	
Retired	3 (0.7)	Yes	165 (37.4)	300 - 600	214 (48.5)	
Marital status		No	276 (62.6)	> 600	91 (20.6)	
Single	61 (13.8)	Cardiovascular diseases		Ca (mmol/L)	51.05 ± 31.4	
Married	297 (67.3)	Yes	19 (4.3)	< 90	393 (89.1)	
Divorced or separated	7 (1.6)	No	422 (95.7)	90 - 105	40 (9.1)	
Widower	76 (17.2)	Systemic diseases		> 105	8 (1.8)	
Living conditions		Yes	4 (0.9)	P04 (mg/L)	46.83 ± 16.4	
Alone	270 (61.2)	No	437 (99.1)	< 25	17 (3.9)	
With others	171 (38.8)	Cancer		25 - 45	247 (56)	
Having health insurance		Yes	4 (0.9)	> 45	177 (40.1)	
Yes	431 (97.9)	No	437 (99.1)	Albumin (g/L)	42.75 \pm 14.6	
No	10 (2.3)	Liver diseases		< 38	136 (30.8)	
Place of residence		Yes	3 (0.7)	38 - 50	214 (48.5)	
City	438 (99.3)	No	438 (99.3)	> 50	91 (20.6)	
Village	3 (0.7)					
Support for medical costs						
With support	32 (7.3)					
Without support	409 (92.7)					

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Table 2. Summary of Participants' QOL Scores in HRQOL and KDQOL						
Module	Mean \pm SD	MIN	MAX			
Generic score HRQOL	40.27 ± 10.27	12.30	75			
Specific score KDQOL 40.74 ± 9.44 16.51 80.42						

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

difference may be due to the difference in age, as the mean age was 56 in our study, 49 in Zouari's study (30), and 61 in Cohen's study (31). While in Al Salmi's work (18) and Tannor's series (32), the mean age did not exceed 42, and the mean score HRQOL was higher. In the literature, the inverse association between QoL and age is explained by the deterioration of physical health and the decline in adaptive capacities (33).

In this study, the mean score of KDQoL was 40.74 points, which is consistent with a study conducted in Columbia (34), with a mean of 35.0 in the domain of the disease burden. However, the results of another study from Vietnam (35) and from South Africa (32) showed that scores on these subscales were, respectively, between 51.3 and 65 points. This difference can be justified by the difference in the QoL assessment context and health care systems (33, 34).

In our study, 29.5% of participants had a poor HRQOL, and 21.3% had poor KDQoL. Several factors were associated with poor HRQoL, such as anemia and the frequency of dialysis sessions per week. People with anemia are more likely to have a lower HRQoL than people without anemia; this finding is not consistent with some studies that reported no association between hemoglobin and QoL (31). However, another study demonstrated that high hemoglobin level is significantly associated with better HRQoL (19) and reported that anemia commonly contributes to poor QoL in patients with CKD. From a clinical perspective, it makes sense that anemia affects HRQoL in hemodialysis patients, as it is a frequent comorbidity of CKD and is associated with an elevated risk of CKD progression, cardiovascular problems, and mortality (36).

Therefore, anemia remains a significant problem in patients with CKD. Hence, there is a need for new therapeutic approaches (32).

The frequency of dialysis sessions per week is the second factor that indicated an association with poor HRQoL; retained in multivariate analysis, patients who receive two dialysis sessions per week are more likely to have poor HRQoL in comparison to those who receive three dialysis sessions per week. This can be explained by the fact that shortening the interdialytic intervals can reduce the instantaneous ultrafiltration flow rate and allows an improvement in the hemodynamic tolerance of the sessions. In addition, it alleviates post-dialytic tiredness and results in better sleep adequacy (37).

