



Prevalence and Risk Factors for Congenital Malformations in Morocco

Ilham Adri ^{1,*}, Oulaid Touloun ¹, Samia Boussaa ²

¹ Polyvalent Team in Research and Development, Department of Biology and Geology, Polydisciplinary Faculty, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, 23 000, Beni Mellal, Morocco

² Higher Institute of Nursing Professions and Health Techniques, Ministry of Health and Social Protection, 10,000, Rabat, Morocco

* Corresponding author: Polyvalent Team in Research and Development, Department of Biology and Geology, Polydisciplinary Faculty, Sultan Moulay Slimane University, 23 000, Beni Mellal, Morocco. Email: ilham.adri@gmail.com

Received 2023 October 4; Revised 2024 February 17; Accepted 2024 February 26.

Abstract

Background: Congenital malformations are among the most pressing health concerns globally. In Morocco, minimizing the morbidity and mortality associated with congenital malformations is a key health policy objective. In the Marrakech-Safi region, the prevalence and etiology of congenital malformations remain unclear.

Objectives: This study seeks to ascertain the prevalence of clinically evident congenital malformations and identify the factors contributing to their incidence in the Marrakech-Safi region.

Methods: A retrospective study was conducted in the maternity ward of the Mohamed VI University Hospital Center in Marrakech in 2022. An obstetric chart was utilized to gather sociodemographic, obstetrical, maternal, and neonatal data from the target population, including risk factors. The data were analyzed using SPSS 21 software.

Results: Out of 17 250 files reviewed, 159 births with malformations were identified, resulting in a prevalence of 0.92% of births. Newborns with multiple malformations constituted 22.64% of all cases, with a prevalence of 2.08/1000 births. Neural tube defects were the most common, with a prevalence of 3.65/1000 births, followed by musculoskeletal defects and digestive tract defects. Chromosomal malformations, specifically trisomy 21, were the fifth most common, with a prevalence of 1.4/1000 births. Facial and urogenital malformations were the least frequent in this study. The occurrence of congenital malformations in this region is influenced by various risk factors, including maternal age, male sex, parity, medical history, and newborn weight at birth.

Conclusions: In the Marrakech-Safi region, congenital malformations are influenced by a wide range of risk factors. Identifying these factors is crucial for healthcare providers to enhance surveillance among at-risk populations and develop effective preventive, diagnostic, and therapeutic strategies.

Keywords: Prevalence, Diagnosis, Risk Factors, Congenital Malformation, Newborn, Morocco

1. Background

A congenital malformation is defined as any morphological anomaly in the formation of a tissue, organ, or body part that arises from abnormal development during embryonic or fetal life (1). Currently, congenital malformations are the leading cause of infant mortality in developed countries and contribute significantly to long-term morbidity, resulting in disabilities and handicaps (2).

The World Health Organization (WHO) attributes an additional 170 000 child deaths annually, from one month to five years of age, to congenital malformations. Furthermore, around 240,000 newborn deaths globally

each year are due to congenital anomalies, accounting for approximately 7% of all neonatal fatalities (3). However, this proportion varies significantly across regions, from 5% in Southeast Asia to over 25% in Europe (4).

The causes of congenital malformations are multifaceted, involving a mix of genetic, environmental, social, economic, or sometimes unknown factors (5). In low- and middle-income countries, maternal infections such as syphilis and rubella, as well as conditions like diabetes mellitus or deficiencies in iodine or folic acid, are prominent causes of malformations (6). This diversity of risk factors leads to variations in the frequency and types of malformations worldwide (7).

Data from the Americas show a broad range in prevalence, with 3 to 5% of live births and 15% of stillbirths affected in Canada (8), 1.1 per 100 births in Latin America (9), and 63.8 per 10,000 births in Guatemala (10).

In Europe, the EUROCAT (European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies) network reported a total prevalence of major congenital anomalies of 23.9 per 1 000 births, with 80% of these being live births (11). France, specifically, recorded a total prevalence of 3.2% of births (12).

For African countries, the prevalence is estimated at 7.85% of live births in Niger (13), 9.3 per 1 000 total births in Benghazi, Libya (14), and 30 per 10 000 live births in Algeria (15).

Morocco, recognizing the challenge posed by congenital malformations, has implemented several preventive and curative strategies. These include the national neonatal screening program for congenital diseases launched in 2012, systematic screening for congenital hypothyroidism, and the establishment of guidelines for the screening and management of childhood deafness (Ministry of Health and Social Protection Morocco, 2019) (16).

Despite these efforts, epidemiological data on congenital malformations are limited and not representative. The national prevalence of congenital malformations remains undefined, with most existing research being localized and focused on specific malformations. Moreover, the study of associations between congenital anomalies and various risk factors has been minimal in Morocco (17).

In the Marrakech-Safi region, the scarcity of epidemiological data on congenital malformations is particularly notable, even though this region ranks as the third most densely populated in Morocco, with an average density of 101.6 inhabitants per km² (18). The rural areas of the region experience a relatively high poverty rate and elevated infant mortality (19). Reducing infant mortality here is crucial for meeting the 2030 Sustainable Development Goals and prioritizing the elimination of preventable deaths among newborns and children under five (20). This necessitates enhanced prevention and management of congenital malformations, alongside efforts to mitigate avoidable factors such as infections, nutritional deficiencies, vaccine-preventable diseases, and exposure to harmful substances like alcohol, tobacco, drugs, and chemicals (21).

