Adult Sporadic Multifocal Renal Cell Carcinoma: Helical CT and Pathology Correlations in a Recent and Consecutive Set of Patients Assessed with a Multidisciplinary Approach

Antonio B. Porcaro ^{1*}, Filippo Migliorini ¹, Stefano Zecchini Antoniolli ¹, Giulio Cesaro ², Elisa Pomaro ², Claudio Ghimenton ³, Carmelo Monaco ¹, Emanuele Rubilotta ¹, Vincenzo Lacola ¹, Mario Romano ⁴, Luigi Comunale ¹, Teodoro Sava ⁵

¹Department of Urology, Ospedale Civile Maggiore, Azienda Ospedaliera, Verona, Italy ²Department of Radiology, Ospedale Civile Maggiore, Azienda Ospedaliera, Verona, Italy ³Department of Pathology, Ospedale Civile Maggiore, Azienda Ospedaliera, Verona, Italy ⁴Department of Radiation Oncology, Ospedale Civile Maggiore, Azienda Ospedaliera, Verona, Italy ⁵Department of Medical Oncology, Ospedale Civile Maggiore, Azienda Ospedaliera, Verona, Italy

Abstract

Background and Aims: To assess helical CT sensitivity in detecting preoperatively Multifocal Renal Cell carcinoma (MFRCC) and clinical occult multifocality in a contemporary and consecutive set of patients according to a multidisciplinary approach.

Methods: The renal masses were assessed preoperatively by volumetric multislice helical CT with the objective to detect multifocality. Renal cells carcinoma (RCCs) were classified as unifocal (UF) or multifocal (MF). MFRCCs were selected in 2 groups including CT detected (CT+) and CT undetected (CT-). RCCs were classified in UF and MF. MFRCCs were selected in 2 groups including CT+ and CT-. CT and pathologic findings of MFRCCs were correlated and CT sensitivity was assessed. Statistical methods were performed in order to compare the CT sensitivity with the overall mean sensitivity calculated from the reported literature, to assess statistical inference between UF and MF – RCCs; and to detect statistical significance between CT(+) and CT(-) MFRCCs .

Results: Over a period of 24 months, 116 kidney units (KU) of 111 patients were surgically treated for RCC. Multifocality was assessed in 13/116 KU of 12 patients (10.8%). Helical CT detected preoperative multifocality in 8/111 patients (7.2%) and preoperative occult multifocality was assessed in 4 (3.6%), as well. Helical CT sensitivity difference between our (66.7%) and the reported literature experience (22.9%) was significant (p <0.0001). Significant predictors for multifocality were tumor size (p = 0.007), laterality (p = 0.002), pT (p = 0.008) and surgery (p = 0.0002). Primary tumor size (p = 0.05) and satellite tumor size (p = 0.01) were significantly correlated to CT-undetected (CT-) multifocal tumors.

Conclusions: In our experience, helical CT was effective in improving preoperative detection of sporadic primary MRCC as well as in lowering clinical occult multifocality. Clinical predictors of multifocality including bilaterality and primary tumor size as well as technical and methodological improvements in performing Helical CT

will improve its sensibility in detecting renal masses less than 0.5 cm. CT preoperative detection of clinical multifocality may help in planning effective preoperative surgical treatment as well as lowering local recurrence after nephron sparing surgery.

Keywords: Renal Cell Carcinoma, Multifocal Renal Cell Carcinoma, Helical CT

*Correspondence: Antonio B. Porcaro, MD Department of Urology, Ospedale Civile Maggiore, Azienda Ospedaliera Verona, Italy Email: drporcaro@yahoo.com Received: 11 Jul 2009 Revised: 24 Jul 2009 Accepted: 6 Aug 2009

Introduction

Renal cell carcinoma (RCC) accounts for approximately 3.5% of all malignancies and it is the third most common as well as the most lethal of all genitourinary tumors (1). RCC has the biological potential for multifocality (MFRCC) which includes both hereditary and sporadic forms, the latter having more clinical impact. The clinical incidence of sporadic MFRCC has been reported since 1988 and Mukamel was the first investigator who focused on this topic (2). Successive literature reports (3-15) have shown that there was a difference between preoperative and postoperative detection of MRRCC and, as a result, the clinical incidence of sporadic MFRCC was undetected preoperatively and clinical occult multifocality was the evident consequence when assessing postoperative findings (6, 7, 10, 12, 14, 16). It has also been shown that clinical occult multifocality was related to the low CT sensitivity, (6, 7, 10, 12, 14-16). But the reported literature is not always easy to understand when investigating and focusing specifically on this topic. Thus, with a multidisciplinary approach, the objective of this study was to focus on helical CT sensitivity in detecting preoperatively MFRCC and assessing clinical occult multifocality in a contemporary and consecutive set of patients.