On the one hand, poor HRQoL may be due to the iatrogenic effects of the usual rhythm of hemodialysis, which is insufficient to control fluid and solute levels (15). On the other hand, hemodialysis patients may have many troublesome symptoms of the uremic syndrome related to the persistence of protein-bound uremic toxins and small peptides (named middle molecules), which are not effectively removed by current dialysis modalities (13). Thus, intensive hemodialysis can directly contribute to decreasing iatrogenic effects and thereby improving HRQoL(15) and the development of dialysis methods that can improve the removal of all these middle molecules. It is a promising approach to achieving better outcomes and, thereby, a better QoL for hemodialysis patients (13). In this study, the number of dialyses was retained in the univariate analysis as a factor associated with the alteration of the QoL (30). On the other hand, in another series (38), extending weekly hemodialysis hours was not associated with poor QoL. Nevertheless, new approaches and modalities for dialysis that are cost-effective, accessible, and provide better outcomes for patients must be designed as a matter of urgency(13) to improve their QoL.

Our findings show that 21.3% of participants had poor KDQoL. In addition, the following variables were associated with poor KDQoL: age, professional status, and support for medical costs. The age between 45 and 65 years is considered a protective factor (CI: 0.24 - 0.89, P = 0.006) against a poor KDQoL, insofar as patients who are part of this age are not likely to have poor KDQoL compared to others who are over 65 years old. A similar association is seen in previous studies (30, 38), the results of which indicated an association between age and poor QoL. Also, patients of this age (ie, 46 - 65 years) have a better QoL in comparison to their younger counterparts. This may be due to a better adaptation to chronic diseases and lower expectations of older patients compared to younger ones (18, 24).

Regarding occupational status, the results of our study suggest that professionally active patients have lower QoL scores than patients who do not work, which is also reported by a recent Saudi study that found higher QoL scores among those who did not work and stayed at home (39). However, our findings are contrary to the results reported by another series (40), which say that professionally active patients had a higher QoL scores. Our finding may be justified by the fact that work increases fatigue in hemodialysis patients, which in turn affects their daily life, physical activities, and well-being. Fatigue is known to be one of the most reported symptoms by hemodialysis patients that negatively and profoundly affects their QoL(41).

For the support of medical costs, it is considered as

Table 3. QOL Level Classification in HRQOL and KDQOL						
	Total	Level of QOL				
	Iotai	Poor Level (< Mean-1SD)	Moderate Level (Mean+/-1SD)	Good Level (> Mean+1SD)		
Generic score HRQOL	441	130 (29.5)	214 (48.5)	97 (22)		
Specific score KDQOL	441	94 (21.3)	271 (61.5)	76 (19.2)		

Abbreviation: SD, standard deviation.

a protective factor (CI: 0.13 - 0.73, P = 0.007) against poor KDQoL; that is, patients who have no support for medical costs are more likely to have a lower KDQoL, as shown by two recent studies in Nepal and Ethiopia (38, 42), which reported that patients who received ESRD paid less medical costs, and those who were able to pay all medical costs had a better QoL compared to patients with no support for medical costs. In fact, the main reason for the economic burden on CKD patients is medical costs (the cost of drugs and surgery/operations) (35). Nonetheless, patients who receive medical cost support and pay fewer medical costs are more likely to access medical services and maintain their health status, which may reduce health problems and comorbidities, and it is known to impact survival, hospitalization, and HRQoL (24).

Comorbidity was also retained in the univariate analysis as a risk factor associated with a low level of QoL, in particular diabetes and cardiovascular diseases, which are associated with poor HRQoL, and arterial hypertension, which was associated with poor KDQoL. However, in multivariate analysis, the comorbidity had no correlation with a low level of QoL either in HRQoL or in KDQoL. Several studies (18, 42, 43) reported that patients with underlying health problems had poor HRQoL and KDQoL, as well as patients with ESRD and a history of cardiovascular disease who had a poor HRQoL (44).

According to the results of our study, the residence origin and the education level have no statistical correlation with the low level of QoL, either for HRQoL or KDQoL, unlike other studies that reported higher education level was associated with better KDQoL and people from urban areas had better HRQoL and slightly better KDQoL than those from rural areas.

Based on the findings, there was no association between albumin level and QoL, which is not in line with other studies that reported higher albumin levels were associated with better HRQoL (42, 43).