2. Objectives

This study embodies the advanced regionalization approach Morocco has adopted, aiming to foster territorial development through the local management of projects and public policies that cater to the unique characteristics and potentials of each region (22). It represents the first endeavor in the Marrakech-Safi region to delineate the prevalence of various congenital malformations and their associated risk factors. The findings aim to bolster prevention efforts, inform prenatal diagnosis, and facilitate the planning of interventions tailored to the region's specific needs.

3. Methods

This descriptive, retrospective study aims to assess the prevalence and risk factors of congenital malformations diagnosed in the Marrakech-Safi region of central Morocco, utilizing obstetric records from January 1 to December 31, 2022.

The research was conducted in the maternity ward of the Mohammed VI University Hospital Center in Marrakech. This tertiary-level referral maternity hospital serves patients from the Marrakech-Safi region, encompassing the prefecture of Marrakech, the region's capital, and the seven provinces of Al Haouz, Chichaoua, El Kelâa des Sraghnas, Essaouira, Rhamna, Safi, and Youssoufia, in addition to the southern and central regions of Morocco. The facility is recognized as a specialized healthcare structure for mother and child health in the center and south of Morocco.

3.1. Participants

The study targeted newborns diagnosed with one or more congenital malformations, including both live births and stillbirths, provided the malformations were clearly defined in the records. A newborn was considered poly malformed if it had two or more congenital malformations (23).

After excluding files that were unavailable, lacked necessary study variables, or did not clearly specify the type of congenital malformation diagnosed, a total of 17 250 files were considered for the study.

A chart was completed for each malformed newborn, capturing sociodemographic, maternal, and neonatal data alongside details of the pregnancy and delivery. The independent variables included: (1) Origin; (2) maternal variables (maternal age, parity, medical and obstetric history); (3) pregnancy follow-up, and (4) ultrasound practice. Neonatal variables covered newborn status at birth, sex, Apgar score, and birth weight. Maternal age was categorized into three groups: Under 18 years, between 18 and 35, and over 35. Birth

weight was classified into three categories: Hypotrophy (weight under 2500 g), normal weight (between 2500 g and 4200 g), and macrosomia (weight over 4200 g) (24). The Apgar score assessed the cardiorespiratory and neurological status of malformed newborns at birth, divided into three groups: A score of 7 to 10 at 5 minutes indicating normal status, 4 to 6 suggesting respiratory distress, and 0 to 3 indicating a critical state necessitating emergency care.

Malformations were coded according to the Royal College of paediatrics and child health (RCPCH) system, which is adapted from the 10th version of the International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems (ICD-10) (Table 1).

3.2. Data Analysis

Statistical analysis was conducted using SPSS 21 software. Frequencies and percentages were calculated to describe the types of congenital malformations identified. Pearson's chi-square tests analyzed the potential association between variables. A 5% level ($P < 0.05$) was considered statistically significant. The prevalence of each malformation was calculated with a 95% confidence interval to assess the accuracy of the prevalence identified.

3.3. Ethical Considerations

The study proceeded after securing authorization from the local and regional health services (Reference N°888/2021). Ethical principles such as anonymity and confidentiality were strictly observed. Hence, the evaluation forms utilized were coded and devoid of any patient names, the files were processed within the hospital to ensure confidentiality and anonymity, and the evaluation forms were disposed of after the study's completion.

4. Results

The study evaluated 17 250 cases at the maternity ward of Med VI University Hospital Center in Marrakech in 2022, identifying 159 newborns with congenital malformations. This equates to a prevalence of 0.92% (or 9.2/1 000 births).

Polymalformation syndrome was observed in 22.64% of the total population studied (Table 1). The highest frequency of congenital malformations occurred in mothers aged between 18 and 35 (62.26%) and in multiparous women (66%). Regarding the origin, the study revealed that 61% of cases originated from rural areas, in contrast to 39% from urban areas (Table 2), with

a predominance of males, evidenced by a sex ratio of 1.38, i.e., 90 males for every 65 females (Table 3).

The results (Table 1) indicate that neural tube defects were the most common, accounting for 39.62% of the malformations (hydrocephalus 15.09%, anencephaly 12.57%, spina bifida 10.06%, encephalocele, and microcephaly 2.5%), followed by musculoskeletal malformations at 26.4% (congenital hip dislocation 11.32%, clubfoot varus equines 10.06%, syndactyly and polydactyly each at 2.51%). Digestive tract malformations were present in 17.61% of cases (omphalocele 10.06%, esophageal atresia, gastroschisis, and anal imperforation each at 2.5%). Chromosomal malformations, specifically trisomy 21, constituted 15.09% of cases, facial malformations were observed in 8.8% of cases (cleft lip and palate 5.03%, cleft lip 3.77%), and urogenital malformations in 7.54% of cases (hypospadias 5.03% and sexual ambiguity 2.51%).