Materials and Methods

Kidney tumors were assessed according to a multidisciplinary approach in order to detect multifocality which was defined as the existence of at least 2 RCCs in the same kidney unit (KU). The renal masses were assessed preoperatively by volumetric multislice helical CT with the objective to detect multifocality. CT examinations were performed on Somatom plus 4 scanners. For each study, 120 ml of iohexol was injected IV at 3 ml/sec. CT scans were detected before and after the administration of 120 ml (velocity 3 ml/sec) of contrast media according

| Table 1. Clinical incidence of sporadic MFRCC in | |
|--|--|
| large literature series | |

| Authors | Year | n/total | (%) |
|-------------------|------|---------|------|
| Mukamel et al. | 1988 | 13/66 | 19.7 |
| Chang et al. | 1991 | 7/100 | 7 |
| Steinbach et al. | 1994 | 13/490 | 2 |
| Nissenkorn et al. | 1995 | 3/27 | 11.1 |
| Oya et al. | 1996 | 7/108 | 6.5 |
| Kletscher et al. | 1995 | 16/100 | 16 |
| Whang et al. | 1995 | 11/44 | 25 |
| Rabbani et al. | 1997 | 9/83 | 11 |
| Gohji et al. | 1998 | 10/64 | 15.6 |
| Kinouchi et al. | 1999 | 8/124 | 6.5 |
| Baltaci et al. | 2000 | 22/103 | 21.4 |
| Lang et al. | 2004 | 37/155 | 14.5 |
| Richstone et al. | 2004 | 57/1071 | 5.3 |
| Klatte et al. | 2007 | 216/938 | 23 |
| Porcaro | 2008 | 12/111 | 10.8 |

to the multiphasic technique including the vascular, cortical nephrographic, diffuse nephrographic and excretory phases. Images were obtained during the different phases and the CT scanning protocol included 0.75-sec scans with 3.5 mm collimation. For patients undergoing nephron sparing surgery (NSS), multifocality in the kidney unit (KU) was assessed through both accurate intraoperative observation and ultrasound investigation.

The surgical specimens were assessed by the uropathologist. In nephrectomy specimens, the parenchyma was sectioned serially at approximately 3 - 5 mm of thickness and all subcapsular and intraparenchymal satellite lesions were counted and studied

Table 2. Clinical incidence of multifocality (OCI-MF), preoperative detection of multifocality (PD-MF), occult preoperative multifocality (POMF) and CT sensitivity (CTS) in detecting sporadic MF-RCC as reported from the literature and compared with our experience

| | | OCI-N | MF | PD-M | F | PO-M | ſF | CT | S |
|------------|------|---------|------|---------|------|---------|------|-------|------|
| Authors | Year | n/total | (%) | n/total | (%) | n/tot | % | n/tot | % |
| Oya | 1996 | 7/108 | 6.5 | 1/108 | 0.9 | 6/108 | 5.6 | 1/7 | 14.0 |
| Kletscher | 1995 | 16/100 | 16 | 7/100 | 7.0 | 9/100 | 9.0 | 7/16 | 44.0 |
| Gohji | 1998 | 10/64 | 15.6 | 1/64 | 1.5 | 9/64 | 14.0 | 1/10 | 10.0 |
| Schlichter | 2000 | 48/281 | 17.1 | 11/281 | 13.5 | 37/281 | 13.0 | 11/48 | 22.9 |
| Baltaci | 2000 | 22/103 | 21.4 | 3/103 | 2.9 | 19/103 | 18.4 | 3/22 | 14.0 |
| Richstone | 2004 | 57/1071 | 5.3 | 19/1071 | 1.8 | 38/1071 | 3.5 | 19/57 | 33.0 |
| Porcaro | 2008 | 12/111 | 10.8 | 8/111 | 7.2 | 4/111 | 3.6 | 8/12 | 66.7 |

macroscopically. Primary and satellite RCCs were sampled and studied according to routine pathology standards. Tumors were diagnosed histologically according to the Heidelberg classification (17), staged according to the TNM classification (18) and graded according to the four grade system of Fuhrman (19).