In our study, both medical and non-medical factors were associated with poor HRQoL and KDQoL. Indeed, according to our results: sociodemographic factors (ie, occupational status, age, and support for medical costs) and clinico-dialytic factors (ie, anemia and number of dialysis sessions per week) were significantly associated with HRQoL and KDQoL. Therefore, effective interventions are needed to address both medical and non-medical parameters affecting the QoL of hemodialysis patients.

5.1. Limitations

Our study had some limitations. Firstly, the study was conducted in a single geographic region and concerns a single population of hemodialysis patients, which does not allow generalization to other hemodialysis populations. Secondly, the study was performed following a crosssectional design, which its results only show the association of factors with HRQoL and KDQoL rather than causal inference.

5.2. Conclusions

Patients with anemia who had a lower number of dialysis sessions per week had a poorer HRQoL. Also, patients aged between 45 and 65 years who had support for medical costs and did not work had a better KDQoL. In this sense, the government and decision-makers should be aware of the interest in improving the socioeconomic level of patients, particularly for hemodialysis patients, reducing the cost of drugs, or ensuring appropriate health insurance for them that would allow broad and affordable access to medical services. Effective interventions are needed to actively treat anemic and intensify dialysis sessions or even develop cost-effective, efficient, and accessible dialysis methods and modalities that can decrease the burden of symptoms and ensure patients' better quality of life. Therefore, a comprehensive and multidisciplinary approach is needed to address all aspects that can improve the quality of life of hemodialysis patients in the Souss Massa region, involving the government, the Ministry of Health, nephrologists, nurses, psychologists, pharmacists, social workers, and families.

Factors —	Poor HRQOL			Poor KDQOL		
	OR	95%CI	P-Value	OR	95%CI	P-Value
Age(y)						
18 - 45	1.48	0.83-2.64	0.182	1.02	0.52 - 2.02	0.938
46 - 65	0.82	0.51 - 1.33	0.349	0.50	0.28 - 0.87	0.015
> 65	1	1	1	1	1	1
Gender						
Male	1.11	0.73 - 1.61	0.613	1.06	0.67 - 1.67	0.801
Female	1	1	1	1	1	1
Education						
Illiterate	0.12	0.07 - 6.74	0.751	0.12	0.07 - 6.74	0.901
Primary	0.09	0.08 - 8.41	0.877	0.09	0.08 - 8.41	0.956
Secondary	0.29	0.13 - 14.91	0.780	0.29	0.13 - 14.91	0.701
Tertiary	0.06	0.14 - 32.52	0.576	0.06	0.14 - 32.52	0.998
Higher education	1	1	1	1	1	1
Occupation						
Employee	5.87	0.43 - 79.77	0.183	25	1.11 - 561.28	0.043
Self employed	6.00	0.25 - 140.04	0.265	6	0.25 - 140.04	0.265
Unemployed	1.00	0.09 - 11.22	0.995	1.55	0.13 - 17.34	0.720
Retired	1	1	Ι	1	1	1
Marital status						
Single	1.77	0.83 - 3.79	0.137	0.90	0.41-1.95	0.801
Married	1.28	0.75 - 2.18	0.351	1.31	0.72 - 2.37	0.363
Divorced or separated	0.70	0.14 - 3.38	0.663	1.93	0.21 - 17.08	0.554
Widower	1	1	1	1	1	1
Living conditions						
Alone	1.37	0.90 - 2.08	0.135	1.37	0.90 - 2.08	0.357
With others	1	1	1	1	1	1
Having health insurance						
Yes	0.97	0.24 - 3.82	0.971	2.47	0.31 - 19.79	0.393
No	1	1	1	1	1	1
Place of residence						
City	0.83	0.07-9.28	0 .883	0.53	0.04 - 6.01	0.616
Village	1	1	Ι	1	1	1
Support for medical costs						
With support	0.78	0.36 - 1.67	0.529	0.41	0.19 - 0.89	0.024
Without support	1	1	1	1	1	1

