



# Utilizing Panel Regression in Predicting the Underlying Factors of Poor Sleep Quality Among University Students

Zeynab Irajii <sup>1</sup>, Mohammad Asghari Jafarabadi <sup>2,3,4,\*</sup>, Nayyereh Aminisani <sup>5</sup> and Seyed Morteza Shams Shirgaran <sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Student Research Committee, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Statistics and Epidemiology, Faculty of Health, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

<sup>3</sup>Center for the Development of Interdisciplinary Research in Islamic Sciences and Health Sciences, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Tabriz, Iran

<sup>4</sup>Department of Statistics and Epidemiology, School of Medicine, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, Zanjan, Iran

<sup>5</sup>Non-communicable Disease Research Center, Neyshabur University of Medical Sciences, Iran

\*Corresponding author: Department of Statistics and Epidemiology, School of Medicine, Zanjan University of Medical Sciences, 4513956184, Zanjan, Iran. Tel: +98-24330180, +98-9124390958, Fax: +98-41330180, Email: m.asghari862@gmail.com

Received 2021 July 17; Revised 2021 October 26; Accepted 2021 November 07.

## Abstract

**Background:** The quality of sleep has different physical and psychological consequences, and can affect students' academic achievement.

**Objectives:** This study aimed to explore factors associated with sleep quality in university students using panel regression.

**Methods:** This study was conducted based on the data from a longitudinal study, namely the "Health and Lifestyle of University Students". The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), a self-administered questionnaire containing general information about sleep quality, Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI), a questionnaire consisting of information about anxiety, Healthy Lifestyle Scale for University Students (HLSUS), and a questionnaire including information about lifestyle were completed by students during the first eight weeks of their first semester. The Panel linear regression and frontier model were used to assess the association of sleep quality with sex, unit, residency, marital status, teeth brushing, drug use, alcoholic drinks, age, anxiety, and healthy lifestyle.

**Results:** A total of 706 individuals (46.8%) suffered from poor sleep quality. Between the two models of panel linear regression and frontier, the former (i.e., panel linear regression) was determined to be a more powerful model with the Akaike information criteria = 3790.68 and Bayesian information criteria = 3899.712, suggesting that the dormitory students with a high level of anxiety and low level of healthy lifestyle had significantly poor sleep quality.

**Conclusions:** Residency, drug use, anxiety, and healthy lifestyle scale were found to be closely associated with sleep quality of university students by using panel linear regression model. Our finding may have been of valuable help to policymakers and planners in their effort to improve the sleep quality of university students.

**Keywords:** Sleep Quality, University Students, Linear Panel Regression

## 1. Background

Sleeping is a physiological need for the body, which plays critical role in efficient functioning of the body organs and is a vital factor contributing to mental and physical health (1, 2). Sleep problems are the most important public health issues. Poor sleep quality has different physical and psychological consequences such as fatigue, behavioral disorders, cardiovascular disease, decreasing memory and concentration, as well as aggression and violence (3-5).

Sleep disorder has been increasing among university students such that, according to a meta-analysis study, the overall prevalence of poor sleep quality in Iranian students

is 56% at present (6). Poor sleep quality can affect students' academic achievement (7) in addition to their physical and mental performance. Therefore, it is important to examine the students' sleep quality and identify its effective factors.

Various factors influence sleep quality. According to extensive studies from the literature, several factors including age, sex, marital status, type of residence, anxiety, depression, smoking, alcohol use, drug use, BMI, smartphone dependence as well as health-related behaviors such as nutrition and stress management affect the quality of sleep (8-13).

There is inadequate published studies about students' sleep quality and its contributory factors in the literature on different medical disciplines (e.g., medicine sciences,

health sciences, etc.), especially longitudinal studies. Majority of the previous studies have only explored the students of one particular discipline (e.g., Nursing, Medicine, or Pharmaceutics)(14-17). The current study aimed to investigate the students' sleep quality and its underlying factors by using the information from the first baseline data included in the "Health and Lifestyle of University Students" (HeLiS).

## 2. Objectives

Our study was the first longitudinal study conducted in Tabriz, Iran, to explore Iranian medical university students.

