Published online 2018 June 23.

Research Article

Inhibitory Effects of Silica Nanoparticles Loaded with Hematoporphyrin on Breast Cancer Cell Line

Ali Khaleghian,¹ Hadi Hasanzadeh,^{2,*} Abdolvahab Moshtaghian,³ Reza Nasr,⁴ Alireza Emadi,³ and Shima Moshfegh⁵

¹Department of Biochemistry, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran

²Cancer Research Center and Department of Medical Physics, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran

³Deputy of Research and Technology, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran

⁴Biotechnology Research Center, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran

⁵Student Research Committee, Department of Medical Physics, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran

^{*} *Corresponding author*: Hadi Hasanzadeh, PhD, Cancer Research Center and Department of Medical Physics, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran. Tel: +98-2333451336, E-mail: hasanzadeh.h@semums.ac.ir

Received 2017 December 06; Revised 2018 May 19; Accepted 2018 May 20.

Abstract

Background: Cancer is one of the main causes of death in the world. In recent years, many studies have been conducted on the usage of nanomaterials in cancer treatment. In previous studies, the anti-tumor effects of mesoporous silica nanoparticles (MSN) on cancer cells have been shown. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of MSN loaded with Hematoporphyrin (HpD) on the cell proliferation and invasion of MCF7 (Michigan Cancer Foundation-7) breast cancer cell line. The antioxidant effects of MSN loaded with HpD were also investigated.

Methods: In this study, using MTT [3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium bromide] assay, the proliferation and viability of cancer cells were studied after exposure to MSN loaded with HpD. The wound healing assay technique (migration test) was used for the assessment of cancer cells' invasion. The antioxidant effects of MSN loaded with HpD were studied by DPPH (2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl) assay and FRAP (ferric reducing/antioxidant power) assay techniques.

Results: Our results showed that the viability and proliferation of breast cancer cell line MCF7 in the presence of silica nanoparticles loaded with hematoporphyrin (HpD) significantly declined (P = 0.02). After exposure to mesoporous silica nanoparticles (MSN) loaded with hematoporphyrin (HpD), the cancer cell invasion decreased (P = 0.025). Silica nanoparticles alone and loaded with hematoporphyrin (HpD) showed considerable cytotoxic activities against cancer cell lines ($IC_{50} = 20 - 30 \ \mu g.mL^{-1}$). The most promising result was achieved for MSN loaded with hematoporphyrin (HpD) with the minimum IC_{50} value. It was found that the proliferation rate of MCF7 cells decreased after treatment with this compound in a dose-dependent manner. The assessments with DPPH assay and FRAP assay techniques showed that MSN and MSN loaded with HpD have antioxidant activities.

Conclusions: MSN loaded with HpD have an inhibitory effect on the growth of the MCF7 cell line. MSN alone and in combination with HpD have an inhibitory effect on cell invasion in the MCF7 cell line. MSN alone and loaded with HpD have antioxidant effects. These results indicate that MSN has the potential to be used in cancer treatment as a carrier for anticancer drugs.

Keywords: Silica Nanoparticles, Hematoporphyrin, Cell Viability, Invasion, Antioxidant, Breast Cancer, Cell Line

1. Background

Cancer is one of the main causes of death around the world (1). In recent years, there have been many studies conducted to investigate the application of nanomaterials in cancer diagnosis and treatment. Nanomaterials are small objects with at least one dimension of 1 - 100 nm (2). Nanomaterials can damage cell membranes and DNA and lead to cell death through reactive oxygen species (ROS) production; thus, they can destroy cancer cells (1, 3). Mesoporous silica nanoparticles (MSN) known as inor-

ganic nanoparticles (4) are non-metal (5), solid, and highly porous oxides (6). These nanoparticles are used broadly in engineering, industry, biomedical applications, cosmetics, and FDA-approved food additives (7). MSNs are plentiful in nature, confirmed as generally recognized as safe (GRAS) by the FDA (8). One of the main advantages of MSN is explicitly low toxicity profile in vivo. Although clinical translation remains challenging, MSN is a promising tool for innovative, efficient, and safe cancer therapies (9-11). The fabrication of MSNs is simple and cost-effective (4). MSNs

