

Evaluation of 30-Day Unplanned Hospital Readmission in a Large Teaching Hospital in Shiraz, Iran

Ahmad Kalateh Sadati,¹ Kamran Bagheri Lankarani,^{2,*} Reza Tabrizi,² Farnaz Rahnavard,² and Somaye

Zakerabasali²

¹Department of Sociology, Yazd University, Yazd, Iran

²Health Policy Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

*Corresponding author: Kamran Bagheri Lankarani, Health Policy Research Center (HPRC), Shiraz University of Medical Science, Building No 2, Eighth Floor, School of Medicine, Zand Avenue, 71348-53185, Shiraz, Iran. Tel/Fax: +98-71132309615, E-mail: lankaran@sums.ac.ir

Received 2016 June 08; Revised 2017 January 18; Accepted 2017 February 08.

Abstract

Background: The information about lower 30-day readmission in Iran is very limited. The current study aimed at evaluating the causes of readmission in an educational hospital based on LACE index.

Methods: It was a case-control study evaluating the causes of readmission of the patients from February 2014 till February 2015 (12 months) in a large teaching hospital in Shiraz, Iran. Data were extracted from health information system (HIS) and after removing the planned readmission, the rest of data were examined according to 4 variables of LACE index; in addition to the season of admission, rate of preclinical requests, and patients' living area. Data were transferred into Stata software version 11.0, according to the conditional regression analysis.

Results: Results showed that 66 patients were readmitted during this time. In addition, having history of chronic non-communicable diseases such as hypertension (HTN), diabetes mellitus (DM), chronic kidney disease (CKD) (here called internal problem) ($P = 0.04$), surgical procedure ($P = 0.03$), and rate of paraclinical requests ($P = 0.04$) associated with readmission.

Conclusions: It is necessary to consider the patients with internal history, surgical procedure, and more paraclinical requirement. In addition, physicians should be educated to give more consideration to these groups.

Keywords: 30-Day Readmission, Internal Medicine, Surgical

1. Background

Hospital readmission is an important subject in health and medicine. Hospital readmissions are attracting increasing attention as a measure of health care quality and a cost-effective target (1). The hospitalization index is receiving increased scrutiny as a marker of poor-quality patient care (2). Recent policy changes, including substantial financial penalties, make readmission an important, if not the most important, pay-for-performance program for health care in the United States (3). Due to its importance, it became a dominant discourse in the health research in the last decade. The importance of subject is related to the costs imposed to the health system because of readmission problems (4, 5). Also, readmission is related to some human problems such as wasting time, money, and absence from work.

The index called LACE can predict hospital readmissions (6). It means that LACE index is employed to predict the risk of unplanned readmission within 30 days after hospital discharge both in medical and surgical patients. In this index, "L" refers to the length of stay including the day of admission and discharge, and if the patient was ad-

mitted to the emergency department; "A" stands for the acuity of the admission, specifically, if the patient is admitted through the emergency department vs. an elective admission; "C" stands for co-morbidities, incorporating the Charlson comorbidity index (7), and finally "E" stands for the number of emergency department visits within the last 6 months (8-10). To evaluate the risk of readmission, it is defined as low (scores 0 to 4); moderate (scores 5 to 9) and high (the score ≥ 10). Without considering the scores, LACE index is good to predict the readmission risk of 4 items.

The current study investigations on hospital readmission in Iran did not have any results as there were no studies about the subject. Due to this point and the importance of the issue, the current study aimed at evaluating the causes of 30-day readmissions in an educational hospital in Shiraz, South of Iran.

2. Methods

It was a retrospective case-control study on patients' readmission in Shahid Faghihi educational Hospital in Shi-

raz, Iran. The context of the study was the general hospital in Shiraz, the 2nd largest educational hospital in this city. Except for some cases, specifically traumatic patients, this hospital provides many medical services.

