



Survey of Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Farmers About Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever

Parya Safieyan ¹, Morteza Mansourian ², Razieh Pirouzeh ³, Zahra Rahimi Khalifeh Kandi ³ and Hasan Alipour ^{4,*}

¹East Tehran Center, Payame Noor University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran

²Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

³Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

⁴Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization, Kohgiluyeh and Boyer Ahmad Province, Iran

*Corresponding author: Associate Professor, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization, Kohgiluyeh and Boyer Ahmad Province, Iran. Tel: +98-9123402564, Email: halipour2001@yahoo.com

Received 2020 January 14; Revised 2020 June 11; Accepted 2020 June 14.

Abstract

Background: Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever is an acute febrile disease. It is one of the most common diseases among humans and animals.

Objectives: Due to the presence of the disease in Iran, this study aimed to investigate the knowledge, attitude, and practice of farmers about Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever (CCHF).

Methods: A cross-sectional analytical study was conducted on 300 farmers in north and south villages of Khorramabad city selected using stratified sampling. The instrument used in the study was a valid and reliable questionnaire to measure the knowledge, attitude, and practice of people in contact with the livestock. After completing the questionnaire, the data were analyzed by SPSS using nonparametric tests (Mann-Whitney-U, Kruskal-Wallis, and Spearman correlation coefficient).

Results: The mean age of the participants was 38.94 ± 14.28 years. The mean scores of knowledge, attitude, and practice of the participants regarding CCHF were 18.46 ± 5.05 , 40.7 ± 2.18 , and 6.42 ± 3.40 , respectively. There was a significant relationship between knowledge ($P < 0.001$), attitude ($P < 0.001$), and practice ($P < 0.001$) scores and the education level and marital status ($P < 0.001$). The results showed a significant inverse correlation between age and attitude ($P < 0.001$, $r = -0.33$), knowledge ($P < 0.001$, $r = -0.42$), and practice ($P < 0.001$, $r = -0.39$). There was a significant positive relationship between practice and knowledge ($P = 0.001$, $r = 0.39$) and attitude ($P < 0.001$, $r = -0.39$).

Conclusions: Due to the insufficient performance of farmers concerning disease prevention and health protection behaviors, implementation of an educational program to increase the level of knowledge could promote their prevention behavior Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever.

Keywords: Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever, Farmers, Knowledge, Attitude, Practice

1. Background

Today, zoonotic diseases are among the most serious, fatal, and widespread human diseases. The causative factors include viruses, bacteria, and fungi, among which viruses are considered as the most dangerous and severe agents (1). Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever (CCHF) is one of the most common and well-known zoonotic diseases caused by a viral agent (2). This disease was first reported in Crimea Island in Ukraine (3, 4). In 1956, the causative virus was isolated from a patient with a one-day fever in Stanley Ville, currently known as Kisangani, in the Democratic Republic of Congo. Since then, Crimean-Congo was combined to refer to this virus (5, 6).

According to studies, from 1999 onwards, various cases of CCHF have been reported as epidemics in many parts

of Iran, confirmed with paraclinical examinations (7). According to the World Health Organization (WHO) in 2008 (8) and the disease geographical spread map in 2015 (9), Iran is located in the regional belt of the maximum incidence of CCHF. Most clinical cases have reported from eastern provinces, including Sistan and Baluchistan, Fars, and Khorasan (10, 11).

The clinical symptoms of CCHF develop suddenly as fever, muscle pain, fatigue, nausea, vomiting, headache, abdominal pain, diarrhea, conjunctivitis, jaundice, photophobia, and mood disorders (12-14). Human contamination can occur after contact with the blood and secretions of animals, contact with human patients, or through a tick bite. Alternatively, the infection can develop through jobs associated with infected livestock, such as farmers, butchers, and livestock breeders (15). Farmers are more affected

by this disease due to contact with animals (1). In endemic regions, farmers, shepherds, and veterinarians who have contact with both domestic and wild animals are considered high-risk groups (16). Studies performed in Iran indicate that CCHF is observed more among those who work in animal husbandry and agriculture, i.e., people who deal with animals (17-19). Farmers are more affected by this disease due to contact with animals.

