

## Effects of Continuous vs. Intermittent Method of Entonox on Labor Progress

Jila Agah,<sup>1,\*</sup> Roya Baghany,<sup>2</sup> Seyed Hossein Safiabadi-Tali,<sup>3</sup> and Yaser Tabarraie<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, IR Iran

<sup>2</sup>Department of Midwifery, Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, IR Iran

<sup>3</sup>Resident of Internal Medicine, Qazvin University of Medical Sciences, Qazvin, IR Iran

<sup>4</sup>Department of Statistics and Health, Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, IR Iran

\*Corresponding author: Jila Agah, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences, Sabzevar, IR Iran. E-mail: jilaagah@yahoo.com

Received 2014 June 23; Accepted 2014 September 24.

### Abstract

**Background:** Entonox (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) for decreasing labor pain is generally used intermittently. As continuous method is easier to use, we compared two methods in point of labor progression.

**Objectives:** As entonox is used in numerous centers intermittently and it seems that continuous method has benefits such as more analgesic effect and easier usage, we decided to compare the effects of two methods on labor progress.

**Patients and Methods:** This randomized clinical trial was performed in Mobini Hospital, Sabzevar, Iran. One hundred admitted women for vaginal delivery were included in this study. Fitted patients were randomly divided into equal groups. Fifty parturients used it intermittently and 50 persons used it continuously. Then labor progression, maternal and fetal conditions and satisfaction were registered and compared in two groups. Statistical analyses were performed by SPSS-17 software, t-test and  $\chi^2$  test.

**Results:** The duration of three stages of labor was not different significantly. The mothers were more satisfied by continuous method meaningfully ( $P < 0.0001$ ).

**Conclusions:** Our study showed continuous method had no adverse effects on labor progression and the satisfaction rate was more compared with intermittent method.

**Keywords:** Entonox, Labor, Intermittent, Continuous

### 1. Background

Delivery is one of the most painful phenomenons in life and human has tried to find a way to alley labor pain at all times. Severe pain that arouses maternal anxiety leads to releasing catecholamines that in turn can cause prolonged labor and dystocia. Prolongation of labor can cause fetal complications like head compression, oxygenation disturbance, low Apgar and finally fetal death. Also, prolonged labor increases maternal morbidity and mortality due to exhaustion of mother, postpartum hemorrhage and even uterine rupture. Various methods are applied for pain relief of labor such as injectable opioids, regional anesthesia and inhalational analgesics [1]. Simpson was the first one that experienced gas inhalation "ether" for women during labor pain in 1847. Years later, Snow applied chloroform for Queen Victoria during her eighth delivery [1]. The gas applying now is a mixture containing 50% of N<sub>2</sub>O and 50% of O<sub>2</sub> which was introduced for pain relief of labor in England, in 1961, named entonox [2]. Entonox (N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) is easily used through a face-mask, individually. One of the mechanisms of its action is through releasing endogen opioids, and the other one is by stimulation of gamma-aminobutyric acid receptors in brain that leads to an anti-anxiety and euphoric effect [2].

Numerous studies have demonstrated that entonox is safe for both mother and fetus and has an acceptable efficacy on decreasing labor pain [2, 3]. Entonox can be used in two manners during labor, intermittently and continuously. In the intermittent method, the mother breathes in mask only during uterine contractions and lays it aside as soon as termination of pain; whereas, in continuous method she keeps using the mask during active phase of labor [2]. Technically speaking, it takes at least 30 seconds for gas to be mixed with blood. So the mother should apply the mask at least 30 seconds before beginning of contraction. But usually the mother breathes synchronically with feeling the pain which leads to not receiving desirable painless effect. On the contrary, it seems that continuously use of entonox is easier and more efficacious for pain relief. It is customary to use entonox intermittently. Although there have not been enough indicated clinical trials about the comparison of the two methods, most of maternity care staff is afraid of obstetrical complications by continuous method [2, 4, 5]. Zare Tazarjani et al. showed continuous method shortens first stage of labor in comparison with the mothers that did not use entonox [6].

## 2. Objectives

As entonox is used in numerous centers intermittently and it seems that continuous method has benefits such as more analgesic effect and easier usage, we decided to compare the effects of two methods on labor progress.

