

Diagnostic Efficacy of Sonography for Diagnosis of Ovarian Torsion

Farid Niafar,¹ Sam Mirfendereski,¹ Ayoob Rostamzadeh*²

1. Department of Radiology, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran
2. Department of Anatomical Sciences, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj, Iran

Article information

Article history:

Received: 21 June 2013

Accepted: 2 Oct 2013

Available online: 8 Nov 2013

ZJRMS 2014 Nov; 16(11): 37-39

Keywords:

Torsion

Sensitivity

Specificity

Ultrasonography

Abstract

Background: The aim was to determine the diagnostic accuracy of sonography for ovarian torsion.

Materials and Methods: In this analytic descriptive study, 323 women with suspected ovarian torsion underwent a transabdominal sonography. Findings of sonography were compared with laparotomy.

Results: The ultrasound correctly diagnosed 72.1% of ovarian torsion cases and missed 27.9% of these cases (false negatives). There was a strong correlation between sonography and surgery with a kappa value of 84.0%. The sensitivity and specificity of sonography for diagnosing ovarian torsion were determined 72.1% and 99.6%, respectively. Also, sonography had a positive predictive value (PPV) of 96.9%, a negative predictive value (NPV) of 95.9%, and a total accuracy of 96.0% for detection of ovarian torsion.

Conclusion: Sonography is excellent to evaluate patients with suspected ovarian torsion. Abnormal blood flow is highly predictive of ovarian torsion.

Copyright © 2014 Zahedan University of Medical Sciences. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Ovarian torsion is an important issue because of the variety of manifestations [1]. Clinically, this phenomenon can be manifested by severe pain in lower abdomen, occasionally with nausea and vomiting, however pain referring to other organs such as kidneys and pelvic-related systems so clinical presentation of ovarian torsion is variable and often misleading.

Also, physical examination is non-specific and peritoneal irritability may be present or absent [1-3]. Therefore, differential diagnosis of it is wide and consists of other gynecological, gastrointestinal, and urinary tract diseases [4]. Besides, if complete ovarian torsion is undiagnosed and untreated, it can lead to infertility, ovarian necrosis with peritonitis, loss of ovary, and death.

Therefore delaying in its diagnosis may lead to life-threatening consequences [5]. Because of selecting the affected patients for emergent surgery, its timely and minute diagnosis is vital that remained challenging among specialists. Meanwhile, accuracy of medical imaging modalities remains controversial. Utility of conventional ultrasonography for diagnosis of torsion has been now questioned.

This imaging procedure can successfully detect aneal lesions and ovarian enlargement [6]. However, in suspected patients, the presence of normal-appearing ovaries does not rule out its diagnosis. For improvement of ultrasonographic detection of torsion, Doppler flow study has been employed aimed to assess clinicians in reaching the accurate diagnosis of ovarian torsion [7]. Because the accuracy of conventional sonography remains controversial in diagnosis of ovarian torsion, the

aim of the present study was to examine the diagnostic performance of sonography for detection of ovarian torsion compared with surgical observation.

Materials and Methods

A cross sectional study was conducted in the Imam Reza Medical Center in Kermanshah city. All female patients younger than 40 years of age who presented with acute pelvic pain with highly suspected ovarian torsion in 2011 through 2012 and for whom laparotomy were performed within less than 6 h of the ultrasound assessment were included. The main inclusion criteria included raising the possibility of ovarian torsion in terms of clinical signs and also agreement with the participation in the study. The study was approved by Research Council of the Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences.

Failure to perform surgery on the patients was the study exclusion criterion. Sample size was determined at 95% confidence interval, 5% precision and 70.0% expected prevalence of diagnosing ovarian torsion in sonography assessment from previous study and found to be at least 323 patients. Patients underwent a transabdominal sonography using 2-5 MHz probes by an ultrasound specialist. Benchmark of positive test was ovarian enlargement with no arterial or venous blood flow. The findings of sonography were compared with laparotomy findings. The data were collected by chart review for all patients. All baseline variables including demographics and clinical manifestations were recorded. Sensitivity, specificity, and predictive values were determined by

cross-tabulation of the results of ultrasound and the surgical findings of ovarian torsion as the gold standard. Based on this tabulation, the sensitivity, specificity and positive predictive values were computed for ultrasound. In addition, the level of agreement between the ultrasound diagnosis and the surgical diagnosis was determined by calculating the kappa coefficient. The data were analyzed using SPSS-19.0. All of the statistical tests were considered significant at $p \leq 0.05$.

