Published online 2023 January 20.

Case Report



Residual Gallbladder Stones After Cholecystectomy: A Case Report

Mohammadreza Hashempour¹, Fatemehzahra Bagheri², Maryam Baniaqeel³, Tayebeh Azarmehr⁴, Abdalreza Fazel⁵ and Fatemeh Pouladkhay^{6,*}

²Shahid Sayad Shirazi Hospital, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Golestan, Iran

³Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Golestan, Iran

⁴Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

⁵Faculty Member of Surgery Department, Golestan University of Medical Sciences, Gorgan, Golestan, Iran

⁶Department of Paramedical Sciences, Gonabad University of Medical Sciences, Gonabad, Khorasan Razavi, Iran

^{*} Corresponding author: Faculty Member of Operating Room Group, Department of Paramedical Sciences, Gonabad University of Medical Sciences, Gonabad, Khorasan Razavi, Iran. Email: pouladkhay.fatemeh@gmail.com

Received 2023 January 01; Accepted 2023 January 01.

Abstract

Introduction: Cholecystectomy is one of the most common surgeries in the world. The gallbladder is removed due to acute or chronic cholecystitis, obstruction of the bile duct, or residual stones. In some cases, part of the gallbladder may remain in place for various reasons, including lack of access and visibility.

Case Presentation: In this study, we introduced a 41-year-old male patient who, after subtotal laparoscopic cholecystectomy, showed residual symptoms of the gallbladder and underwent laparoscopic surgery to remove the remnants.

Conclusions: Performing subtotal cholecystectomy surgery involves a lot of complications and costs due to the possibility of recurrence and the need for re-surgery, which should be minimized.

Keywords: Gallbladder Retention, Cholecystectomy, Gallbladder, Case Report

1. Introduction

Cholecystectomy is one of the most common surgeries worldwide (1). Following acute or chronic cholecystitis due to bile duct obstruction and as a result of factors such as duct stone formation and bacterial infections, the patient with pain in the upper right quadrant of the abdomen, fever, and leukocytosis may visit a doctor (2, 3). After the final diagnosis of cholecystitis using diagnostic tests and confirmation by a specialist, the patient was prepared for surgery to remove the gallbladder. There are different methods for cholecystectomy surgery, including open surgery, total laparoscopic (4), and subtotal surgery (5). In cases where the gallbladder becomes severely attached to the liver due to inflammation, it is difficult to separate it from the liver bed; thus, surgeons may continue surgery with partial cholecystectomy or subtotal surgery (6). Sometimes part of the gallbladder remains in the body due to lack of access and visibility during surgery (7, 8). This method prevents damage to the liver and hepatic artery but will also cause complications such as bile leakage, postoperative pain, and recurrence of stones (911). A study showed that about 0.8% of people who undergo this surgical procedure die. Also, this surgical method increases the duration of hospitalization and treatment costs (1). Therefore, surgeons should be careful in choosing this surgical method and select it only when they have to because it can have many harmful complications for the patient. In this study, we introduced a patient who, after subtotal cholecystectomy, still had symptoms of gallbladder inflammation and underwent surgery to remove the remaining parts.

2. Case Presentation

A 41-year-old male patient was referred to a physician in July 2021 with symptoms of pain in the upper abdomen and fever. The patient's history indicated no underlying disease, but he had undergone cholecystectomy three months ago.

After the examination and according to the patient's surgical history, a specialist requested MRCP and ultrasound imaging of the abdomen and pelvis. The ultrasound showed that the liver, spleen, kidneys, bladder, and

¹Army University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

Copyright © 2023, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

prostate were healthy and normal-sized, and no free fluid was seen inside the abdomen. Nevertheless, after the ultrasound imaging of the upper right quadrant, under the liver and in the gallbladder, a mass with dimensions of $20 \times 33 \times 33$ and thickness of 2 mm with several stones with a diameter of 5 to 6 mm was observed (Figure 1). Also, the images obtained by the MRCP method confirmed their existence (Figure 2).

