Published online 2018 September 4.

**Research Article** 

# Readiness of Patients with Chronic Diseases to Use Health Information Technology: An Experience of a Developing Country

Mahnaz Samadbeik<sup>1</sup>, Ali Garavand<sup>2</sup>, Marzieh Saremian<sup>3,\*</sup>, Zahra Baraei<sup>4</sup> and Mahin Adeli<sup>5</sup>

<sup>2</sup>School of Allied Medical Sciences, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>4</sup> Student Research Committee, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran

<sup>5</sup>Department of Statistics and Epidemiology, School of Health and Nutrition, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran

Corresponding author: Asadabad School of Medical Sciences, Asadabad, Iran. Tel: +98-91636906597, Email: marziehsaremian@yahoo.com

Received 2018 January 01; Revised 2018 July 01; Accepted 2018 August 08.

#### Abstract

**Background:** Nowadays, many health information technology (HIT) interventions are developed for self-care management of chronic diseases. Application of these interventions requires patients' readiness to use HIT. The current study aimed at determining the readiness of patients with chronic diseases referring to the clinics of educational hospitals in Khorramabad, Iran, to use HIT. **Methods:** The current cross sectional study was conducted on patients with chronic diseases referring to the clinics of teaching hospitals in Khoramabad, Iran, in 2016. A sample of 475 patients with chronic diseases was selected by the convenience sampling method. Data were collected using the valid and reliable PRE-HIT (patient readiness to engage in health information technology) questionnaire. This questionnaire is composed of two sections, sociodemographic characteristics and patient readiness factors including health information need, computer/internet experience, computer anxiety, preferred mode of interaction, relationship with doctor, cell-phone expertise, internet privacy concerns, and health news on a four-point Likert-scale. Data were analyzed with SPSS version 20.

**Results:** The results showed that 24.4% (n = 100) of the participants had the experience of using computers. Participants' experience of computer application had a significant relationship with their age, marital status, job, educational level, living place, health status, and disease type (P = 0.001), but it had no significant relationship with their gender. The readiness of patients with chronic diseases and the experience of computer application was at a medium level (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) = 2.77  $\pm$  0.59). The highest and lowest scores of readiness were related to the relationship with doctors (mean  $\pm$  SD = 3.37  $\pm$  0.52) and computer anxiety factors (mean  $\pm$  SD = 2.30  $\pm$  0.60), respectively.

**Conclusions:** The results of the current study showed that patients' readiness to engage in HIT was at a medium level. Hence, any plans to use computers and improve readiness of patients with chronic diseases to use HIT can eliminate the challenges of accepting IT by the patients. These can also change patients' lifestyle and improve self-care management of chronic diseases.

Keywords: Health Information Technology, Chronic Patients, Readiness

#### 1. Background

Nowadays, the fast increasing trend of chronic diseases is one of the main health challenges of the world and the burden caused by these diseases increase in spite of the relative improvement of community health status (1-3). Chronic diseases are one of the main causes of mortality, disability, and dissipation of health expenditure in the world (4, 5). The long lasting nature of chronic diseases causes the health systems to reverse their aim of curing the disease to maintain the patients function and ability. Chronic disease management needs special attention due to their high impact both on the individual and the com-

### munity (<mark>6, 7</mark>).

Hence, most of HIT interventions are developed for people with chronic illnesses. These technologies have an important role in optimal management of chronic diseases and changing patients' lifestyle (6, 8-10). Nowadays, lots of HIT technologies such as decision support technologies, telemedicine, teleconsultation, professional medical websites, social networks, virtual visits, and electronic reservation systems are introduced to manage and control the chronic diseases (9, 11, 12).

Patient-based information communication technologies (ICT) help patients to have an active role in their own care and decision-making process and to directly interact

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Social Determinants of Health Research Center, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>Asadabad School of Medical Sciences, Asadabad, Iran

Copyright © 2018, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

with health care providers and health care systems about their individual health concerns (12-14). Also, health information technology (HIT) interventions in chronic diseases lead to individual results such as quality of life improvement, mortality reduction, pain reduction, and facilitating communication with care providers, professional health related results including drug and treatment compliance, improving the quality of documentation and communication, and increasing health knowledge, and organizational results such as cost-effectiveness, the effectiveness of time and quality, decline in length of hospitalization, reduction of emergency visits, and replacement of in person visits instead (6, 12, 15). The importance of the HIT role to manage chronic diseases is more and more in future years due to the increasing trend of patients with such diseases (6).