The maternal age group most commonly associated with congenital malformations was between 18 and 35, accounting for 62.3% of cases. Regarding origin, 61% of the women were from rural areas. Multiparous women represented 66% of the sample. A history of abortion was noted in 21.4% of the maternal population. Medical antecedents were observed in one-third of the mothers (33.3%), with 14.5% experiencing diabetes, 10.06% hypertension, and 6.3% anemia. The incidence of hypothyroidism and heart disease was identical in our study, each affecting 1.25% of cases. All malformed infants resulted from monofetal pregnancies. Regarding pregnancy monitoring, 68.6% of mothers did not receive prenatal care, although 62.3% of the pregnancies were considered high-risk. Obstetric ultrasound during pregnancy was conducted in only 41.5% of the cases. As for the delivery method, the proportions of vaginal deliveries and cesarean sections were equivalent.

Among the 159 malformed newborns, 56.6% were male, and 40.9% were female, resulting in a sex ratio of 1.38. The remaining 2.5% (4 cases) exhibited sexual ambiguity, associated with another congenital malformation as part of poly malformation syndrome. Nearly two-thirds of the newborns had a normal birth weight ranging between 2500 g and 4200 g. Hypotrophy, with a birth weight under 2500 g, was present in 31.4% of cases, and 6.3% were macrosomic, with a birth weight exceeding 4200 g.

In terms of the Apgar score at birth, 56.6% of newborns scored between 7 and 10, indicating a normal status. Meanwhile, 20.7% of cases were in distress (Apgar score between 4 and 6), and 22.6% were in a critical condition (score < 3), necessitating emergency resuscitation. Stillbirths among newborns with

Table 1. Frequency and Prevalence of Congenital Malformations Identified in the Study Area

Device/Organ and Type of Deformity	No. (%)	Prevalence/1000 Births	ICD-10 CODE	95% CI	
				Lower	Upper
Neural tube defects	63 (39.62)	3.65			
Hydrocephaly	23 (15.09)	1.33	Q03	1.320	1.339
Anencephaly	20 (12.57)	1.15	Q00.0	1.143	1.156
Spina Bifida	16 (10.06)	0.92	Q05.9	0.915	0.924
Encephalocele	2 (1.25)	0.11	Q01.9	0.105	0.114
Microcephaly	2 (1.25)	0.11	Q02	0.105	0.114
Musculoskeletal deformities	42 (26.4)	2.43			
Congenital hip dislocation	18 (11.32)	1.04	Q65.2	1.036	1.043
Varus equinus clubfoot	16 (10.06)	0.92	Q66.0	0.915	0.924
Syndactyly	4 (2.51)	0.23	Q70	0.225	0.234
Polydactyly	4 (2.51)	0.23	Q69	0.225	0.234
Polymalformations	36 (22.6)	2.08			
Polymalformed	36 (22.64)	2.08	Q89.7	2.057	2.102
Digestive tract malformation	28 (17.6)	1.62			
Omphalocele	16 (10.06)	0.92	Q79.2	0.915	0.924
Esophageal atresia	4 (2.51)	0.23	Q39.0	0.225	0.234
Gastroschisis	4 (2.51)	0.23	Q79.3	0.225	0.234
Anal imperforation	4 (2.51)	0.23	Q42.1	0.225	0.234
Chromosomal disorder	24 (15.09)	1.39			
Trisomy 21	24 (15.09)	1.39	Q90.9	1.386	1.393
Facial malformation	14 (8.8)	0.81			
Cleft lip and palate	8 (5.03)	0.46	Q37	0.452	0.467
Cleft lip	6 (3.77)	0.34	Q36	0.332	0.347
Urogenital malformation	12 (7.5)	0.69			
Hypospadias	8 (5.03)	0.46	Q54.9	0.452	0.467
Sexual ambiguity	4 (2.51)	0.23	Q56.4	0.225	0.234

Abbreviation: ICD-10, International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th revision.

malformations accounted for eighteen cases (11.3%), but the overall mortality rate within the first 24 hours after birth was 15.1%.

4.1. Associated Factors

The statistical analysis of the data revealed a positive and significant correlation between the occurrence of one or more congenital malformations and several factors: maternal age ($P = 0.001$), parity ($P = 0.002$), medical history ($P = 0.002$), term of birth ($P = 0.025$), pregnancy monitoring ($P = 0.008$), progress of pregnancy ($P = 0.017$), ultrasound during pregnancy ($P = 0.001$), status at birth ($P < 0.001$), Apgar score ($P < 0.001$), sex of the newborn ($P = 0.001$), and birth weight ($P < 0.001$). Additionally, the analysis indicated that the p -values for the mother's provenance ($P = 0.241$) and history of abortion ($P = 0.127$) exceed 0.05. Consequently, these variables are not considered risk factors for congenital malformations in our study context (Table 4).

