Published online 2020 July 19.

Research Article

Antibacterial and Antifungal Activity of the Aqueous and Methanolic Extracts and Essential Oils of Red Beets *Beta vulgaris* Leaves

Shokouh Ahmadi ^{1, 2, *}, Sabihe Soleimanian-Zad^{1, 2, **} and Davood Zaeim^{1, 3}

¹Department of Food Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran ²Research Institute for Biotechnology and Bioengineering, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran ³School of Food Science and Biotechnology, Zhejiang Gongshang University, Hangzhou, China

* Corresponding author: Department of Food Science and Technology, College of Agriculture, Isfahan University of Technology, Postal Code: 84156-83111, Isfahan, Iran. Email: sh.ahmadi@ag.iut.ac.ir

^{**}Corresponding author: Research Institute for Biotechnology and Bioengineering, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Postal Code: 84156-83111, Iran. Email: soleiman@cc.iut.ac.ir

Received 2018 September 05; Revised 2019 January 23; Accepted 2019 October 01.

Abstract

The aim of this study was to investigate the antimicrobial properties of aqueous and methanolic extracts, as well as essential oils of red beet leaves. The leaves were purchased from local farms in Isfahan province, Iran. After drying the leaves, their extracts were obtained by water and methanol as solvent, and also essential oils of the leaves were extracted through the hydro-distillation method. Antibacterial activity of the extracts and essential oils was determined against five foodborne bacteria by disc-diffusion assay and minimum inhibitory concentration method. Moreover, the antifungal activity of the samples was examined by the contact phase method against five fungi species. The aqueous extract of red beet leaves did not show any antimicrobial activity against the studied species, whereas the methanolic extract inhibited the growth of four strains of the bacteria, including *Escherichia coli, Bacillus cereus, Staphylococcus aureus, Salmonella enteritidis.* Essential oil had an inhibitory effect against *B. cereus, S. enteritidis*, and *S. aureus.* Furthermore, the aqueous and methanolic extracts and essential oils had a variable degree of antifungal activity against all tested fungi. However, the methanolic extract and essential oils had a stronger antifungal effect than the aqueous extract.

Keywords: Antibacterial Activity, Antifungal Activity, Aqueous and Methanolic Extracts, Essential Oils, Red Beet Leaves

1. Background

Despite the expansion of antibiotics regarding their numbers and effectiveness, bacterial and fungal infections are still a major issue in medicine. In addition, the rapid development of microbial resistance to the existing antibiotics has become a new challenge (1). Foodborne diseases caused by contaminated foods with pathogenic bacteria is still classified as a high-priority public health problem (2). Therefore, searching for new antimicrobial agents is an ongoing process (3). Over the last decade, the application of natural extracts obtained from domestic plants, which is prevalently used by our predecessors as a remedy, in medicines and preservation of foods and cosmetics, has surged significantly. Herbal drugs have been extensively used in this field for many centuries. Since these natural products are more available, non-toxic, biodegradable, and have fewer side-effects in comparison with common antibiotics or preservatives, they have recently been widely considered (1).

In Iranian traditional medicine, the leaves of red beet

are used to treat some infections, like vaginal and skin infections. However, to the best of our knowledge, no research has yet been conducted on the antimicrobial activity of this plant.

2. Objectives

The present study is part of a comprehensive investigation in searching for alternative natural antimicrobials and preservatives, which gives way toward a new plant source for controlling pathogens and food spoilage microbes.

3. Methods

3.1. Plant Materials

The leaves of red beet *Beta vulgaris*, Johari variety, were purchased from a local farm in Isfahan, Iran, in October 2017. The leaves were washed twice with tap and distilled water, respectively. The leaves were dried by a cabinet

Copyright © 2020, Zahedan Journal of Research in Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

dryer (Hydrotec, Iran) under 25°C and ventilation for 48 -72 h. Subsequently, dried leaves were ground by a grinder (Achtung, Germany) using mesh no. 10 (2 mm diameter) (4).