The study population included all patients admitted to the hospital, and the study was conducted based on the following steps: At first, all patients admitted from January 2013 to January 2014 (for 13 months) were identified by referring to the health information system (HIS) of the hospital. After that, all readmitted patients were recognized. In the next step, as the readmitted patients included 2 groups, planned and unplanned patients; the readmitted patients were identified. Planned patients included patients of post-angiography for angioplasty, or patients referred to the urology department planned to remove double J stent. Patients undergoing dialysis and the ones visiting the outpatient department (OPD) were excluded. In addition, 25 readmitted patients were excluded because of duplication and lack of profile (Figure 1).




Figure 1. Flow Diagram of the Search

For each readmitted patient, 2 non-readmitted patients were assigned to the control group; totally, 132 patients were enrolled into the control group. To evaluate the readmission reasons, a questionnaire was made including a check list of demographic traits, and the questions that examined the LACE variables. Based on LACE index 4 subject were categorized to evaluate the causes of readmission, which were the length of stay, acuity of the admission, comorbidity (with or without the history of chronic non-communicable diseases such as hypertension (HTN), diabetes mellitus (DM), chronic kidney disease (CKD) (internal), surgical, urologic, neurological, nephrological, and heart problems), and the number of visiting emergency

department within 30 days after discharge. It should be mentioned that there were no scores according LACE. The 4 variables of LACE index were just used. In addition, the current study evaluated the season of admission, paraclinical requests, living area of the patients, and their relationship with readmission. About paraclinical requests, there was a hypothesis that more requests was related to more complication of illness. In addition, as social factors are related to readmission (11), the living area was considered as a readmission predicting factor.

Data were transferred into the Stata software version 11.0 and analyzed using conditional regression analysis. The current study evaluations were based on the effect of mentioned causes on readmission of patients. The crude odds ratio in this relationship was evaluated with 95% confidence interval (CI).

3. Results

Results showed that during the time of study, 14,608 patients were admitted to the hospitals, which a part of them were readmission cases. After excluding the planned readmissions, it was found that 66 patients were readmitted during the time. The group included 38 males (57.6%) and 28 females (42.4%). For every case, 2 control cases were selected and thus, 132 patients were recruited as the control group. By referring to the HIS system, the control cases were selected from the patients not readmitted in that time. Then, the patients with similar problems were selected. After that, it was tried to select the patients with similar conditions based on gender, age, and similar diagnosis. For each of the patient cases, 2 control cases were recruited. In this group there were 76 males (57.6%) and 56 females (42.4%). Results of the causes affecting the readmissions are shown in Table 1.

Based on Table 1, the history of internal problems ($P = 0.03$), previous surgical procedures ($P = 0.03$), and more paraclinical requests ($P = 0.04$) were significantly associated with readmission.

4. Discussion

The current study aimed at exploring the rate and causes of readmission in an educational hospital in Shiraz, Iran. The obtained results showed that in about 1 year, 132 patients were readmitted in this hospital. Results of the current study showed that 4 factors as of the history of internal problems, surgical procedures, and more paraclinical requests predicted the readmission of patients in the hospitals.

The comparison of results with LACE index showed that comorbidity, specifically about internal and surgical