Results

The average age was overall 26.3 ± 7.8 years. Of cases with abdominal pain, 43 (13.3%) were confirmed as cases of ovarian torsion by surgery and other surgical diagnoses were appendicitis (24.8%), hemorrhagic cyst (22.9%), ectopic pregnancy (21.1%), and others (18.0%). The highest and the lowest mean age was specified to women suffered ovarian torsion (24.9 ± 8.0 years) and hemorrhagic cyst (26.9 ± 7.8 years). Twenty eight women (8.7%) were pregnant that were more suffered from ovarian torsion compared with non-pregnant women (35.7% versus 11.1%, $p < 0.001$).

The ultrasound correctly diagnosed 72.1% of ovarian torsion cases and missed 27.9% of these cases (false negatives). However, one patient (0.4%) was misclassified as ovarian torsion cases (false positive), there was a strong correlation between sonography and surgery with a kappa value of 84.0%. The sensitivity and specificity of sonography for diagnosing ovarian torsion were determined 72.1% and 99.6%, respectively. Also, sonography had a positive predictive value (PPV) of 96.9%, a negative predictive value (NPV) of 95.9%, and a total accuracy of 96.0% for detection of ovarian torsion.

All diagnosed ovarian torsion surgically, 26 (60.5%) was detected in the right-sided and 17 (39.5%) in the left-sided that sonography assessment led to diagnose 18 in the right-sided and 13 in the left-sided, respectively. Sixteen (37.2%) detected torsions had primary pattern and 62.8% had secondary pattern that sonography assessment was successful in detecting 81.2% of primary torsions and 66.7% of secondary torsions, respectively. Ovarian echogenicity was increased in 7.4% and remained normal in 93.6%.

Echogenicity was significantly higher in those with ovarian torsion than non-affected ones ($p < 0.001$). Of 43 diagnosed torsions surgically, 72.1% had no evidence of arterial or venous blood flow, while only one of the subjects without ovarian torsion was diagnosed not to have blood flow ($p < 0.001$). The mean diameter of affected ovaries by torsion was significantly higher than that measured in normal ovaries (72.4 ± 48.7 mm versus 16.00 ± 9.8 mm, $p < 0.001$).

Finally, among 43 diagnosed torsions, 32 (74.4%) were completed and 11 (25.6%) were partial that 87.5% and 27.3% had no evidenced blood flow in sonography views respectively. There was a significant correlation between ovarian torsion completeness and ovarian blood flow ($p < 0.001$).

Discussion

This study sought to determine the value of sonographic assessment for diagnosis of ovarian torsion compared to surgical observation. According to our findings, sonographic diagnosis of ovarian torsion had overall accuracy of 96.0% with the sensitivity of 72.1% and the specificity of 99.6%, respectively. Comparing our results with the previous reported findings confirm higher obtained diagnostic value of sonography compared with some studies and lower value compared with others in our survey. In a similar study by Mashiach et al., sonography had diagnostic accuracy of 74.6% for ovarian torsion [8]. However, in a study by Graif and Itzchak a 100% sonographic sensitivity and 93% specificity for space-occupying disease of the ovary were obtained with a positive predictive value of 88% for the diagnosis of ovarian torsion in both in childhood and adolescence [9]. Because of the variety of reported diagnostic performance for sonography in diagnosing ovarian torsion, it can be suggested that an ultrasound exam may be used to make a diagnosis in conjunction with clinical parameters; however, this will be difficult in patients with ovarian torsion because of its non-specific symptoms [10].

The only specific sonographic sign of torsion is demonstration of multiple follicles (8-12 mm in size) in the cortex of a unilaterally enlarged ovary. This feature has been detected by careful examination in up to 74% of torsion cases [11]. It has been shown that sonographic features consistent with diffuse swelling of the ovarian parenchyma and follicular enlargement in the cortex can be detected in most patients and can be considered highly suggestive for torsion [12, 13]. Thus in combination of imaging assessments and clinical findings, this more specific sign should be considered to obtain more accurate diagnosis. In total, in the setting of a specialized ultrasound unit, sonographic diagnosis of ovarian torsion had high accuracy compared with previous reports.