3.2. Preparation of Extracts

The dried powder of the leaves (20 g) was soaked in 200 ml distilled water and left at room temperature for 48 h while being stirred at 150 rpm. Similarly, 20 g of the leaves were soaked in 200 ml of methanol (80% v/v) in flasks, sealed with foil, and stirred for 48 h under the same condition. The aqueous and methanolic extracts were filtered out using a filter paper (Whatman no. 1) and concentrated in a rotary vacuum evaporator at 50°C. The residues were dried at -56°C by a freeze-dryer (Christ, alpha 1 - 2 LD plus, UK). Final powders were stored at 4°C until subsequent use (5).

3.3. Essential Oils Isolation

Essential oils were extracted from the grounded leaves (50 g) by hydro-distillation method using a Clevenger-type apparatus. The extraction was carried out in 2 L distilled water for 4.5 h; up to the point that no more oil obtained (6). The essential oil was collected and stored at 4°C until subsequent use.

3.4. Test Microorganisms

Five reference bacteria, including *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Bacillus cereus* PTCC 1154, *Escherichia coli* PTCC 1395, *Salmonella enteritidis*, and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PTCC 1310, and five fungi, including *Aspergillus niger* PTCC 5012, *Byssochlamys fulva* PTCC 5062, *Geotrichum candidum*, *Fuzarium oxysporum* PTCC 5115 and *Alternaria alternata* PTCC 5224 were used in this study. The test microorganisms were provided by Microbial Culture Collection of Food Microbiology Laboratory, College of Agriculture, Isfahan University of Technology.

Cultures of the bacteria were grown in brain heart infusion (BHI) broth (Merck, Germany) at 37°C for 24 h aerobically and stored on BHI agar slants at 4°C. Fungi were cultivated on potato dextrose agar (PDA) (Merck, Germany) and incubated at 30°C for 48 h. The cultures were maintained on PDA slants at 4°C. Bacteria maintained on agar slants were recovered for experiments by inoculating into BHI broth and incubated at 37°C until the concentration of the microorganisms reached 10⁸ CFU/mL. For recovering fungi, the cultures were inoculated on PDA plates and incubated at 30°C for 72 h (7, 8).

3.5. Antibacterial Activity Evaluation

3.5.1. Disc-Diffusion Assay

In order to prepare paper discs, a 100-mg plant extract was added to 1 mL dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) (Merck, Germany) and sterilized by a 0.45 μ m cellulose acetate filter (Millipore, USA). Then, 50 μ L of 100 mg/mL plant extract (equivalent to 5 mg dosage) and 50 μ L DMSO were applied to 6 mm diameter paper discs (Puya-Teb, Iran). Next, 100 μ L of the prepared inoculum (equal to 10⁸ CFU/mL) was pipetted on to the Mueller-Hinton agar (MHA) plates. A sterile L-shaped glass rod was used to completely spread the inoculum on the plates by streaking over the surface with rotation. Then, the inoculated discs were placed on the top of the MHA plates. Ampicillin and chloramphenicol discs were used (Puya-Teb, Iran) as positive controls for determining the susceptibility of tested bacteria. Moreover, a disc impregnated with pure DMSO (solvent) was used as a negative control. The plates were incubated at 37°C for 48 h and the formation of inhibition zone (IZ) around the discs was considered as an antibacterial activity of the extracts (3).

3.5.2. Measurement of Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

Measurement of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the samples was investigated through the broth dilution method. A 100- μ L bacterial suspension at a concentration of 10⁵ CFU/mL was added to each 1.5-mL Eppendorf tubes containing 200 μ L sterile BHI. Then, the test compounds (methanolic or water extractions or essential oil of red beat leaves) were added to give the ultimate concentrations of 50,100, 250, and 500 μ g/mL. The tubes were then incubated at 37°C for 24 h. A 100- μ L sample of each tube was taken and cultivated on MHA plates. Plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 h. The least concentration, at which no growth occurred on the plates was considered as MIC (9). Antibiotics and a solvent were used as positive and negative controls, respectively. All experiments were carried out in triplicate.