## 3. Methods

In order to examine the association between sleep quality and its underlying factors, the data related to sleep quality and demographic characteristics were extracted from baseline information found in the Health and Lifestyle of University Students (HeLiS). The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), a self-administered questionnaire containing general information about sleep quality, Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI), a questionnaire consisting of information about anxiety, Healthy Lifestyle Scale for University Students (HLSUS), and a questionnaire consisting of information about lifestyle were completed by students during the first eight weeks of their first semester.

### 3.1. Study Population

The HeLiS was the first longitudinal study on Iranian university students. This study aimed to investigate the influence of anxiety, lifestyle and demographic information on sleep quality among students of different medical fields. All first-year students of different medical fields studying in eight university schools who had enrolled in September 2014 were requested to participate in this study. The inclusion criteria were: enrolled students aged under 25 years, undergraduate students of dentistry, pharmaceuticals and medicine, and students willing to participate in the follow-up study. At first, the participants were requested to answer a self-report questionnaire with minimal instruction which took about 40 minutes to complete.

The study questionnaire consisted of demographic status, sleep quality, anxiety, and lifestyle measures. Sleep quality, anxiety, and lifestyle were assessed using the Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index (PSQI), Beck Anxiety Inventory (BAI), and Healthy Lifestyle Scale for University Students (HLSUS) questionnaires, respectively.

The PSQI is a 19-items instrument to distinguish the good sleep quality from the poor sleep quality by assessing seven sleep characteristics including subjective sleep

quality, sleep latency, sleep duration, habitual sleep efficiency, sleep disturbances, use of sleep medications, and daytime dysfunction. Higher values for PSQI total score indicate lower sleep quality, such that a score of 5 or higher indicates poor sleep quality.

The BAI contains 21 items whose answers are scored on a scale value of 0 (not at all) to 3 (severely). Higher values for BAI total scores indicate more severe anxiety symptoms.

The HLSUS contains 38 questions whose answers are scored on a scale value of 1 to 5. This instrument specifies students' lifestyle by assessing eight dimensions, including exercise behavior, regular behavior, nutrition behavior, health risk behavior, health responsibility, social support, stress management, and life appreciation. Higher total scores for HLSUS indicate healthier lifestyle (18).

After preparing the data collection instrument, oral consent was received from the students; then they were asked to complete the questionnaires.

### 3.2. Statistical Analysis

Data were described as mean  $\pm$  SD of numeric and frequency (per cent) for categorical variables. Panel linear regression (PLR) and frontier model (FM) were used to investigate the relationship between sleep quality, the primary outcome of the study, as well as students' anxiety, lifestyle, and demographic information. PLR and FM were compared using Akaike information criteria (AIC) and Bayesian information criteria (BIC), where smaller values of AIC and BIC was indicative of more powerful model. Finally, the results of more powerful model were reported.

Analyses were performed using STATA MP 15.0 (StataCorp. 2017. Stata Statistical Software: Release 15. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC).

## 4. Results

### 4.1. Participants' Profile

A total of 516 students in 2014, 619 students in 2015, and 373 students in 2016 were included in the study. Mean score of PSQI among the students was 5.25 (SD = 2.00), so that it was equal to 6.34 (SD = 1.17) in 2014, 4.15 (SD = 2.28) in 2015, and 5.56 (SD = 2.91) in 2016. A total of 323 students (62.6%) in 2014, 212 ones (34.2%) in 2015, and 171 ones (47.8%) in 2016 had poor sleep quality. The details of the participants' characteristics are shown in [Table 1](#).

### 3.2. Modelling the Students' Sleep Quality

AIC and BIC values were equal to 3790.68 and 3899.712 for panel linear regression model, and they were equal to 3793.043 and 3911.556 for the frontier model. Therefore,

panel linear regression model was more powerful in modelling the students sleep quality.

Table 2 shows panel linear regression results in modelling the students sleep quality using sex, unit, residency, marital status, teeth brushing, drug use, alcoholic drinks, age, anxiety, and HLSUS score. The results indicated that residence, anxiety, and HLSUS score had a significant effect on sleep quality of medical students, such that the dormitory students had lower sleep quality than students who lived at home with their parents. Also, students' sleep quality decreased by increasing anxiety and decreasing HLSUS score.