2. Objectives

Since the villagers in Khoramabad city are mostly stockmen and farmers, and the cattle are present in rural areas, the transmission of CCHF is more likely to occur. This health problem can be controlled by promoting preventive behaviors, enhancing the knowledge of the routes of transmission, and making a proper attitude to the prevention of this disease. Thus, this study was performed to investigate the knowledge, attitude, and practice of farmers in Khorramabad city regarding CCHF in 2018.

3. Methods

In this cross-sectional analytical study, the population consisted of all farmers in Khoramabad city, the capital of Lorestan province, Iran. The sample size was calculated based on an alpha of 0.05 and beta of 0.2 using the formula $N = (z^2 \times s^2)/d^2$, where the z value is 1.96 at a confidence interval of 0.95%, s shows the standard deviation equal to 0.05, and d represents the extent of accuracy considered as 0.06. The obtained sample size was 267 cases. Given the probability of 10% attrition, overall, 300 individuals were considered. However, incomplete questionnaires were replaced; thus, there was no attrition.

A stratified sampling method was used. Briefly, six villages were considered as the strata (three from northern and three from southern villages) of Khoramabad city. Among the farmers in these villages, 50 farmers were chosen as the sample through random sampling.

The instrument utilized in this research was a questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of four sections: (1) demographic questions about age, gender, marital status, level of education, type of animal husbandry, and type of livestock; (2) attitude questions with 10 items on a five-point Likert scale (absolutely disagree = 1, disagree = 2, no idea = 3, agree = 4, and absolutely agree = 5), with the scores ranging from 10 to 50; (3) knowledge questions with 10 items for measuring the knowledge of the target group, and whether they were familiar with the symptoms and ways of fighting the disease (each item had one correct option while the other options were wrong; two scores for the

correct option, one score for "I don't know", and no score for the wrong answer; the range of scores 0 - 20); (4) practice questions with 10 yes/no questions measuring the necessary actions to be taken in the case of observation of the disease among the target group (Y = score 1, No = score 0; nevertheless, items 5, 2, 1, and 3 were scored inversely; score range 0 - 10). Our scoring system for attitude, knowledge, and practice-based of the original version. The mean score for each section was calculated and, based on the deviation from the mean, was classified into three categories: good, moderate, and weak (2). The reliability and validity of the instrument were evaluated in the study by Mokhtari et al. (2). They found it as a reliable instrument with a Cronbach alpha of 0.78.

Once the proposal of the research was approved at Payam Nour University, the Eastern Branch in Tehran, 50 farmers were randomly chosen from the farmers of the intended villages. Initially, the research objectives were clarified for the farmers. Then, after obtaining their informed consent, they were asked to complete the questionnaires. In this way, ethical considerations were followed, and the confidentiality and anonymity of the questionnaires were ensured. The participants were assured that their information would not be used for any purpose other than scientific research. After the completed questionnaires were collected, they were analyzed by SPSS 18.

For statistical analysis, descriptive statistics (frequency distribution, percentage, mean, and standard deviation) were used. Also, for analytical statistics, the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test was initially conducted to measure the normal distribution of the research variables and decide on employing parametric or nonparametric tests. As the data had non-normal distribution, nonparametric tests (Mann-Whitney-U and Kruskal-Wallis tests) were used.

4. Results

The present study was performed on 300 farmers. The mean age was 38.94 ± 14.28 years ranging from 22 to 60 years. Besides, 57% of the participants were married, and 95% had traditional animal husbandry. Other information is provided in Table 1.

The mean scores of knowledge, attitude, and practice of the participants regarding CCHF were 18.46 ± 5.05 , 40.7 ± 2.18 , and 6.42 ± 3.40 , respectively. As shown in Table 2, knowledge, attitude, and practice scores were categorized as weak, moderate, and good.

As can be seen in Table 3, the comparison of participants' knowledge, attitudes, and practice scores based on their marital status showed a significant relationship between the knowledge score ($P < 0.001$), attitudes score ($P < 0.001$), and practice score ($P < 0.001$), and marital status (P

Table 1. The Frequency Distribution of Participants in Terms of Demographic Variables

Variables	Number	Percentage
Age		
< 30	53	17.7
31 – 45	133	44.3
> 45	114	38
Marital status		
Single	171	57
Married	129	43
Education level		
Illiterate	84	28
High school	84	28
Diploma	54	18
Academic	78	26
Type of animal husbandry		
Traditional	285	95
Industrial	15	5
Type of livestock		
Goat and sheep	136	45.3
Cow	44	14.7
Both	120	40

< 0.001). Also, comparing the mean scores of participants' knowledge, attitude, and practice based on education level showed a significant difference between knowledge ($P < 0.001$), attitude ($P < 0.001$), and practice ($P < 0.001$) scores of participants based on their education level ($P < 0.001$).