5. Discussion

This study reported a prevalence of congenital malformations of 9.2 per 1000 births, a figure that aligns closely with those reported in other parts of Morocco: 1.02% of a percent at the Souissi maternity hospital in Rabat (25), 1.34% in the Oriental region (26), and 5.58 per 1000 at the “Les Orangers” hospital in Rabat (27). Comparatively, in other African countries, the prevalence stands at 9 per 1000 births in Cameroon (28), 3.2 per 1000 in Togo (29), and 3.6 per 1000 in Ivory Coast (30). In France, specifically the Paris region, the total prevalence of malformations and chromosomal anomalies among births and medical terminations of pregnancy was recorded at 32 per 1000 in 2000 (12). The variation in prevalence rates of congenital malformations can be attributed to multiple factors, such as geographical location, genetics, environmental exposures, and the efficacy of epidemiological surveillance, explaining the observed differences across

Table 2. Socio-Demographic and Obstetrical Characteristics of Mothers

Variables	Values ^a
Mother's age (y)	
< 18	16 (10.06)
18 to 35	99 (62.3)
> 35	44 (27.7)
Provenance	
Rural	97 (61)
Urban	62 (39)
Parity	
Primiparous	54 (34)
Multiparous	105 (66)
History of abortion	
Yes	34 (21.4)
No	125 (78.6)
Medical history	
Diabetes	23 (14.5)
Anemia	10 (6.3)
Hypothyroidism	2 (1.25)
Heart disease	2 (1.25)
Hypertension	16 (10.06)
Nothing	106 (66.7)
Pregnancy monitoring	
Yes	50 (31.4)
No	109 (68.6)
Progress of pregnancy	
Normal	60 (37.7)
At risk	99 (62.3)
Echography during pregnancy	
Yes	66 (41.5)
No	93 (58.5)
Method of delivery	
Vaginal delivery	80 (50)
Cesarean section	79 (50)
Gemellair pregnancy	
Yes	0 (0)
No	159 (100)

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

countries and regions (31). These disparities might also stem from an underestimation of percentages, especially in regions with insufficient death registration systems, which may lead to the misclassification of deaths caused by congenital malformations (4). This emphasizes the necessity for a thorough understanding of the specific types and regional variations of congenital malformations to ensure effective prevention, management, and appropriate allocation of resources, taking into account these territorial differences (31).

In our series, poly malformation syndrome was observed in 22.64% of cases, a figure nearly identical to that reported by the “Les Orangers” hospital in Rabat, where the syndrome was present in 26.5% of cases (27). Regarding the prevalence distribution of the various malformations identified in our study, neural tube malformations emerged as the most prevalent, with a frequency of 39.62%, followed by musculoskeletal malformations at 26.4%. Malformations of the digestive tract were the fourth most common, behind poly malformation syndrome, affecting 17.61% of cases.

Table 3. Neonatal Characteristics of the Study Population

Variables	Values ^a
Sex	
Female	65 (40.9)
Male	90 (56.6)
Sexual ambiguity	4 (2.51)
Weight	
Hypotrophy	50 (31.4)
Normal	99 (62.3)
Macrosomia	10 (6.3)
Term of birth	
Premature	71 (44.7)
Full term	88 (55.3)
Status at birth	
Alive	141 (88.7)
Stillborn	18 (11.3)
Apgar score at birth	
0 - 3 (state of apparent death)	36 (22.6)
4 - 6 (distressed)	33 (20.7)
7 - 10 (normal)	90 (56.6)
Newborn evolution in the first 24 hours	
Alive	64 (40.3)
Referred	71 (44.6)
Deceased	24 (15.1)

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).

The predominance of neural tube defects has been highlighted in various studies and countries, including Pakistan, with a frequency of 20.35% of cases (32), and the Ivory Coast, with 51.16% of cases (30). The WHO has also acknowledged that neural tube defects, heart defects, and Down's syndrome are among the most serious and common congenital malformations (3). Our findings underscore the necessity to enhance the national program aimed at combating micronutrient deficiencies, particularly those of folic acid and iodine. Additionally, there's a need to increase women's awareness regarding the importance of pregnancy monitoring and the risks associated with the regular intake of Fenugreek (33).

Regarding the frequency of congenital malformations by gender, the literature suggests a higher predisposition among boys. At the Souissi maternity hospital in Rabat, Morocco, male newborns (57.9%) were more frequently affected by congenital malformations than female newborns (40.5%) (34). Shabbir et al. (32) also found that 57.52% of malformed newborns were boys and 42.47% were girls. These findings align with our study, where a significant

association was observed between male sex and the occurrence of congenital malformations ($P = 0.001$).

In terms of birth weight, many studies report a higher frequency of malformations in newborns with normal birth weight (28, 35). Our findings agree with this observation, indicating a positive association between birth weight and the occurrence of congenital malformations ($P < 0.001$), with 62.3% of cases occurring in normal-weight newborns.

Kase and Visintainer noted that congenital malformations significantly impact the likelihood of preterm birth, contribute to the degree of prematurity (36), and increase neonatal morbidity risks for preterm infants (17). Newborns with congenital anomalies are more likely to be born preterm compared to those without, with the combined impact of prematurity and congenital anomalies being more than cumulative (37). Our study supports this, showing a significant relationship between congenital malformations and the term of birth ($P = 0.025$) despite a nearly equal distribution of malformations among preterm (44.7%) and full-term (55.3%) infants. This is akin to the findings of Kamla et al. (28), where 37% of malformed newborns were preterm.