## 3. Patients and Methods


This randomized controlled clinical trial was conducted in Sabzevar Mobini Hospital in 2013. After the approval of the ethics committee of Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences (medsab.rec.92.28) and obtaining the informed consent of patients, a total of 100 women admitted for vaginal delivery, were enrolled in the study. This number of sampling was determined by confidence coefficient of 95% and power of 80%. The participants were divided into two groups, group A including 50 women received entonox intermittently and group B containing 50 women used it continuously. Selection of patients was through simple choosing and they were settled in two groups by accidental allocation. The inclusion criteria were singleton pregnancy, cephalic presentation and term gestation. The exclusion criteria were macrosomia, contracted pelvis of mother, repeated cesarean section, unconfident fetal heart rate and contraindications of entonox usage including head injury, severe asthma, inability, and unwillingness of patients to use entonox. Before participating in the study, a comprehensive interview was conducted with mothers and registered in the checklist.

Training of mothers was done by a midwife (sampler). In group A, mothers were breathing in mask during uterine contractions and put it aside between them. In group B mothers were using entonox constantly. The gas inhalation was performed by commencement of active phase (dilatation 3 - 4 cm, and effacement 40 - 50%) and terminated by full dilatation of cervix. Labor progression was drawn on partograph and contraction duration and FHR were evaluated and registered, respectively. After simple random allocation and collecting data, information was analyzed by SPSS-17 software, t-test and  $\chi^2$  and  $P < 0.05$  was considered statistically significant.


## 4. Results

The findings showed that demographic data, obstetric and fetal characteristics were matched in two groups. Meantime of active phase of labor was 142 minutes in continuous method and 172 minutes in intermittent group with no significant difference between them (Figure 1). Meantime of second stage of labor had no significant difference between two groups (Figure 1). Meantime of third stage of labor had no significant difference between two groups. Continuous method did not protract labor. Fischer test illustrated that two groups were not different significantly in terms of prolonged labor. Also continuous method had no adverse effect on uterine contractility, the necessity for augmentation had no significant difference between two groups. The study showed

that satisfaction rate was significantly more in continuous method compared with intermittent method ( $P < 0.0001$ ) (Figure 2). Infants, outcome was acceptable in both methods.



**Figure 1.** The Average Duration of the Stages of Labor Compared in Two Groups of Entonox Users (Minute).



**Figure 2.** Satisfaction Rate of Mothers Compared in Two Groups

## 5. Discussion

Entonox which is a well-known analgesic agent can be used in two methods. Although the intermittent method has been commonly used since 1961 (the first commercial introduction of entonox), we found continuous method is also efficacious and harmless. In our experience, continuous method had no adverse effects on labor progression and interestingly, was accompanied by more satisfaction rate. Entonox is a commonly used agent for relieving labor pain because of an acceptable efficacy, using easily and safety for both mother and fetus [2, 3, 6]. Also entonox is described as none-inflammatory, odorless, colorless, tasteless and short-acting [7]. The gas is filtrated in the lungs totally, so it is even utilizable in hepatic and renal diseases [2]. Fortunately its minimal side effects like dizziness are resolved several minutes after removal of mask [2-5]. Our results showed the duration of active phase had no significant difference in two groups and it was even shorter in continuous method (142 minutes) compared with intermittent method (172 minutes). Creasy mentioned mean duration of active phase about 3.5 hours

without using entonox [8]. In our study this time was 2.9 hours in intermittent group and 2.3 hours in continuous method. We believe the reason for this decrease may be diminished catecholamines. It is said that aggravation of pain and anxiety during labor has negative impact on labor progression due to releasing catecholamines. Numerous surveys have compared labor progress in entonox users with nonusers. Pita et al. [9] reported 120 minutes, and Agah [10] reported 232 minutes in entonox users in active phase labor by intermittent method. Salahian et al. [11] and Irvani [12] found no difference in labor duration with intermittent entonox utilization. Rezayi et al. [13] and Jaffari et al. [14] reported that labor duration is shorter by intermittent users significantly. Zare Tazarjani et al. showed that continuous gas usage shortens first stage of labor but does not change the second stage duration of labor [6]. In short, in our study both methods shortened the duration of labor compared with the situation that the parturients do not use entonox. It is in agreement with Zare Tazarjani et al. [6], Pita et al. [9] and Jaffari et al. [14] but it is inconsistent with Agah [10], Salahian et al. [11] and Irvani [12]. In this study, the continuous method even shortened this duration more than the intermittent method. In our observation the mothers had less anxiety with continuous method; this relaxation was the superior advantage which lowered labor duration. In our study, mothers' satisfaction rate was (96%) in continuous method and (70%) in intermittent method which was similar to results of Arthurs and Rosen, in 1981 they showed that continuous method had better acceptance and 96% of mothers wished to continue offering the continuous method [15].

