Published online 2022 April 17.

Research Article

The Relationship Between Metacognition, Meta-worry, Rumination, and Cognitive-Attentional Syndrome in Iranian Combat Veterans with Post-traumatic Stress Disorder

Mehran Zarghami ¹, Yazdan Naderi Rajeh ^{2,*}, Abbas Alipour ³, Seyyed Taha Yahyavi ⁴ and Hajar Sadeghi⁵

¹Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Addiction Institute, AND Department of Psychiatry, Faculty of Medicine, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

²Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

³Department of Community Medicine, School of Medicine, Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Addiction Institute, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran

⁴Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁵ Faculty of Nursing and Midwifery, Arak University of Medical Sciences, Arak, Iran

Corresponding author: Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, Sari, Iran. Email: Email: yazdan.nr66@yahoo.com

Received 2021 June 20; Accepted 2022 January 04.

Abstract

Background: Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) metacognitive model is considered a model with good power. There are not enough data that this model is appropriate to combat veterans with chronic PTSD.

Objectives: This study aimed to investigate the association between metacognition components, including metacognitive beliefs and attitudes, meta-worry, rumination, and cognitive-attentional syndrome (CAS), in Iranian combat veterans with PTSD.

Methods: The population of this study included all combat veterans referred to the rehabilitating center of Sari, Mazandaran province in 2016. After a clinical interview by a clinical psychologist, the veterans were divided into three groups (PTSD, non-PTSD, and non-traumatized). These three groups matched in age, gender, and socio-economic status. Exclusion criteria for three groups were as follows: Those who had a significant psychiatric disorder that has been active during the research plan. Moreover, data gathering instrument used in the current research was Metacognition Questionnaire [including Metacognitive Questionnaire (MCQ), Ruminative Responses Scale (RRS), Cognitive-Attentional Syndrome Scale (CAS-1), Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R), and Metaworry Questionnaire (MWQ)]. One-way variance analysis was used to compare groups in terms of metacognition, meta-worry, rumination, and CAS.

Conclusions: Consistent with the metacognitive model of PTSD, metacognition components, ruminative responses, cognitiveattentional syndrome, and meta-worry have significant differences with non-PTSD and non-traumatized. It appears that metacognitive components are more disruptive in PTSD patients than other control groups. This finding could be integrated into the metacognition theory.

Keywords: Meta-cognition, Meta-worry, Rumination, Cognitive-Attentional Syndrome, Post-traumatic Stress Disorder

1. Background

Human life has never been free from traumatic events. Moreover, trauma has never been free from economic, somatic, social, and mainly psychological consequences such as post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD). This disorder is a prevalent outcome of traumatic events and includes reexperiencing, avoidance, negative cognitions and mood, and arousal (1). Researchers have become increasingly interested in examining the processes involved in maintaining a disorder (2-4). Although most trauma survivors experience acute symptoms immediately after an accident, it is important to note that only a small percentage develop chronic PTSD, and this issue is the most important reason to pay attention to this issue (5). Metacognitive model is one of these models, explaining the processes involved in the maintenance of the disorder (6). In addition to the form of psychological phenomena, this approach is also sensitive to their context and functions and mainly tends to pay attention to textual and experimental change strategies and emphasize direct and educational strategies (7). According to the metacognitive model, metacognition refers to cognition practicable to cognition and maybe de-

Copyright © 2022, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

fined as any knowledge or cognitive processes involved in the appraisal, control, and monitoring of thinking (8). After controlling intervening variables, beliefs about the trauma memory within the trauma narrative predicted a significant proportion of the variance in post-traumatic stress symptoms (9).

Cognitive-attentional syndrome (CAS) is a component of the metacognition model that its activation is the main factor in the establishment of PTSD. This syndrome contains worry, rumination, and threat monitoring (6, 10). Studies indicate that both worry (11) and rumination (9), which are two components of the CAS, play a mediating role between metacognitive beliefs and post-traumatic stress symptoms. The metacognitive model suggests that individuals have a self-righting process preoccupied with adaptation and recovery from the psychological and emotional consequence of trauma. The goal of this process is to develop new procedures (metacognitions) for controlling cognition and action in future experience and encounters with traumatic stimuli. Nevertheless, a person's style of thinking and coping responses following trauma can impede this self-righting process, leading to the persistence of symptoms and PTSD. The CAS consists of worry/rumination, threat monitoring, and unhelpful coping behaviors and arises the metacognitive beliefs and plans activated by the event and initial symptoms. Both positive and negative metacognitive beliefs influence the CAS. The CAS arises out of the individual's metacognitive beliefs stored in long-term memory. It also includes negative metacognitive beliefs about the consequences and meaning of thoughts (12). Although the metacognitive model of PTSD is accepted as a model with good fitness, there are no enough data that this model is appropriate to combat veterans with chronic PTSD.