However, despite the development of various applications of information technology in the whole clinical aspects and ensuring their efficiency, the application of technology in medical and therapeutic fields is not fully developed yet, and there are lots of challenges and barriers in its adoption and application (4, 10). The wide range of technologies, the way to access them, concerns about security, privacy, lack of motivation, and low skill of users are among the challenges which lead to HIT utilization decrease (4, 16, 17).

Health care costumers should have the necessary capabilities and skills to benefit from the IT in the health filed (10, 18, 19). IT tools can help the self-management of chronic diseases only if patients are prepared to use these technologies and accept them (10, 16, 19, 20). Therefore, assessing patients' readiness including investigating their skills, concerns, and motivation to use technology can predict their use of these technologies (10). The HIT system developers should pay attention to the main causes and motives that make the patients successfully implement these systems (21).

Several studies conducted on assessing patients' readiness to use IT in the health field indicate that patients do not have enough skill to use such technologies (18, 21-25) and they reach the required readiness level to use and accept technology by having access to e-health programs and receiving related training (20, 23, 26). Also, the adoption of new technologies by patients' needs collaboration and support from care providers, hospitals, health plans, and the government (6). In Iran, many studies are performed on evaluating the skills of using the internet in the healthy shell of the community, including the youth and adolescents (27-29), but the skills of how to use IT in patients are not studied yet. Since the burden of chronic diseases is much higher than other diseases, application of IT can play an important role in the management of such diseases. Therefore, the current study aimed at assessing the readiness of patients with chronic diseases referring to clinics of educational hospitals in Khorramabad, Iran to employ HIT. The results of the current study can help to predict chronic patients' utilization of IT resources and its challenges. Removal of IT adoption challenges by patients can lead to lifestyle changes and self-management of chronic diseases through the application of such technologies.

## 2. Methods

The current cross sectional study was conducted on patients with chronic respiratory, cardiovascular, renal, and diabetes diseases at a local level in 2016. The inclusion criteria to select patients with chronic diseases were: age range of 18 - 65 years, reading and writing literacy, history of at least one visit in the selected clinics, and signing informed consent of participating in the current study.

To determine the sample size, the following formula was employed; considering the error level = 0.05, standard deviation (SD) = 0.67, and d = 0.06, the sample size was approximately set to 475 subjects.

$$n = \left(\frac{Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}s}{d}\right)^2 \tag{1}$$

The SD was calculated based on a pilot study with a sample size of 20. The subjects were selected among patients referring to the clinics and outpatient dialysis unit of educational hospitals of Khorramabad, within three months, based on the convenience sampling method.

The data gathering tool was the valid questionnaire of the patient readiness to engage in health internet technology (PRE-HIT) developed by Koopman et al. aimed at assessing chronic patients' readiness to interact with HIT (mobile technologies and internet). The questionnaires were completed by the patients and in some cases by the interviewers. Koopman et al. used methods of primary focus groups, item development and refinement, and exploratory factor analysis (EFA) to determine the final items and factors structure (10). It is noteworthy that the internal consistency of the whole eight factors was verified based on the mean of Cronbach's alpha coefficient (0.74), the reliability of the eight subscales was verified based on test-retest with Pearson correlation coefficient that ranged 0.60 - 0.85, and its construct validity was also approved (5).

In order to confirm the true translation of PRE-HIT questionnaire and ensure to have the main concept of the original version of the questionnaire, the translation and back-translation technique was employed. Therefore, at first the mentioned tool was translated by two bilingual translators from English into Persian and then, a common Persian version was provided based on the comparison of