3.6. Antifungal Activity Investigation

For studying the antifungal activity of the aqueous and methanolic extracts and essential oils of red beet leaves, contact phase (CP) method was used. PDA medium was autoclaved and cooled in a water bath to 40° C. Extracts and essential oils were thoroughly mixed with a sterile molten medium at 40° C in the flasks to obtain the final concentration of 5% (v/v). The PDA media containing essential oils and extracts were poured into sterile 8 cm Petri dishes (22 mL/plate). Agar discs (5 mm diameter) from the edge of fungi cultures were placed at the center of each plate and incubated at 30°C for 72 h. The mean radial mycelial growth of the fungi was determined by measuring the diameter of the colony in two directions. The growth was compared with the control plate, in which the same fungi were grown on an untreated PDA medium incubated under the same condition. Three replications were done for each treatment. The mean growth values were measured and converted to the inhibition percentage of mycelial growth (MGI) in relation to the control treatment using Equation 1:

$$MGI \ (\%) = \left[(dc - dt) / dc \right] \times 100$$
 (1)

Where, dc and dt are mycelial growth diameter in control and treated plates, respectively (10). In this experiment, ethylene diamine tetra-acetate (EDTA) was used as a positive control.

3.7. Data Analysis

Experiments were carried out in triplicate, and all data were averaged. Statistical analysis was carried out through a completely randomized design by SPSS software. Significant differences between the means were determined by Duncan's multiple range test at $P \leq 0.05$.

4. Results

4.1. Antibacterial Activity

4.1.1. Disc Diffusion Method

In this study, to investigate the ability of methanolic and aqueous extracts of red beet leaves and their essential oils to prohibit the growth of tested bacteria, the disc diffusion assay was employed, which is a common test for determining the antimicrobial activity of various materials. In this test, the antimicrobial effect of compounds was determined by measuring the diameter of an inhibition zone around the disks, where microbial growth was inhibited (Table 1).

As shown in Table 1, the methanolic extract of red beet leaves had an inhibitory effect on the growth of some bacteria, including *E. coli*, *B. cereus*, *S. enteritidis*, and *St. aureus*. The aqueous extract of red beet leaves showed no antimicrobial activity against all tested bacterial species. Essential oils of red beet leaves had an inhibitory effect against three bacterial species, including *B. cereus*, *S. enteritidis*, and *St. aureus*.

It is noteworthy that *P. aeruginosa* was resistant to all extracts and essential oils. *E. coli* showed sensitivity only to the methanolic extract.

Thus, the MIC was determined by the broth dilution method only for bacteria, which showed susceptibility in the disk diffusion method.

4.1.2. Minimum Inhibitory Concentration

The results of the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) test of the samples are shown in Table 2. Since the disk diffusion method is a qualitative test and many factors influence its accuracy, the MIC test was used to measure the antibacterial activity of the samples. In this test, after adding different concentrations of the antimicrobial substances to the tubes containing test microorganisms, the least concentration that inhibited the growth of microbes in media was considered as MIC. According to Table 2, a higher amount of MIC represents the lower antimicrobial activity of the compound or higher bacterial resistance.

Except for *P. aeruginosa*, which was completely resistant against the methanolic extract in the disk diffusion test, MIC of this extract was evaluated against other bacteria, and the results are demonstrated in Table 2. *E. coli* and *S. enteritidis* showed the highest resistance to methanolic extract, whereas *St. aureus* was the most sensitive bacteria as it showed the lowest MIC.

Moreover, MIC of essential oils was examined against all test bacteria except for *P. aeruginosa* and *E. coli*, which both were completely resistant in the disk diffusion test (Table 2). Essential oils had higher antimicrobial potential against *St. aureus* and *B. cereus* compared with *S. enteritidis*.