2. Objectives

The objective of this study was to investigate the association between metacognition components, including metacognitive, beliefs, meta-worry, rumination, and CAS, in Iranian combat veterans with PTSD.

3. Methods

This research was a case-control study. The population of this study included all combat veterans referred to the rehabilitating center of Sari, Mazandaran province, in 2016. After a clinical interview by a clinical psychologist, the veterans were divided into three groups (PTSD, non-PTSD, and non-traumatized). The participants were selected by convenience sampling. The sample size was calculated by the following composition:

$$n = \left(Z1 - \frac{\alpha}{2} + Z1 - \beta\right)^2 \left(S1^2 + S2^2\right) (\mu 1 - \mu 2)^2$$
$$n = (1.96 + 0.84)^2 \left(22^2 + 9^2\right) (69 - 50)^2$$
$$= 12.52$$
$$\cong 13$$

According to this formula, the sample size was calculated at 39 subjects (13 in each group). The first group included 13 combat veterans who suffered from PTSD. The second group included 13 combat veterans who had experienced severe life-threatening war trauma but not suffering from PTSD. The third group included 13 persons that did not have any traumatic experiences named the nontraumatized group. These three groups matched in age, gender and socio-economic status.

the data gathering instrument used in the current research was as follows: Metacognition Questionnaires (MCQ-30): The questionnaire has 30 questions and assesses five subscales of metacognitive beliefs as follows: Cognitive self-confidence, positive beliefs about worry, cognitive self-awareness, uncontrollability, and the risk and need for thought control. Each question is scored from 1 to 4 (I totally agree, I agree, I have no opinion, and I completely disagree). The MCQ-30 has good internal consistency and convergent validity as well as retest reliability (13). Cronbach's alpha coefficient and Persian version retest reliability were reported to be 0.93 and 0.78, respectively (14, 15).

This questionnaire was designed by Holen-Hoxma and Morrow (16). This tool examines four different styles of reacting to negative moods. The scale of intellectual answers has 22 questions. And the answers range from 1 (never) to 4 (often). This scale has internal reliability. Cronbach's alpha coefficient from 0.88 to 0.92 and correlation within class 0.75 indicate high reliability and validity of this scale (17). Basharpoor et al. (18) reported the Cronbach's alpha of this scale about 0.90 as well. Cognitive-Attentional Syndrome Scale (CAS-1) contains 16 items to assess the activation of cognitive-cognitive symptoms. The first two questions measure the frequency of the patient's anxiety and her/his attention to the threatening factors, respectively. The next six questions are about repeating the people's strategies to cope with negative thoughts and feelings. Scoring is based on an 8-point Likert scale from zero to eight. The next eight questions measure a person's metacognitive beliefs about CAS, based on a scale from zero to 100. The total score is obtained from the sum of the scores. Higher scores on this scale indicate more activation in the CAS. Cronbach's alpha CAS scale, equal to 0.85, has been reported (19).

Impact of Event Scale-Revised (IES-R) is a 22 item selfreport questionnaire, measuring the frequency of symptoms of post-traumatic intrusion, avoidance, and hyperarousal (on separate subscales) in the previous week. Internal consistency is high (Cronbach's Alpha 0.79 - 0.92). The IES-R possesses good validity as a measure of posttraumatic distress, though it should be emphasized that it is not a measure of PTSD. A Farsi version of the IES-R has an adequate internal consistency of Cronbach Alpha (0.75 - 0.92) (20). Meta-worry Questionnaire (MWQ) assesses thoughts and ideas about worrying and consists of seven items reflecting the dangers of worrying. The MWQ has two response subscales, one designed to assess the frequency of meta-worry and the other designed to assess the belief in each meta-worry. Cronbach's alpha for the frequency scale was 0.88 (21). The internal consistency of the Persian version of this scale was 0.71(22).

3.1. Data Collection

The participants filled the questionnaires unanimously and their information was kept confidential. They did by self or with the help of a researcher who explained any obscure issue in the questionnaires to them.