As can be seen in Table 4, there was a significant inverse correlation between age and attitude ($P < 0.001$, $r = -0.33$), knowledge ($P < 0.001$, $r = -0.42$), and practice ($P < 0.001$, $r = -0.39$). There was a significant positive relationship between practice and knowledge ($P = 0.001$, $r = -0.39$) and attitude ($P < 0.001$, $r = -0.39$).

The results of the regression analysis showed that all the variables of knowledge, attitude, and age predicted 28% of changes in CCHF preventive practice. There was an inverse association between age and practice ($P < 0.001$) and a positive relationship between knowledge and practice ($P < 0.001$). No association was found between attitude and practice ($P = 0.805$). The results are given in Table 5.

5. Discussion

This study aimed to investigate the knowledge, attitude, and practice of farmers about Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever. Regarding knowledge, most farmers had a

moderate level of knowledge about CCHF. In the study by Raab et al., a vast majority of respondents demonstrated excellent knowledge and favorable attitudes towards viral hemorrhagic fevers VHF (20). In the study by Abdollahi Shahvali et al., the knowledge of stockbreeders regarding CCHF was not adequate, and they needed the training to raise their knowledge in this regard (21).

The findings of the present study showed that most farmers had a moderate attitude toward CCHF. On the other hand, farmers showed poor attitudes toward controlling the disease by themselves. This suggests that poor attitude to an issue may cause it to become undervalued, and thus, individuals find an unfortunate practice in this regard. In the study by Abdollahi Shahvali et al., they also concluded that the attitude of stockbreeders regarding CCHF was not suitable and they required training to enhance their attitude in this regard to develop CCHF preventing behaviors (21).

Most of the participants had poor practice regarding protective measures for controlling CCHF. Based on the other findings of this study, poor attitude and knowledge about the disease among the participants can be a reason for their poor practice. The study by Ziapour et al. was also in line with our findings and showed that the frequency of practicing risky behaviors by those employed in the livestock and meat industry in Noor town was high regarding the disease. Further, practicing preventive behaviors against this fatal disease is deficient in occupations, such as animal husbandry and butchery (8).

In the present study, a significant relationship was found between knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding CCHF and marital status. Thus, by increasing their knowledge in different areas, one can alter their practice and improve their productivity. Also, the bolder presence of women in rural regions, as well as the cooperation that exists between men and women in agriculture and animal husbandry, can justify the relationship between marital status and knowledge, attitude, and practice regarding CCHF.

The results also revealed a significant relationship between the level of education and knowledge of individuals about CCHF. High levels of education can lead to studying more, thereby elevating the level of knowledge. Ziapour et al. also stated that more than half of the target group was poorly literate and thus had less knowledge about preventive solutions for the disease. As such, they did not use protective covers (8).

Besides, there was a significant relationship between the level of education and attitude of individuals to CCHF. High levels of education drive studying more and increase awareness about livestock diseases. In this way, the person becomes familiar with protective measures for disease pre-

Table 2. The Scores of Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice of Participants Regarding Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever

Level	Knowledge		Attitude		Practice	
	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage	Frequency	Percentage
Weak	73	24.3	80	26.7	136	45.3
Moderate	154	51.4	122	40.6	89	29.7
Good	73	24.3	98	32.7	75	25
Total	300	100	300	100	300	100

Table 3. Comparison of the Knowledge, Attitude, and Practice Scores of Participants for Demographic Variables

Variables	Knowledge, Mean \pm SD	Attitude, Mean \pm SD	Practice, Mean \pm SD
Marital status			
Married	17.60 \pm 4.5	29.1 \pm 6.19	5.03 \pm 3.2
Single	19.55 \pm 5.45	45.31 \pm 4.5	8.14 \pm 2.7
P	< 0.001**	< 0.001**	< 0.001**
Education level			
Illiterate	17.55 \pm 3.43	36.14 \pm 8.52	4.72 \pm 3.34
High school	17.17 \pm 5.53	42.6 \pm 4.22	4.96 \pm 3.22
Diploma	16.01 \pm 3.18	43.51 \pm 8.4	6.85 \pm 2.55
Academic	22.44 \pm 4.4	42.20 \pm 3.50	9.28 \pm 1.9
P	< 0.001**	< 0.001**	< 0.001**

