Published online 2019 November 20.

Research Article

Effects of Prenatal and Perinatal Factors on Nephrotic Syndrome Outcome

Parsa Yousefichaijan¹, Sima Tayebi² and Masoud Rezagholizamenjany ¹/₂,*

¹Department of Pediatric Nephrology, School of Medicine, Amirkabir Hospital, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran ²School of Medicine, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran

corresponding author: School of Medicine, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran. Email: masoudrezagholi074@gmail.com

Received 2018 December 16; Accepted 2019 October 06.

Abstract

Background: Nephrotic syndrome is a condition which can be influenced by pregnancy conditions.

Objectives: Based on this, aim of this study was evaluation of perinatal and prenatal indices in nephrotic syndrome.

Methods: A case control study was done in 100 children with nephrotic syndrome. Perinatal and prenatal data were obtained, and for patients' outcome determination, they had taken prednisolone for 4 weeks and syndrome types were determined based on responses to drugs as steroid responsive, steroid resistant, frequent relapse, and steroids dependent. At the end, information was entered into SPSS and analyzed.

Results: Remission type children had a better outcome (No: 25) compared to others (No: 75) have a better status in prenatal and perinatal factors (P < 0.05). Gestational age (P value = 0.387), delivery type, diabetic nephropathy (P value = 0.007), passive smoking and neonatal jaundice have significant differences in groups.

Conclusions: Some of these factors have a significant effect in nephrotic syndrome outcomes that evaluated in this study.

Keywords: Nephrotic Syndrome, Prenatal, Perinatal

1. Background

Nephrotic syndrome is a glomerular disease in medicine and urology defined with increased glomerular permeability, because of normal glomerular alterations in basement membrane barrier and cells, large amounts of protein are excreted into urine (1). Since protein excretion into urine in healthy kidneys are low (< 4 mg/m²/h or UPr/Cr < 0.2) but in nephrotic syndrome, excretion of protein in urine is increases and reaches more than 40 mg/m²/h or UPr/Cr > 2.0 (2). In addition, prenatal (before birth) and perinatal (the period around childbirth, especially the 20th weeks of gestation to the 28th day of newborn life) factors in children and mothers can influence incidence and prognosis of congenital diseases (3, 4), in following we included some of these factors.

Prenatal history including:

- Exposure to medication (development toxin (e.g., phenytoin); may be an index of care giving risk),

- Injuries, hyperthermia (damage to CNS),

- Alcohol ingestion (fetal alcohol syndrome; index of care giving risk),

- Maternal illness (so-called "TORCH" infections) (Toxoplasmosis, Syphilis, Rubella, Cytomegalovirus, HSV infec-

tions),

- Smoking (possible CNS damage),

- Radiation exposure (damage to CNS),
- HIV exposure (congenital HIV infection),
- Nutrition (inadequate fetal nutrition),
- Prenatal care (index of social status).

In addition, perinatal history including:

- Specific perinatal adverse events (increased risk of CNS damage),

- APGAR scores (hypoxia, cardiovascular impairment),

- Labor and delivery (hypoxia or index of abnormal prenatal development).

2. Objectives

Based on high prevalence and complications of NS, also probably efficacy of prenatal and perinatal factors on it, the purpose of this study was to investigate prenatal and perinatal factors in NS outcomes.

Copyright © 2019, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

3. Methods

3.1. Study Setting

In a case series study, referred to Amir-Kabir Hospital at 2015 - 2016.

3.2. Study Population

In total, we selected 100 children younger than 15 years old of age with NS by convenience sampling. Inclusion criteria included participant's consent to research and absence of another congenital kidney disease and exclusion criteria were severe liver, kidney, or cardiac disease, no consent to use their data in the study. Based on patient outcomes and steroid responses we divided them into four groups as steroid resistant, steroid responsive, steroid dependent, and frequent relapse.

3.3. Statistical Analysis

We used chi-square test in SPSS software and P value < 0.05 was considered as significance value.

3.4. Ethical Considerations

Ethical issues (data fabrication, including plagiarism, double publication) have been completely observed by the authors. In addition, the Ethical Committee of Arak University of Medical Sciences confirmed the study protocol.

3.5. Measurements

Researchers have assessed prenatal and perinatal factors in patients obtained by patient interview on admission to hospital.

4. Results

Based on perinatal factors, based on Table 1, all of them including gestational age (P = 0.001), delivery type (P = 0.001) and maternal age in delivery (Yrs.) (P = 0.04) in remission group have significantly better status.

Nevertheless, regarding prenatal factors, based on Table 2, factors including economic conditions (P = 0.001), preeclampsia (0.04), consanguineous marriage (P=0.001), gestational HTN (P=0.001), mothers' passive smoking (P =0.001) and neonatal jaundice (P = 0.07) were significantly better in remission group, since other factors in remission group were better but not statistically significant.