3.2. Ethical Clearance and Informed Consent

The protocol of the study was approved by Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences, with an ethical code: IR.MAZUMS.REC.13973421. The plan and purposes of the study were explained to all participants, and written informed consent was taken from those who were willing to participate in the study and they were explained that they were permissible not to take part in the survey. The participants were warranted that their information would be kept confidential and anonymous in all steps of the study.

3.3. Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Exclusion criteria for three groups were as follows: those who had a major psychiatric disorder that were active during the research plan (e.g., schizophrenia, bipolar disorder inactive phase, schizoaffective, active delusional disorder, and a major depressive disorder).

3.4. Statistical Analysis Data

Data were gathered and analyzed using one-way variance analysis with SPSS version 24. P-values of less than 0.05 were considered statistically significant.

4. Results

In the current study, data were analyzed at both descriptive and inferential levels. Descriptive index of metacognition, meta-worry, rumination, the impact of an event, and cognitive-attentional syndrome in three groups are presented as mean \pm standard deviation (SD) in Table 1. The PTSD groups had higher scores in metacognitions components. One-way variance analysis was used to compare groups in terms of metacognition, meta-worry, rumination, and CAS (Table 2). This analysis showed PTSD group scores are significantly more than control (non-PTSD and non-traumatized) groups. After a one-way variance analysis, post hoc analysis was done to determine the difference between groups (Table 3).

Table 1. Descriptive Statistics ^a							
Variables	PTSD	Non-PTSD	Non-trauma				
МСQ	87.13 ± 14.33	27.66 ± 7.01	19.50 ± 7.01				
MWQ	17.73 ± 6.21	11.20 ± 3.82	10.50 ± 4.12				
CAS	30.46 ± 11.50	14.66 ± 4.73	9.75 ± 2.80				
RRS	50.46 ± 14.91	21.00 ± 7.67	20.33 ± 7.87				
IES-R	46.73 ± 16.87	19.20 ± 8.75	7.66 ± 4.29				

Abbreviations: MCQ, Metacognitive Questionnaire; MWQ, Meta-worry Questionnaire; CAS, cognitive-attentional syndrome; RRS, Ruminative Responses Scale; IES-R, Impact of Event Scale-Revised.

^aValues are expressed as mean \pm sandard deviation.

5. Discussion

The objective of this survey was to investigate the relationship between metacognition components, including metacognition belief, meta-worry, rumination, and CAS in combat veterans with PTSD. In support of our hypothesis, these variables were significantly and positively associated with post-traumatic stress symptoms. These findings are consistent with the metacognitive model of PTSD (11, 12). The results of this study are consistent with both the metacognitive model of PTSD (12) and previous research findings (9, 12, 22) that demonstrated the relationship between metacognition and PTSD symptoms. These findings suggest that negative metacognition beliefs can be a core of the formation of intense and uncontrollable worry in combat veterans. According to data analysis, PTSD patients had more ruminative responses significantly. This finding is congruent with previous studies that indicate both worry (7) and rumination (9, 19) are two components of the CAS, which significantly play a mediating role between metacognitive beliefs and PTSD. The results showed that the level of rumination disorder in struggle veterans with

Table 2. One-Way Variance Analysis for Metacognition, Meta-worry, Rumination, and Cognitive-Attentional Syndrome							
Varia	ance Source	SS	Df	MS	F	Sig.	
CAS					28.413	0.000	
	Between groups	3280.302	2	1640.151			
	Within groups	2251.317	39	57.726			
	Total	5531.619	41				
RRS					36.048	0.000	
	Between groups	8544.933	2	4272.467			
	Within groups	4622.400	39	118.523			
	Total	11172.119	41				
IES-R	1				41.576	0.000	
	Between groups	11172.119	2	5586.060			
	Within groups	5240.000	39	134.359			
	Total	16412.119	41				
MWQ	2				9.516	0.000	
	Between groups	455.000	2	227.500			
	Within groups	932.333	39	23.906			
	Total	1387.333	41				
MCQ					123.712	0.000	
	Between groups	28663.576	2	14331.788			
	Within groups	4518.067	39	115.848			
	Total	33181.643	41				

Abbreviations: MCQ, Metacognitive Questionnaire; MWQ, Meta-worry Questionnaire; CAS, cognitive-attentional syndrome; RRS, Ruminative Responses Scale; IES-R, Impact of Event Scale-Revised.