Since HLSUS has a significant effect on students sleep quality, the impact of HLSUS components was investigated. Table 3 shows panel linear regression results in modelling the students sleep quality using sex, unit, residency, marital status, teeth brushing, drug use, and HLSUS components including exercise behavior, regular behavior, nutrition behavior, health risk behavior, health responsibility, social support, stress management, and life appreciation. The results indicated that residency, drug use, anxiety, regular behavior, and health risk behavior affected the sleep quality of medical students significantly, so that the dormitory students and students using drugs had lower sleep quality than students who lived at home with their parents and did not use drugs, respectively. Furthermore, students' sleep quality decreased by increasing anxiety level and decreasing regular behavior and health risk behavior scores.

## 5. Discussion

This study was conducted to investigate the relationship between sleep quality and its potential predictors in university students. Consistent with the findings of previous studies, our study results showed that the mean PSQI score was 5.25 (SD = 2.00) (19), and nearly half of the students suffered from poor sleep quality (8, 20-23).

In the current study, type of residency was discovered to be a significant factor contributing to the sleep quality in university students, so that the dormitory students had lower sleep quality than the students who lived at home with their parents. Similarly, some previous studies indicated that sleep quality was lowest in dormitory students (8, 19); however, several other studies found no significant relationship between residency type and quality of sleep (10, 13, 22). The lower sleep quality in dormitory students may have been due to the stress caused by living away from family, the responsibility of living in a dormitory, and adaptation to different sleep patterns of their roommates.

Moreover, using drugs was another significant factor contributing to sleep quality in students, such that the students who used drugs had lower sleep quality than others. Previous studies generated similar results suggesting

that drug users had lower sleep quality (11, 24). It was impossible to provide exact information due to the failure in identifying accurate substances. However, it was argued that using these unknown drugs may have been associated with poor sleep quality and had long-term, irreparable consequences.

In addition, the students' anxiety was inversely related to their sleep quality; that is, the students' sleep quality was improved with a decrease in their anxiety. This finding was consistent with that of previous studies (19, 25-28). Anxiety causes insomnia which in turns worsens the person's mood, leading to more disturbing thoughts. If this cycle continues, the sleep quality is then reduced due to the increased anxiety.

A close relationship was also detected between HLSUS score and the sleep quality in students. In other words, the students' sleep quality was improved with an increase in their HLSUS score. Further investigation revealed that the components of regular behavior and health risk behavior had a significant effect on students' sleep quality; however, the effects of other subscales were not significant. Wang et al. indicated that health responsibility and exercise behavior had no significant effect on sleep quality, but nutrition and stress management behaviors had significant effects on it (12). Moudi et al. found that nutrition, health responsibility, and stress management significantly affected the quality of sleep (29).

According to our study results which were inconsistent with the findings of previous studies, the sex, (7, 8, 13, 25, 27, 30) unit, (10, 25) marital status, (10, 13, 19, 27) teeth brushing, (31) alcoholic drinks (12, 32), and age (10, 11, 13, 22, 24, 25) were detected to have no significant effect on sleep quality in university students.

### 5.1. Strengths and Limitations

The present study faced some limitations. First, there was not information about the type of the drugs used by students; therefore, it was not possible to specify the mechanism of the effect of the drug on sleep quality. Second, some important information (e.g., depression level, smartphone dependence, and internet addiction) was not available to be included in the analysis and improve the fit of models. However, the present study successfully investigated the students from different faculties and disciplines of medical sciences and assessed their sleep quality and its predictors.