Table 4. Relationship Between Age, Attitude, Knowledge and Practice Variables

	Knowledge	Attitude	Practice
Knowledge	1		
Attitude	R = -0.109, P = 0.061	1	
Practice	R = 0.447, P < 0.001*	R = -0.042, P = 0.477	1
Age	R = -0.39, P < 0.001*	R = -0.33, P < 0.001*	R = -0.42, P < 0.001*

Table 5. Regression Analysis of Knowledge, Attitude, and Age for Predicting Practice^{a,b}

Variables	Beta	Estimate (SE)	CI (95%)	P Value
Knowledge	0.234	0.035	0.165 - 0.303	< 0.001 ^c
Attitude	-3.26	0.000	0.00 - 0.00	0.805
Age	-0.081	0.014	-0.108 - 0.054	< 0.001 ^c

^aR = 0.53, R² = 0.289, ADJR² = 282

^bDependent variable: Mean score of practice

^cSignificant at P < 0.05

vention. As such, they would know that many diseases can be prevented by following some guidelines. Thus, the level of education can cause changes in the attitude of individuals to CCHF. In line with these results, Arikan et al. concluded that a higher level of education leads to a better attitude to preventive behaviors regarding CCHF (22). This outcome also held for practice; there was a significant relationship between the level of education and practice of in-

dividuals regarding CCHF. This suggests that the elevation of the level of education leads to studying more, enhancing knowledge, and thus altering the attitude. A change to the attitude can alter and boost the performance regarding adherence to protective measures for preventing CCHF. In line with these results, Sharifian et al. found a significant relationship between the level of education and the application of protective covers (23).

Based on the results of the present study, there was an inverse relationship between the age and level of knowledge. This suggests that older individuals in the study do not have adequate knowledge of CCHF. These results are congruent with the findings by Masoudy et al. regarding age and knowledge. They found that the old age of stock-breeders and low literacy caused them not to have adequate knowledge about the disease (24).

There was also a significant inverse relationship between the age and attitude levels of the participants. In this study, increasing age caused diminished attitude, suggesting that the studied elderly did not have an excellent attitude to CCHF. Little information about this issue and low literacy levels in the elderly lead to a low attitude of participants to the disease. In the study by Yilmaz et al. on the public knowledge of and attitude to CCHF in Turkey, 28% of the participants did not have adequate knowledge about this disease. They also found an inverse relationship between age and attitude due to poor literacy at advanced ages and the difficulty of attitude formation at old ages (25).

The findings revealed a significant inverse relationship between the age and level of practice of the participants. In this regard, with an increase in the age of participants, their level of practice diminished, confirming a lack of knowledge among the elderly and people with low levels of education and low attitude, and thus poor practice. Izadi et al., in a case study in Sistan and Baluchistan province, reported variables such as age above 40, history of slaughtering and high-risk jobs, and history of a tick bite as the risk factors for the disease (26).

One of the limitations of the present study was its cross-sectional design. Interventional and promotional studies should be conducted in this regard to improve the weak practice of farmers. Another limitation was the small sample size, which was limited to only one city in Lorestan province, which expectedly is going to address in further research. The present study was implemented through a questionnaire quantitatively. Thus, to better interpret the practice and its influential factors, qualitative studies are also required.

Based on the results of this study, the lack of knowledge and suitable attitude toward the disease may have resulted in poor practice in preventing and controlling CCHF. Thus, based on the findings of this study and the level of literacy of farmers in the studied region, it is suggested that suitable educational sessions be held in line with the literacy level of farmers to train them on how to prevent and control CCHF. It also proposed that regional veterinarians explain all signs of CCHF to farmers while examining the livestock.

Acknowledgments

This paper is extracted from an MSc. Thesis in agricultural engineering, rural development branch, approved by Payam Noor University, Tehran Province, East Tehran Branch. Hereby all participants in this research, especially farmers and village governor of Khoramabad city.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: MM and PSH designed and supervised the research; GH.B. RP and ZR performed data gathering and analysis. All authors contributed to the drafting of the manuscript, and all authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: There is no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: This manuscript was approved as a thesis at a University and it does not have a specific code of ethics.