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

	Poor HRQOL			Poor KDQOL		
Factors	OR	95% CI	P-Value	OR	95% CI	P-Value
Anemia						
Yes (Hgb < 11.0 g/dL)	1.56	0.98 - 2.43	0.040	0.82	0.47 - 1.42	0.481
No (Hgb ≥ 11.0 g/dL)	1	1	1	1	1	1
Respect hygieno-dietetic rules		1	1		1	1
Respect	0.07	0.00 2.08	0.971	2.47	0.21, 12.70	0.202
	0.97	0.90 - 2.08	0.9/1	2.47	0.31-13.79	0.393
No respect	1	I				1
Toxic habits						
Yes	2.75	0.80 - 9.47	0.108	0.70	0.26 - 1.86	0.486
No	1	1	1	1	1	1
Body mass index (kg/m ²)						
< 18.5	1.78	0.94 - 3.40	0.076	1.28	0.63 - 2.57	0.483
18.5 - 24.9	1.52	0.96 - 2.41	0.072	1.31	0.78 - 2.19	0.300
25 - 29.9	1	1	1	1	1	1
Hypertension						
Yes	0.821	0.54 - 1.24	0.367	0.63	0.39 - 1.00	0.053
No	1	1	1	1	1	1
Diabetes mellitus						
Yes	0.75	0.49 - 1.15	0.199	0.83	0.52 - 1.32	0.433
No	1	1	1	1	1	1
Cardiovascular diseases		,			,	1
Yes	0.49	0.19 - 1.22	0.126	1.08	0.35 - 3.33	0.883
No	1	0.19 - 1.22	1	1	1	0.885
	1	I	I	1	1	1
Systemic diseases						
Yes	1.25	0.12 - 12.19	0.844	0.26	0.03 - 1.91	0.189
No	1	1	I	1	Ι	1
Cancer						
Yes	0.41	0.05 - 2.97	0.381	0.41	0.05 - 2.97	0.381
No	1	1	I	1	I	1
Liver diseases						
Yes	0.83	0.07-9.28	0.883	0.53	0.04 - 6.01	0.616
No	1	I.	1	1	1	1
Interdialytic weight gain (IDWG)						
< 1 kg	1.68	0.96 - 2.93	0.065	1.68	0.93 - 3.02	0.082
1-2 kg	1.17	0.66 - 2.06	0.584	1.82	0.97-3.41	0.058
> 2 kg	1	1	1	1	1	1
Duration on hemodialysis / mouths		1	1		1	1
< 50	1.67	0.96 - 2.90	0.068	1.71	0.95 - 3.08	0.072
< 50	1.17	0.66 - 2.06	0.583	1.78	0.95 - 3.33	0.072
> 10	1			1		
	1	1	I	1	Ι	1
Number of dialysis sessions / week						
2 Sessions	2.14	1.12 - 4.08	0.021	1.76	0.87-3.53	0.113
3 Sessions	1	1	I	1	I	I
Vascular access						
AVF proximal	0.65	0.20 - 2.12	0.477	0.25	0.03 - 1.99	0.191
AVF distal	0.76	0.24 - 2.14	0.649	0.21	0.02 - 1.61	0.134
Tunneled jugular catheter	1	1	1	1	1	1
PTH (mg/mL)						
< 300	0.90	0.50 - 1.61	0.729	1.46	0.70 - 3.03	0.309
300-600	1.59	1.00 - 2.51	0.644	0.96	0.59 - 1.57	0.901
> 600	1	1	I	1	1	1
Ca (mmol/L)						
< 90	0.79	0.15 - 4.00	0.782	0.54	0.06 - 4.46	0.569
90-105	0.79	0.13 - 4.41	0.782	0.34	0.04 - 3.42	0.386
> 105	1		1	1	0.04-5.42	
		1	I		I	1
P04 (mg/L)						
< 25	0.54	0.20 - 1.49	0.260	0.79	0.15 - 4.00	0.782
25-45	1.39	0.91 - 2.12	0.325	0.77	0.13 - 4.41	0.777
> 45	1	1	I	1	I	1
Albumin (g/L)						
< 38	0.74	0.41 - 1.32	0.313	0.77	0.40 - 1.50	0.458
38 - 50	0.99	0.57 - 1.72	0.986	0.83	0.45 - 1.55	0.579
> 50	1	1	1	1	1	1

Abbreviations: OR, odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Middle East J Rehabil Health Stud. 2022; 9(3):e122559.