### 5.2. Conclusion

It was concluded that the sleep quality among university students was extremely poor. It was also found that sleep quality had a significant relationship with drug use, anxiety, and healthy lifestyle scale. Therefore, it was recommended that proper plans should be developed in order to

**Table 2.** Associations Between Sleep Quality and Its Predictors Including HLSUS Score Using Panel Linear Regression

Variables	Coefficient	95% CI	P-Value
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	Ref.	-	-
Female	-0.27	(-0.63, 0.10)	0.149
<b>Unit</b>			
Faculty of health, nutrition and management	Ref.	-	-
Faculty of paramedical, nursing and midwifery	0.08	(-0.32, 0.49)	0.690
Faculty of medicine, medicine and dentistry	-0.13	(-0.54, 0.27)	0.526
<b>Residency</b>			
Dormitory	Ref.	-	-
At home with parents	-0.45	(-0.79, -0.12)	0.008 <sup>a</sup>
Personal single home	-0.34	(-1.08, 0.39)	0.363
<b>Marital status</b>			
Single	Ref.	-	-
Married	-0.76	(-1.61, 0.08)	0.077
<b>Teeth brushing</b>			
No	Ref.	-	-
Once a day	0.20	(-0.91, 1.31)	0.726
Twice a day	0.30	(-0.84, 1.43)	0.608
Three times a day	0.01	(-1.24, 1.25)	0.988
<b>Drug use</b>			
No	Ref.	-	-
Yes	1.74	(0.02, 3.50)	0.043 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Alcoholic drinks</b>			
No	Ref.	-	-
Yes	-0.47	(-1.41, 0.47)	0.328
<b>Age</b>	-0.08	(-0.20, 0.03)	0.163
<b>Anxiety</b>	0.08	(0.07, 0.10)	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>
<b>HLSUS score</b>	-0.02	(-0.03, -0.01)	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Values are statistically significant.

improve students' sleep quality by reducing their anxiety, increasing their awareness about drug use, and improving their lifestyle quality.

## Footnotes

**Authors' Contribution:** Conception and design, MAJ and ZI; Development of methodology, MAJ and ZI; Acquisition of data, NA and MSh; Analysis and interpretation of data (e.g., statistical analysis, biostatistics, computational analysis), MAJ and ZI; Writing, review, and revision of the manuscript, MAJ, ZI, and NA; Study supervision, MAJ and ZI.

**Conflict of Interests:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interests.

**Data Reproducibility:** The data supporting the findings of this study are available in MAJ. However, restrictions are applied to the availability of these data, which were used under license for the current research, and are not publicly available. Data, however, are available upon reasonable request from the authors by MAJ.

**Ethical Approval:** The research protocol was approved and supported by the Student Research Committee, Tabriz University of Medical Sciences (IRB No.: IR.TBZMED.REC.1399.089).

**Table 3.** Associations Between Sleep Quality and Its Predictors Including HLSUS Subscales Using Panel Linear Regression

Variable	Coefficient	95% CI	P-Value
<b>Sex</b>			
Male	Ref.	-	-
Female	-0.08	(-0.46, 0.29)	0.654
<b>Unit</b>			
Faculty of health, nutrition and management	Ref.	-	-
Faculty of paramedical, nursing and midwifery	0.10	(-0.29, 0.49)	0.611
Faculty of medicine, medicine and dentistry	-0.14	(-0.54, 0.25)	0.466
<b>Residency</b>			
Dormitory	Ref.	-	-
At home with parents	-0.41	(-0.74, -0.07)	0.018 <sup>a</sup>
Personal single home	-0.36	(-1.07, 0.35)	0.315
<b>Marital status</b>			
Single	Ref.	-	-
Married	-0.59	(-1.42, 0.24)	0.164
<b>Teeth brushing</b>			
No	Ref.	-	-
Once a day	0.42	(-0.68, 1.51)	0.454
Twice a day	0.51	(-0.62, 1.64)	0.374
Three times a day	0.26	(-0.98, 1.50)	0.679
<b>Drug use</b>			
No	Ref.	-	-
Yes	1.87	(0.12, 3.62)	0.036 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Alcoholic drinks</b>			
No	Ref.	-	-
Yes	-0.80	(-1.7, 0.15)	0.097
<b>Age</b>	-0.06	(-0.17, 0.05)	0.283
<b>Anxiety</b>	0.08	(0.06, 0.10)	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Exercise behavior</b>	-0.03	(-0.08, 0.02)	0.252
<b>Regular behavior</b>	-0.11	(-0.17, 0.06)	< 0.001 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Nutrition behavior</b>	0.05	(-0.01, 0.12)	0.127
<b>Health risk behavior</b>	-0.09	(-0.17, 0.02)	0.009 <sup>a</sup>
<b>Health responsibility</b>	-0.04	(-0.10, 0.01)	0.126
<b>Social support</b>	-0.01	(-0.06, 0.04)	0.789
<b>Stress management</b>	-0.01	(-0.07, 0.05)	0.709
<b>Life appreciation</b>	-0.01	(-0.07, 0.05)	0.694