MIC of the antibiotics, including Chloramphenicol and Ampicillin, as positive controls, are also presented in Table 2. Considering MIC values for methanolic extract and essential oils, the antibacterial effect of red beet leaves extracts was not as strong as antibiotics.

4.2. Antifungal Activity

Figure 1 presents the average data obtained by measuring the antifungal activity of essential oils, as well as the aqueous and methanolic extracts of red beet leaves against various fungi. The percentage of inhibition is shown for all strains tested. The results showed that antifungal properties depended on the type of fungi and also the method of extraction i.e., aqueous or methanolic extract or essential oils. It was observed that the most and the least sensitive fungi were *Fusarium* and *Alternaria*, respectively.

EDTA, as a strong fungicide, had the highest percentage of inhibition. There were significant differences between the inhibition percentage of EDTA and the extracts (P < 0.05). Antifungal activity of different treatments against tested fungi was as follows: methanolic extract > essential oils > aqueous extract. Growth inhibition percentage of the extracts was calculated in the range of 8% - 69%. According to the results, the highest inhibitory effect was found for the effect of water extract on *Alternaria* (percentage of inhibitions = 69%), and the lowest was the effect of water extract on *Fusarium* (percentage of inhibitions = 8%).

Table 1. Antibacterial Activit	v of the Extracts of Red Beet Leaves	Against Tested Bacterial Speci	es by Disc Diffusion Method (5 mg/disc)

Bacteria		Treatment				
	Methanolic Extract	Water Extract	Essential Oils	Negative Control	Positive Control	
Escherichia coli	+	-	-	-	+	
B. cereus	+	-	+		+	
P. aeruginosa	-		-		+	
S. enteritidis	+	-	+		+	
St. aureus	+	-	+	-	+	

Abbreviations: negative control: dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO); positive control: ampicillin and chloramphenicol; +, observation of inhibition zone; -, no inhibition zone.

able 2. Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations of the Methanolic Extract and Essential Oils of Red Beet Leaves $(\mu g/mL)^{a, b}$					
Treatment	Escherichia coli	B. cereus	s. enteritidis	St. aureus	
Methanolic extract	500 ^A	250 ^{B, C}	> 500 ^A	100 ^C	
Essential oils	N ^D	50 ^C	250 ^{B, C}	100 ^C	
Antibiotics (positive control) ^c	5 ^D	10 ^D	2 ^D	5 ^D	

Abbreviation: ND, not determined. ^aEach data is the average of three replications.

^bDifferent letters indicate significant differences (P< 0.05).

^cAmpicillin and chloramphenicol were used for Gram-negative and Gram-positive bacteria, respectively.



Figure 1. Antifungal activity of different extracts of red beet leaves by the contact phase method (each column is the average of three data).

5. Discussion

The obtained results demonstrated that the aqueous extract of red beet leaves had no significant antimicrobial effect against none of the test bacteria. However, the methanolic extract and essential oils included some compounds with antibacterial activity. These results are in accordance with previous studies reporting methanol as a better solvent for the extraction of antimicrobial substances from plants compared with other solvents, such as water, ethanol, or hexane (5, 11). A research team has recently used various solvents, such as water, methanol, ethyl acetate, and petroleum ether, for the extraction of antimicrobial compounds from remedial plants of India. They observed that methanolic extracts of almost all plants showed higher inhibitory activity against microbial indicators than aqueous extracts. However, they finally introduced ethyl acetate as the best solvent for the extraction of antimicrobials (12). Our findings are in agreement with results reported by Casagrande et al. (13), who reported the aqueous extract of *Baccharis dracunculifolia* with no antimicrobial activity against *B. cereus, E. coli, P. aeruginosa*, and *S. enteritidis* with slight activity against *St. aureus*.