5. Discussion

In our study, prenatal and perinatal factors in nephrotic syndrome were assessed and these reviews are seen in a few of other studies. Nevertheless, in other studies that have investigated these aspects, two factors have not been investigated in one article so the most relevant articles will be discussed and compared with our findings in the following.

Regarding nephrotic syndrome, we have expressed the most relevant studies. In a study about Finnish type, by Huttunen, they observed an increase in BUN or Cr levels in 14 cases and more than 50% of the children died before 6 months, but in none a frank uremia developed before death. In the children who died, 43% no cause of death rather than congenital nephrotic syndrome may be showed but infection appeared to be the immediate cause of death in 31% of the cases. Thrombi in large vessels were found in 11 out of 58 necropsies (5). In another study by Chanchlani and Parekh about ethnic differences in NS reported that, incidence and response to treatment in nephrotic syndrome varies by ethnicity (6). In a study by Takahashi et al. which was about triggers of relapse in steroid-dependent children, they observed that 442 relapses occurred in 2499 patients (7). Also in a study by Kerlin, it was observed that incidence of thromboembolism in childhood with nephrotic syndrome was higher than healthy children so children with NS should be followed for TE (8). However, in our study this criterion was not followed. Also in another study which was conducted by Abu Saad and Awadalla it was observed that most of the studied children had low levels of self-care (9). In another study which was about attention deficit hyperactivity disorder in steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome and conducted by Yousefichaijan et al., no significant relationship between different types of ADHD in children with SDNS and the control group was observed (10). Another study, by Sreenivasa et al., observed that UTI is a common infection accompanying NS, also a high index of suspicion and early institution of appropriate antibiotics will help in attenuating morbidity and mortality (11). Wen investigates the difference in serum proteomes of SSNS and SRNS patients and this who serum proteins may be a useful predictor for the efficacy of steroid therapy, who find a positive response to the study. In a study by Feehally et al., it was concluded from analysis of results that NS was more common in Asian children living in the city of Leicester in, and there was an unusually low incidence of NS in non-Asian children living in the city (12).

In a study by Vasconcelos et al. in 2016, they observed that associated risk factors might induce the OCD expression such as pregnancy edema and labor that are prolonged. Rossaint and Zarbock in a study in 2016 showed that sepsis, nephrotoxic drugs and major surgery, as major

Variables	Steroid Responsive	Steroid Dependent	Steroid Resistant	Frequent Relapse	P Value
Gestational age					< 0.001
Term	77	39	25	20	
Preterm	13	49	73	80	
Postterm	12	12	0	0	
Delivery type					< 0.00
Natural delivery	64	76	52	72	
Cesarean section	36	24	48	28	
Maternal age in delivery, y					0.04
< 18	0	0	4	4	
18 - 24	44	4	4	12	
25-29	48	28	12	0	
30 - 34	8	52	44	32	
35	0	16	36	52	

causes of acute kidney injury (AKI) in patients, and is associated with increased risk for sustained CKD (13). In a study conducted by Lei et al., it was observed that AKI is common in severely ill patients and associated with poor outcomes and high mortality rates and the stage of AKI was related with in-hospital outcomes of the patients (14). Nevertheless, in our study, many of the factors were evaluated.

5.1. Conclusions

Prenatal and perinatal factors in remission group were better, which showed efficacy of these factors on NS. So based on this probably we can reduce this syndrome by control of maternal factors.

Acknowledgments

For financial support, we acknowledge to the Arak University of Medical Sciences (Grant Number: 2700).

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: All authors were equal in preparation and submission of manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declared no competing interests.

Ethical Approval: Ethical issues (data fabrication, including plagiarism, double publication) have been completely observed by the authors. In addition, the Ethical Committee of Arak University of Medical Sciences confirmed the study protocol.

Funding/Support: Our study was funded by Arak University of Medical Sciences.

References

 Bennett MR, Pordal A, Haffner C, Pleasant L, Ma Q, Devarajan P. Urinary vitamin D-binding protein as a biomarker of steroidresistant nephrotic syndrome. *Biomark Insights.* 2016;**11**:1–6. doi: 10.4137/BMI.S31633. [PubMed: 26792978]. [PubMed Central: PMC4712977].

Nephro-Urol Mon. 2019; 11(4):e87717.