By referring to the patient's file and studying the report of the previous surgery, it was found that this mass was part of the gallbladder along with its stones, which were not removed during the last surgery performed by the subtotal method due to adhesion and the possibility of damage to the liver tissue. Finally, according to the evidence and symptoms, the patient again underwent laparoscopic cholecystectomy to remove the remaining tissues. In the second surgery, the surgeons removed all the remaining parts of the gallbladder along with the stones (Figure 3). After one day in the ward, the patient was discharged in good general condition. Within one month after surgery, the patient had no pain or complaints, and all symptoms related to the residual gallbladder disappeared.

3. Discussion

Laparoscopic gallbladder surgery is a common surgery worldwide. Because of the lack of damage to the abdominal wall, the creation of small incisions in the abdominal surface to inserting laparoscopic instruments, the reduced risk of complications following open surgery, and faster patient recovery, this surgery has been welcomed by surgeons (12). All these factors have made this method accepted by patients candidates for gallbladder removal surgery (13). However, in a study examining the opinions of 260 patients, the researchers concluded that patient satisfaction with the two surgical methods was not significantly different (14). Although surgeons and, in some cases, patients welcome laparoscopic surgery, this method can cause many complications (15). also, due to limited access, the surgeon may not successfully remove all the desired tissues or has to choose methods such as subtotal cholecystectomy (16). These tissue remnants may cause complications (17). In our case, part of the gallbladder with the stones remained inside, causing patient symptoms for three months.

Similar to our study, Demetriades et al. (16) introduced three patients who did not have a gallbladder removed after laparoscopic surgery, and the patients showed symptoms. All three patients showed gallbladder retention in 3 to 5 years after the first surgery in this study. However, in our study, the patient had intermittent periods of pain and fever from one week after surgery. In another study, El Nakeeb et al. (11) reported 21 patients who had gallbladders remaining after surgery, in which, like our study, ultrasound was used for diagnosis. However, cholangiography was also used in this study. In our research, because the ultrasound showed the remaining bile tissue well, there was no need to diagnose with cholangiography.

Finally, this study shows that performing subtotal cholecystectomy surgery imposes many costs on the patient and the treatment system due to the possibility of recurrence and the need for re-surgery. Therefore, the researchers recommend that total cholecystectomy surgery is the best option for treating patients, and the subtotal method should be minimized due to its complications and losses.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the patient for his willingness to publish information about his problem and the medical staff of Dr. Mousavi Hospital in Gorgan for their assistance in preparing this report.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Mohammadreza Hashempour: Presentation of the study subject, patient surgeon, review of the first draft, and approval of the final version; Fatemehzahra Bagheri: Designing the study, collecting data, obtaining patient consent, writing the manuscript, and approving the final version; Maryam Baniaqeel: Designing the study, collecting patient tests data, revising the draft, and approving the final manuscript; Tayebeh Azarmehr: Study design, data collection, and manuscript preparation; Abdalreza Fazel: Study design, surgeon treating the patient, revision of the draft, and approval of the final version; Fatemeh Pouladkhay: Study design, data collection, manuscript preparation, and final approval.

Conflict of Interests: The authors confirm that there is no conflict of interest in this study.

Ethical Approval: This study received the code of ethics IR.GMU.REC.1400.105 Gofrom nabad University of Medical Sciences (link: ethics.research.ac.ir/EthicsProposalView.php?id=233375).

Funding/Support: This research project did not receive any funding.



Figure 1. The remaining gallbladder on ultrasound



Figure 2. The remaining gallbladder in MRCP



Figure 3. Gallbladder and stone residuals from previous surgery

Informed Consent: All patients signed an informed consent form.

References

- Kim Y, Wima K, Jung AD, Martin GE, Dhar VK, Shah SA. Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy compared to total cholecystectomy: a matched national analysis. *J Surg Res.* 2017;218:316–21. [PubMed ID: 28985867]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jss.2017.06.047.
- Gutt CN. [Acute cholecystitis: primarily conservative or operative approach?]. Chirurg. 2013;84(3):185–90. German. [PubMed ID: 23455587]. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00104-012-2356-0.
- Murata A, Okamoto K, Matsuda S, Kuwabara K, Ichimiya Y, Matsuda Y, et al. Multivariate analysis of factors influencing length of hospitalization and medical costs of cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis in Japan: a national database analysis. *Keio J Med*. 2013;62(3):83–94. [PubMed ID: 23912168]. https://doi.org/10.2302/kjm.2012-0015-0a.
- Darzi ,A, Ramezani MS, Nikbakhsh N, Modarres ,S, Soleymanpour N, Alinezhad F, et al. [Comparative Evaluation of Laparoscopic Cholecystectomy in Acute and Chronic Cholecystectomy]. J Babol Univ Med Sci. 2013;15(1):46–50. Persian. https://doi.org/10.18869/acadpub.jbums.15.1.46.
- Gupta V, Sharma AK, Kumar P, Gupta M, Gulati A, Sinha SK, et al. Residual gall bladder: An emerging disease after safe cholecystectomy. Ann Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg. 2019;23(4):353-8. [PubMed ID: 31825001]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6893054]. https://doi.org/10.14701/ahbps.2019.23.4.353.