Copyright © 2018, Middle East Journal of Rehabilitation and Health Studies. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited

have the following desirable features as nano-vehicles: low toxicity, large pore volume, large surface area, ease of sizecontrolling, high synthesis scalability (12), low immunogenicity, and ability to be endocytosed by cells (13), tailorable pore sizes, and dual-function surfaces (exterior and interior)(14). MSNs as drug carriers have been used for cancer treatment on small creatures showing good results (15). One of the most important features of MSNs as carriers is controlled drug release (16, 17). One of the problems in cancer therapy is the resistance of cancer cells to the drugs that is called cancer multi-drug resistance (MDR). MSNs can deliver siRNA and lead to a reduction in drug resistance of cancer cells (18). Increasing the efficiency and reducing the side effects of anticancer drugs are the characteristics of MSNs (19, 20). MSNs can be used as drug delivery vehicles because of their biocompatibility, the absence of systemic toxicity, and specific targeting of tumor tissues through binding to antibodies or ligands (21-23).

HpD is a complex mixture of monomeric and aggregated porphyrins with a photosensitizing ability. By systemic administration, HpD accumulates in cancer cells; when activated with laser light at 630 nm (in presence of oxygen), it produces singlet oxygen and other reactive oxygen radicals that result in local radical-mediated cancer cell death (24).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the effects of HpDloaded MSN on the cell proliferation and invasion in human breast adenocarcinoma cancer cell line, MCF7 (25). The antioxidant effects of this structure were also studied.

2. Methods

2.1. Cell Culture

Human breast cancer cell line, MCF7 (NCBI NO.C135), was purchased from Iran Pasteur institute. The cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 (Gibco, Invitrogen GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany) containing 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Gibco, Invitrogen GmbH, Darmstadt, Germany), 100 unit/mL Penicillin and 100 μ g/mL Streptomycin at 37°C in a humidified incubator with 5% CO₂. This study was confirmed by the Ethics Committee of Semnan University of Medical Sciences.

2.2. Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles (MSN)

MSNs were synthesized according to the available methods (26) and loaded with HpD to be used in the study.

2.3. Cell Assays

2.3.1. Cell Viability Assessment Using MTT Assay

To investigate the cell survival and proliferation, the MTT assay was performed. Cells were cultured in a flask; when the concentration reached 80%, the cells were trypsinized and moved to a 96-well plate. In each well, 5000 cells were cultured in 200 μ L RPMI1640. After being cultured for 24 hours, the cells were exposed to MSNs alone and loaded with HpD. Different concentrations of nanoparticles as 0, 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 μ g/mL were used. After that, 10 μ L of MTT reagent (0.5 mg/mL) was added to the cells on the day of testing. The contents of each well were replaced with 100 μ L DMSO. Absorbance in each well was recorded at 570 nm with an Elisa reader system (Rayto software). Each experiment was done in triplicate.

2.3.2. Wound Healing Assay

A wound healing assay was performed to investigate the migration potential of MCF7. The MCF7 cells were cultured in 6-well plates. After 24 hours, the center of the wells was scraped with a sterile micropipette tip to create a straight scratch with the same width. Then, each well was washed with PBS solution, MCF7 cells were exposed to MSN and HpD-loaded MSN, and placed in an incubator for 48 hours. The nanoparticles concentration was in IC_{50} concentration. The wound closure was recorded with an inverted microscope.

2.4. Anti-Oxidant Assays

2.4.1. DPPH (2, 2-Diphenyl-1- Picrylhydrazyl) Assay

DPPH is a radical that absorbs light at 515 nm. In presence of an antioxidant, the radical reduces and absorbance diminishes. The antioxidant activities of MSN, HpD-loaded MSN, and vitamin C were measured.