Table 3. Post hoc Test for Comparison of Means of Three Groups						
Dependent Variables	(i) Group	(j) Group	Difference of Mean (i-j)	SEM	Sig.	
CAS	PTSD	Non-PTSD	15.80	2.77	0.000	
		Non-traumatized	20.76	2.94	0.000	
	Non-PTSD	Non-traumatized	4.91	2.94	0.260	
	PTSD	Non-PTSD	29.46	3.97	0.000	
RRS		Non-traumatized	30.13	4.21	0.000	
	Non-PTSD	Non-traumatized	0.66	4.21	0.989	
	PTSD	Non-PTSD	27.53	4.23	0.000	
IES-R		Non-traumatized	39.06	4.48	0.000	
	Non-PTSD	Non-traumatized	11.53	4.48	1.01	
	PTSD	Non-PTSD	6.53	1.78	0.003	
MWQ		Non-traumatized	7.23	1.89	0.002	
	Non-PTSD	Non-traumatized	0.700	1.89	0.93	
	PTSD	Non-PTSD	50.46	3.93	0.000	
MCQ		Non-traumatized	58.63	4.16	0.000	
	Non-PTSD	Non-traumatized	8.16	4.16	0.160	

PTSD is significantly higher than veterans without PTSD. These results are consistent with a previous study (23-25).

Rumination is a critical process in predicting the durability of PTSD. Ruminant people believe that they gain more psychological profits from rumination (26). Rumination of the trauma and its consequences is a maladaptive cognitive processing style; for example, how it could have been interdicted or how justice/revenge could be achieved. At this stage, it is obscure what mechanisms are accurately involved by which rumination maintains PTSD. It may strengthen problematic assessments of the trauma and resemble cognitive avoidance in interfering with the formation of thorough trauma memory. Ultimately, it may directly increase feelings of nervous tension, dysphoria, or frustration, as well as trigger the onset of internal recovery symptoms, as well as increase intrusive memories of the traumatic events (26). However, re-experiencing the trauma may lead to rumination, thus rumination may provide internal cues that trigger re-experiencing symptoms (27). In addition, Ehlers and Clark proposed repeated and prolonged rumination (27). The suggestion of continuous and long-term rumination following a traumatic event is one of the variables whose role is considered in maintaining post-traumatic stress symptoms (28). Our results show that combat veterans with PTSD have a higher score in CAS than the other control groups. This finding is consistent with Pineles et al.'s study (29). These results are consistent with previous studies that reported individuals with PTSD to have attentional biases to trauma-related stimuli (30, 31).

Meta-worry is an indispensable factor in the initiation and precipitating of PTSD. According to this model, negative meta-cognition beliefs in combat veterans with PTSD lead them to a negative evaluation of worry and metaworry. Meta-worry can be the cause of anxiety symptoms in combat veterans with PTSD. Therefore, anxiety symptoms and negative metacognition beliefs about uncontrollability and being dangerous would be linked. The results of two studies in the field of thinking style interventions showed that in non-patients, worry following exposure to stress was associated with the incubation of sudden images related to the stressor over the next three days (6, 8, 12). In epitome, worry thinking styles, threat monitoring, and avoidant coping lead to a fixed schema of duplicate processing that does not lead to low-level processing activity to decrease symptoms and come back to normal state. The term 'trauma-lock", as a stenography label, is used for this state.

5.1. Relevance of This Study to the Practice of Primary Health Care

The subject of this study is important for primary care physicians and psychologists in our country, and its find-

ings are applicable in the clinic.

5.2. Conclusions

The findings also have substantial implications for the developmental psychopathology and therapy of combat veterans with PTSD. Multiple elements impede the normal adjustment processes, including (1) worry and rumination that turn resources away adjustment processes; (2) cognitive-attentional syndrome that maintain the perceptions of threat; (3) avoidant types of coping such as thought control. These elements obstruct adjustment and prohibit cognition from returning to the typical threat-free environment. Metacognitive components such as CAS, meta-worry, and rumination influenced symptoms of chronic combat veterans with PTSD, and this correlational model may assist case conceptualization and therapy of these patients.

