Published online 2020 June 16.

**Research Article** 

# Evaluation of Anti-Mullerian Hormone Predictive Value and Antral Follicle Count in the Success Rate of Ovarian Drilling in Polycystic Ovary Syndrome

# Leili Hafizi<sup>1</sup>, Akram Behrouznia<sup>1</sup>, Maliheh Amirian<sup>2</sup>, Mina Baradaran<sup>1</sup> and Seyedeh Azam Pourhoseini<sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Imam Reza Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran <sup>2</sup>Department of IVF and Infertility, Milad Infertility Center, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

<sup>c</sup> Corresponding author: Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Imam Reza Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. Email: pourhoseinia@mums.ac.ir

Received 2019 July 29; Revised 2020 January 22; Accepted 2020 March 18.

#### Abstract

**Background:** Laparoscopic ovarian drilling (LOD) is recommended for the treatment of women with polycystic ovary syndrome (PCOS) resistant to clomiphene citrate.

**Objectives:** This study aimed to evaluate the effect of ovarian drilling on the serum levels of anti-mullerian hormone (AMH), and rogens, and the number of antral follicles.

**Methods:** This was a pre- and post-clinical trial performed on 30 infertile women with PCOS resistant to clomiphene citrate. Patients underwent standard laparoscopic ovarian drilling. Serum levels of AMH and androgens and antral follicle count (AFC) were surveyed before LOD and three and six months after LOD. The ovarian ovulation rate in each month was also monitored for six months. Then, the association between changes in these parameters, especially the level of AMH, and postoperative ovulation was investigated. A P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

**Results:** The number of antral follicles three  $(3.00 \pm 8.00)$  and six months  $(7.73 \pm 4.14)$  after ovarian drilling showed a significant decrease compared to preoperative values  $(12.40 \pm 4.02)$  (repeated-measures ANOVA, P < 0.001). The mean AMH (6.78 ± 1.08 versus 12.25 ± 1.35; P = 0.005) and the antral follicle count  $(7.71 \pm 0.54$  versus  $12.29 \pm 0.67$  and P < 0.001) were lower in ovulation than in non-ovulation patients. The significantly more AMH reductions were seen in pregnant women  $(3.63 \pm 5.61)$  than in non-pregnant women  $(3.63 \pm 1.24)$  (P = 0.01).

Conclusions: It may be possible to use the changes in AMH and AFC to predict the success rate of LOD.

Keywords: Polycystic Ovary Syndrome, AMH, Laparoscopic Ovarian Drilling, Clomiphene Citrate

### 1. Background

Polycystic ovarian syndrome (PCOS) is the most important cause of declined/absent ovulation in infertile women, as it affects about 18.4% of women at the reproductive age (1). This complication was initially recognized by increased androgen adrenal and ovarian secretion, irregular menstruation, fibrous and large ovaries, increased primary and preantral follicles, disruption of dominant follicles, and hirsutism. However, today, it is recognized as a disorder with multiple causes and metabolic consequences that increase the incidence of metabolic syndrome (2).

The serum level of anti-mullerian hormone (AMH) is provided by granulosa cells of 2 to 9 mm follicles (60%) and small follicles in ultrasound (antral follicle count, AFC). The serum level of AMH is more sensitive and specific than AFC in the evaluation of ovarian reserve because it reflects preantral and small (less than 2 mm) follicles that can hardly be observed in ultrasound (3).

The important characteristic of PCOS is the increased number of follicles in all stages of growth. The AMH levels are 2 - 4 times higher in patients with PCOS than in healthy women. It was initially thought that AMH increases due to the increased number of pre-antral and small follicles, but today it has been shown that granulosa cells produce AMH up to 75 times in PCOS women with abnormal ovulation and up to 20 times in cases of normal ovulation compared to healthy people (4).

Various drugs are used to treat infertility in PCOS. One of these drugs is clomiphene citrate (CC) that causes 70%

Copyright © 2020, Author(s). This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

- 80% of patients to achieve ovulation (5). However, some PCOS patients are resistant to clomiphene and will not ovulate despite multiple drug administrations. Therefore, in resistant cases, the use of invasive therapies is proposed to induce ovulation. One of these methods is laparoscopic ovarian drilling (LOD), which has become important in recent years due to the complications of drug therapy and resistance to drugs (6). The most important indications of LOD are therapeutic resistance to clomiphene in cases of ovulation failure in PCOS, and it is a substitute for gonadotropins (5, 6).