<sup>a</sup> Values are statistically significant

**Funding/Support:** No funding/support was received.

## References

- Lopes E, Milheiro I, Maia A. Sleep quality in college students: a study about the contribution of lifestyle, academic performance and general well-being. *Sleep Med.* 2013;14. e185. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2013.11.437>.
- Emkani M, Khanjani N. [Sleep quality and its related factors in inter-city bus drivers]. *Journal Mil Med.* 2012;14(2):137-41. Persian.

3. Bruno RM, Palagini L, Gemignani A, Viridis A, Di Giulio A, Ghiadoni L, et al. Poor sleep quality and resistant hypertension. *Sleep Med.* 2013;**14**(11):1157-63. [PubMed ID: 23993872]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2013.04.020>.
4. Buysse DJ, Reynolds C3, Monk TH, Berman SR, Kupfer DJ. The Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index: a new instrument for psychiatric practice and research. *Psychiatry Res.* 1989;**28**(2):193-213. [PubMed ID: 2748771]. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781\(89\)90047-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/0165-1781(89)90047-4).
5. Kamphuis J, Meerlo P, Koolhaas JM, Lancel M. Poor sleep as a potential causal factor in aggression and violence. *Sleep Med.* 2012;**13**(4):327-34. [PubMed ID: 22305407]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2011.12.006>.
6. Ranjbaran M. [Prevalence of poor sleep quality in college students of Iran: Systematic review and Meta-analysis]. *Razi J Med Sci.* 2016;**23**(143):1-7. Persian.
7. Sarbazvatan H, Amini A, Aminisani N, Shamshirgaran SM. Sleep Quality and Academic Progression among Students of Tabriz University of Medical Sciences, Northwest of Iran. *Research and Development in Medical Education.* 2017;**6**(1):29-33. <https://doi.org/10.15171/rdme.2017.006>.
8. Atadokht A. [Sleep quality and its related factors among university students]. *J Health Care.* 2015;**17**(1):9-18. Persian.
9. Chang SP, Shih KS, Chi CP, Chang CM, Hwang KL, Chen YH. Association Between Exercise Participation and Quality of Sleep and Life Among University Students in Taiwan. *Asia Pac J Public Health.* 2016;**28**(4):356-67. [PubMed ID: 27130632]. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1010539516645160>.
10. Mansouri A, Mokhayeri Y, Mohammadi Farrokhran E, Tavakkol Z, Fotouhi A. [Sleep quality of students living in dormitories in Tehran University of Medical Sciences (TUMS) in 2011]. *Iran J Epidemiology.* 2012;**8**(2). Persian.
11. Valerio TD, Kim MJ, Sexton-Radek K. Association of Stress, General Health, and Alcohol Use with Poor Sleep Quality among U.S. College Students. *Am J Health Educ.* 2016;**47**(1):17-23. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19325037.2015.111173>.
12. Wang PY, Chen KL, Yang SY, Lin PH. Relationship of sleep quality, smartphone dependence, and health-related behaviors in female junior college students. *PLoS One.* 2019;**14**(4). e0214769. [PubMed ID: 30943270]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6447181]. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0214769>.
13. Siddiqui AF, Al-Musa H, Al-Amri H, Al-Qahtani A, Al-Shahrani M, Al-Qahtani M. Sleep Patterns and Predictors of Poor Sleep Quality among Medical Students in King Khalid University, Saudi Arabia. *Malays J Med Sci.* 2016;**23**(6):94-102. [PubMed ID: 28090183]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5181996]. <https://doi.org/10.21315/mjms2016.23.6.10>.
14. Mohammadbeigi A, Absari R, Valizadeh F, Saadati M, Sharifmoghadam S, Ahmadi A, et al. Sleep Quality in Medical Students; the Impact of Over-Use of Mobile Cell-Phone and Social Networks. *J Res Health Sci.* 2016;**16**(1):46-50. [PubMed ID: 27061997]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7189085].
15. Rao WW, Li W, Qi H, Hong L, Chen C, Li CY, et al. Sleep quality in medical students: a comprehensive meta-analysis of observational studies. *Sleep Breath.* 2020;**24**(3):1151-65. [PubMed ID: 32072469]. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11325-020-02020-5>.
16. Yilmaz D, Tanrikulu F, Dikmen Y. Research on Sleep Quality and the Factors Affecting the Sleep Quality of the Nursing Students. *Curr Health Sci J.* 2017;**43**(1):20-4. [PubMed ID: 30595850]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6286721]. <https://doi.org/10.12865/chsj.43.01.03>.
17. Zongfeng Z, Xiaoheng F, Maoling L, Li Z, Dongmei Z, Chengmin C. [Relationship between Test Anxiety and Sleep Quality in Pharmacy College Students]. *Chinese Journal of Health Psychology.* 2010;**18**(3):697-700. Chinese.