Table 4. Association of Risk Factors with Congenital Malformations^a

Variables	Values ^a	χ^2 Value	P-Value
Mother's age (y)		13.486 ^a	0.001 ^b
< 18	16 (10.06)		
18 to 35	99 (62.3)		
> 35	44 (27.7)		
Provenance		1.374 ^a	0.241
Rural	97 (61)		
Urban	62 (39)		
Parity		9.608 ^a	0.002 ^b
Primiparous	54 (34)		
Multiparous	105 (66)		
History of abortion		2.329 ^a	0.127
Yes	34 (21.4)		
No	125 (78.6)		
Medical history		18.626 ^a	0.002 ^b
Diabetes	23 (14.5)		
Hypertension	16 (10.06)		
Anemia	10 (6.3)		
Heart disease	2 (1.25)		
Hypothyroidism	2 (1.25)		
Nothing	106 (66.7)		
Term of birth		5.018 ^a	0.025 ^b
Premature	71 (44.7)		
Full term	88 (55.3)		
Apgar score at birth		31.209 ^a	0.000 ^b
0 - 3 (state of apparent death)	36 (22.6)		
4 - 6 (distressed)	33 (20.7)		
7 - 10 (normal)	90 (56.6)		
Pregnancy monitoring		7.042 ^a	0.008 ^b
Yes	50 (31.4)		
No	109 (68.6)		
Progress of pregnancy		5.716 ^a	0.017 ^b
Normal	60 (37.7)		
At risk	99 (62.3)		
Echography during pregnancy		10.895 ^a	0.001 ^b
Yes	66 (41.5)		
No	93 (58.5)		
Sex of newborn		14.905 ^a	0.001 ^b
Female	65 (40.9)		
Male	90 (56.6)		
Sexual ambiguity	4 (2.51)		
Weight of newborn		29.516 ^a	0.000 ^b
Hypotrophy	50 (31.4)		
Normal	99 (62.3)		
Macrosomia	10 (6.3)		
Status at birth		20.594 ^a	0.000 ^b
Alive	141 (88.7)		
Stillborn	18 (11.3)		

^a Values are expressed as No. (%).^b Significant value (association between variable and congenital malformation).

In our study, a significant association was found between the Apgar score, the condition of the newborn at birth, and congenital malformations, each with a P-value of < 0.001. We observed an increase in the stillbirth rate among malformed newborns within the first twenty-four hours of life, from 11.3% to 15.1%.

Additionally, 22.6% of newborns were in a critical state (Apgar score < 3), necessitating urgent intensive care. Indeed, low Apgar scores are associated with increased mortality and a higher risk of cerebral palsy (38). Enhanced access to health services, timely interventions, and the availability of professionals

trained in neonatal resuscitation protocols can significantly decrease neonatal and infant morbidity and mortality (39).

Concerning the place of origin, Vrijheid et al. (40) demonstrate that the risk of non-chromosomal abnormalities escalates with socio-economic deprivation. In our study, the frequency of malformations among women from rural areas was 61%. However, our statistical analysis revealed no significant association ($P = 0.241$) between this variable and the occurrence of congenital malformations. This finding can be attributed to the demographic distribution in the Marrakech-Safi region, where 57% of the population resides in rural areas, compared to 43% in urban areas, underscoring the region's rural character (18). The socio-economically disadvantaged segments, especially those in isolated and mountainous areas, face challenges in accessing and availing health services for women and children and bear a higher burden of congenital malformations (31). Our results emphasize the need for the Ministry of Health to take further action to promote equity and reduce disparities both within and between regions. There's a call to enhance interventions at primary healthcare facilities and to improve practices within families and communities through a community health approach (41).

In the literature, multiparity and advanced maternal age are identified as factors that increase the risk of having a child with congenital anomalies (13, 29, 42). This aligns with our findings, which demonstrate a statistically significant association between multiparity and the occurrence of malformations in offspring ($P = 0.002$). According to Khoushnood et al. (43), an increase in maternal age significantly affects the risk of spontaneous abortion, maternal health, and pregnancy outcomes (including multiple births, prematurity, hypotrophy, and congenital anomalies). In our study, maternal age was also significantly associated with the occurrence of malformations ($P = 0.001$), with the highest incidence observed in the 18 - 35 age group (62.3%), indicating that mothers in this age group are most likely to give birth to malformed children. This result parallels findings from northern Togo, where the 26 - 30 age group was most affected by congenital malformations (29).

Previous research has highlighted that the frequency of congenital malformations significantly increases in women with chronic diseases, especially diabetes (44, 45). Our findings corroborate these results, showing a significant correlation between maternal medical history and the incidence of malformations ($P = 0.002$). This underscores the importance of primary prevention,

aimed at reducing risks associated with low socio-economic status, poor diet, environmental contaminants, and chronic conditions such as hypertension and diabetes (46), particularly in the Marrakech-Safi region, which is among the most economically and socially challenged regions (41).