#### 2. Objectives

The purpose of this study was to determine whether preoperative AMH values can be used to determine the antral follicles count to predict the likelihood of ovarian drilling success in clomiphene-resistant PCOS.

### 3. Methods

This study was a pre- and post-clinical trial for one year that enrolled 30 patients. The study was performed after obtaining approval from the Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (IR.MUMS.fm.REC.1394.214) and registering on IRCT (IRCT20141230020486N4). We enrolled all 20 to 35-year-old infertile women with clomiphene-resistant polycystic ovaries referring to the Women Clinic of Imam Reza Hospital and Milad Infertility Center, affiliated to Mashhad University of Medical Sciences. The participants met the inclusion criteria and were enrolled after receiving a full description of the study and giving informed consent.

The inclusion criteria included: (1) non-pregnancy after two years of using non-contraceptive methods; (2) polycystic ovary syndrome based on the Rotterdam criteria (7, 8); (3) resistance to clomiphene evidenced by a failure to respond to at least six courses of clomiphene treatment; (4) age of 20 to 35 years; (5) spouse's normal spermogram; (6) normal hysterosalpingography; and (7) satisfaction with participation in the study. The exclusion criteria were: (1) patients' dissatisfaction to continue cooperation at each stage of the study; (2) failure to follow up the patient; and (3) observing endometriosis or any other pelvic pathology in laparoscopy.

The diagnosis of PCOS was based on meeting at least two of the three Rotterdam criteria: (1) amenorrhea or oligomenorrhea and chronic non-ovulation; (2) clinical or laboratory evidence of hyperandrogenism; and (3) ultrasound evidence of polycystic ovary before starting treatment. Androgenic tests, AMH, and transvaginal ultrasound were performed on the third day of the cycle to count the number of antral follicles, and the results were recorded in a questionnaire. In patients with irregular cycles, the menstrual cycle was first created by the administration of 200 mg progesterone, and then, tests and sonography were performed on the third day of the cycle. Ultrasound for all patients was performed by a single radiologist. The patients' demographic characteristics and primary paraclinical information were recorded by a questioner in the questionnaire (based on patient interview and information in the case file).

Ovarian drilling was performed with a standard method in the Women Operating Room of Imam Reza Hospital by a gynecologic laparoscopy fellowship. For the procedure, all patients underwent general anesthesia, and laparoscopy was performed in the lithotomy position with Olympus Laparoscopy. Then, the triple-puncture laparoscopy (with three trocars) was performed. In the case of any pathology in the pelvis, such as adhesion, endometriosis, etc., the patient was excluded.

To perform ovarian drilling, the utero-ovarian ligament was first taken with an atraumatic grasper, and ovaries were kept away from the intestines. Then, each ovary was drilled with a 4 mm monopolar needle electrode (with a straight needle) with 600 joules of energy (four dots per ovary, each for five seconds or five dots per ovary, each for four seconds at 30 volts) with a Vallylab generator. Then, three and six months after surgery (or until pregnancy if occurred earlier), the serum levels of AMH, androgens (testosterone and DHEAS), and antral follicles count were examined on the third day of the cycle and compared with the preoperative values.

Blood samples were taken after 10 hours overnight fasting on the third day of the menstrual cycle. Testosterone was measured by Radioimmunoassay (Immunotech, Beckman Coulter, Czech Republic). The AMH and DHEAS levels were measured by the Enzyme-linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELIZA Kit/AMH MIS HBMG, DRG Instrument, Germany). Similarly, the ovulation rate was recorded by serial transvaginal ultrasound from the third day of the cycle until ovulation was completed every month for six months after ovarian drilling (or until the ovulation period if occurred earlier). Then, the AMH changes and the antral follicles count and androgens were compared in patients with and without ovulation.