RCCs were classified in unifocal (UF) and multifocal (MF). MFRCCs were selected in 2 groups including CT detected (CT+) and CT undetected (CT-). CT and pathologic findings of MFRCCs were correlated and CT sensitivity was assessed. Approximate inference for a single proportion with the normal distribution was used to compare the CT sensitivity with the overall mean sensitivity calculated from the reported literature. In order to assess statistical inference between UF and MF - RCCs, the chi squared test was performed for noncontinuous variables (sex, KU, surgery, bilaterality, histology, stage and grade) and the two-sample ANOVA F-test for the continuous ones (age and tumor size). For assessing statistical significance between CT(+) and CT(-) MFRCCs the Fisher's exact test was performed for noncontinuous variables (sex, KU, surgery, number of primary and satellite tumors, histology, stage and grade) and the two-sample ANOVA F-test was performed for the continuous variables (age, size of primary and satellite tumors).

Results

Over a period of 24 months, 116 kidney units (KU) of 111 patients were surgically treated for RCC. Bilaterality was detected in 8/111 patients. Multifocality was assessed in 13/116 KU of 12 patients (10.8%). The literature reported clinical incidence of MF RCC including our experience is summarized in Table 1. The overall mean incidence of MFRCC from the reported literature was calculated as 13.02% (SD = 7.02). Helical CT detected preoperative multifocality in 8/111 patients (7.2%) and preoperative occult multifocality was assessed in 4 (3.6%), as well. Table 2 shows our CT findings and those from the reported literature where the mean overall preoperative CT-detected multifocality was 4.6% (SD = 4.87), the mean overall occult multifocality 10.58% (SD = 5.58%) and the mean overall imaging sensitivity 22.9% (SD = 13.2%). As

364 Adult Sporadic Multifocal Rcc

| Table 3. Clinical and Pathologic Features of p | patients with UF (N=99) and MF (N=12) RCC |
|--|---|
|--|---|

| Variables | UF | MF | P value |
|------------|----------|----------|---------|
| Patients | 99 | 12 | < |
| Age | | | |
| mean | 61 | 56 | 0.3029 |
| range | 27 - 87 | 33 - 85 | |
| Sex | | | |
| male | 66 | 9 | 0.5603 |
| female | 33 | 3 | |
| KU | | | |
| dx | 43 | 7 | 0.7618 |
| SX | 60 | 6 | |
| Surgery | | | |
| NXT | 90 | 6 | 0.0002 |
| NSS | 13 | 7 | |
| Tumors | | | |
| number | 103 | 29 | |
| mean size | 5.39 | 2.61 | 0.0007 |
| range | 1.4 - 14 | 0.3 - 10 | |
| Laterality | | | |
| unilateral | 95 | 8 | 0.0002 |
| bilateral | 4 | 4 | |
| Hystology | | | |
| clear cell | 82 | 23 | 0.351 |
| papillary | 13 | 6 | |
| chromoph | 5 | 0 | |
| bellini | 3 | 0 | |
| Stage T | | | |
| 1a | 44 | 16 | 0.0088 |
| 1b | 15 | 0 | |
| 2 | 14 | 1 | |
| 3a | 21 | 12 | |
| 3b | 9 | 0 | |
| Grade | | | |
| G1 | 8 | 2 | |
| G2 | 58 | 14 | |
| | | | 0.2643 |
| G3 | 30 | 13 | |
| G4 | 7 | 0 | |
| | , | v | |

UF, Unifocal; MF, Multifocal; RCC, Renal cells carcinoma; KU, kidney units.

International Journal of Nephrology & Urology, 2010; 2(2):361-367

a result, helical CT sensitivity difference between our (66.7%) and the reported literature experience (22.9%) was significant (p <0.0001). Clinical-pathologic characteristics of unifocal (UF) and multifocal (MF) RCCs of our set of patients are depicted in table 3. As shown, significant predictors for multifocality were tumor size (p = 0.007), laterality (p = 0.002), pT (p = 0.008) and surgery (p = 0.0002). Clinical and pathologic findings of CT-detected (CT+) and -undetected (CT-) multifocal tumors are reported in table 4. Primary tumor size (p = 0.05) and satellite tumor size (p = 0.01) were significantly correlated to CT-undetected (CT-) multifocal tumors.

Discussion

RCC has the biological potential for multifocality which has also been confirmed by our experience where the detection rate of multifocality (10.8%) was close to the calculated overall mean incidence of the reported literature in clinical series (13.02%) (2-15) as well as autopsy series (13.85%) (20).