Our study revealed a significant link between the lack of pregnancy monitoring and the occurrence of congenital malformations ($P = 0.008$). Notably, 68.6% of mothers did not undergo pregnancy monitoring, although two-thirds (62.3%) had high-risk pregnancies. In Morocco, despite efforts to improve pregnancy and childbirth monitoring, only 53.5% of women receive the four prenatal consultations recommended by the Ministry of Health, with significant discrepancies based on the place of residence (65.6% in urban areas vs. 38.5% in rural areas) (47). This rate significantly correlates with a woman's education level and, inversely, with her socio-economic status and the stage of pregnancy (41). Indeed, a low socioeconomic status often relates to poor pregnancy follow-up and inadequate prevention of congenital malformations (29).

The findings of this study also show a significant link between undergoing ultrasound examinations during pregnancy and the incidence of malformations ($P = 0.001$), with 58.5% of the mothers of malformed newborns not having received an ultrasound examination during their pregnancies. Obstetrical ultrasound is recognized as the optimal method for studying and monitoring fetal malformations (30), facilitating the detection of Down syndrome and major structural anomalies in the first trimester, as well as severe fetal anomalies in the second trimester (3). The limited access to prenatal care and ultrasound examinations in our study can be attributed to the rural characteristics of the Marrakech-Safi region, the inaccessibility of healthcare facilities, and insufficient medical coverage, especially in isolated or mountainous areas (41). These factors complicate the provision of comprehensive prenatal care, genetic counseling, and specialized interventions for parents at risk of having children with congenital malformations (31).

In Morocco, the majority of deliveries occur in public establishments supervised by the Ministry of Health and Social Protection, with a minority of deliveries happening at home. Hence, data on these deliveries were not available for our study, which is a limitation. Additionally, data from private establishments was inaccessible.

Furthermore, during data collection, we encountered missing data for several variables, such as the mothers' consumption of harmful substances (tobacco, alcohol,

drugs, psychotropics), parental consanguinity, diet, and exposure to environmental or occupational chemical contaminants, which could bias our results and potentially lead to an underestimation of prevalence.

5.1. Conclusions

This study has illuminated the prevalence of congenital malformations at 9.2 per 1000 births and identified associated risk factors in the Marrakech-Safi region, specifically maternal age, parity, maternal medical history, male sex, and newborn weight at birth. These insights will assist healthcare professionals in tailoring the activities of the national congenital disease screening program to the region's characteristics, emphasizing preventable congenital malformation factors. Enhancing genetic counseling and prenatal screening for high-risk parents and promoting the micronutrient deficiency combat program, particularly focusing on improving the skills of nursing staff, are vital strategies to address the incidence of birth defects. Additionally, reinforcing the neonatal death surveillance system and expanding it to all regions is crucial for tracking and reducing neonatal morbidity and mortality rates due to birth defects at both national and regional levels.

Acknowledgements

The authors extend their gratitude to the Regional Health Directorate Marrakech-Safi, the staff of the maternity ward at the Mohamed VI University Hospital Center in Marrakech, and the Higher Institute of Nursing and Health Technology in Marrakech for their invaluable contribution to gathering data at the local and regional levels.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: AI contributed to the conception and design of the protocol and writing of the article. AI and BS contributed to all experimental work, data, statistical analysis, and interpretation of the data. To revised and critically read the manuscript, final validation of the version to be published. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that might have appeared to influence the work reported in this article.

Ethical Approval: The ethics review committee only deals with cases involving invasive procedures or direct contact with subjects. This was a retrospective study of patients' obstetric records. The Marrakech Regional Health Directorate in Morocco authorized and approved this study (N°888/2021), and only health professionals had access to the information.

Funding/Support: The authors state no funding/support.

References

1. Amar E. [Malformations et facteurs environnementaux]. *Revue de médecine périnatale*. 2017;9(2):73-80. French. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12611-017-0415-y>.
2. Thomas J, Francis F, Fleur D. [Malformations congénitales : quels risques en lien avec l'environnement professionnel et extra-professionnel ?]. *Archives des Maladies Professionnelles et de l'Environnement*. 2018;79(3):299. French. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.admp.2018.03.196>.
3. World Health Organization. *Congenital disorders*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2023, [cited 2023]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/news-room/fact-sheets/detail/birth-defects>.
4. World Health Organization. *Congenital malformations: Report by the Secretariat*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2009, [cited 2023]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/EB125/B125_7-fr.pdf.
5. Oliveira CI, Fett-Conte AC. Birth defects: Risk factors and consequences. *J Pediatr Genet*. 2013;2(2):85-90. [PubMed ID: 27625844]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5020963]. <https://doi.org/10.3233/PGE-13052>.
6. World Health Organization. *Annex 1 Congenital malformations*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2009, [cited 2023]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/EB126-REC1/B126_REC1-fr_3.pdf.
7. Temtamy SA. A genetic epidemiological study of malformations at birth in Egypt. *East Mediterr Health J*. 1998;4(2):252-9. <https://doi.org/10.26719/1998.4.2.252>.
8. Lowry R, Bedard T, Grevers X, Crawford S, Greenway SC, Brindle ME, et al. [Le système de surveillance des anomalies congénitales de l'Alberta : compte rendu des données sur 40 ans avec prévalence et tendances de certaines anomalies congénitales entre 1997 et 2019]. *Promotion de la santé et prévention des maladies chroniques au Canada*. 2023;43(1):42-51. French. <https://doi.org/10.24095/hpcdp.43.1.04f>.
9. Orioli IM, Dolk H, Lopez-Camelo J, Groisman B, Benavides-Lara A, Gimenez LG, et al. The Latin American network for congenital malformation surveillance: ReLAMC. *Am J Med Genet C Semin Med Genet*. 2020;184(4):1078-91. [PubMed ID: 33319501]. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.c.31872>.
10. Figueroa L, Garces A, Hambidge KM, McClure EM, Moore J, Goldenberg R, et al. Prevalence of clinically-evident congenital anomalies in the Western highlands of Guatemala. *Reprod Health*. 2020;17(Suppl 2):153. [PubMed ID: 33256772]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7708098]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12978-020-01007-5>.
11. Dolk H, Loane M, Garne E. The prevalence of congenital anomalies in Europe. *Rare dis epidemiol*. 2010;349-64.
12. De Vigan C, Khoshnood B, Lhomme A, Vodovar V, Goujard J, Goffinet F. [Prevalence and prenatal diagnosis of congenital malformations in