18. Aminisani N, Shamshirgaran SM, Asghari Jafarabadi M, Sadeghi-Bazargani H, Amini A, Abedi L, et al. Reliability and Validity of the Persian Version of the Healthy Lifestyle Scale for University Students. *Research and Development in Medical Education.* 2016;**5**(2):79-84. <https://doi.org/10.15171/rdme.2016.016>.
19. Farzaneh F, Momayyezi M, Lotfi MH. Relationship between quality of sleep and mental health in female students of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences (2015). *J Fundam Mental Health.* 2018;**20**(2):167-71.
20. Kenney SR, LaBrie JW, Hummer JF, Pham AT. Global sleep quality as a moderator of alcohol consumption and consequences in college students. *Addict Behav.* 2012;**37**(4):507-12. [PubMed ID: 22285119]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4329778]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2012.01.006>.
21. Lemma S, Gelaye B, Berhane Y, Worku A, Williams MA. Sleep quality and its psychological correlates among university students in Ethiopia: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Psychiatry.* 2012;**12**:237. [PubMed ID: 23270533]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC3554495]. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-244x-12-237>.
22. Yazdi Z, Loukazadeh Z, Moghaddam P, Jalilolghadr S. Sleep Hygiene Practices and Their Relation to Sleep Quality in Medical Students of Qazvin University of Medical Sciences. *J Caring Sci.* 2016;**5**(2):153-60. [PubMed ID: 27354979]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4923839]. <https://doi.org/10.15171/jcs.2016.016>.
23. Cates ME, Clark A, Woolley TW, Saunders A. Sleep quality among pharmacy students. *Am J Pharm Educ.* 2015;**79**(1):9. [PubMed ID: 25741025]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4346821]. <https://doi.org/10.5688/ajpe79109>.
24. Kushkestani M, Parvani M, Nosrani S, Bathaezadeh S. The relationship between drug use, sleep quality and quality of life in dormitory students at Allameh Tabataba'i University, Iran. *Popul Med.* 2020;**2**(January). <https://doi.org/10.18332/popmed/115799>.
25. Choueiry N, Salamoun T, Jabbour H, El Osta N, Hajj A, Rabbaa Khabbaz L. Insomnia and Relationship with Anxiety in University Students: A Cross-Sectional Designed Study. *PLoS One.* 2016;**11**(2). e0149643. [PubMed ID: 26900686]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC4762701]. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149643>.
26. Di Benedetto M, Towt CJ, Jackson ML. A Cluster Analysis of Sleep Quality, Self-Care Behaviors, and Mental Health Risk in Australian University Students. *Behav Sleep Med.* 2020;**18**(3):309-20. [PubMed ID: 30821507]. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15402002.2019.1580194>.
27. Jafari A, Heidari-soureshjani R, Zarea K. Investigation of relationship between sleep quality and stress, anxiety and depression among students in the Shoushtar Faculty of Medical Sciences. *Educational Development of Judishapur.* 2018;**9**(3):197-205.
28. Zochil ML, Thorsteinsson EB. Exploring poor sleep, mental health, and help-seeking intention in university students. *Aust J Psychol.* 2020;**70**(1):41-7. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajpy.12160>.
29. Moudi A, Dashtgard A, Salehiniya H, Sadat Katebi M, Reza Razmara M, Reza Jani M. The relationship between health-promoting lifestyle and sleep quality in postmenopausal women. *Biomedicine (Taipei).* 2018;**8**(2):11. [PubMed ID: 29806589]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC5992928]. <https://doi.org/10.1051/bmdcn/2018080211>.
30. Jahan SM, Hossain SR, Sayeed UB, Wahab A, Rahman T, Hossain A. Association between internet addiction and sleep quality among students: a cross-sectional study in Bangladesh. *Sleep Biol Rhythms.* 2019;**17**(3):323-9. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41105-019-00219-y>.
31. Pratiwi R, Akbar FH, Muhiddin NH. Relationship Between Stress Level, Fatigue Symptoms, and Sleep Quality with Oral Health Behavior among Preclinical Student Faculty of Pharmacy, Indonesia. *Pesqui Bras Odontopediatria Clin Integr.* 2018;**18**(1). e4070. <https://doi.org/10.4034/pboci.2018.181.73>.
32. Monma T, Ando A, Asanuma T, Yoshitake Y, Yoshida G, Miyazawa T, et al. Sleep disorder risk factors among student athletes. *Sleep Med.* 2018;**44**:76-81. [PubMed ID: 29530373]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2017.11.130>.