As confirmed by our experience, MFRCCs have the propensity to escape detection (7, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16). The calculated risk of the mean occult preoperative multifocality from the literature is quite high (10.58%) and the results of our experience (3.6%)were located to the lowest values (3.5%). The low incidence of occult MFRCC and the significant CT sensitivity in detecting MFRC could be explained both by the context of the study which was planned in a multidisciplinary approach as well as to the sharp evaluation of unenhanced CT scans together with the enhanced corticomedullary and nephrogenic phases. As a result, MFRRC is under-reported preoperatively and for patients with MFRC undergoing NSS there is a risk of missing satellite tumors which has been calculated to be 40% (10). The risk for unknown multifocality could explain the incidence of locally recurrent disease after NSS (21, 22). Thus the local recurrence rate after NSS may reflect undetected preoperative multifocality or occult multifocal RCC.

| Table 4. Clinical and pathologic features of |
|--|
| patients CT (+) (N=8) and CT (-) (N=4) for |
| MFRCC |

| XX 11 | CTT - | C T | D 1 |
|-----------------------|-------|------------|---------|
| Variables | CT+ | CT- | P value |
| Patients | 8 | 4 | < |
| Age | | 50 | |
| mean | 58 | 52 | 0.411 |
| range | 44-85 | 33-65 | |
| Sex | 4 | 5 | |
| male female | 4 | 5 | 0.508 |
| | 3 | 0 | |
| KU dx | 4 | 2 | |
| SX | 4 | 3 2 | 0.727 |
| Surgery | 4 | 2 | |
| NXT | 2 | 3 | |
| NSS | 6 | 2 | 0.652 |
| Tumors (number) | 0 | 2 | |
| pr | 8 | 5 | |
| sat | 11 | 5 | 0.565 |
| Primary tumor size | 11 | 0 | |
| mean | 3,8 | 4,34 | |
| 1110011 | 3.0- | ŕ | 0.055 |
| range | 7.0 | 2.2-10 | 0.000 |
| Satellite tumor size | 7.0 | | |
| mean | 1,8 | 0,5 | |
| | 0.5- | 0.3- | 0.010 |
| range | 3.5 | 0.9 | |
| Primary histology | | | |
| clear cell | 7 | 4 | 0.500 |
| papillary | 1 | 1 | 0.580 |
| Satellite histology | | | |
| clear cell | 9 | 3 | 0.442 |
| papillary | 2 | 2 | 0.442 |
| Primary tumor stage | | | |
| 1A+2 | 3 | 5 | 0.123 |
| 3A | 5 | 0 | 0.125 |
| Satellite tumor stage | | | |
| 1A | 5 | 4 | 0.603 |
| 3A | 6 | 1 | 0.005 |
| Primary tumor grade | | | |
| primary | | | |
| G1+2 | 3 | 5 | 0.123 |
| G3 | 5 | 0 | |
| Satellite tumor grade | | | |
| G1+2 | 4 | 4 | 0.538 |
| G3 | 7 | 1 | |
| | | | |

Factors significantly associated with MFRCCs were bilaterality (p = 0,002), primary tumor size (p = 0,007), pathologic stage (p = 0,008) and NSS (p = 0.0002). Investigators have already shown that bilaterality, primary tumor size and tumor stage are significant predictors of MRCC (15, 23-25). In this set of patients NSS was significantly performed more frequently in MFRCCs than UFRCC and this could be explained because of the difference of the primary tumor size between the 2 groups.

The mean primary tumor size was significantly larger in CT-undetected (CT-) than CT-detected (CT+) MFRCCs. This result could be explained by findings reported from the literature which showed that significantly more MFRCCs are seen in tumors between 21 and 40 mm in diameter (23). The mean tumor size was significantly lower in CT-undetected (CT-) (0.5 cm) than CT-detected (CT+) (1.8 cm) cases. This finding could be explained by both the significantly different satellite mean tumor size and the technical limits of CT in assessing set of small renal masses that ranged from 0.3 to 0.9 cm in the CT-undetected (CT-) group compared to the other ones where satellite masses ranged from 0.5 to 3.5 cm.

Conclusions

RCC has the biological potential for multifocality and bilaterality as well as the propensity to escape detection when NSS is planned with the consequent risk of local recurrence. In our experience, helical CT was effective in improving preoperative detection of sporadic primary MRCC as well as in lowering clinical occult multifocality. Clinical predictors of multifocality including bilaterality and primary tumor size as well as technical and methodological improvements in performing Helical CT will improve its sensitivity in detecting renal masses less than 0.5 cm. CT preoperative detection of clinical multifocality may help in planning effective preoperative surgical treatment as well as lowering the local recurrence after nephron sparing surgery.

Conflict of Interest

None declared.