Measuring MIC of the methanolic extract and essential oils of red beet leaves showed that Gram-negative bacteria, including P. aeruginosa, E. coli, and S. enteritidis were more resistant than Gram-positive bacteria, such as St. aureus and B. cereus. Such susceptibility differences among microorganisms against antimicrobial substances may be explained by considering their cell wall composition and/or inherited genes on plasmids (5). For instance, infections caused by P. aeruginosa are among the most difficult to deal with using conventional antibiotics (14), and it was observed in this study that P. aeruginosa was resistant to all tested extracts. Generally, Gram-positive bacteria are more susceptible to plant antibiotic substances in comparison with Gram-negative bacteria, which has confirmed by several studies. For example, investigations on antimicrobial properties of volatile oils of black pepper, clove, nutmeg, oregano, geranium, and thyme (15), essential oils of cinnamon, clove, thyme, and 19 other plants (16), essential oils of Corydothymus capitatus, Cinnamomum cassia, Origanum heracleoticum, Satureja montana, and Cinnamomum verum (2). Sgariglia et al. (17) demonstrated that all tested strains of B. cereus and St. aureus, as Gram-positive indicators, were susceptible to antibacterial components isolated from Caesalpinia paraguariensis bark. However, several Gram-negative indicators were resistant to similar plant antimicrobials.

It is worth mentioning that penicillin and some other antibiotic agents with fungal sources also represent their inhibitory action selectively, and most of them are active against Gram-positive bacteria (14). It can be explained by the fact that Gram-negative bacteria display a central resistance to a wide variety of antimicrobial agents, which is associated with the hydrophobic surface of their outer membrane that forms a barrier against toxic agents and is rich in lipopolysaccharide molecules. Small hydrophilic molecules can freely pass through the outer membrane because of the porin proteins (1, 18); However, access of many compounds to the peptidoglycan layer of the cell wall is hindered by outer lipopolysaccharide layer of Gramnegative bacteria (14). The same mechanism was also reported for bacterial resistance of Gram-negative strains to bacteriocins as the outer membrane covers the receptor of these species-specific antibacterial peptides and inhibits their bactericidal activity (19).

The mechanism of action of essential oils against Gram-positive bacteria and fungi seems to be similar. The oil components destroy the bacterial and fungal cell walls and membrane, which leads to a leakage of cytoplasm and its coagulation (1, 20). Essential oils also inhibit the synthesis of DNA, RNA, proteins, and polysaccharides in fungal and bacterial cells (21). In many cases, the antifungal activity of essential oils is caused by an interaction between these oils and some compounds, such as phenols, ethers, aldehydes, ketones, alcohols, and hydrocarbons. Also, it seems that the inhibition effect of essential oils against fungi is strongly influenced by its main ingredients, as some of these have a strong antifungal effect individually (22). Wang et al. (23) evaluated the antifungal activity of 11 plant essential oils against several toxigenic molds, including A. flavus, A. carbonarius, and P. viridicatum. They reported essential oils obtained from four plants, including Cinnamomum cassia, Litsea cubeba, Cymbopogon martini, and Thymus mongolicus with stronger activity against indicators (23). Essential oils isolated from peppermint also showed significant antifungal activity against Alt. alternaria, F. tabacinum, Penicillum spp., and A. fumigates. These antifungal activities can be due to chemicals containing heteroatoms i.e., oxygen (24).

Our results also demonstrated that EDTA had a considerable antifungal activity that can inhibit the growth of molds significantly and that is why it is considered as a positive control for antifungal activity tests. Gil et al. (25) attributed the antifungal activity of EDTA to high-molecularweight mannoproteins (HMWM), with mycelium walls that are blocked in EDTA-treated cells. Other studies also revealed the antifungal effect of EDTA as a chelating agent, which significantly decreased the metabolic activity of *Candida albicans* and destabilized its biofilm leading to inhibiting fungal proliferation (26)

5.1. Conclusions

In this study, the antibacterial and antifungal properties of red beet leaves were studied. Experiments were carried out on methanolic extract, water extract, and essential oils of the leaves. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study in which the methanolic extract and essential oils of red beet leaves were reported to contain some antimicrobial agents. The methanolic extract had an inhibitory effect on the growth of four bacterial species, including *E. coli, B. cereus, S. enteritidis*, and *St. aureus*. Moreover, essential oils showed an antibacterial effect against three bacterial species of *B. cereus, S. enteritidis*, and *St.* *aureus*. The water extract had no antimicrobial activity against tested bacteria. Therefore, it is suggested that methanol is a better solvent for extracting antimicrobial materials compared with water. However, essential oils and the methanolic extract of red beet leaves selectively exerted a great inhibitory activity against Gram-positive microorganisms regarding their amount of MICs in comparison with Gram-negative bacteria.