the two translated texts and consultation with two translators. Then, its back-translation was performed by a translator fluent in the two languages from Persian into English, and the similarity of the translation with the original version was examined and necessary amendments were made. Also, the validity of the content of this tool for its application in Iran was approved by a panel consisting five experts of medical librarianship and notification, health information management (HIM), and medical informatics. The reliability of the tool was confirmed by Cronbach's alpha of 0.79 and the correlation coefficient of 0.83. The PRE-HIT questionnaire is composed of two parts; the first part includes sociodemographic characteristics including gender, age, marital status, level of education, living place, job, self-reporting of health status, and disease type, and the second part has 28 items summarized in eight factors as health information needs (five items), computer/internet experience (four items), computer anxiety (four items), preferred interactive method (five items), relationship with doctor (three items), cell-phone expertise (two items), internet privacy concerns (two items), and health news (three items). A four-point Likert-scale (totally agree, agree, disagree, totally disagree) was used to assess patients' views. To score the answers, number 1 is considered for totally disagree, number 2 for disagree, number 3 for agree, and number 4 was assigned for totally agree for all items except items 1 - 4 for computer usage, item 2 for preferred interactive method, items 1 - 3 for relationship with doctor, items 1 and 2 for internet privacy concerns, and item 1 - 3 for receiving health related news. The readiness level was interpreted according to the mean score of each factor and total mean score by dividing into three categories: low (1-1.99), medium (2-2.99), and high (3-4).

The results were analyzed by descriptive and analytic statistics. Data analysis was performed with SPSS version 20. Chi-square was used to test the association of computer work experience with socio-demographic attributes. The correlation between the factor of relationship with doctor and type of chronic disease and sociodemographic characteristics were investigated by the nonparametric Mann-Whitney and Kruskal-Wallis tests, and the independent t test and ANOVA were employed to examine the relationship between other patients' readiness factors with these variables. The criteria to use statistical test was a normality test (Kolmogorov-Smirnov test). The significance level was considered as P < 0.05 in the current study.

# 3. Results

Out of the 475 questionnaires distributed among the patients, 410 questionnaires were completed (response rate was 0.86%); from the total number of participants, 54.88% were male and 45.12% female. Most of participants were married (84.16%), with self-employed jobs (40%), below high school or high school level of education (55.12%), and living in urban areas (75.36%). The results showed that only 24.4 % (n = 100) of participants had computer work experience. The frequency of participants based on sociodemographic characteristics and also the relationship between computer work experience and these characteristics are shown in Table 1.

Among the patients that took part in the study, 106 subjects had cardiovascular diseases (25.8%), 98 had respiratory diseases (23.9%), 85 underwent dialysis (20.7%), 74 had diabetes (18.1%), and 47 patients had two or more chronic diseases (11.5%).

The computer work experience had a significant relationship with age, marital status, job, level of education, living place, health status, and type of illness (P = 0.001), but not with gender (P = 0.978).

The total readiness to apply HIT in patients with chronic diseases and a history of computer work experience was in medium level with the mean of 2.77. The highest and the lowest mean scores of HIT application were allocated to the relationship with doctor (3.37), and computer anxiety (2.30), respectively. The readiness of patients with chronic diseases to have experience and skill in working with computer and the internet, communication with doctor, and mobile related skills were at high levels (Table 2).

Computer anxiety, preferred interactive method, and cell-phone expertise did not have any significant relationship with sociodemographic variables (P = 0.37). But, the factor of online health information needs had a significant relationship with job, educational level, relationship with doctor, disease type, and the living place. Also, the computer/internet expertise had a significant relationship only with the educational level. In addition, privacy concerns and receiving health related news had a significant relationship with job and gender, respectively (Table 3).

The results of investigating the correlation between patients' readiness factors to use HIT and the observed variables showed that housewives (16.4) and patients with Master's or higher degrees of education (4) had more online health information needs compared with other job related and educational groups. Also, these patients had more readiness for computer/internet expertise compared with other groups (5, 13). The highest patients' readiness for online relationship with the doctor was allocated to patients with kidney diseases (5.3), rural habitation (5.3), and patients with an academic job (6.3). The lowest privacy concerns were allocated to self-employed patients (5.6). Furthermore, there was more readiness to receive health related news in male patients (7.3) (Table 3).