Funding/Support: The manuscript was not sponsored by any organization.

References

1. Qadir M, Tanveer M. Perception about Congo fever among university students. *J Hum Virol Retrovirol*. 2019;7(6):20-31.
2. Mokhtari F, Sharifinia N, Mokhtari Z, Qorbani M, Shafieyan H, Astgari Mehr BR, et al. Effect of educational intervention on practice improvement of employees in healthcare centers and meat distribution centers of Ilam province about Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fever. *Journal of Basic Research in Medical Sciences*. 2016;3(2):46-52. doi: [10.18869/acadpub.jbrms.3.2.46](https://doi.org/10.18869/acadpub.jbrms.3.2.46).
3. Shayan S, Bokaeian M, Shahrivar MR, Chinikar S. Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever. *Lab Med*. 2015;46(3):180-9. doi: [10.1309/LMN1P2FRZ7BKZSCO](https://doi.org/10.1309/LMN1P2FRZ7BKZSCO). [PubMed: [26199256](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26199256/)].
4. Chinikar S, Moghadam AH, Parizadeh SJ, Moradi M, Bayat N, Zeinali M, et al. Seroepidemiology of Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fever in slaughterhouse workers in north eastern Iran. *Iran J Public Health*. 2012;41(11):72-7. [PubMed: [23304679](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23304679/)], [PubMed Central: [PMC3521889](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3521889/)].
5. Ergönül Ö. Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*. 2006;6(4):203-14. doi: [10.1016/S1473-3099\(06\)70435-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(06)70435-2).
6. Akuffo R, Brandful JA, Zayed A, Adjei A, Watany N, Fahmy NT, et al. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever virus in livestock ticks and animal handler seroprevalence at an abattoir in Ghana. *BMC Infect Dis*. 2016;16:324. doi: [10.1186/s12879-016-1660-6](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-016-1660-6). [PubMed: [27392037](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27392037/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4939019](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4939019/)].
7. Abdolahi Shahvali E, Adineh M, Mehr AJ, Hemmatipour A, Nasrabadi T. Awareness of the Staff of Slaughterhouses and Animal Husbandries of Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever in Shoushtar, Iran in 2017. *Indian Journal of Forensic Medicine & Toxicology*. 2018;12(3):314. doi: [10.5958/0973-9130.2018.00179.2](https://doi.org/10.5958/0973-9130.2018.00179.2).
8. Ziapour SP, Kheiri S, Mohammadpour RA, Chinikar S, Asgarian F, Mostafavi E, et al. High Risk Behavior and Practice of Livestock and Meat Industry Employees regarding Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever in Nur County, Northern Iran. *Journal of Mazandaran University of Medical Sciences*. 2016;25(132):49-61.