2.4.2. FRAP (Ferric Reducing/Antioxidant Power) Assay

The FRAP (ferric reducing antioxidant power assay) assay is a method of wide suitability for the assay of antioxidants in vitro as well as in organisms. To carry out this reaction, the FRAP reagent was prepared. This reagent is a mixture of iron chloride (FeCl₃) and TPTZ that were solved in a suitable buffer. The mixture has a yellow color. When a sample is added to this solution, the reagent reduces and a blue complex with Fe⁺² appears. The maximum absorption capacity of this complex is at 593 nm. Ascorbic acid (1 mg/mL) was used as a standard antioxidant compound. The antioxidant activities of MSN, HpD-loaded MSN, and vitamin C were measured.

2.5. Statistical Analysis

Each assay was triplicated and data were obtained as Means. Statistical analyses were performed with SPSS statistical software (ver.17 SPSS/PC Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) and graphs were provided using Microsoft Excel 2007. After evaluation of normality and homogeneity of variables, the analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed with a 95% confidence interval (P value \leq 0.05); for multiple comparisons, Tukey test was used.

3. Results

3.1. Assessment of Cancer Cells Viability After Exposure to Silica Nanoparticles Loaded with HpD

MCF7 cells were exposed to HpD-loaded MSN and the cell viability in 48 hours with different concentrations of the nanoparticles (0, 10, 20, 30, 40, and 50 μ g/mL) was evaluated using the MTT assay (Figure 1). As nanoparticles concentration elevated, cell toxicity increased (P = 0.02). The most effective concentration was 50 μ g/mL; in this concentration, the cell viability was the lowest (P = 0.01) (Figure 1).

3.2. The Decrease in the Invasion of Cancer Cells After Exposure to Silica Nanoparticles Loaded with HpD

Invasion of cancer cells after exposure to nanoparticles was evaluated using the wound healing assay. There was a significant difference (P = 0.001) between the control group and the MSN alone and loaded with HpD groups (Pvalue = 0.025) and migration of cancer cells significantly decreased (P = 0.04) (Figure 2).

3.3. Silica Nanoparticles Loaded with HpD Anti-Oxidant Activity

The antioxidant capacity of MSN alone and loaded with drug HpD was assessed and compared with that of vitamin C. Both MSN alone and loaded with drug HpD had an antioxidant ability, but their antioxidant capacity was lower than that of vitamin C (P = 0.031). MSN loaded with HpD had higher antioxidant ability than MSN alone (Figure 3).

4. Discussion

In this study, the effects of MSN loaded with HpD on MCF7 cell line were studied. When MCF7 cells were exposed to MSN loaded with HpD, the proliferation and viability of cancer cells decreased. Some studies showed that MSN could be used as drug carrier (15, 27). In this study, with increasing the concentration of MSN loaded with HpD, the

inhibitory effects against cancer cells enhanced. In previous studies, it was shown that MSN increased ROS levels and reduced glutathione level (5, 28). In addition, these nanoparticles can cause membrane damage and lipid peroxidation. MSN accumulates in the cell nucleus, leads to DNA damage, and disturbs replication and transcription processes (29). All of these results show that MSN loaded with HpD causes cancer cells death. The antioxidant capacity of MSN loaded with HpD was also investigated. The results showed that MSN loaded with HpD had antioxidant capacity. The antioxidant effect of MSN is related to their biocompatibility (22, 30). In previous studies, it has been shown that MSN possesses biocompatibility features (21, 31). These results show that MSN without affecting healthy tissues circulates in the bloodstream and when reaches to the tumor cells, exerts its inhibitory effects.

The effects of MSN loaded with HpD on the invasion of cancer cells were evaluated. The results showed cancer cell invasion decreased. EMT (epithelial-mesenchymal transition) happens in the invasion (32). It seems that MSN inhibits the invasion through EMT inhibition.

This study was done in vitro. The investigation of these nanoparticles in vivo will provide a better understanding of their effects on normal and cancer cells. Altogether, in vivo studies for the experimental validation of MSN loaded with HpD are suggested.