Demographic Characteristic	Computer Wo	Computer Work Experience <sup>a</sup>		
	Yes	No	-	
Gender				0.978
Female	45 (24.3)	140 (75.7)	185	
Male	55 (24.4)	170 (75.6)	225	
Marital status				0.000
Single	33 (50.8)	32 (49.2)	65	
Married	67 (19.4)	278 (80.6)	345	
ob				0.000
State employment	46 (46.5)	53 (53.5)	99	
Self-employed	29 (17.7)	135 (82.3)	164	
Academician	5 (71.4)	2 (28.6)	7	
Housewife	18 (13.3)	113 (86.7)	135	
evel of education				0.000
High school or lower	8 (3.5)	218 (96.5)	226	
High school diploma	26 (25)	78 (75)	104	
Associate's or bachelor's degree	58 (79.5)	15 (20.5)	73	
Master's degree or higher	7(100)	0(0)	7	
iving place				0.001
Urban	86 (27.8)	223 (72.2)	309	
Rural	12 (12)	88 (88)	100	
Sum	100 (24.4)	310 (75.6)	410	

" Values are presented as No. (%).

Table 2. The Score of Patients with Chronic Diseases to Use HIT Based on the Patient Readiness Factors

The Chronic Patients Factor to Use HIT	The Readiness Score				
	Mean $\pm$ SD <sup>a</sup>	Maximum <sup>b</sup>	Minimum <sup>b</sup>	<b>Readiness Level</b>	
Online health information needs	$2.98\pm0.56$	4	1.67	Medium	
Computer/internet expertise	$3.01\pm0.60$	4	1	High	
Computer anxiety	$2.30\pm0.60$	4	1	Medium	
Preferred mode of interaction	$2.40\pm0.47$	3.6	1.2	Medium	
Relationship with doctor	$3.37\pm0.52$	4	1	High	
Cell-phone expertise	$3.03\pm0.70$	4	1	High	
Internet privacy concerns	$2.50\pm0.77$	4	1	Medium	
Receiving health related news	$2.63\pm0.48$	4	1.67	Medium	
Total	$2.77\pm0.59$	4	1	Medium	

<sup>a</sup> The mean of patient scores in all the factors.

<sup>b</sup> The minimum and maximum values are calculated based on the average score of the one patient view toward the items of every factor.

#### 4. Discussion

The studies on the level of patient's readiness to use IT are important to provide better services by health care providers. The results of the current study showed that the readiness to use HIT in patients with chronic diseases and computer expertise was in medium level; most of the patients had no computer work experience, especially the patients with lower education, which is a serious problem and should be considered by policy-makers. Also, in some countries, various studies assessed the readiness of patients to use HIT, and their results indicated adequate skill to use such technologies among the studied population (18, 21, 25). Evaluation of the patients' readiness regarding the use of HIT showed their capability and tendency toward utelizing such technologies and helped researchers to select the appropriate group of patients for this particular purpose (10). Also, the preliminary review of the HIT implementation results in saving time, money, and energy, and can increase the chance of successful technology implementation in addition to determining the communities not able to successfully implement technology immediately (30, 31).

According to the results of the current study, less than

Table 3. The Relationship Between Characteristics and Readiness Factors of Patients with Chronic Diseases to Use HIT					
Patient Readiness Factor	Total Score	P Value <sup>a</sup>			
Online health information needs (total score = 20)					
Job		0.048			
State employment	15.5				
Self-employed	13.8				
Academician	15				
Housewife	16.4				
Educational level		0.002			
Under the high school diploma	13				
High school diploma	13.1				
Associate's or Bachelor's degree	15.7				
Master's degree or higher	16				
Computer/internet expertise (total score = 16)					
Education level		0.048			
Under the high school diploma	12.6				
High school diploma	10.9				
Associate's or Bachelor's degree	12.1				
Master's degree or higher	13.5				
Relationship with doctor (total score = 12)					
Disease type		0.04			
Respiratory disease	4.9				
Heart disease	4.5				
Kidney disease	5.3				
Diabetes	4.8				
Concomitant diseases	5				
Living place		0.005			
Urban	4.7				
Rural	5.3				
Job		0.023			
State employment	4.6				
Self-employed	4.9				
Academician	6.3				
Housewife	4.9				
Privacy concerns (total score = 8)					
Job		0.029			
State employment	4.9				
Self-employed	5.6				
Academician	5.2				
Housewife	4.3				
Receiving health related news (total score = 12)					
Gender		0.024			
Female	6.7				
Male	7.3				

<sup>a</sup> P < 0.05 is considered as significant level.