Table 1. Conditional Regression of Hospital Readmission

Variable	Cases, N (%)	Control, N (%)	Unadjusted OR (95%CI)	P Value
Length of admission	7.06 ± 4.43	6.07 ± 5.53	1.03 (0.97 - 1.09)	0.20
Acuity of the admission	22 (33.33)	55 (41.67)	Referent	0.09
Not acuity	44 (66.67)	77 (58.33)	2.24 (0.86 - 5.82)	
Comorbidities:				
History of internal disease				
No	35 (53.03)	88 (66.67)	Referent	0.04 ^a
Yes	31 (46.97)	44 (33.33)	2.02 (1.01 - 4.02)	
History of surgical procedure				
No	52 (78.79)	119 (90.15)	Referent	0.03 ^a
Yes	14 (21.21)	13 (9.85)	2.55 (1.08 - 6.01)	
History of neurological problem				
No	59 (89.39)	121 (91.67)	Referent	0.58
Yes	7 (10.61)	11 (8.33)	0.67 (0.30 - 1.53)	
History of heart diseases				
No	40 (60.61)	73 (55.30)	Referent	0.35
Yes	26 (39.39)	59 (44.70)	1.34 (0.46 - 3.83)	
History of urologic diseases				
No	56 (84.85)	118 (89.39)	Referent	0.33
Yes	10 (15.15)	14 (10.61)	1.58 (0.62 - 4.05)	
History of cancer				
No	61 (92.42)	119 (90.15)	Referent	0.57
Yes	5 (7.58)	13 (9.85)	2.54 (0.79 - 8.14)	
History of nephrological diseases				
No	59 (89.39)	126 (95.45)	Referent	0.11
Yes	7 (10.61)	6 (4.55)	0.71 (0.22 - 2.26)	
Emergency department visit	1.68 ± 2.26	1.18 ± 2.02	1.12 (0.96 - 1.30)	0.13
Season of admission				
Spring	15 (22.73)	26 (19.70)	Referent	0.31
Summer	18 (27.27)	32 (24.24)	0.94 (0.38 - 2.31)	
Fall	20 (30.30)	38 (28.79)	0.92 (0.38 - 2.20)	
Winter	13 (19.70)	36 (27.27)	0.63 (0.25 - 1.57)	
Living area				
Wealthy districts of Shiraz	4 (6.06)	14 (10.61)	Referent	0.61
Moderate districts of Shiraz	6 (9.09)	9 (6.82)	2.33 (0.51 - 10.67)	
Poor district of Shiraz	20 (30.30)	36 (27.27)	1.93 (0.56 - 6.60)	
Metropolis resident	23 (34.85)	50 (37.88)	1.63 (0.46 - 5.69)	
Rural area and small town resident	13 (19.70)	23 (17.42)	2.00 (0.52 - 7.65)	
Paraclinical requests	1.48 ± 3.12	0.76 ± 1.20	1.28 (1.01 - 1.63)	0.04 ^a

^a P-value less than 0.05 considered as significant.

problems, was related to readmission. Other items of LACE, such as length of admission, acuity vs. not acuity, and emergency department visits, were not confirmed by the current study findings; although it was proposed to conduct further studies. Despite other studies, season of admission had no relationship with readmission.

About the living area, the study showed that people of poor areas, such as small towns and poor districts of Shiraz, had more readmission than others; although the dif-

ference was insignificant. However, a broad range of social factors affect the risk of post-discharge readmission and mortality in community-acquired pneumonia (CAP) and heart failure (HF) (11). Further studies are suggested.

About the internal problems, other studies showed that internal problem was a predictor of readmission in pneumonia (12, 13). Thus, they proposed that pneumonia guidelines and pathways should include objective criteria to judge the stability on discharge to ensure that efforts to

shorten the length of stay do not jeopardize the patient's safety (12).

Although the current study did not consider the type of surgical procedure, it is important point out that every surgical procedure can predicted hospital readmission. Other studies showed the relationship between some procedures and readmission (14, 15). Also, it was shown that nearly 1 in 7 patients hospitalized for a major surgical procedure was readmitted to the hospital within 30 days after discharge (16). The current evidence suggests that postoperative complications play a key role in surgical readmissions (3, 17). Generally, it is believed that hospital readmission is a sign of poor quality patient care in surgical patients (14). Thus, it is proposed to promote care and consideration in surgical patients. For example, in colorectal cancer, it was proposed that the identification of high-risk patient subgroups may assist in effectively targeting adhesion-prevention strategies, and giving preoperative advice on adhesion risk (18).

The main point of the current study was that the number of paraclinical requests such as sonography, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), computed tomography (CT) scan, and other ones was a predicting index for readmission. Although there were not any studies on this finding, it includes an important point. The number of paraclinical requests was related to the amount of complications of the illness. For example, if a patient with complication needed some paraclinical assessments, consulting with other departments is increased. In this scenario increase in some paraclinical requests means that the condition of the patient is complicated. Thus, it is proposed to consider this variable more.

According to the current study findings, it is proposed that policies should consider these 3 variables as well as other causes. No single intervention was regularly associated with reduced risk for 30-day rehospitalization (19), based on the comprehensive and multidisciplinary approach (20).