4.1. Conclusion

In conclusion, our results showed that MSN loaded with HpD decreases the viability and proliferation of cancer cells in breast cancer cell line MCF7. Besides, these nanoparticles loaded with HpD reduce cancer cell invasion in vitro that should be studied in future by in vivo studies. The higher antioxidant capacity of MSN loaded with HpD compared to MSN alone makes it a promising compound to be used in animal models of cancer after being optimized through in vitro assays. This study indicates that MSN loaded with HpD leads to less invasive behavior and increased cell death. It seems that this structure affects cancer cells through ROS creation. This study shows that MSN loaded with HpD can provide a therapeutic strategy for cancer treatment. MSN had antioxidant capacity; according to these results, MSN can be used as a carrier for cancer drugs due to its biocompatibility. These nanoparticles can circulate in the bloodstream without any harm to healthy tissues and when reaching the tumor site, they can release drugs. This study suggests that MSN loaded with HpD is a stable, biocompatible, and effective agent for cancer treatment.



Figure 1. Effects of MSNs alone and loaded with HpD on the proliferation of breast cancer cell line MCF7. Values represent the means \pm SDs of three independent experiments. **Values significantly different from their controls: P< 0.01.



Figure 2. Effects of mesoporous silica nanoparticles on cell invasion. A, Effects of MSNs on the invasion of breast cancer cell line MCF7 (IC₅₀ dose). B, Effects of MSNs loaded with HpD on the invasion of breast cancer cell line MCF7 (IC₅₀ dose).

Footnotes

Conflict of Interest: Ali Khaleghian, Hadi Hasanzadeh, Abdolvahab Moshtaghian, Reza Nasr, Alireza Emadi, and Shima Moshfegh declare that they have no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: All procedures were followed in accordance with the ethical standards of the responsible com-

mittee on human experimentation (institutional and national) and in line with the Helsinki declaration of 1975, as revised in 2008.





Figure 3. Antioxidant capacity of MSNs, MSNs with HpD, and vitamin C shown with (A) DPPH Assay and (B) FRAP Assay. Values represent the means \pm SDs of three independent experiments.

References

- Dolat E, Hasanzadeh H, Rezaei Tavirany M, Heidari keshel S, Jabbari Arfaee A, Seyyedi SS. [Evaluation of synergistic effect of TiO2 nanoparticles and gamma rays on human breast cancer cell line]. *J llam Univ Med Sci.* 2013;20(4):223–30. Persian.
- Esmaeillou M, Moharamnejad M, Hsankhani R, Tehrani AA, Maadi H. Toxicity of ZnO nanoparticles in healthy adult mice. *Environ Toxicol Pharmacol.* 2013;35(1):67-71. doi: 10.1016/j.etap.2012.11.003. [PubMed: 23262039].
- Rezaei-Tavirani M, Dolat E, Hasanzadeh H, Seyyedi SS, Semnani V, Sobhi S. TiO2 Nanoparticle as a sensitizer drug in radiotherapy: in vitro study. *Iran J Cancer Prev.* 2013;6:37–44.
- Tang F, Li L, Chen D. Mesoporous silica nanoparticles: synthesis, biocompatibility and drug delivery. *Adv Mater*. 2012;**24**(12):1504–34. doi: 10.1002/adma.201104763. [PubMed: 22378538].
- Lin W, Huang YW, Zhou XD, Ma Y. In vitro toxicity of silica nanoparticles in human lung cancer cells. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol*. 2006;217(3):252-9. doi:10.1016/j.taap.2006.10.004. [PubMed: 17112558].
- Liberman A, Martinez HP, Ta CN, Barback CV, Mattrey RF, Kono Y, et al. Hollow silica and silica-boron nano/microparticles for

contrast-enhanced ultrasound to detect small tumors. *Biomaterials*. 2012;**33**(20):5124–9. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2012.03.066. [PubMed: 22498299]. [PubMed Central: PMC3588157].