- the Parisian population: twenty years of surveillance by the Paris Registry of congenital malformations]. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris)*. 2005;**34**(1 Pt 1):8-16. French. [PubMed ID: [15767912](#)]. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0368-2315\(05\)82665-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0368-2315(05)82665-1).
13. Nouhou HA, Diakité O, Kadir IMA, Djibo H, Aichatou AH, Abdoulaye O, et al. Congenital Malformations: Epidemiological and Clinical Aspects at the Tahoua Mother and Child Health Center. *Health sciences and disease*. *Health Sci Dis*. 2022;**23**.
 14. Singh R, Al Sudani O. Major congenital anomalies at birth in Benghazi, Libyan Arab Jamahiriya, 1995. *East Mediterr Health J*. 2000;**6**(1):66-75. <https://doi.org/10.26719/2000.6.1.65>.
 15. Institut National de Sante Publique; Département de Méthodologie. Prevalence of clinically detectable congenital malformations among live births at public maternity hospitals in the wilaya of Algiers [master's thesis]. *Dept methodolog*. 2016.
 16. Ministry of Health and Social Protection. [Population Department. Guidelines for screening and treating deaf children]. Morocco, Africa: Royaume du maroc ministère de la santé direction de la population; 2019. French.
 17. El Madidi S. Major Factors Associated with Congenital Malformations in the Agadir Region of Morocco. *J Med Res Health Sci*. 2020;**3**(8). <https://doi.org/10.15520/jmrhs.v3i8.238>.
 18. High planning commission. [Marrakech-Safi Regional Planning Department. Marrakech-Safi regional monograph]. Marrakech – Safi: Haut-commissariat au Plan; 208. French.
 19. Lamghari B. *Regional disparities in the MDGs. High planning commission*. New York, USA: United Nations; 2015.
 20. United Nations. *Regional Information Center for Western Europe*. New York, USA: United Nations; 2015, [cited 2023]. Available from: <https://unric.org/fr/odd-3/>.
 21. World Health Organization. *Sixty-third World Health Assembly. Congenital malformations Report by the Secretariat*. Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization; 2010, [cited 2023]. Available from: https://apps.who.int/gb/ebwha/pdf_files/WHA63-REC1/WHA63_REC1-en.pdf.
 22. Ghannouchi El I, Allaki O, Moudden El A. [Advanced regionalization as a new mode of territorial governance in Morocco: An analytical study of challenges and opportunities. French Review of Economics and Management]. *Revue Française d'Economie et de Gestion*. 2023;**4**. French.
 23. Howley MM, Williford E, Agopian AJ, Lin AE, Botto LD, Cunniff CM, et al. Patterns of multiple congenital anomalies in the National Birth Defect Prevention Study: Challenges and insights. *Birth Defects Res*. 2023;**115**(1):43-55. [PubMed ID: [35277952](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC9464263](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bdr2.2003>.
 24. Abubakari A, Kynast-Wolf G, Jahn A. Prevalence of abnormal birth weight and related factors in Northern region, Ghana. *BMC Pregnancy Child*. 2015;**15**:335. [PubMed ID: [26670886](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC4681076](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12884-015-0790-y>.
 25. Elghanmi A, Razine R, Jou M, Berrada R. Congenital malformations among newborns in Morocco: A retrospective study. *Pediatr Rep*. 2020;**12**(1):7405. [PubMed ID: [32308967](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC7160859](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.4081/pr.2020.7405>.
 26. Es-Seddiki A, Messaoudi S, Ayyad A, Amrani R. Neonatal Abdominal Wall Defects in Eastern Morocco (2014). *Global Congress for Consensus in Pediatrics and Child Health*. Budapest, Hungary. 2015. p.19-22.
 27. Forci K, Alami MH, Bouaiti EA, Slaoui M, Ala AM, Izgua AT. Exploratory study of risk factors for congenital malformations in Morocco. *Res Square*. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-39095/v1>.
 28. Kamla JI, Kamgaing N, Fongang EN, Fondop J, Billong S, Djientcheu V. Epidémiologie des Malformations Congénitales Visibles à la Naissance à Yaoundé. *Health Sci Dis*. 2017;**18**.
 29. Kar S, O-B T, Oe T, K K, Sm T, H A, et al. [Epidémiologie Des Anomalies Morphologiques Néonatales En Milieu Hospitalier À Kara (Nord Togo) De 2014 À 2019]. *Europ Sci J, ESJ*. 2022;**18**(3):1. French. <https://doi.org/10.19044/esj.2022.v18n3p1>.
 30. Tanoh KE, Kouadio AF, Kouassi KP. [Fetal Malformations diagnosed by Obstetrical Ultrasound at the University Hospital of Bouake: 43 cases reported]. *Rev int sci med*. 2023;**25**(1). French.