25% of participants had the computer work experience. Also, Seplovich from Bronx of New York in a study developed an interventional approach to improve the attitude and use of internet and computer in females with HIV and reported same results about the very low computer skills and internet use among the patients (32). Although in the studies conducted in this field, more than 60% of patients with chronic diseases were reported as internet users (10, 23, 33). This difference can be due to lack of computer usage knowledge, lack of computer access, high mean age, and low educational level of participants in studies that reported very low level of computer skills and internet usage. Kruse et al. showed that age and educational level were among the important factors of using internet in patients

with chronic diseases (4).

The patients' readiness to use technology (internet and email) as the preferred interactive method was in medium level in the current study. However, in several studies, the use of email for communication between patients and health care professionals is emphasized due to ease-of-use, wideness, and low-cost (34-38), although the email communication in health care practices is still very poor (39). Hence, persuading patients to use new technologies can lead to care continuity, supporting self-care, and improving quality of life in such patients.

The results of the current study showed that the readiness of the housewives and patients with Master's degree or higher levels of education in fulfilling online health information needs was more than those of the other jobs and educational groups. Also, the ability and experience to work with computer/internet in people with Master's degree or higher levels of education was more than those of the others. The studies conducted in this field show that the educational level is among the most important factors of technology adoption (4, 40, 41). According to these studies, females have higher tendency toward searching and utilizing electronic health information, participating in online care programs, and developing and sharing information and content (42-50). The housewives readiness can be attributed to their enough free time, and the reason of people with Master's degree or higher educational levels can be the capability to work with health technologies and their ease-of-use for them. Therefore, more attention should be paid to such differences in order to achieve more success in technology adoption by patients and develop HIT application based on the readiness of different cortexes of the community.

In the current study, rural patients had the most readiness to have online relationships with doctors. According to the performed researches, the online relationship between doctor and patients with chronic diseases has lots of benefits such as supporting self-care and improving patients' quality of life (34, 36-38). Although some of the studies mentioned rural habitation as a positive factor in technology adoption and claimed that IT can provide the health care necessary resources for rural deprived areas (13, 40, 51), in some other studies, it is mentioned that there are lots of gaps, including the large number of elderly people, the lack of knowledge about using the computer, and the lack of appropriate internet bandwidth for village residents to access digital technologies (4, 52). The high patient's readiness for online relationship with doctor in rural habitants in the current study can be due to the more distance of these patients from the doctor and lack of access to specialists in rural areas. Therefore, the improvement of the access of villagers to technologies such

as the internet can promote the management of the patients with chronic diseases.

The successful deployment of IT in patients requires considering the topics such as the difference in their level of readiness to use IT, ease-of-use, ability to save time, and cost of technology. Also, it is suggested that the required planning be performed to increase the application of computers and access of patients to the internet, improve their readiness to use IT, and inform about the advantages of HIT use through full audience media. IT adoption by patients results in changes in lifestyles and self-care improvement. In addition, it is suggested that other studies be performed to determine the effective factors in HIT adoption in patients with chronic diseases using technology adoption theories, in line with the study goals.

#### Footnotes

**Ethical Considerations:** The Ethical Considerations Code is not necessary for this study.

**Funding/Support:** The current study was funded by the Deputy of Research and Technology, Lorestan University of Medical Sciences, Khorramabad, Iran (Grant No. 1869).

# References

- Allen M, lezzoni LI, Huang A, Huang L, Leveille SG. Improving patient-clinician communication about chronic conditions: description of an internet-based nurse E-coach intervention. Nurs Res. 2008;57(2):107–12. doi: 10.1097/01.NNR.0000313478.47379.98. [PubMed: 18347482].
- Cumbie SA, Conley VM, Burman ME. Advanced practice nursing model for comprehensive care with chronic illness: model for promoting process engagement. ANS Adv Nurs Sci. 2004;27(1):70–80. [PubMed: 15027663].
- El-Gayar O, Timsina P, Nawar N, Eid W. A systematic review of IT for diabetes self-management: are we there yet? Int J Med Inform. 2013;82(8):637–52. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2013.05.006. [PubMed: 23792137].
- Kruse RI, Koopman RJ, Wakefield BJ, Wakefield DS, Keplinger LE, Canfield SM, et al. Internet use by primary care patients: where is the digital divide? *Fam Med*. 2012;44(5):342–7. [PubMed: 23027117].
- Bauer UE, Briss PA, Goodman RA, Bowman BA. Prevention of chronic disease in the 21st century: elimination of the leading preventable causes of premature death and disability in the USA. *Lancet.* 2014;**384**(9937):45-52. doi: 10.1016/S0140-6736(14)60648-6. [PubMed: 24996589].
- Rao S, Brammer C, McKethan A, Buntin MB. Health information technology: transforming chronic disease management and care transitions. *Prim Care*. 2012;**39**(2):327–44. doi: 10.1016/j.pop.2012.03.006. [PubMed: 22608869].
- Wagner EH, Austin BT, Davis C, Hindmarsh M, Schaefer J, Bonomi A. Improving chronic illness care: translating evidence into action. *Health Aff (Millwood)*. 2001;**20**(6):64–78. doi: 10.1377/hlthaff.20.6.64. [PubMed: 11816692].
- Demiris G, Afrin LB, Speedie S, Courtney KL, Sondhi M, Vimarlund V, et al. Patient-centered applications: use of information technology to promote disease management and wellness. A white paper