- Hassankhani R, Esmaeillou M, Tehrani AA, Nasirzadeh K, Khadir F, Maadi H. In vivo toxicity of orally administrated silicon dioxide nanoparticles in healthy adult mice. *Environ Sci Pollut Res Int.* 2015;22(2):1127-32. doi: 10.1007/s11356-014-3413-7. [PubMed: 25113834].
- Garcia-Bennett AE. Synthesis, toxicology and potential of ordered mesoporous materials in nanomedicine. *Nanomedicine (Lond)*. 2011;6(5):867–77. doi: 10.2217/nnm.11.82. [PubMed: 21793677].
- Watermann A, Brieger J. Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles as Drug Delivery Vehicles in Cancer. Nanomaterials (Basel). 2017;7(7). doi: 10.3390/nano7070189. [PubMed: 28737672]. [PubMed Central: PMC5535255].
- Kwon S, Singh RK, Perez RA, Abou Neel EA, Kim HW, Chrzanowski W. Silica-based mesoporous nanoparticles for controlled drug delivery. *J Tissue Eng.* 2013;4:2.0417314135034E+15. doi: 10.1177/2041731413503357. [PubMed: 24020012]. [PubMed Central: PMC3764983].
- Bharti C, Nagaich U, Pal AK, Gulati N. Mesoporous silica nanoparticles in target drug delivery system: A review. Int J Pharm Investig. 2015;5(3):124-33. doi: 10.4103/2230-973X.160844. [PubMed: 26258053]. [PubMed Central: PMC4522861].
- Chung TH, Wu SH, Yao M, Lu CW, Lin YS, Hung Y, et al. The effect of surface charge on the uptake and biological function of mesoporous silica nanoparticles in 3T3-L1 cells and human mesenchymal stem cells. *Biomaterials*. 2007;28(19):2959–66. doi: 10.1016/j.biomaterials.2007.03.006. [PubMed: 17397919].
- Milgroom A, Intrator M, Madhavan K, Mazzaro L, Shandas R, Liu B, et al. Mesoporous silica nanoparticles as a breast-cancer targeting ultrasound contrast agent. *Colloids Surf B Biointerfaces*. 2014;**116**:652–7. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2013.10.038. [PubMed: 24269054]. [PubMed Central: PMC4687408].
- Coti KK, Belowich ME, Liong M, Ambrogio MW, Lau YA, Khatib HA, et al. Mechanised nanoparticles for drug delivery. *Nanoscale*. 2009;1(1):16– 39. doi: 10.1039/b9nr00162j. [PubMed: 20644858].
- Mamaeva V, Sahlgren C, Linden M. Mesoporous silica nanoparticles in medicine-recent advances. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2013;65(5):689–702. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2012.07.018. [PubMed: 22921598].
- Yang KN, Zhang CQ, Wang W, Wang PC, Zhou JP, Liang XJ. pHresponsive mesoporous silica nanoparticles employed in controlled drug delivery systems for cancer treatment. *Cancer Biol Med.* 2014;**11**(1):34–43. doi: 10.7497/j.issn.2095-3941.2014.01.003. [PubMed: 24738037]. [PubMed Central: PMC3969802].
- Byrne JD, Betancourt T, Brannon-Peppas L. Active targeting schemes for nanoparticle systems in cancer therapeutics. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev.* 2008;60(15):1615–26. doi: 10.1016/j.addr.2008.08.005. [PubMed: 18840489].
- Taratula O, Garbuzenko OB, Chen AM, Minko T. Innovative strategy for treatment of lung cancer: targeted nanotechnology-based inhalation co-delivery of anticancer drugs and siRNA. J Drug Target. 2011;19(10):900–14. doi: 10.3109/1061186X.2011.622404. [PubMed: 21981718].
- Chen AM, Zhang M, Wei D, Stueber D, Taratula O, Minko T, et al. Co-delivery of doxorubicin and Bcl-2 siRNA by mesoporous silica nanoparticles enhances the efficacy of chemotherapy in multidrug-resistant cancer cells. *Small*. 2009;5(23):2673-7. doi: 10.1002/smll.200900621. [PubMed: 19780069]. [PubMed Central: PMC2833276].
- 20. Ngamcherdtrakul W, Morry J, Gu S, Castro DJ, Goodyear SM, Sangvanich T, et al. Cationic Polymer Modified Mesoporous Silica Nanoparticles for Targeted SiRNA Delivery to HER2+ Breast Cancer. Adv Funct

Mater. 2015;**25**(18):2646–59. doi: 10.1002/adfm.201404629. [PubMed: 26097445]. [PubMed Central: PMC4469082].