4.1. Limitations

It was the 1st study conducted on hospital readmission in Iran. Therefore, it had some limitations. Initially, its duration was very limited. Many studies on readmission are conducted during some years and sometimes 1 decade or more, the current study examined the subject in 1 year. Also, the study included a variety of diseases. Future studies on readmission should be conducted with focus on 1 illness. And, finally, there was a limitation about the patients discharged from the hospital that may have referred to other hospitals in Shiraz. The study could not follow the readmission of discharged patients in other hospitals or the ones that maybe dead.

4.2. Conclusion

According to the results of the current study, it can be said that readmission is a problem for health care systems. It imposes several burdens to these systems as well as mortality. Results of the current study showed that history of internal problems, surgical procedures, and more paraclinical requests predicted the rate of under 30-day readmission. Thus, policy makers should consider these 3 items along with the evaluation of other aspects of readmission based on the fundamental researches.

References

1. Sellers MM, Merkow RP, Halverson A, Hinami K, Kelz RR, Bentrem DJ, et al. Validation of new readmission data in the American College of Surgeons National Surgical Quality Improvement Program. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2013;**216**(3):420-7. doi: [10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2012.11.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2012.11.013). [PubMed: [23332220](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23332220/)].
2. Kassin MT, Owen RM, Perez SD, Leeds I, Cox JC, Schnier K, et al. Risk factors for 30-day hospital readmission among general surgery patients. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2012;**215**(3):322-30. doi: [10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2012.05.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2012.05.024). [PubMed: [22726893](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22726893/)].
3. Lucas DJ, Pawlik TM. Readmission after surgery. *Adv Surg.* 2014;**48**:185-99. doi: [10.1016/j.yasu.2014.05.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.yasu.2014.05.009). [PubMed: [25293615](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25293615/)].
4. Spyropoulos AC, Lin J. Direct medical costs of venous thromboembolism and subsequent hospital readmission rates: an administrative claims analysis from 30 managed care organizations. *J Manag Care Pharm.* 2007;**13**(6):475-86. doi: [10.18553/jmcp.2007.13.6.475](https://doi.org/10.18553/jmcp.2007.13.6.475). [PubMed: [17672809](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17672809/)].
5. Jerant AF, Azari R, Nesbitt TS. Reducing the cost of frequent hospital admissions for congestive heart failure: a randomized trial of a home telecare intervention. *Med Care.* 2001;**39**(11):1234-45. doi: [10.1097/00005650-200111000-00010](https://doi.org/10.1097/00005650-200111000-00010). [PubMed: [11606877](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11606877/)].
6. Cotter PE, Bhalla VK, Wallis SJ, Biram RW. Predicting readmissions: poor performance of the LACE index in an older UK population. *Age Ageing.* 2012;**41**(6):784-9. doi: [10.1093/ageing/afs073](https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afs073). [PubMed: [22644078](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22644078/)].
7. Gettman MT, Boelter CW, Chevillie JC, Zincke H, Bryant SC, Blute ML. Charlson co-morbidity index as a predictor of outcome after surgery for renal cell carcinoma with renal vein, vena cava or right atrium extension. *J Urol.* 2003;**169**(4):1282-6. doi: [10.1097/01.ju.0000049093.03392.cc](https://doi.org/10.1097/01.ju.0000049093.03392.cc). [PubMed: [12629343](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12629343/)].
8. van Walraven C, Dhalla IA, Bell C, Etchells E, Stiell IG, Zarnke K, et al. Derivation and validation of an index to predict early death or unplanned readmission after discharge from hospital to the community. *CMAJ.* 2010;**182**(6):551-7. doi: [10.1503/cmaj.091117](https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.091117). [PubMed: [20194559](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20194559/)].
9. van Walraven C, Wong J, Forster AJ. LACE+ index: extension of a validated index to predict early death or urgent readmission after hospital discharge using administrative data. *Open Med.* 2012;**6**(3):e80-90. [PubMed: [23696773](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23696773/)].
10. Gorodeski EZ, Starling RC, Blackstone EH. Are all readmissions bad readmissions?. *N Engl J Med.* 2010;**363**(3):297-8. doi: [10.1056/NEJM1001882](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM1001882). [PubMed: [20647209](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20647209/)].
11. Calvillo-King L, Arnold D, Eubank KJ, Lo M, Yunyongying P, Stieglitz H, et al. Impact of social factors on risk of readmission or mortality in pneumonia and heart failure: systematic review. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2013;**28**(2):269-82. doi: [10.1007/s11606-012-2235-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11606-012-2235-x). [PubMed: [23054925](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23054925/)].
12. Halm EA, Fine MJ, Kapoor WN, Singer DE, Marrie TJ, Siu AL. Instability on hospital discharge and the risk of adverse outcomes in pa-