- Jara G, Rosciano J, Barrios W, Vegas L, Rodriguez O, Sanchez R, et al. Laparoscopic subtotal cholecystectomy: a surgical alternative to reduce complications in complex cases. *Cir Esp.* 2017;95(8):465–70. [PubMed ID: 28918963]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ciresp.2017.07.013.
- Walsh RM, Chung RS, Grundfest-Broniatowski S. Incomplete excision of the gallbladder during laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Surg Endosc. 1995;9(1):67–70. [PubMed ID: 7725219]. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00187890.
- Deziel DJ, Millikan KW, Economou SG, Doolas A, Ko ST, Airan MC. Complications of laparoscopic cholecystectomy: a national survey of 4,292 hospitals and an analysis of 77,604 cases. *Am J Surg.* 1993;**165**(1):9–14. [PubMed ID: 8418705]. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9610(05)80397-6.
- Shin M, Choi N, Yoo Y, Kim Y, Kim S, Mun S. Clinical outcomes of subtotal cholecystectomy performed for difficult cholecystectomy. *Ann Surg Treat Res.* 2016;91(5):226–32. [PubMed ID: 27847794]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5107416]. https://doi.org/10.4174/astr.2016.91.5.226.
- van Dijk AH, Donkervoort SC, Lameris W, de Vries E, Eijsbouts QAJ, Vrouenraets BC, et al. Short- and Long-Term Outcomes after a Reconstituting and Fenestrating Subtotal Cholecystectomy. J Am Coll Surg. 2017;225(3):371-9. [PubMed ID: 28606484]. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2017.05.016.
- El Nakeeb A, Ezzat H, Askar W, Salem A, Mahdy Y, Hussien A, et al. Management of residual gallbladder and cystic duct stump stone after cholecystectomy: a retrospective study. *Egypt J Surg.* 2016;**35**(4):391–7. https://doi.org/10.4103/1110-1121.194740.
- 12. Jaspers JEN. Simple Tools for Surgeons: Design and Evaluation of Mechanical Alternatives for "Robotic" Instruments for Minimally Invasive

Surgery [Thesis]. Amsterdam, Netherlands: the Academic Medical Centre; 2006.

- Saber A, Hokkam EN. Operative outcome and patient satisfaction in early and delayed laparoscopic cholecystectomy for acute cholecystitis. *Minim Invasive Surg.* 2014;2014:162643. [PubMed ID: 25197568].
 [PubMed Central ID: PMC4147267]. https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/162643.
- Sala-Hernández A, Castro PG, Orón EM, Ibáñez JM, García-Domínguez R, Lledó JB, et al. Evaluation of patient safety and satisfaction in a program of ambulatory laparoscopic cholecystectomy program with expanded criteria. *Cir Esp.* 2019;**97**(1):27-33.
- 15. Moore MJ, Bennett CL. The learning curve for laparoscopic chole-

cystectomy. The Southern Surgeons Club. *Am J Surg.* 1995;**170**(1):55–9. [PubMed ID: 7793496]. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0002-9610(99)80252-9.

- Demetriades H, Pramateftakis MG, Kanellos I, Angelopoulos S, Mantzoros I, Betsis D. Retained gallbladder remnant after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. *J Laparoendosc Adv Surg Tech A*. 2008;**18**(2):276–9. [PubMed ID: 18373456]. https://doi.org/10.1089/lap.2006.0210.
- Rieger R, Wayand W. Gallbladder remnant after laparoscopic cholecystectomy. Surg Endosc. 1995;9(7):844. [PubMed ID: 7482201]. https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00190098.