- Hinchey J, Chaves C, Appignani B, Breen J, Pao L, Wang A, et al. A reversible posterior leukoencephalopathy syndrome. *N Engl J Med.* 1996;**334**(8):494–500. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199602223340803. [PubMed: 8559202].
- Al-Azzawi HF, Obi OC, Safi J, Song M. Nephrotic syndrome-induced thromboembolism in adults. *Int J Crit Illn Inj Sci.* 2016;6(2):85-8. doi: 10.4103/2229-5151.183019. [PubMed: 27308257]. [PubMed Central: PMC4901833].
- Wong E, Lasica M, He SZ, Bajel A, Roberts AW, Mason KD, et al. Nephrotic syndrome as a complication of chronic graft-versus-host disease after allogeneic haemopoietic stem cell transplantation. *Intern Med J.* 2016;46(6):737-41. doi: 10.1111/imj.13098. [PubMed: 27257151].
- Huttunen NP. Congenital nephrotic syndrome of Finnish type. Study of 75 patients. Arch Dis Child. 1976;51(5):344–8. doi: 10.1136/adc.51.5.344. [PubMed: 938078]. [PubMed Central: PMC1545982].
- Chanchlani R, Parekh RS. Ethnic differences in childhood nephrotic syndrome. *Front Pediatr.* 2016;4:39. doi: 10.3389/fped.2016.00039. [PubMed: 27148508]. [PubMed Central: PMC4835686].
- Takahashi S, Wada N, Murakami H, Funaki S, Inagaki T, Harada K, et al. Triggers of relapse in steroid-dependent and frequently relapsing nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2007;22(2):232–6. doi: 10.1007/s00467-006-0316-y. [PubMed: 17043884].
- Kerlin BA, Haworth K, Smoyer WE. Venous thromboembolism in pediatric nephrotic syndrome. *Pediatr Nephrol.* 2014;29(6):989–97. doi:10.1007/s00467-013-2525-5. [PubMed: 23812352]. [PubMed Central: PMC6556227].
- Abu Saad FE, Awadalla NJ. Self care practices of school age children with nephrotic syndrome. J High Institute Public Health. 2009;39(4):709–28. doi: 10.21608/jhiph.2009.20862.
- Yousefichaijan P, Salehi B, Rafiei M, Dahmardnezhad M, Naziri M. The correlation between attention deficit hyperactivity disorder and steroid-dependent nephrotic syndrome. *Saudi J Kidney Dis Transpl.* 2015;**26**(6):1205–9. doi: 10.4103/1319-2442.168624. [PubMed: 26586060].
- Sreenivasa B, Murthy CS, Raghavendra K, Basavanthappa S, Pejaver R, Jadala HV. Urinary tract infection at presentation of nephrotic syndrome: A clinical evaluation. *Indian J Child Health*. 2015;2(1):1–4.
- Feehally J, Kendell NP, Swift PG, Walls J. High incidence of minimal change nephrotic syndrome in Asians. *Arch Dis Child*. 1985;60(11):1018– 20. doi: 10.1136/adc.60.11.1018. [PubMed: 4073934]. [PubMed Central: PMC1777627].
- Rossaint J, Zarbock A. Acute kidney injury: Definition, diagnosis and epidemiology. *Minerva Urol Nefrol*. 2016;68(1):49–57. [PubMed: 26364570].
- Lei Y, Nie S, Sun DH, Bin W, Xu X. [Epidemiology of acute kidney injury in Chinese critical patients]. *Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao*. 2016;**36**(6):744-50. Chinese. [PubMed: 27320872].

Variables	Steroid Responsive	Steroid Dependent	Steroid Resistant	Frequent Relapse	P Valu
Mother occupation					0.064
Unemployed	20	28	16	12	
Self employed	0	0	8	32	
Worker	16	12	32	12	
Employee	64	60	44	44	
Mother education					0.194
Under diploma	0	8	32	12	
Diploma	28	32	28	28	
Associate degree	8	8	4	0	
Bachelor	44	36	32	36	
Master's degree and higher	20	16	4	24	
Economical conditions					0.001
> 10 million IRR	12	0	4	8	
10 - 20 million IRR	0	24	28	12	
> 20 million IRR	88	76	68	80	
Maternal BMI					0.011
Overweight	16	8	20	16	
Normal	68	44	20	32	
Underweight	12	4	12	12	
Preeclampsia					0.004
Positive	4	44	52	52	
Negative	96	56	48	48	
Eclampsia					0.432
Positive	12	0	4	4	
Negative	88	100	96	96	
Diabetes					0.118
Pregestatioal DM	8	24	40	20	
Gestational DM	24	24	36	32	
Without DM	68	52	24	48	
Gestational HTN					0.001
Positive	8	32	76	68	
Negative	92	68	24	32	
Consanguineous marriage					0.001
Positive	12	40	76	72	
Negative	88	60	24	28	
Mothers passive smoking					0.001
Positive	20	52	80	72	
Negative	80	48	20	28	
Neonatal jaundice					0.007
Positive	16	40	64	36	
Negative	84	60	36	64	
Prenatal care					0.589
Inadequate	0	4	12	16	
Average	12	12	12	12	
Enough	84	84	72	72	
Intense	4	0	4	0	