5.3. Limitations of the Study

A limitation of this study is the small sample size, which makes it challenging to generalize our findings to other forms of PTSD. Furthermore, it is suggested that future studies should be conducted with a larger sample size. The second limitation of this study is correlational study design; therefore, the causal relationship could not be concluded. It is recommended that the findings of this study should be further investigated and confirmed through controlled and experimental studies. According to the results of this study, it can be suggested that the treatment of PTSD should target metacognitive beliefs and incompatible thought-control strategies, which may cause the persistence of symptoms and disorders.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Mehran Zarghami conceived the idea. Yazdan Naderi Rajeh developed the theory and performed the computations. Abbas Alipour and Seyed Taha Yahyavi verified the analytical methods and supervised the findings of this work. All authors discussed the results and contributed to the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: The authors declare no conflict of interests.

Ethical Approval: IR.MAZUMS.REC.13973421.

Funding/Support: Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine and Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences Research Center, Addiction Institute, Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences.

Informed Consent: Written informed consent was obtained from all participants.

References

- 1. American Psychiatric Association Division of Research. Highlights of Changes from DSM-IV to DSM-5. *Focus*. 2013;**11**(4):525-7. doi: 10.1176/appi.focus.11.4.525.
- Mitima-Verloop HB, Boelen PA, Mooren TTM. Commemoration of disruptive events: a scoping review about posttraumatic stress reactions and related factors. *EurJ Psychotraumatol*. 2020;11(1):1701226. doi: 10.1080/20008198.2019.1701226. [PubMed: 32082507]. [PubMed Central: PMC7006684].
- Santa Maria A, Reichert F, Hummel SB, Ehring T. Effects of rumination on intrusive memories: does processing mode matter? J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry. 2012;43(3):901–9. doi: 10.1016/j.jbtep.2012.01.004. [PubMed: 22343035].
- Kannis-dymand L, Carter JD, Lane BR, Innes P. The relationship of peritraumatic distress and dissociation with beliefs about memory following natural disasters. *Aust Psychol.* 2020;54(4):311–21. doi: 10.1111/ap.12377.
- de Bont PAJM. Trauma-focused treatment in patients with psychotic disorders and PTSD: Screening, primary, secondary and cost-effects [Thesis]. 2019.
- Wells A, Simons M. Metacognitive therapy. Treatment Resistant Anxiety Disorders: Resolving Impasses to Symptom Remission. Taylor & Francis; 2009.
- Hayes SC, Follette VM, Linehan M. Mindfulness and acceptance: Expanding the cognitive-behavioral tradition. Guilford Press; 2004.
- 8. Wells A. Emotional Disorders and Metacognition: Innovative cognitive therapy. John Wiley & Sons; 2002.
- Lysaker PH, Klion RE. Recovery, Meaning-Making, and Severe Mental Illness: A comprehensive guide to metacognitive reflection and insight therapy. Routledge; 2017.
- Mazloom M, Yaghubi H, Mohammadkhani S. Post-traumatic stress symptom, metacognition, emotional schema and emotion regulation: A structural equation model. *Pers Individ Differ*. 2016;88:94–8. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2015.08.053.
- Roussis P, Wells A. Post-traumatic stress symptoms: Tests of relationships with thought control strategies and beliefs as predicted by the metacognitive model. *Pers Individ Differ*. 2006;**40**(1):111–22. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2005.06.019.
- Wells A, Welford M, Fraser J, King P, Mendel E, Wisely J, et al. Chronic PTSD Treated With Metacognitive Therapy: An Open Trial. *Cogn Behav Pract.* 2008;15(1):85–92. doi: 10.1016/j.cbpra.2006.11.005.
- Wells A, Cartwright-Hatton S. A short form of the metacognitions questionnaire: properties of the MCQ-30. *Behav Res Ther*. 2004;42(4):385–96. doi:10.1016/s0005-7967(03)00147-5.
- Abolghasemi A. [The Relationship of Meta-Cognitive Beliefs with Positive and Negative Symptomes in the Schizophrenia Patients]. *Clin Psychol Pers*. 2007;5(2):1–10. Persian.
- Bakhtavar E, Neshatdoust HR, Moulavi H, Bahrami F. [Efficacy of meta cognitive behavioral therapy in reducing self punishment in patients with post traumatic stress disorder]. J Res Behav Sci. 2007;5(2 (10)):93– 8. Persian.
- Pugach CP, Campbell AA, Wisco BE. Emotion regulation in posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD): Rumination accounts for the association between emotion regulation difficulties and PTSD severity. J Clin Psychol. 2020;76(3):508–25. doi: 10.1002/jclp.22879. [PubMed: 31621903].
- Muris P, Roelofs J, Rassin E, Franken I, Mayer B. Mediating effects of rumination and worry on the links between neuroticism, anxiety and depression. *Pers Individ Differ*. 2005;**39**(6):1105–11. doi: 10.1016/j.paid.2005.04.005.