**Table 1.** Personal and Lifestyle Habits and Sleep Behavior of Study Participants

Variables	Year = 2014	Year = 2015	Year = 2016	Total
<b>Sex</b>				
Male	174 (33.7)	215 (34.7)	110 (29.5)	499 (33.1)
Female	342 (66.3)	404 (65.3)	263 (70.5)	1009 (66.9)
<b>Unit</b>				
Faculty of health, nutrition and management	133 (25.8)	133 (21.5)	99 (26.5)	365 (24.2)
Faculty of paramedical, nursing and midwifery	171 (33.1)	223 (36.0)	145 (38.9)	539 (35.7)
Faculty of medicine, medicine and dentistry	212 (41.1)	263 (42.5)	129 (34.6)	604 (40.1)
<b>Residency</b>				
Dormitory	270 (55.3)	264 (55.5)	166 (56.1)	700 (55.5)
At home with parents	191 (39.1)	186 (39.1)	114 (38.5)	491 (39.0)
Personal single home	27 (5.5)	26 (5.5)	16 (5.4)	69 (5.5)
<b>Marital status</b>				
Single	508 (98.4)	592 (95.6)	338 (90.6)	1438 (95.4)
Married	8 (1.6)	27 (4.4)	35 (9.4)	70 (4.6)
<b>Teeth brushing</b>				
No	13 (2.6)	8 (1.7)	9 (2.4)	30 (2.2)
Once a day	258 (51.9)	270 (57.7)	207 (56.1)	735 (55.1)
Twice a day	185 (37.2)	164 (35.0)	134 (36.3)	483 (36.2)
Three times a day	41 (8.2)	26 (5.6)	19 (5.1)	86 (6.5)
<b>Drug use</b>				
No	511 (99.4)	476 (99.4)	66 (98.5)	1053 (99.3)
Yes	3 (0.6)	3 (0.6)	1 (1.5)	7 (0.7)
<b>Alcoholic drinks</b>				
No	495 (96.5)	470 (97.9)	41 (89.1)	1006 (96.8)
Yes	18 (3.5)	10 (2.1)	5 (10.9)	33 (3.2)
<b>Subjective sleep quality</b>				
0	14 (2.7)	278 (44.9)	111 (29.8)	403 (26.7)
1	67 (13.0)	285 (46.0)	219 (58.7)	571 (37.9)
2	303 (58.7)	46 (7.4)	34 (9.1)	383 (25.4)
3	132 (25.6)	10 (1.6)	9 (2.4)	151 (10.0)
<b>Sleep latency</b>				
0	119 (23.1)	246 (39.7)	81 (21.7)	446 (29.6)
1	242 (46.9)	230 (37.2)	175 (46.9)	647 (42.9)
2	119 (23.1)	95 (15.3)	88 (23.6)	302 (20.0)
3	36 (7.0)	48 (7.8)	29 (7.8)	113 (7.5)
<b>Sleep duration</b>				
0	137 (26.6)	219 (35.4)	76 (20.4)	432 (28.7)
1	189 (36.6)	133 (21.5)	120 (32.2)	442 (29.3)
2	132 (25.6)	149 (24.1)	116 (31.1)	397 (26.3)
3	58 (11.20)	118 (19.1)	61 (16.4)	237 (15.7)
<b>Habitation sleep efficiency</b>				
0	420 (81.4)	507 (81.9)	290 (77.7)	1217 (80.7)
1	69 (13.4)	43 (6.9)	51 (13.7)	163 (10.8)
2	12 (2.3)	47 (7.6)	20 (5.4)	79 (5.2)
3	15 (2.9)	22 (3.6)	12 (3.2)	49 (3.3)
<b>Sleep disturbances</b>				
0	110 (21.3)	201 (32.5)	70 (18.8)	381 (25.3)
1	386 (74.8)	364 (58.8)	262 (70.2)	1012 (67.1)
2	20 (3.9)	30 (4.8)	39 (10.5)	89 (5.9)