9. Messina JP, Pigott DM, Golding N, Duda KA, Brownstein JS, Weiss DJ, et al. The global distribution of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg.* 2015;**109**(8):503–13. doi: [10.1093/trstmh/trv050](https://doi.org/10.1093/trstmh/trv050). [PubMed: [26142451](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/26142451/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4501401](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4501401/)].
10. Aslani D, Salehi-Vaziri M, Baniasadi V, Jalali T, Azad-Manjiri S, Mohammadi T, et al. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever among children in Iran. *Arch Virol.* 2017;**162**(3):721–5. doi: [10.1007/s00705-016-3162-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-016-3162-7). [PubMed: [27878461](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/27878461/)].
11. Keshtkar-Jahromi M, Sajadi MM, Ansari H, Mardani M, Holakouie-Naieni K. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever in Iran. *Antiviral Res.* 2013;**100**(1):20–8. doi: [10.1016/j.antiviral.2013.07.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2013.07.007). [PubMed: [23872313](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23872313/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4260932](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4260932/)].
12. Gandhi S, Dave P, Patel GC, Khatri HJ, Shah N, Mishra U. An epidemiological investigation of a multisource outbreak of Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever in Gujarat. *The Journal of communicable diseases.* 2011;**43**(3):161–7.
13. Yilmaz G, Koksali I, Topbas M, Yilmaz H, Aksoy F. The effectiveness of routine laboratory findings in determining disease severity in patients with Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever: severity prediction criteria. *J Clin Virol.* 2010;**47**(4):361–5. doi: [10.1016/j.jcv.2010.01.010](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcv.2010.01.010). [PubMed: [20149724](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/20149724/)].
14. Ozer A, Miraloglu M, Ekerbicer HC, Cevik F, Aloglu N. Knowledge levels about Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever among midwifery and nursing students in Kahramanmaraş, Turkey. *Southeast Asian journal of tropical medicine and public health.* 2010;**41**(1):77.
15. Ijaz M, Rahim A, Ali I. Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever Virus in Pakistan. *Infect Disord Drug Targets.* 2017;**17**(2):143–5. doi: [10.2174/1871526517666170117125733](https://doi.org/10.2174/1871526517666170117125733). [PubMed: [28124602](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28124602/)].
16. Appannanavar SB, Mishra B. An update on Crimean Congo hemorrhagic Fever. *J Glob Infect Dis.* 2011;**3**(3):285–92. doi: [10.4103/0974-777X.83537](https://doi.org/10.4103/0974-777X.83537). [PubMed: [21887063](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/21887063/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC3162818](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC3162818/)].
17. Mardani M, Jahromi M, Naieni K, Haghghat B. Demographic, epidemiological and clinical data analysis of 81 confirmed cases of Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever in Iran: P1145. *Clinical Microbiology & Infection Supplement.* 2005;**11**:359–60.
18. Jabbari A, Besharat S, Abbasi A, Moradi A, Kalavi K. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever: case series from a medical center in Golestan province, Northeast of Iran (2004). *Indian J Med Sci.* 2006;**60**(8):327–9. doi: [10.4103/0019-5359.26609](https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5359.26609). [PubMed: [16864919](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/16864919/)].
19. Shahhosseini N, Chinikar S, Shams E, Nowotny N, Fooks AR. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever cases in the North of Iran have three distinct origins. *Virusdisease.* 2017;**28**(1):50–3. doi: [10.1007/s13337-016-0359-z](https://doi.org/10.1007/s13337-016-0359-z). [PubMed: [28466055](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28466055/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC5377870](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC5377870/)].
20. Raab M, Pfadenhauer LM, Millimouno TJ, Hoelscher M, Froeschl G. Knowledge, attitudes and practices towards viral haemorrhagic fevers amongst healthcare workers in urban and rural public health-care facilities in the N'zerekore prefecture, Guinea: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Public Health.* 2020;**20**(1):296. doi: [10.1186/s12889-020-8433-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-020-8433-2). [PubMed: [32138720](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32138720/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7059383](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC7059383/)].
21. Abdollahi Shahvali E, Nasrabadi T, Fesharaki M. [The Effect of Crimean Congo fever training on the knowledge and practice of Slaughterhouse Staff in shoushtar]. *Journal of nurse and physician within war.* 2016;**3**(8):12–9. Persian.
22. Arian I, Kasifoglu N, Metintas S, Kalyoncu C. Knowledge, beliefs, and practices regarding tick bites in the Turkish population in a rural area of the Middle Anatolian Region. *Trop Anim Health Prod.* 2010;**42**(4):669–75. doi: [10.1007/s11250-009-9474-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11250-009-9474-9). [PubMed: [19882230](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19882230/)].
23. Sharifimoud B, Metanat M, Vaghei Ghorbani A, Fayaz JF, Akrami E. The outcome of patients with Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever in Zahedan, southeast of Iran: a comparative study. *Arch Iran Med.* 2009;**12**(2):151–3.
24. Masoudy G, Karimi-aval M, Ansari A, Abasi MH, Abaszadeh-bazi M. [The Predictors of Preventive Behaviors of Crimean-Congo Hemorrhagic Fever in Zabol Ranchers Based on Health Belief Model]. *Iranian Journal of Health Education and Health Promotion.* 2016;**3**(4):381–90. Persian.
25. Yilmaz R, Ozcetin M, Erkokmaz U, Ozer S, Ekici F. Public knowledge and attitude toward Crimean Congo hemorrhagic fever in Tokat Turkey. *Iranian journal of arthropod-borne diseases.* 2009;**3**(2):12.
26. Izadi S, Naieni KH, Madjdzadeh SR, Nadim A. Crimean-Congo hemorrhagic fever in Sistan and Baluchestan Province of Iran, a case-control study on epidemiological characteristics. *Int J Infect Dis.* 2004;**8**(5):299–306. doi: [10.1016/j.ijid.2003.10.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijid.2003.10.008). [PubMed: [15325599](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15325599/)].