- Basharpoor S, Shafiei M, Daneshvar S. The Comparison of Experiential Avoidance, [corrected] Mindfulness and Rumination in Trauma-Exposed Individuals With and Without Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) in an Iranian Sample. *Arch Psychiatr Nurs*. 2015;29(5):279–83. doi: 10.1016/j.apnu.2015.05.004. [PubMed: 26397429].
- Salmani B, Hasani J. [Cognitive Attentional Syndrome (CAS) Cognitive Emotion Regulation Strategies: Transdiagnostic Processes or Diagnostic based on Mood Anxiety Disorders]. J Clin Psychol. 2013;5(3):91-104. Persian. doi: 10.22075/jcp.2017.2139.
- Contractor AA, Greene T, Dolan M, Weiss NH, Armour C. Relation between PTSD symptom clusters and positive memory characteristics: A network perspective. J Anxiety Disord. 2020;69:102157. doi: 10.1016/j.janxdis.2019.102157. [PubMed: 31751918]. [PubMed Central: PMC6960352].
- Cuijpers P, Sijbrandij M, Koole S, Huibers M, Berking M, Andersson G. Psychological treatment of generalized anxiety disorder: a meta-analysis. *Clin Psychol Rev.* 2014;**34**(2):130–40. doi: 10.1016/j.cpr.2014.01.002. [PubMed: 24487344].
- Salmani B, Hasani J, Mohammad-Khani S, Karami GR. [The efficacy of metacognitive therapy on metacognitive beliefs, metaworry and the signs and symptoms of patients with generalized anxiety disorder]. *Feyz J Kashan Univ Med Sci.* 2014;18(5):428–39. Persian.
- Mansell W, Lam D. A preliminary study of autobiographical memory in remitted bipolar and unipolar depression and the role of imagery in the specificity of memory. *Memory*. 2004;12(4):437-46. doi: 10.1080/09658210444000052. [PubMed: 15487540].
- 24. Papajerjeyouk K, Velz A. [New theories in depression disorder]. Translated by Bahrami F, Yousefi Z, Barekatain M. Isfahan: Arkane Danesh; 2007. Persian.
- Brewin CR, Gregory JD, Lipton M, Burgess N. Intrusive images in psychological disorders: characteristics, neural mechanisms, and treatment implications. *Psychol Rev.* 2010;**117**(1):210–32. doi: 10.1037/a0018113. [PubMed: 20063969]. [PubMed Central: PMC2834572].
- Nolen-Hoeksema S, Morrow J, Fredrickson BL. Response styles and the duration of episodes of depressed mood. J Abnorm Psychol. 1993;102(1):20-8. doi: 10.1037//0021-843x.102.1.20. [PubMed: 8436695].
- Ehlers A, Clark DM. A cognitive model of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behav Res Ther.* 2000;**38**(4):319–45. doi: 10.1016/s0005-7967(99)00123-0.
- Wells A, Sembi S. Metacognitive therapy for PTSD: a preliminary investigation of a new brief treatment. J Behav Ther Exp Psychiatry. 2004;35(4):307–18. doi: 10.1016/j.jbtep.2004.07.001. [PubMed: 15530845].
- Pineles SL, Shipherd JC, Mostoufi SM, Abramovitz SM, Yovel I. Attentional biases in PTSD: More evidence for interference. *Behav Res Ther.* 2009;47(12):1050–7. doi: 10.1016/j.brat.2009.08.001. [PubMed: 19716122].
- Lazarov A, Suarez-Jimenez B, Tamman A, Falzon L, Zhu X, Edmondson DE, et al. Attention to threat in posttraumatic stress disorder as indexed by eye-tracking indices: a systematic review. *Psychol Med*. 2019;**49**(5):705–26. doi: 10.1017/S0033291718002313. [PubMed: 30178728]. [PubMed Central: PMC6399079].
- DeLaRosa BL, Spence JS, Didehbani N, Tillman GD, Motes MA, Bass C, et al. Neurophysiology of threat processing bias in combat-related post-traumatic stress disorder. *Hum Brain Mapp*. 2020;**41**(1):218–29. doi: 10.1002/hbm.24800. [PubMed: 31584243]. [PubMed Central: PMC7268056].