- Lu J, Liong M, Li Z, Zink JI, Tamanoi F. Biocompatibility, biodistribution, and drug-delivery efficiency of mesoporous silica nanoparticles for cancer therapy in animals. *Small.* 2010;6(16):1794–805. doi: 10.1002/smll.201000538. [PubMed: 20623530]. [PubMed Central: PMC2952648].
- He X, Nie H, Wang K, Tan W, Wu X, Zhang P. In vivo study of biodistribution and urinary excretion of surface-modified silica nanoparticles. *Anal Chem.* 2008;80(24):9597–603. doi: 10.1021/ac801882g. [PubMed: 19007246].
- Schroeder A, Heller DA, Winslow MM, Dahlman JE, Pratt GW, Langer R, et al. Treating metastatic cancer with nanotechnology. *Nat Rev Cancer*. 2011;12(1):39–50. doi: 10.1038/nrc3180. [PubMed: 22193407].
- 24. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, National Institutes of Health, National Cancer Institute. *hematoporphyrin derivative*.2017. Available from: https://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-drug?cdrid=40859.
- 25. ATCC.MCF7 (ATCC® HTB-22[™]). 2017. Available from: https://www.atcc. org/Products/All/HTB-22.aspx.
- Vazquez NI, Gonzalez Z, Ferrari B, Castro Y. Synthesis of mesoporous silica nanoparticles by sol-gel as nanocontainer for future drug delivery applications. *Bol Soc Esp Ceram V.* 2017;**56**(3):139–45. doi: 10.1016/j.bsecv.2017.03.002.

- Gary-Bobo M, Hocine O, Brevet D, Maynadier M, Raehm L, Richeter S, et al. Cancer therapy improvement with mesoporous silica nanoparticles combining targeting, drug delivery and PDT. *Int J Pharm.* 2012;**423**(2):509–15. doi: 10.1016/j.ijpharm.2011.11.045. [PubMed: 22178618].
- Kim KA, Kim YH, Seok Seo M, Kyu Lee W, Won Kim S, Kim H, et al. Mechanism of silica-induced ROS generation in Rat2 fibroblast cells. *Toxicol Lett.* 2002;**135**(3):185–91. doi: 10.1016/S0378-4274(02)00237-0. [PubMed: 12270676].
- You DG, Deepagan VG, Um W, Jeon S, Son S, Chang H, et al. ROSgenerating TiO2 nanoparticles for non-invasive sonodynamic therapy of cancer. *Sci Rep.* 2016;6:23200. doi: 10.1038/srep23200. [PubMed: 26996446]. [PubMed Central: PMC4800401].
- Taylor KM, Kim JS, Rieter WJ, An H, Lin W, Lin W. Mesoporous silica nanospheres as highly efficient MRI contrast agents. *J Am Chem Soc.* 2008;**130**(7):2154–5. doi: 10.1021/ja710193c. [PubMed: 18217764].
- Liu HM, Wu SH, Lu CW, Yao M, Hsiao JK, Hung Y, et al. Mesoporous silica nanoparticles improve magnetic labeling efficiency in human stem cells. *Small*. 2008;4(5):619–26. doi: 10.1002/smll.200700493. [PubMed: 18491363].
- Maadi H, Moshtaghian A, Taha MF, Mowla SJ, Kazeroonian A, Haass NK, et al. Multimodal tumor suppression by miR-302 cluster in melanoma and colon cancer. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol*. 2016;81(Pt A):121– 32. doi: 10.1016/j.biocel.2016.11.004. [PubMed: 27840154].