by the AMIA knowledge in motion working group. *J Am Med Inform Assoc*. 2008;**15**(1):8-13. doi: 10.1197/jamia.M2492. [PubMed: 17947617]. [PubMed Central: PMC2274880].

- Garcia-Lizana F, Sarria-Santamera A. New technologies for chronic disease management and control: a systematic review. J Telemed Telecare. 2007;13(2):62–8. doi: 10.1258/135763307780096140. [PubMed: 17359568].
- Koopman RJ, Petroski GF, Canfield SM, Stuppy JA, Mehr DR. Development of the PRE-HIT instrument: patient readiness to engage in health information technology. *BMC Fam Pract.* 2014;15:18. doi: 10.1186/1471-2296-15-18. [PubMed: 24472182]. [PubMed Central: PMC3916695].
- Gruman JC. Making health information technology sing for people with chronic conditions. *Am J Prev Med.* 2011;40(5 Suppl 2):S238–40. doi: 10.1016/j.amepre.2011.02.003. [PubMed: 21521600].
- Wildevuur SE, Simonse LW. Information and communication technology-enabled person-centered care for the "big five" chronic conditions: scoping review. J Med Internet Res. 2015;17(3). e77. doi: 10.2196/jmir.3687. [PubMed: 25831199]. [PubMed Central: PMC4393506].
- Colorafi K. Connected health: a review of the literature. *Mhealth*. 2016;2:13. doi: 10.21037/mhealth.2016.03.09. [PubMed: 28293591]. [PubMed Central: PMC5344146].
- Kruse CS, Argueta DA, Lopez L, Nair A. Patient and provider attitudes toward the use of patient portals for the management of chronic disease: a systematic review. J Med Internet Res. 2015;17(2). e40. doi: 10.2196/jmir.3703. [PubMed: 25707035]. [PubMed Central: PMC4376181].
- Pare G, Jaana M, Sicotte C. Systematic review of home telemonitoring for chronic diseases: the evidence base. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2007;14(3):269–77. doi: 10.1197/jamia.M2270. [PubMed: 17329725]. [PubMed Central: PMC2244878].
- Chan CV, Kaufman DR. A framework for characterizing eHealth literacy demands and barriers. *J Med Internet Res.* 2011;13(4). e94. doi: 10.2196/jmir.1750. [PubMed: 22094891]. [PubMed Central: PMC3222196].
- Jin J, Yan X, Li Y, Li Y. How users adopt healthcare information: An empirical study of an online Q&A community. *Int J Med Inform.* 2016;86:91–103. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2015.11.002. [PubMed: 26616406].
- Norman CD, Skinner HA. eHealth Literacy: Essential Skills for Consumer Health in a Networked World. J Med Internet Res. 2006;8(2).
  e9. doi: 10.2196/jmir.8.2.e9. [PubMed: 16867972]. [PubMed Central: PMC1550701].
- Or CK, Karsh BT, Severtson DJ, Burke LJ, Brown RL, Brennan PF. Factors affecting home care patients' acceptance of a web-based interactive self-management technology. J Am Med Inform Assoc. 2011;18(1):51–9. doi: 10.1136/jamia.2010.007336. [PubMed: 21131605]. [PubMed Central: PMC3005875].
- Laugesen J, Hassanein K. Adoption of personal health records by chronic disease patients: A research model and an empirical study. *Comput Human Behav.* 2017;66:256–72.
- Or CK, Karsh BT. A systematic review of patient acceptance of consumer health information technology. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* 2009;16(4):550–60. doi: 10.1197/jamia.M2888. [PubMed: 19390112]. [PubMed Central: PMC2705259].
- Jha AK, Doolan D, Grandt D, Scott T, Bates DW. The use of health information technology in seven nations. *Int J Med Inform*. 2008;77(12):848–54. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2008.06.007. [PubMed: 18657471].
- Kurup R, Hu H, Kurup R, Thiagalingam A, Jin-Gun C, Lee V, et al. Utility and use of technology to access health information among patients with chronic diseases. *Heart Lung Circ.* 2015;24. S459. doi: 10.1016/j.hlc.2015.06.805.
- Turvey CL, Roberts LJ. Recent developments in the use of online resources and mobile technologies to support mental health care. *Int Rev Psychiatry*. 2015;27(6):547–57. doi: 10.3109/09540261.2015.1087975.