Factors	Poor HRQOL					
Tactors	OR	95% CI	P-Value			
Anemia						
Yes (Hgb < 11.0 g/dL)	1.69	1.02 - 2.79	0.037			
Number of dialysis sessions / week						
2 Sessions	2.24	1.04 - 4.66	0.030			

Table 7. Factors Associated with	oor KDQOL on Multivariate Analysis
habite f. fuctors hissociated with	oor Regoe on manervariate manysis

Factors	Poor KDQOL			
Tactors	OR	95% CI	P-Value	
Age (y)				
46 - 65	0.45	0.24 - 0.79	0.006	
Occupational status				
Employee	6.15	1.48 - 8.53	0.028	
Support for medical costs				
With support	0.31	0.13 - 0.73	0.007	

Abbreviations: aOR, adjusted odds ratio; CI, confidence interval.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the staff of the public hemodialysis centers in the Souss Massa region for the collaboration throughout the performance of this study. They also express their gratitude to the participants for their collaboration.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: BI designed the study, analyzed the data, and wrote the manuscript. JS participated in the design, statistical analysis, interpretation of the data, and writing the manuscript. BL, in turn, participated in the design, analysis, interpretation of data, revision of the manuscript, and gave final approval of the published version. All authors had access to the data and played a role in writing the manuscript. Furthermore, all authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: The study has been approved by the ethics committee of biomedical research of MOHAMED, the Vth University of Medicine and Pharmacy in RABAT (N/R: folder Number 11/20), and informed consent was obtained from each patient.

Funding/Support: The authors state no funding/support.

Informed Consent: Confidentiality of information was respected, and all participants provided and signed written informed consent.

References

- Jager KJ, Kovesdy C, Langham R, Rosenberg M, Jha V, Zoccali C. A single number for advocacy and communication-worldwide more than 850 million individuals have kidney diseases. *Kidney Int*. 2019;**96**(5):1048– 50. doi: 10.1016/j.kint.2019.07.012. [PubMed: 31582227].
- Foreman KJ, Marquez N, Dolgert A, Fukutaki K, Fullman N, McGaughey M, et al. Forecasting life expectancy, years of life lost, and all-cause and cause-specific mortality for 250 causes of death: reference and alternative scenarios for 2016–40 for 195 countries and territories. *Lancet*. 2018;**392**(10159):2052–90. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(18)31694-5. [PubMed Central: PMC6227505].
- Hill NR, Fatoba ST, Oke JL, Hirst JA, O'Callaghan CA, Lasserson DS, et al. Global Prevalence of Chronic Kidney Disease - A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(7). e0158765. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0158765. [PubMed: 27383068]. [PubMed Central: PMC4934905].