3	-	24 (3.9)	2 (0.5)	26 (1.7)
<b>Use of sleeping medication</b>				
0	496 (96.1)	563 (91.0)	334 (89.5)	1393 (92.4)
1	10 (1.9)	45 (7.3)	22 (5.9)	77 (5.1)
2	8 (1.6)	8 (1.3)	9 (2.4)	25 (1.6)
3	2 (0.4)	3 (0.5)	8 (2.1)	13 (0.9)
<b>Daytime dysfunction</b>				
0	219 (42.4)	390 (63.0)	190 (50.9)	799 (53.0)
1	211 (40.9)	174 (28.1)	131 (35.1)	516 (34.2)
2	64 (12.4)	48 (7.8)	36 (9.7)	148 (9.8)
3	22 (4.3)	7 (1.1)	16 (4.3)	45 (3.0)
<b>Age</b>	19.22 ± 1.25	20.25 ± 1.28	21.30 ± 1.34	20.2 ± 1.5
<b>Anxiety</b>	7.53 ± 7.17	8.06 ± 9.55	8.37 ± 8.97	7.95 ± 8.6
<b>Exercise behavior</b>	9.73 ± 3.23	10.71 ± 3.39	9.93 ± 3.70	10.2 ± 3.4
<b>Regular behavior</b>	14.62 ± 3.32	14.45 ± 3.05	14.36 ± 3.31	14.5 ± 3.2
<b>Nutrition behavior</b>	13.98 ± 2.75	13.79 ± 2.73	13.99 ± 3.56	13.9 ± 3.0
<b>Health risk behavior</b>	16.68 ± 2.33	16.69 ± 2.13	16.50 ± 2.40	16.6 ± 2.3
<b>Health responsibility</b>	24.01 ± 3.35	23.38 ± 3.28	23.78 ± 3.80	23.7 ± 3.4
<b>Social support</b>	23.19 ± 3.31	21.98 ± 3.81	21.96 ± 4.05	22.3 ± 3.7
<b>Stress management</b>	17.75 ± 3.02	17.66 ± 2.78	17.75 ± 3.08	17.7 ± 2.9
<b>Life appreciation</b>	19.81 ± 3.04	19.09 ± 3.27	18.96 ± 4.10	19.3 ± 3.4
<b>HLSUS score</b>	139.78 ± 14.03	137.76 ± 14.37	137.24 ± 16.13	138.3 ± 14.7