Shiraz E-Med J. 2018; 19(10):e65797.

[PubMed: 26523397].

- van Deursen AJ, van Dijk JA. Internet skills performance tests: are people ready for eHealth? J Med Internet Res. 2011;13(2). e35. doi: 10.2196/jmir.1581. [PubMed: 21531690]. [PubMed Central: PMC3221376].
- Nahm ES, Blum K, Scharf B, Friedmann E, Thomas S, Jones D, et al. Exploration of patients' readiness for an eHealth management program for chronic heart failure: a preliminary study. *J Cardiovasc Nurs.* 2008;23(6):463-71. doi: 10.1097/01.JCN.0000338930.89210.79. [PubMed: 18953206].
- Asemi A. Information searching habits of Internet users: A case study on the Medical Sciences University of Isfahan, Iran. Webology. 2005;2(1):10.
- Ghassemzadeh I, Shahraray M, Moradi A. Prevalence of internet addiction and comparison of internet addicts and non-addicts in Iranian high schools. *Cyberpsychol Behav*. 2008;11(6):731–3. doi: 10.1089/cpb.2007.0243. [PubMed: 18954277].
- 29. Haghayegh SA, Kajbaf MB, Shabani A, Nikbakht M, Farahani HA. Analysis of psychometrics properties of internet attitude scale (IAS\_40). *Health Inf Manag.* 2008;5(1).
- Jennett PA, Gagnon MP, Brandstadt HK. Preparing for success: readiness models for rural telehealth. J Postgrad Med. 2005;51(4):279–85. [PubMed: 16388170].
- Jennett P, Jackson A, Healy T, Ho K, Kazanjian A, Woollard R, et al. A study of a rural community's readiness for telehealth. *J Telemed Telecare*. 2003;9(5):259–63. doi: 10.1258/135763303769211265. [PubMed: 14599328].
- Seplovich G, Horvath KJ, Haughton LJ, Blackstock OJ. Get+connected: Development and pilot testing of an intervention to improve computer and internet attitudes and internet use among women living with hiv. *JMIR Res Protoc.* 2017;6(3). e50. doi: 10.2196/resprot.6391. [PubMed: 28363879]. [PubMed Central: PMC5392213].
- Duplaga M. A cross-sectional study assessing determinants of the attitude to the introduction of eHealth services among patients suffering from chronic conditions. *BMC Med Inform Decis Mak*. 2015;15:33. doi: 10.1186/s12911-015-0157-3. [PubMed: 25927312]. [PubMed Central: PMC4409745].
- Perlemuter L, Yomtov B. Feasibility and usefulness of dedicated software and e-mail for self-monitoring blood glucose in treating diabetes. *Diabet Med.* 2002;19(8):701–2. [PubMed: 12147159].
- Samadbeik M, Saremian M, Garavand A, Hasanvandi N, Sanaeinasab S, et al. Assessing the online outpatient booking system. *Shiraz E-Med J*. 2018;**19**(4). e60249. doi: 10.5812/semj.60249.
- 36. Katz SJ, Moyer CA, Cox DT, Stern DT. Effect of a triage-based Email system on clinic resource use and patient and physician satisfaction in primary care: a randomized controlled trial. J Gen Intern Med. 2003;18(9):736–44. [PubMed: 12950483]. [PubMed Central: PMC1494914].
- Hanauer DA, Wentzell K, Laffel N, Laffel LM. Computerized Automated Reminder Diabetes System (CARDS): e-mail and SMS cell phone text messaging reminders to support diabetes management. *Diabetes Technol Ther*. 2009;**11**(2):99–106. doi: 10.1089/dia.2008.0022. [PubMed: 19848576]. [PubMed Central: PMC4504120].
- Atherton H, Sawmynaden P, Sheikh A, Majeed A, Car J. Email for clinical communication between patients/caregivers and healthcare professionals. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2012;11. CD007978. doi: 10.1002/14651858.CD007978.pub2. [PubMed: 23152249].
- Makarem NN, Antoun J. Email communication in a developing country: different family physician and patient perspectives. *Libyan J Med.* 2016;11:32679. doi: 10.3402/ljm.v11.32679. [PubMed: 27855773]. [PubMed Central: PMC5114432].
- Peek ST, Wouters EJ, van Hoof J, Luijkx KG, Boeije HR, Vrijhoef HJ. Factors influencing acceptance of technology for aging in place: a systematic review. Int J Med Inform. 2014;83(4):235–48. doi: 10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2014.01.004. [PubMed: 24529817].
- 41. Legris P, Ingham J, Collerette P. Why do people use information tech-