References

- Jemal A, Siegel R, Ward E, Murray T, Xu J, Thun MJ. Cancer statistics, 2007. CA Cancer J Clin. 2007;57:43-66.
- Mukamel E, Konichezky M, Engelstein D, Servadio C. Incidental small renal tumors accompanying clinically overt renal cell carcinoma. J Urol. 1988;140:22-4.
- Cheng WS, Farrow GM, Zincke H. The incidence of multicentricity in renal cell carcinoma. J Urol. 1991;146:1221-3.
- Steinbach F, Stockle M, Griesinger A, et al. Multifocal renal cell tumors: a retrospective analysis of 56 patients treated with radical nephrectomy. J Urol. 1994;152:1393-6.
- Nissenkorn I, Bernheim J. Multicentricity in renal cell carcinoma. J Urol. 1995;153:620-2.
- Oya M, Nakamura K, Baba S, Hata J, Tazaki H. Intrarenal satellites of renal cell carcinoma: histopathologic manifestation and clinical implication. Urology. 1995;46:161-4.
- Kletscher BA, Qian J, Bostwick DG, Andrews PE, Zincke H. Prospective analysis of multifocality in renal cell carcinoma: influence of histological pattern, grade, number, size, volume and deoxyribonucleic acid ploidy. J Urol. 1995;153:904-6.
- Whang M, O'Toole K, Bixon R, et al. The incidence of multifocal renal cell carcinoma in patients who are candidates for partial nephrectomy. J Urol. 1995;154:968-70.
- Rabbani F, McLoughlin MG. Parameters predictive of multicentricity in renal cell carcinoma. Can J Urol. 1997;4:406-11.
- Gohji K, Hara I, Gotoh A, et al. Multifocal renal cell carcinoma in Japanese patients with tumors with maximal diameters of 50 mm. or less. J Urol. 1998;159:1144-7.
- Kinouchi T, Mano M, Saiki S, et al. Incidence rate of satellite tumors in renal cell carcinoma. Cancer. 1999 ;86:2331-6.
- Baltaci S, Orhan D, Soyupek S, Beduk Y, Tulunay O, Gogus O. Influence of tumor stage, size, grade, vascular involvement, histological cell type and histological pattern on multifocality of renal cell carcinoma. J Urol. 2000;164:36-9.
- 13. Lang H, Lindner V, Martin M, et al. Prognostic value of

multifocality on progression and survival in localized renal cell carcinoma. Eur Urol. 2004;45:749-53.

- Richstone L, Scherr DS, Reuter VR, et al. Multifocal renal cortical tumors: frequency, associated clinicopathological features and impact on survival. J Urol. 2004;171:615-20.
- Klatte T, Wunderlich H, Patard JJ, et al. Clinicopathological features and prognosis of synchronous bilateral renal cell carcinoma: an international multicentre experience. BJU Int. 2007;100:21-5.
- Schlichter A, Schubert R, Werner W, Zermann DH, Schubert J. How accurate is diagnostic imaging in determination of size and multifocality of renal cell carcinoma as a prerequisite for nephron-sparing surgery? Urol Int. 2000;64:192-7.
- Kovacs G, Akhtar M, Beckwith BJ, et al. The Heidelberg classification of renal cell tumours. J Pathol. 1997;183:131-3.
- Sobin LH, Wittekind CH. International Union Against Cancer. TNM classification of malignant tumors 6ed. New York: Wiley-Liss; 2002.
- Fuhrman SA, Lasky LC, Limas C. Prognostic significance of morphologic parameters in renal cell carcinoma. Am J Surg Pathol. 1982;6:655-63.

- Wunderlich H, Schlichter A, Zermann D, Reichelt O, Kosmehl H, Schubert J. Multifocality in renal cell carcinoma: A bilateral event? Urol Int. 1999;63:160-3.
- Dimarco DS, Lohse CM, Zincke H, Cheville JC, Blute ML. Long-term survival of patients with unilateral sporadic multifocal renal cell carcinoma according to histologic subtype compared with patients with solitary tumors after radical nephrectomy. Urology. 2004;64:462-7.
- Miyake H, Nakamura H, Hara I, et al. Multifocal renal cell carcinoma: evidence for a common clonal origin. Clin Cancer Res. 1998;4:2491-4.
- Wunderlich H, Reichelt O, Schumann S, et al. Nephron sparing surgery for renal cell carcinoma 4 cm. or less in diameter: indicated or under treated? J Urol. 1998;159:1465-9.
- Blute ML, Thibault GP, Leibovich BC, Cheville JC, Lohse CM, Zincke H. Multiple ipsilateral renal tumors discovered at planned nephron sparing surgery: importance of tumor histology and risk of metachronous recurrence. J Urol. 2003;170:760-3.
- Klatte T, Patard JJ, Wunderlich H, et al. Metachronous bilateral renal cell carcinoma: risk assessment, prognosis and relevance of the primary-free interval. J Urol. 2007;177:2081-6.