A *t*-test was used to examine the relationship between quantitative variables in two groups if the data distribution was normal. Otherwise, nonparametric corresponding tests were used. The chi-square test was used to examine the qualitative variables. For statistical analysis, SPSS V. 16 software was used. A P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

### 4. Results

In this study, 30 women took part with a mean age of 27.70  $\pm$  4.69 years and BMI of 25.72  $\pm$  1.55 kg/m<sup>2</sup> with PCOS resistant to clomiphene citrate. They were treated with standard LOD. Before LOD, they had an average AMH serum level of 5.31  $\pm$  10.18 ng/ml, mean testosterone of 28.31  $\pm$  58.34 ng/mL, DHEAS of 93.61  $\pm$  190.10 ng/mL, and antral follicles count of 12.02  $\pm$  4.02. Testosterone changes were decreasing three months and increasing six months after LOD. Among these four cases, the changes in antral follicles were statistically significant (Table 1). After ovarian drilling, ovulation occurred in 20 patients (67%), of whom six (20% of all patients) became pregnant.

As Table 2 shows, the decreasing trend of AMH in the ovulation group and the decreasing trend of antral follicles in groups with and without ovulation were statistically significant. The additional analysis showed that the mean total AMH was significantly lower in the ovulation group ( $6.78 \pm 1.08$ ) at all three times in the ovulation group ( $12.25 \pm 1.35$ ) (P = 0.005). However, the mean total testosterone was lower in the ovulation group ( $5.15 \pm 7.12$ ) at all three times than in the non-ovulation group ( $8.88 \pm 7.66$ ). However, this difference was statistically significant at the threshold (P = 0.08). Antral follicles were significantly more in the non-ovulation group ( $12.29 \pm 0.67$ ) than in the ovulation group ( $7.71 \pm 0.54$ ) (P < 0.001) (Figure 1).

As Table 3 shows, AMH significantly reduced in pregnant women, and antral follicles significantly reduced in women with and without pregnancy. Further analysis showed that the reduction in antimululin hormone was significantly more in pregnant women  $(3.63 \pm 5.61)$  than in non-pregnant women  $(3.63 \pm 1.24)$  (P = 0.01). On the other hand, there was no significant difference in the reduction of antral follicles between women with pregnancy  $(6.64 \pm$ 5.12) and non-pregnant women  $(4.83 \pm 3.83)$  (P = 0.15).

#### 5. Discussion

Various studies have suggested the superiority of LOD outcomes over other treatments, including the use of CC for ovulation induction and pregnancy (7-9). However, resistance to CC is recognized as the most important indication of LOD (5, 6). The evaluation of AMH as a factor affecting the success of interventional treatment with LOD was questionable until recently, when some researchers reported a reduction in AMH after PCOS treatment (3). Other researchers evaluated the role of LOD in reducing AMH more precisely and suggested that the serum level of this hormone is beneficial in the diagnosis of PCOS (10).

In our study, a significant reduction was observed in the serum levels of AMH three and six months after LOD compared to preoperative levels. However, Farzadi et al.

found no significant correlation between LOD and reduced serum levels of AMH in Iranian women (11). Amer et al., in a study of 29 women with PCOS at the mean age of 28.4 years, observed a significant reduction in AMH plasma levels three months after LOD compared to preoperative levels. However, the mean hormone levels showed a slight increase six months after the intervention, but in general, they were lower than the pre-interventional levels (12). Elmashad found similar results in a study on 20 women with the mean age range of 27.4 years (13). A study by Köninger et al. found that during pregnancy, the serum levels of AMH decrease, which is due to the inhibition of ovarian function. Moreover, AMH does not indicate ovarian reserve during pregnancy and does not appear to be related to the gestational age, but its levels are lower in pregnant women aged 35 or over (14). In our study, pregnancy occurred in six (20%) patients after LOD and although the mean serum level of AMH decreased by the third month of surgery, no statistically significant difference was observed, which can be due to the low sample size.

Researchers have shown the increased serum testosterone level during PCOS and its decrease after treatment with LOD (3, 15). Elmashad proved the association between this hormone and AMH in PCOS and the reduction of serum testosterone levels one week after LOD (13). During LOD, the holes developed in the ovarian stroma destroy androgen-producing tissues, leading to the decreased production of testosterone and increased FSH level. Meanwhile, inflammatory factors such as insulin-like growth factor-1 in response to tissue destruction caused by surgical site damage, the effect of FSH on folliculogenesis, and increased blood flow to the ovarian tissue can facilitate gonadotropin entry (16). In the present study, serum testosterone levels decreased three months after LOD compared to preoperative levels, but it was not statistically significant. The level of the hormone after six months was even higher than before the surgery (Tables 2 and 3). The results of this study are similar to Elmashad's study in the third month while contrary results were observed in the sixth month.