It seems that many women are willing to use entonox continuously because they become anxious between contractions about their next pain in intermittent method. On the other hand, maternity care staff reminds mothers constantly to put the mask aside by pain termination because of the fear of obstetric complications which in turn increases mother's anxiety. Also its application is easier and probably associated with more painless effect than intermittent method. To put it in a nutshell, in our study, using entonox continuously had no adverse effects on labor length and even shortened it compared with intermittent method. This study showed that continuous method had no adverse effects on labor outcomes and the participants of continuous group declared clearly more satisfaction compared with intermittent group. In conclusion, according to this study, continuous use of entonox is as safe as intermittent method. In our experience the mothers were more satisfied by continuous method as well. We believe by further investigations, health staff can set mothers free to choose the desired method of entonox usage. As a rule, this action can influence health promotion of mother, baby and midwives both physi-

cally and psychologically and midwives both physically and psychologically.

## Acknowledgements

The researchers find it obligatory to express their appreciation from deputy of research in Sabzevar Medical University due to financial supports (code: 392010110) and also special thanks to Mobini Hospital in chief and the maternity care staff.

## Footnote

**Funding/Support:** Sabzevar University of Medical Sciences.

## References

1. Wong CA. Advances in labor analgesia. *Int J Womens Health*. 2010;**1**:139-54. [PubMed: 21072284]
2. Collins MR, Starr SA, Bishop JT, Baysinger CL. Nitrous oxide for labor analgesia: expanding analgesic options for women in the United States. *Rev Obstet Gynecol*. 2012;**5**(3-4):e126-31. [PubMed: 23483795]
3. Rosen MA. Nitrous oxide for relief of labor pain: a systematic review. *Am J Obstet Gynecol*. 2002;**186**(5 Suppl Nature):S10-26. [PubMed: 12011877]
4. Wong CA. Current management of labor pain in women. *Int J Womens Health*. 2013;**1**:109-11.
5. Wang B, Zhang X, Wei L. [Application of nitrous oxide in labor analgesia]. *Zhonghua Fu Chan Ke Za Zhi*. 1994;**29**(6):330-1. [PubMed: 8001404]
6. Zare Tazarjani F, Sekhvat L, Karimzadeh Meybodi MA. The effect of continuous entonox inhalation on the length of labor in duration of active phase of labor [in Persian]. *J Babol Univ Med Sci*. 2010;**11**(6):21-5.
7. Smith R, Conachie J. Nitrous oxide. In: Smith R, Conachie J, editors. *Contraversies in obanesthetic and analgesic*. England: Cambridge University; 2012. p. 197.
8. Creasy RK, Resnik R. *Maternal-fetal medicine: Principles and practice*. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 1994.
9. Pita CP, Pazmino S, Vallejo M, Salazar-Pousada D, Hidalgo L, Perez-Lopez FR, et al. Inhaled intrapartum analgesia using a 50-50 % mixture of nitrous oxide-oxygen in a low-income hospital setting. *Arch Gynecol Obstet*. 2012;**286**(3):627-31. doi: 10.1007/s00404-012-2359-6. [PubMed: 22569712]
10. Agah J, Baghani R, Tabaraee Y. Comparison of continuous and intermittent inhalation methods of Entonox on labor pain: a Randomized clinical trial [in Persian]. *J Mazandaran Univ Med Sci*. 2014;**23**(1):87-93.
11. Salahian T, Safdari F, Jahantighi S. The effect of entonox on labor pain and outcome of delivery in primiparous in Iranshahr, Iran (2009) [in Persian]. *J Gorgan Bouyeh Faculty Nurs Midwif*. 2010;**7**(1):1-9.
12. Irvani M. The efficacy of entonox inhalation on pain intensity and duration of delivery [in Persian]. *Iran J Obstet Gynecol Infertil*. 2008;**3**(11):7-13.
13. Rezayi H, Khodabandeh S, Mohammadalizadeh S. Entonox gas effect on labor-related variables referring to Imam Ali (AS) in Zarand [in Persian]. *Iran J Nurs Midwif*. 2006;**6**(1):15-22.
14. Jaffari RM, Barati M, Torabzadeh-Bafghi V, Torabzadeh-Bafghi A. The effect of entonox gas inhalation on the duration of active phase of labor and outcome of delivery [in Persian]. *Jundishapur Sci Med J*. 2013;**12**(1):13-9.
15. Arthurs GJ, Rosen M. Acceptability of continuous nasal nitrous oxide during labour—a field trial in six maternity hospitals. *Anaesthesia*. 1981;**36**(4):384-8. [PubMed: 7246989]