- Bikbov B, Purcell CA, Levey AS, Smith M, Abdoli A, Abebe M, et al. Global, regional, and national burden of chronic kidney disease, 1990–2017: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2017. *Lancet*. 2020;**395**(10225):709–33. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30045-3. [PubMed Central: PMC7049905].
- Kaze AD, Ilori T, Jaar BG, Echouffo-Tcheugui JB. Burden of chronic kidney disease on the African continent: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *BMC Nephrol.* 2018;**19**(1):125. doi: 10.1186/s12882-018-0930-5. [PubMed: 29859046]. [PubMed Central: PMC5984759].
- George C, Stoker S, Okpechi I, Woodward M, Kengne A, C. KD-Africa Collaboration. The Chronic Kidney Disease in Africa (CKD-Africa) collaboration: lessons from a new pan-African network. *BMJ Glob Health.* 2021;6(8). doi: 10.1136/bmjgh-2021-006454. [PubMed: 34348933]. [PubMed Central: PMC8340290].
- Patrice HM, Pascal KA, Francois KF, Hilaire D, Solange DM, Gloria AE, et al. Markers and risk factors for chronic kidney disease in sub-Saharan Africans: baseline levels and 12-month trajectories in newly referred patients in Cameroon. *BMC Nephrol.* 2020;**21**(1):101. doi: 10.1186/s12882-020-01760-6. [PubMed: 32188410]. [PubMed Central: PMC7079528].
- Abd ElHafeez S, Bolignano D, D'Arrigo G, Dounousi E, Tripepi G, Zoccali C. Prevalence and burden of chronic kidney disease among the general population and high-risk groups in Africa: a systematic review. *BMJ Open*. 2018;8(1). e015069. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-015069. [PubMed: 29326180]. [PubMed Central: PMC5780690].
- 9. Maoujoud O, Cherrah Y, Arrayhani M, Zemraoui N, Dkhissi H, El Kabbaj D, et al. Epidemiology, health economic context, and management of chronic kidney diseases in low and middle-income countries: The case of Morocco. *EMJ*. 2017;**2**(4):76–81.
- Moustakim R, El Ayachi M, Mziwira M, Belahsen R. Undiagnosed chronic kidney disease and its associated risk factors in an agricultural Moroccan adult's population. *Nephrol Ther.* 2020;**16**(3):147–52. doi: 10.1016/j.nephro.2019.12.003. [PubMed: 32278735].
- Alshogran OY, Shatnawi EA, Altawalbeh SM, Jarab AS, Farah RI. Predictors of poor health-related quality of life among hemodialysis patients with anemia in Jordan. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2021;**19**(1):272– 80. doi: 10.1186/s12955-021-01905-7. [PubMed: 34952599]. [PubMed Central: PMC8709968].
- Bahadi A, Lagtarna H, Benbria S, Zajjari Y, Elkabbaj D, Zemraoui N. Physical activity in Sahara Moroccan hemodialysis patients. *BMC Res Notes*. 2021;**14**(1):65–70. doi: 10.1186/s13104-021-05460-8. [PubMed: 33597008]. [PubMed Central: PMC7890959].