According to Hendricks et al., there are conflicting reports about the changes in testosterone levels after LOD in cases of ovulation compared to individuals without ovulation. The lack of reduction or a slight reduction in testosterone levels can be associated with high levels of its initial levels or low levels of tissue damage during LOD (17). In the study by Amer et al., testosterone levels were seen in ovulation cases, but the P value was not significant (12). In our study, testosterone levels three and six months after LOD had statistically significant decreases in women with ovulation compared to the other group. Also, in pregnant women, a significant decrease was observed in the serum levels of testosterone three months after LOD com-

Table 1. Changes in Anti-Muller Hormone, Testosterone, DHEAS, and Antral Follicles Before, Three and Six Months After Ovarian Drilling							
	Anti-Muller Hormone (ng/dL)	Testosterone (ng/dL)	DHEAS ( $\mu$ g/dL)	Antral Follicles			
Before	$10.18\pm5.30$	$58.34 \pm 28.31$	$190.10\pm93.61$	$12.40\pm4.02$			
After three months	$8.06 \pm 4.39$	$54.09 \pm 31.05$	$184.67 \pm 104.67$	$8.00\pm3.48$			
After six months	$8.24 \pm 5.54$	$64.76\pm29.47$	$155.30\pm89.29$	$7.73 \pm 4.14$			
Significance level <sup>a</sup>	0.15	0.54	0.16	< 0.001			
3-							

<sup>a</sup>Repeated Measure ANOVA.

Table 2. Changes in Anti-Muller Hormone, Testosterone, DHEAS, and Antral Follicles Before, Three and Six Months After Ovarian Drilling in Patients with and Without Ovulation

	Anti-Muller Hormone (ng/dL)	Testosterone (ng/dL)	DHEAS ( $\mu$ g/dL)	Antral Follicles		
With ovulation						
Before	$8.58 \pm 4.91$	$61.14\pm2079$	$205.05\pm102.01$	$11.42\pm3.39$		
After three months	$6.53 \pm 3.18$	$49.39 \pm 18.16$	$194.70\pm118.91$	$6.50\pm2.44$		
After six months	$5.23 \pm 1.28$	$54.92\pm21.78$	$151.0\pm87.21$	$5.21\pm2.63$		
The significance level <sup>a</sup>	0.006	0.11	0.16	< 0.001		
Without ovulation						
Before	$11.70\pm6.26$	$69.02\pm38.84$	$163.77\pm93.13$	$13.55\pm3.04$		
After three months	$12.11\pm4.76$	$77.92 \pm 42.33$	$168.11 \pm 103.43$	$11.66 \pm 2.73$		
After six months	$12.93 \pm 6.43$	$80.05\pm34.42$	$162.0\pm97.39$	$11.66 \pm 2.73$		
Significance level <sup>a</sup>	0.54	0.10	0.90	0.02		

<sup>a</sup>Repeated-Measures ANOVA.

Table 3. The Trend of Changes in Antimululin Hormone, Testosterone, DHEAS, and Antral Follicles Before, Three and Six Months After Ovarian Drilling in Patients with and Without Pregnancy

		Anti-Muller Hormone (ng/dL)	Testosterone (ng/dL)	DHEAS ( $\mu$ g/dL)	Antral Follicles
With pregnancy					
Before		$11.66 \pm 4.87$	$39.66 \pm 18.85$	178.90 $\pm$ 77.87	$13.16\pm6.55$
After three mo	onths	$6.05\pm2.52$	$35.00\pm10.73$	$171.40 \pm 84.35$	$6.50\pm2.81$
Significance le	evel <sup>a</sup>	0.01	0.44	0.75	0.02
Without pregnancy					
Before		$9.81\pm5.44$	$63.00\pm28.62$	$192.90\pm98.43$	$12.20\pm3.29$
After three mo	onths	$8.58 \pm 4.65$	$58.86 \pm 32.74$	$187.99 \pm 110.50$	$8.37 \pm 3.58$
Significance le	evel <sup>a</sup>	0.10	0.30	0.73	< 0.001

<sup>a</sup>Paired-sample *t*-test.

pared to the non-pregnant group. The results of our study are consistent with previous studies (12, 17) in terms of non-significant reduction in serum testosterone levels after LOD in patients without ovulation and patients who did not become pregnant.