In addition, studying antifungal properties revealed that the water extract, methanolic extract, and essential oils had an approximately 50% antifungal effect depended on the type of extracts or fungi genus.

It can be concluded that by adding the extracts of red beet leaves to foodstuffs, it can retard microbial contamination, and consequently reduce the onset of spoilage and pathogenicity.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Shokouh Ahmadi designed and conducted the experiments and wrote the manuscript. Sabihe Soleimanian-Zad supervised the project and checked and analyzed the results. Davood Zaeim discussed the outcomes and prepared the scientific manuscript.

Conflict of Interests: None declared.

Funding/Support: This study was financially supported by Research Institute for Biotechnology and Bioengineering, Isfahan University of Technology, Isfahan, Iran.

References

- Kalemba D, Kunicka A. Antibacterial and antifungal properties of essential oils. *Current medicinal chemistry*. 2003;**10**(10):813–29. doi: 10.2174/0929867033457719. [PubMed: 12678685].
- Oussalah M, Caillet S, Saucier L, Lacroix M. Inhibitory effects of selected plant essential oils on the growth of four pathogenic bacteria: E. coli O157: H7, Salmonella typhimurium, Staphylococcus aureus and Listeria monocytogenes. *Food control*. 2007;18(5):414–20. doi: 10.2174/0929867033457719.
- 3. Hussain Z, Mohammad P, Sadozai SK, Khan KM, Nawaz Y, Parveen S. Extraction of anti-pneumonia fractions from the leaves of sugar beets Beta vulgaris. *Journal of Pharmacy Research*. 2011;**4**(12):4783–5.
- Lin J, Opoku AR, Geheeb-Keller M, Hutchings AD, Terblanche SE, K. Jäger A, et al. Preliminary screening of some traditional zulu medicinal plants for anti-inflammatory and anti-microbial activities. *Journal of Ethnopharmacology*. 1999;68(1):267-74. doi: 10.1016/S0378-8741(99)00130-0.
- Karaman I, Şahin F, Güllüce M, Öğütçü H, Şengül M, Adıgüzel A. Antimicrobial activity of aqueous and methanol extracts of Juniperus oxycedrus L. Journal of ethnopharmacology. 2003;85(2):231–5. doi: 10.1016/S0378-8741(03)00006-0.
- Khalil N, Ashour M, Fikry S, Singab AN, Salama O. Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of the essential oils of selected Apiaceous fruits. *Future Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*. 2018;4(1):88–92. doi: 10.1016/jj.fjps.2017.10.004.