- Himmelfarb J, Vanholder R, Mehrotra R, Tonelli M. The current and future landscape of dialysis. *Nat Rev Nephrol.* 2020;**16**(10):573-85. doi: 10.1038/s41581-020-0315-4. [PubMed: 32733095]. [PubMed Central: PMC7391926].
- Bello AK, Okpechi IG, Osman MA, Cho Y, Htay H, Jha V, et al. Epidemiology of haemodialysis outcomes. *Nat Rev Nephrol*. 2022;18(6):378–95. doi: 10.1038/s41581-022-00542-7.
- Kraus MA, Fluck RJ, Weinhandl ED, Kansal S, Copland M, Komenda P, et al. Intensive Hemodialysis and Health-Related Quality of Life. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2016;68(5S1):S33–42. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2016.05.023. [PubMed: 27772641].
- Haraldstad K, Wahl A, Andenaes R, Andersen JR, Andersen MH, Beisland E, et al. A systematic review of quality of life research in medicine and health sciences. *Qual Life Res.* 2019;28(10):2641–50. doi: 10.1007/s11136-019-02214-9. [PubMed: 31187410]. [PubMed Central: PMC6761255].
- Fumincelli L, Mazzo A, Martins JCA, Mendes IAC. Quality of life and ethics: A concept analysis. Nurs Ethics. 2019;26(1):61–70. doi: 10.1177/0969733016689815. [PubMed: 28196454].
- Al Salmi I, Kamble P, Lazarus ER, D'Souza MS, Al Maimani Y, Hannawi S. Kidney Disease-Specific Quality of Life among Patients on Hemodialysis. Int J Nephrol. 2021;2021:1-8. doi: 10.1155/2021/8876559. [PubMed: 33880190]. [PubMed Central: PMC8049780].
- Zazzeroni L, Pasquinelli G, Nanni E, Cremonini V, Rubbi I. Comparison of Quality of Life in Patients Undergoing Hemodialysis and Peritoneal Dialysis: a Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *Kidney Blood Press Res.* 2017;42(4):717–27. doi: 10.1159/000484115. [PubMed: 29049991].
- Al-Ali F, Elshirbeny M, Hamad A, Kaddourah A, Ghonimi T, Ibrahim R, et al. Prevalence of Depression and Sleep Disorders in Patients on Dialysis: A Cross-Sectional Study in Qatar. *Int J Nephrol.* 2021;**2021**:1-7. doi: 10.1155/2021/5533416. [PubMed: 34136284]. [PubMed Central: PMC8175178].
- Chrifi Alaoui A, Touti W, Al Borgi Y, Sqalli Houssaini T, El Rhazi K. Comparison of quality of life in end-stage renal disease patients undergoing hemodialysis and peritoneal dialysis in a Moroccan city. *Semin Dial*. 2022;**35**(1):50–7. doi: 10.1111/sdi.13034. [PubMed: 34704278].
- Bouidida B, Rhou H, Alghadi A, Sekkat FZ, Bayahia R, Benamar L. [Psychometric properties of KDQOL SF, Morocco version]. Nephrol Ther. 2011;7(5):405–6. French. doi: 10.1016/j.nephro.2011.07.308.
- Zammar R, Abdelbaki N. [Project Evaluation (Ramed). Case of Dialysis Care in the Sous Massa Region]. J Econ Manag Environ Law. 2019;2(3):92–101. French.
- 24. Yang F, Griva K, Lau T, Vathsala A, Lee E, Ng HJ, et al. Health-related quality of life of Asian patients with end-stage renal disease (ESRD) in Singapore. *Qual Life Res.* 2015;24(9):2163–71. doi: 10.1007/s11136-015-0964-0. [PubMed: 25800727].
- Dabrowska-Bender M, Dykowska G, Zuk W, Milewska M, Staniszewska A. The impact on quality of life of dialysis patients with renal insufficiency. *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2018;12:577-83. doi: 10.2147/PPA.S156356. [PubMed: 29720873]. [PubMed Central: PMC5916456].
- National Kidney F; Kdoqi. KDOQI Clinical Practice Guidelines and Clinical Practice Recommendations for Anemia in Chronic Kidney Disease. Am J Kidney Dis. 2006;47(5 Suppl 3):S11-145. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2006.03.010. [PubMed: 16678659].
- Hays RD, Kallich JD, Mapes DL, Coons SJ, Carter WB. Development of the kidney disease quality of life (KDQOL) instrument. *Qual Life Res.* 1994;3(5):329–38. doi: 10.1007/BF00451725. [PubMed: 7841967].
- Mahato SKS, Apidechkul T, Sriwongpan P, Hada R, Sharma GN, Nayak SK, et al. Factors associated with quality of life among chronic kidney disease patients in Nepal: a cross-sectional study. *Health Qual Life Outcomes*. 2020;**18**(1):207-20. doi: 10.1186/s12955-020-01458-1. [PubMed: 32600360]. [PubMed Central: PMC7325283].
- 29. Schatell D, Witten B. Measuring Dialysis Patients' Health-Related Quality of Life with the KDQOL-36TM. KDQOL Complete; 2012.
- 30. Žouari L, Omri S, Turki S, Maâlej M, Charfi N, Thabet JB, et al. [Quality