As noted above, an increase in the serum levels of AMH during PCOS has been reported in various studies. Along with increased AMH, the small follicles count also increased in these individuals (18). The destruction of AMHproducing tissues and antral follicles may occur following LOD (11), which leads to their decreases. Recent studies suggest a positive correlation between serum levels of AMH and antral follicular count in ultrasound (19). Serum levels of AMH are provided by granulosa cells of 2 to 9 mm (60%) follicles that are counted as AFC in ultrasound. The evaluation of the serum level of AMH is even more sensitive than AFC because it reflects small and pre-antral follicles (less than 2 mm in diameter), which are difficult to see in ultrasound. Therefore, the serum level of AMH is a more accurate marker than AFC for developing follicles (3).



Figure 1. Comparison of AMH (A), testosterone (B), DHEAS (C), and antral follicles (D) changes before, three, and six months after ovarian drilling in patients with ovulation (red line) and without ovulation (blue line)

Ultrasonographic studies showed a significant decrease in AFC after three months and six months of LOD. In both groups of pregnant and non-pregnant women, the number of antral follicles decreased, but there was no significant difference between the two groups.

#### 5.1. Conclusions

Polycystic ovary syndrome is one of the causes of infertility in women. In patients with clomiphene resistance, LOD intervention can induce successful ovulation and pregnancy. In patients who ovulated after ovarian drilling, i.e., had a successful operation, AMH and AFC showed a significant reduction, but this did not apply to serum testosterone levels and DHEAS. Therefore, it may be possible to use postoperative changes in the levels of AMH and AFC as markers to predict the success rate of ovarian drilling in PCOS.

# Acknowledgments

This paper was derived from a gynecologic residency thesis with registration number IR.MUMS.fm.REC.1394.214 and research project code 940057, funded by the Vice-Chancellery for Research of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences.

## Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Substantial contribution to the design of the work, revision of the manuscript critically for

important intellectual content, final approval of the version to be published, and agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work: Leili Hafizi; acquisition of data, drafting of the work, final approval of the version to be published, and agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work: Akram Behrouznia, Maliheh Amirian, and Mina Baradaran; analysis and interpretation of data, drafting of the work, final approval of the version to be published, and agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work: Seyedeh Azam Pourhoseini; acquisition of data, drafting of the work, final approval of the version to be published, and agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work: Seyedeh Azam Pourhoseini; acquisition of data, drafting of the work, final approval of the version to be published, and agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work: Maliheh Amirian.

**Conflict of Interests:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Ethical Approval:** The manuscript was approved by the Ethics Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, with the code of IR.MUMS.fm.REC.1394.214.

**Funding/Support:** We express our appreciation to the Vice-Chancellor for Research and Women's Health Research Center, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, for the financial and material support.

#### References

- 1. Nazari T, Biat R. [Impact of metformin in girls with polycystic ovary syndrome]. *Prolific Non Prolific J.* 2005;**16**:45–9. Persian.
- Dunaif A. Drug insight: insulin-sensitizing drugs in the treatment of polycystic ovary syndrome-a reappraisal. *Nat Clin Pract Endocrinol Metab.* 2008;4(5):272–83. doi: 10.1038/ncpendmet0787. [PubMed: 18364705].
- Dumont A, Robin G, Catteau-Jonard S, Dewailly D. Role of Anti-Mullerian Hormone in pathophysiology, diagnosis and treatment of Polycystic Ovary Syndrome: a review. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* 2015;13:137. doi: 10.1186/s12958-015-0134-9. [PubMed: 26691645]. [PubMed Central: PMC4687350].
- Parco S, Novelli C, Vascotto F, Princi T. Serum anti-Mullerian hormone as a predictive marker of polycystic ovarian syndrome. *Int J Gen Med.* 2011;4:759–63. doi: 10.2147/IJGM.S25639. [PubMed: 22114521]. [PubMed Central: PMC3219763].
- Ndefo UA, Eaton A, Green MR. Polycystic ovary syndrome: a review of treatment options with a focus on pharmacological approaches. *P T*. 2013;38(6):336–55. [PubMed: 23946629]. [PubMed Central: PMC3737989].
- Abu Hashim H, Al-Inany H, De Vos M, Tournaye H. Three decades after Gjonnaess's laparoscopic ovarian drilling for treatment of PCOS; what do we know? An evidence-based approach. *Arch Gynecol Obstet.* 2013;288(2):409–22. doi: 10.1007/s00404-013-2808-x. [PubMed: 23543241].