In our survey, about 72% of affected women with ovarian torsion were diagnosed to have abnormal blood flow, while this rate in non-affected ones was estimated only 0.4%. On the other hand, abnormal flow detected by sonography seems to be highly predictive of ovarian torsion and therefore can be valuable in the diagnosis of ovarian torsion. However, the sensitivity was 72.1% which indicates that the normal sonographic findings do not rule out ovarian torsion.

The presence of central venous flow and flow in the vascular pedicle indicates ovarian viability [11]. In addition, Bar-on et al. showed that abnormal ovarian blood flow was the most diagnostically accurate isolated sonographic sign with PPV 80.0% [6]. The specificity of this procedure was found to be 100% in some other studies [14]. Thus, considering abnormal ovarian blood flow as a high sensitive criterion is useful for accurate detection of ovarian torsion that should be applied beside other diagnostic imaging parameters for this phenomenon.

According to the study findings, in case of clinical doubtfulness to torsion, ovarian sonography is strongly

recommended. Also, if volume of ovary was increased simultaneously, examination by using color Doppler is also necessary. In total, according to high specificity of sonography, in cases with increased volume of ovary and lack of flow, ovarian torsion is strongly considered as diagnosis and the patient should be candidate for surgical management. However, because of rather low sensitivity of this diagnostic technique, in cases with increased volume of ovary but with normal flow, partial torsion may be considered and the follow-up of patient for determining necessity of emergency surgery should be programmed.

Acknowledgements

References

- Houry D, Abbott JT. Ovarian torsion: a fifteen-year review. *Ann Emerg Med.* 2001;38(2):156–9.
- Becker JH, de Graaff J, Vos MC. Torsion of the ovary: a known but frequently missed diagnosis. *Eur J Emerg Med.* 2009;16(3):124–6.
- Anders JF, Powell EC. Urgency of evaluation and outcome of acute ovarian torsion in pediatric patients. *Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med.* 2005;159(6):532–5.
- Taskin O, Birincioglu M, Aydin A, Buhur A, Burak F, Yilmaz I, et al. The effects of twisted ischaemic adnexa managed by detorsion on ovarian viability and histology: an ischaemia-reperfusion rodent model. *Hum Reprod.* 1998;13(10):2823–7.
- Haskins T, Shull BL. Adnexal torsion: a mind-twisting diagnosis. *South Med J.* 1986;79(5):576–7.
- Bar-On S, Mashiach R, Stockheim D, Soriano D, Goldenberg M, Schiff E, et al. Emergency laparoscopy for suspected ovarian torsion: are we too hasty to operate? *Fertil Steril.* 2010;93(6):2012–5.
- Mazouni C, Bretelle F, Menard JP, Blanc B, Gamerre M. [Diagnosis of adnexal torsion and predictive factors of adnexal necrosis]. *Gynecol Obstet Fertil.* 2005;33(3):102–6.
- Mashiach R, Melamed N, Gilad N, Ben-Shitrit G, Meizner I. Sonographic diagnosis of ovarian torsion: accuracy and predictive factors. *J Ultrasound Med.* 2011;30(9):1205–10.
- Graif M, Itzhak Y. Sonographic evaluation of ovarian torsion in childhood and adolescence. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 1988;150(3):647–9.
- Ignacio EA, Hill MC. Ultrasound of the acute female pelvis. *Ultrasound Q.* 2003;19(2):86–98. quiz 108–10.
- Graif M, Shalev J, Strauss S, Engelberg S, Mashiach S, Itzhak Y. Torsion of the ovary: sonographic features. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 1984;143(6):1331–4.
- Pena JE, Ufberg D, Cooney N, Denis AL. Usefulness of Doppler sonography in the diagnosis of ovarian torsion. *Fertil Steril.* 2000;73(5):1047–50.
- Fleischer AC, Stein SM, Cullinan JA, Warner MA. Color Doppler sonography of adnexal torsion. *J Ultrasound Med.* 1995;14(7):523–8.
- Ben-Ami M, Perlitz Y, Haddad S. The effectiveness of spectral and color Doppler in predicting ovarian torsion. A prospective study. *Eur J Obstet Gynecol Reprod Biol.* 2002;104(1):64–6.

The study was supported by grants from the Research Council of the Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences (Project code: 91236).

Authors' Contributions

All authors had equal role in design, work, statistical analysis and manuscript writing.

Conflict of Interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Funding/Support

Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj.

*Corresponding author at:

Department of Anatomical Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Kurdistan University of Medical Sciences, Sanandaj, Iran.

E-mail: aroostamzade@yahoo.com