Middle East J Rehabil Health Stud. 2022; 9(3):e122559.

of life in patients with chronic renal failure on hemodialysis: about 71 patients]. *Tunis Med.* 2016;**94**(1):40–5. French.

- Cohen DE, Lee A, Sibbel S, Benner D, Brunelli SM, Tentori F. Use of the KDQOL-36 for assessment of health-related quality of life among dialysis patients in the United States. *BMC Nephrol*. 2019;**20**(1):112–21. doi: 10.1186/s12882-019-1295-0. [PubMed: 30935377]. [PubMed Central: PMC6444438].
- Tannor EK, Archer F, Kapembwa K, van Schalkwyk SC, Davids MR. Quality of life in patients on chronic dialysis in South Africa: a comparative mixed methods study. *BMC Nephrol*. 2017;**18**(1):4–12. doi: 10.1186/s12882-016-0425-1. [PubMed: 28056851]. [PubMed Central: PMC5217650].
- 33. Deloumeaux J, Samut G, Rochemont D, Merault H, Dufresne R, Galantine V, et al. [Initiation of first dialysis and three months quality of life of patients with end stage renal disease in the French territories of Guadeloupe and Guyane]. Nephrol Ther. 2018;14(6):467-73. doi: 10.1016/j.nephro.2017.12.002. [PubMed: 29477278].
- Higuita-Gutierrez LF, Velasco-Castano JJ, Jimenez Quiceno JN. Health-Related Quality of Life in Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease in Hemodialysis in Medellin (Colombia). *Patient Prefer Adherence*. 2019;13:2061-70. doi: 10.2147/PPA.S229670. [PubMed: 31849455]. [PubMed Central: PMC6911811].
- Doan KVD, Nguyen HTM, Nguyen NTH, Dang KC, Yang SH, Duong TV. Associations of Socio-Demographic, Clinical and Biochemical Parameters with Healthcare Cost, Health- and Renal-Related Quality of Life in Hemodialysis Patients: A Clinical Observational Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2020;**17**(18). doi: 10.3390/ijerph17186552. [PubMed: 32916843]. [PubMed Central: PMC7559218].
- Hanna RM, Streja E, Kalantar-Zadeh K. Burden of Anemia in Chronic Kidney Disease: Beyond Erythropoietin. *Adv Ther.* 2021;**38**(1):52-75. doi: 10.1007/s12325-020-01524-6. [PubMed: 33123967]. [PubMed Central: PMC7854472].
- Bonomini M, Del Vecchio L, Sirolli V, Locatelli F. New Treatment Approaches for the Anemia of CKD. *Am J Kidney Dis.* 2016;67(1):133-42. doi: 10.1053/j.ajkd.2015.06.030. [PubMed: 26372086].
- Jardine MJ, Zuo L, Gray NA, de Zoysa JR, Chan CT, Gallagher MP, et al. A Trial of Extending Hemodialysis Hours and Quality of Life. *J Am Soc Nephrol.* 2017;28(6):1898–911. doi: 10.1681/ASN.2015111225. [PubMed: 28151412]. [PubMed Central: PMC5461782].
- El-Habashi AF, El-Agroudy AE, Jaradat A, Alnasser ZH, Almajrafi HH, Alharbi RH, et al. Quality of life and its determinants among hemodialysis patients: A single-center study. Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl. 2020;31(2):460-72. doi: 10.4103/1319-2442.284022. [PubMed: 32394920].
- Cruz MC, Andrade C, Urrutia M, Draibe S, Nogueira-Martins LA, Sesso Rde C. Quality of life in patients with chronic kidney disease. *Clinics* (*Sao Paulo*). 2011;**66**(6):991–5. doi: 10.1590/s1807-59322011000600012. [PubMed: 21808864]. [PubMed Central: PMC3130152].
- Debnath S, Rueda R, Bansal S, Kasinath BS, Sharma K, Lorenzo C. Fatigue characteristics on dialysis and non-dialysis days in patients with chronic kidney failure on maintenance hemodialysis. *BMC Nephrol.* 2021;22(1):112–20. doi: 10.1186/s12882-021-02314-0. [PubMed: 33773596]. [PubMed Central: PMC7999524].
- Chong K, Unruh M. Why does quality of life remain an underinvestigated issue in chronic kidney disease and why is it rarely set as an outcome measure in trials in this population? *Nephrol Dial Transplant.* 2017;**32**(suppl_2):ii47–52. doi: 10.1093/ndt/gfw399. [PubMed: 28206614].
- Kefale B, Alebachew M, Tadesse Y, Engidawork E. Quality of life and its predictors among patients with chronic kidney disease: A hospital-based cross sectional study. *PLoS One*. 2019;14(2). e0212184. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0212184. [PubMed: 30811447]. [PubMed Central: PMC6392259].
- 44. Chen JY, Wan EYF, Choi EPH, Chan AKC, Chan KHY, Tsang JPY, et al. The Health-Related Quality of Life of Chinese Patients on Hemodialysis and Peritoneal Dialysis. *Patient*. 2017. doi: 10.1007/s40271-017-0256-6.