- Elgafor el sharkwy IA. Metformin versus laparoscopic unilateral ovarian drilling in clomiphene resistant women with polycystic ovary syndrome. Middle East Fertility Society Journal. 2013;18(3):202–7. doi: 10.1016/j.mefs.2012.12.008.
- Farquhar C. The role of ovarian surgery in polycystic ovary syndrome. Best Practice & Research Clinical Obstetrics & Gynaecology. 2004;18(5):789-802. doi: 10.1016/s1521-6934(04)00099-9.
- Kucuk M, Kilic-Okman T. Hormone profiles and clinical outcome after laparoscopic ovarian drilling in women with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Med Sci Monit*. 2005;11(1):CR29–34. [PubMed: 15614192].
- Sopher AB, Grigoriev G, Laura D, Cameo T, Lerner JP, Chang RJ, et al. Anti-Mullerian hormone may be a useful adjunct in the diagnosis of polycystic ovary syndrome in nonobese adolescents. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2014;27(11-12):1175–9. doi: 10.1515/jpem-2014-0128. [PubMed: 25003376]. [PubMed Central: PMC4415850].
- Farzadi L, Nouri M, Ghojazadeh M, Mohiti M, Aghadavod E. Evaluation of ovarian reserve after laparoscopic surgery in patients with polycystic ovary syndrome. *Bioimpacts*. 2012;2(3):167-70. doi: 10.5681/bi.2012.018. [PubMed: 23678455]. [PubMed Central: PMC3648929].
- Amer SA, Li TC, Ledger WL. The value of measuring anti-Mullerian hormone in women with anovulatory polycystic ovary syndrome undergoing laparoscopic ovarian diathermy. *Hum Reprod.* 2009;**24**(11):2760–6. doi: 10.1093/humrep/dep271. [PubMed: 19640893].
- Elmashad AI. Impact of laparoscopic ovarian drilling on anti-Mullerian hormone levels and ovarian stromal blood flow using three-dimensional power Doppler in women with anovulatory polycystic ovary syndrome. *Fertil Steril*. 2011;95(7):2342–6. 2346 e1. doi: 10.1016/j.fertnstert.2011.03.093. [PubMed: 21514928].
- Koninger A, Kauth A, Schmidt B, Schmidt M, Yerlikaya G, Kasimir-Bauer S, et al. Anti-Mullerian-hormone levels during pregnancy and postpartum. *Reprod Biol Endocrinol.* 2013;**11**:60. doi: 10.1186/1477-7827-11-60. [PubMed: 23844593]. [PubMed Central: PMC3724719].
- Amer SA, Li TC, Ledger WL. Ovulation induction using laparoscopic ovarian drilling in women with polycystic ovarian syndrome: predictors of success. *Hum Reprod.* 2004;**19**(8):1719–24. doi: 10.1093/humrep/deh343. [PubMed: 15178663].
- Mitra S, Nayak PK, Agrawal S. Laparoscopic ovarian drilling: An alternative but not the ultimate in the management of polycystic ovary syndrome. J Nat Sci Biol Med. 2015;6(1):40–8. doi: 10.4103/0976-9668.149076. [PubMed: 25810633]. [PubMed Central: PMC4367066].
- Hendriks ML, Ket JC, Hompes PG, Homburg R, Lambalk CB. Why does ovarian surgery in PCOS help? Insight into the endocrine implications of ovarian surgery for ovulation induction in polycystic ovary syndrome. *Hum Reprod Update*. 2007;13(3):249–64. doi: 10.1093/humupd/dml058. [PubMed: 17208949].
- Dumesic DA, Padmanabhan V, Abbott DH. Polycystic ovary syndrome and oocyte developmental competence. *Obstet Gynecol Surv.* 2008;63(1):39–48. doi: 10.1097/OGX.0b013e31815e85fc. [PubMed: 18081939]. [PubMed Central: PMC2655633].
- Bhide P, Gudi A, Shah A, Homburg R. Serum anti-Mullerian hormone levels across different ethnic groups: a cross-sectional study. *BJOG*. 2015;**122**(12):1625–9. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.13103. [PubMed: 25286823].