 31. Shetty N, Mantri S, Agarwal S, Potdukhe A, Wanjari MB, Taksande AB, et al. Unraveling the Challenges: A Critical Review of Congenital Malformations in Low Socioeconomic Strata of Developing Countries. *Cureus*. 2023. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.41800>.
 32. Shabbir H, Imran A, MU S, Mazhar Nazir C, Saba Haider T, Rabia M. Prevalence and pattern of congenital malformations among neonates in the neonatal unit of a teaching hospital. *J Pak Med Assoc*. 2014;**64**(6):629-34.
 33. Daoudi D, Khattabi A, Harrag M, Meski FZ, Tazi MA. [Situation épidémiologique des anomalies de fermeture du tube neural entre 2012 et 2015 dans la Province de Kénitra, Maroc]. *Revue d'Epidémiologie et de Santé Publique*. 2017;**65**:S94-5. French. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.respe.2017.03.099>.
 34. Elghanmi A, Razine R, Berrada R. Gender difference in specific congenital anomalies. *World J Res Rev*. 2017;**5**(4):262738.
 35. Letaief M, Soltani MS, Salem KB, Bchir A. [Epidemiology of low birth weight in Tunisia]. *Sante Publique*. 2001;**13**(4):359-66. French. [PubMed ID: [11963533](#)].
 36. Kase JS, Visintainer P. The relationship between congenital malformations and preterm birth. *J Perinat Med*. 2007;**35**(6):538-42. [PubMed ID: [18052839](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1515/JPM.2007.132>.
 37. Gunn-Charlton JK. Impact of Comorbid Prematurity and Congenital Anomalies: A Review. *Front Physiol*. 2022;**13**:880891. [PubMed ID: [35846015](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC9284532](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphys.2022.880891>.
 38. Simon LV, Hashmi MF, Bragg BN. *APGAR Score*. Treasure Island, FL: StatPearls; 2023.
 39. Assunção Salustiano EM, DuarteBonini Campos JA, Ibidi SM, Ruano R, Zugaib M. [Low Apgar scores at 5 minutes in a low risk population: Maternal and obstetrical factors and postnatal outcome]. *Revista da Associação Médica Brasileira*. 2012;**58**(5):587-93. French. <https://doi.org/10.1590/s0104-42302012000500017>.
 40. Vrijheid M, Dolk H, Stone D, Abramsky L, Alberman E, Scott JE. Socioeconomic inequalities in risk of congenital anomaly. *Arch Dis Child*. 2000;**82**(5):349-52. [PubMed ID: [10799420](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC1718336](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1136/adc.82.5.349>.
 41. United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund. *The situation of children in Morocco: Analysis using the equity approach*. New York City, USA: United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund; 2019.
 42. Zolfizadeh F, Ghorbani M, Soltani M, Rezaeian S, Rajabi A, Etemad K, et al. Factors Associated with Infant Mortality Due to Congenital Anomalies: A Population-Based Case-Control Study. *Iran J Public Health*. 2022;**51**(5):1118-24. [PubMed ID: [36407744](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC9643240](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.18502/ijph.v51i5.9411>.
 43. Khoshnood B, Bouvier-Colle MH, Leridon H, Blondel B. Impact de l'âge maternel élevé sur la fertilité, la santé de la mère et la santé de l'enfant. *La Revue Sage-Femme*. 2009;**8**(3):145-60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sagf.2009.04.005>.
 44. Sabiri N, Kabiri M, Razine R, Kharbach A, Berrada R, Barkat A. [Facteurs de risque des malformations congénitales : étude prospective à la maternité Souissi de Rabat au Maroc]. *Journal de Pédiatrie et de Puériculture*. 2013;**26**(4):198-203. French. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpp.2013.05.001>.
 45. Mitanchez D. Fetal and neonatal complications of gestational diabetes: perinatal mortality, congenital malformations,

- macrosomia, shoulder dystocia, birth injuries, neonatal outcomes. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod.* 2010;**39**(8 Suppl 2):S189-99.
46. Irvine B, Luo W, Leon JA. Congenital anomalies in Canada 2013: a perinatal health surveillance report by the Public Health Agency of Canada's Canadian Perinatal Surveillance System. *Health Promot Chronic Dis Prev Can.* 2015;**35**(1):21-2. [PubMed ID: [25811402](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC4939458](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.24095/hpcdp.35.1.04>.
47. Ministry of Health and Social Protection. *[National population and family health survey]*. 2018. French.