nology? A critical review of the technology acceptance model. *Inf Manag*. 2003;**40**(3):191–204. doi: 10.1016/s0378-7206(01)00143-4.

- Rowley J, Johnson F, Sbaffi L. Gender as an influencer of online health information-seeking and evaluation behavior. J Assoc Inf Sci Technol. 2017;68(1):36–47. doi: 10.1002/asi.23597.
- Ramanadhan S, Viswanath K. Health and the information nonseeker: a profile. *Health Commun.* 2006;20(2):131–9. doi: 10.1207/s15327027hc2002\_4. [PubMed: 16965250].
- Kontos EZ, Emmons KM, Puleo E, Viswanath K. Communication inequalities and public health implications of adult social networking site use in the United States. *J Health Commun.* 2010;**15 Suppl 3**:216– 35. doi: 10.1080/10810730.2010.522689. [PubMed: 21154095]. [PubMed Central: PMC3073379].
- Fox S, Purcell K. Chronic disease and the Internet: Pew Internet and American life project. Washington, DC: Pew research Center; 2010.
- Fox S, Jones S. The social life of health information. Pew Research Center; 2009.
- Fox S, Duggan M. Health online 2013. 1. Washington, DC: Pew Research Center; 2013.
- Chou WY, Hunt YM, Beckjord EB, Moser RP, Hesse BW. Social media use in the United States: implications for health communication. *J Med Internet Res*. 2009;11(4). e48. doi: 10.2196/jmir.1249. [PubMed: 19945947].

[PubMed Central: PMC2802563].

- Beckjord EB, Finney Rutten LJ, Squiers L, Arora NK, Volckmann L, Moser RP, et al. Use of the internet to communicate with health care providers in the United States: estimates from the 2003 and 2005 Health Information National Trends Surveys (HINTS). *J Med Internet Res.* 2007;9(3). e20. doi: 10.2196/jmir.9.3.e20. [PubMed: 17627929]. [PubMed Central: PMC2047283].
- Baumann E, Czerwinski F, Reifegerste D. Gender-specific determinants and patterns of online health information seeking: Results from a representative german health survey. J Med Internet Res. 2017;19(4). e92. doi: 10.2196/jmir.6668. [PubMed: 28377367]. [PubMed Central: PMC5395693].
- Antoun J. Electronic mail communication between physicians and patients: a review of challenges and opportunities. *Fam Pract.* 2016;33(2):121–6. doi: 10.1093/fampra/cmv101. [PubMed: 26711957].
- Lennon MR, Bouamrane MM, Devlin AM, O'Connor S, O'Donnell C, Chetty U, et al. Readiness for delivering digital health at scale: Lessons from a longitudinal qualitative evaluation of a national digital health innovation program in the United Kingdom. J Med Internet Res. 2017;19(2). e42. doi: 10.2196/jmir.6900. [PubMed: 28209558]. [PubMed Central: PMC5334516].