- tients with pneumonia. *Arch Intern Med.* 2002;**162**(11):1278–84. doi: [10.1001/archinte.162.11.1278](https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.162.11.1278). [PubMed: [12038946](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12038946/)].
13. Meehan TP, Weingarten SR, Holmboe ES, Mathur D, Wang Y, Petrillo MK, et al. A statewide initiative to improve the care of hospitalized pneumonia patients: The Connecticut Pneumonia Pathway Project. *Am J Med.* 2001;**111**(3):203–10. doi: [10.1016/S0002-9343\(01\)00803-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0002-9343(01)00803-8). [PubMed: [11530031](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/11530031/)].
 14. Francis NK, Mason J, Salib E, Allanby L, Messenger D, Allison AS, et al. Factors predicting 30-day readmission after laparoscopic colorectal cancer surgery within an enhanced recovery programme. *Colorectal Dis.* 2015;**17**(7):O148–54. doi: [10.1111/codi.13002](https://doi.org/10.1111/codi.13002). [PubMed: [25988303](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25988303/)].
 15. Jennings AJ, Spencer RJ, Medlin E, Rice LW, Uppal S. Predictors of 30-day readmission and impact of same-day discharge in laparoscopic hysterectomy. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2015;**213**(3):344 e1–7. doi: [10.1016/j.ajog.2015.05.014](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2015.05.014). [PubMed: [25981843](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25981843/)].
 16. Tsai TC, Joynt KE, Orav EJ, Gawande AA, Jha AK. Variation in surgical-readmission rates and quality of hospital care. *N Engl J Med.* 2013;**369**(12):1134–42. doi: [10.1056/NEJMsa1303118](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMsa1303118). [PubMed: [24047062](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/24047062/)].
 17. Dimick JB, Ghaferi AA. Hospital readmission as a quality measure in surgery. *JAMA.* 2015;**313**(5):512–3. doi: [10.1001/jama.2014.14179](https://doi.org/10.1001/jama.2014.14179). [PubMed: [25647207](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25647207/)].
 18. Parker MC, Wilson MS, Menzies D, Sunderland G, Clark DN, Knight AD, et al. The SCAR-3 study: 5-year adhesion-related readmission risk following lower abdominal surgical procedures. *Colorectal Dis.* 2005;**7**(6):551–8. doi: [10.1111/j.1463-1318.2005.00857.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-1318.2005.00857.x). [PubMed: [16232234](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16232234/)].
 19. Hansen LO, Young RS, Hinami K, Leung A, Williams MV. Interventions to reduce 30-day rehospitalization: a systematic review. *Ann Intern Med.* 2011;**155**(8):520–8. doi: [10.7326/0003-4819-155-8-201110180-00008](https://doi.org/10.7326/0003-4819-155-8-201110180-00008). [PubMed: [22007045](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22007045/)].
 20. Rich MW, Vinson JM, Sperry JC, Shah AS, Spinner LR, Chung MK, et al. Prevention of readmission in elderly patients with congestive heart failure: results of a prospective, randomized pilot study. *J Gen Intern Med.* 1993;**8**(11):585–90. doi: [10.1007/BF02599709](https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02599709). [PubMed: [8289096](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8289096/)].