- Canadanovic-Brunet JM, Savatovic SS, Cetkovic GS, Viilic JJ, Djilas SM, Markov SAL, et al. Antioxidant and antimicrobial activities of beet root pomace extracts. *Czech Journal of Food Sciences*. 2011;29(6):575–85. doi: 10.17221/210/2010-CJFS.
- Hsieh P, Mau J, Huang S. Antimicrobial effect of various combinations of plant extracts. *Food Microbiology*. 2001;18(1):35–43. doi: 10.1006/fmic.2000.0376.
- Lee YL, Cesario T, Wang Y, Shanbrom E, Thrupp L. Antibacterial activity of vegetables and juices. *Nutrition*. 2003;**19**(11-12):994–6. doi: 10.1016/j.nut.2003.08.003. [PubMed: 14624951].
- Manssouri M, Znini M, Harrak A, Majidi L. Antifungal activity of essential oil from the fruits of Ammodaucus leucotrichus Coss & Dur, in liquid and vapour phase against postharvest phytopathogenic fungi in apples. *Journal of Applied Pharmaceutical Science*. 2016;6(5):131–6. doi: 10.7324/JAPS.2016.60520.
- 11. Eloff JN. Which extractant should be used for the screening and isolation of antimicrobial components from plants? *Journal of ethnopharmacology*. 1998;**60**(1):1–8. doi: 10.1016/S0378-8741(97)00123-2.
- Arulmozhi P, Vijayakumar S, Kumar T. Phytochemical analysis and antimicrobial activity of some medicinal plants against selected pathogenic microorganisms. *Microbial Pathogenesis*. 2018;**123**:219–26. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2018.07.009. [PubMed: 30009969].
- Casagrande M, Zanela J, Wagner A, Busso C, Wouk J, Iurckevicz G, et al. Influence of time, temperature and solvent on the extraction of bioactive compounds of Baccharis dracunculifolia: In vitro antioxidant activity, antimicrobial potential, and phenolic compound quantification. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 2018;125:207-19. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.08.088.
- Kumar VP, Chauhan NS, Padh H, Rajani M. Search for antibacterial and antifungal agents from selected Indian medicinal plants. *Journal of ethnopharmacology*. 2006;**107**(2):182–8. doi: 10.1016/j.jep.2006.03.013. [PubMed: 16678369].
- Dorman HJD, Deans SG. Antimicrobial agents from plants: antibacterial activity of plant volatile oils. *Journal of applied microbiology*. 2000;88(2):308-16. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2672.2000.00969.x. [PubMed: 10736000].
- Smith-Palmer A, Stewart J, Fyfe L. Antimicrobial properties of plant essential oils and essences against five important food-borne pathogens. *Letters in applied microbiology*. 1998;26(2):118–22. doi: 10.1046/j.1472-765X.1998.00303.x. [PubMed: 9569693].
- Sgariglia MA, Soberón JR, Sampietro DA, Quiroga EN, Vattuone MA. Isolation of antibacterial components from infusion of Caesalpinia paraguariensis bark. A bio-guided phytochemical study. *Food Chemistry*. 2011;**126**(2):395–404. doi: 10.1016/j.foodchem.2010.10.104.
- Nikaido H. Prevention of drug access to bacterial targets: permeability barriers and active efflux. *Science*. 1994;**264**(5157):382–8. doi: 10.1126/science.8153625. [PubMed: 8153625].
- Zaeim D, Soleimanian-Zad S, Sheikh-Zeinoddin M. Identification and partial characterization of a bacteriocin-like inhibitory substance (BLIS) from Lb. Bulgaricus K41 isolated from indigenous yogurts. *Journal of Food Science*. 2014;**79**(1):67–73. doi: 10.1111/1750-3841.12314. [PubMed: 24279356].
- de Billerbeck VG, Roques CG, Bessière J, Fonvieille J, Dargent R. Effects of Cymbopogon nardus (L.) W. Watson essential oil on the growth and morphogenesis of Aspergillus niger. *Canadian journal of microbiology*. 2001;**47**(1):9–17. doi: 10.1139/w00-117. [PubMed: 15049444].
- 21. Himejima M, Kubo I. Fungicidal activity of polygodial in combination with anethole and indole against Candida albicans. *Journal of agricultural and food chemistry*. 1993;**41**(10):1776–9. doi: 10.1021/jf00034a048.
- Tzortzakis NG. Ethanol, vinegar and Origanum vulgare oil vapour suppress the development of anthracnose rot in tomato fruit. *International journal of food microbiology*. 2010;**142**(1):14–8. doi: 10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2010.05.005. [PubMed: 20576303].

- Wang H, Yang Z, Ying G, Yang M, Nian Y, Wei F, et al. Antifungal evaluation of plant essential oils and their major components against toxigenic fungi. *Industrial Crops and Products*. 2018;**120**:180–6. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2018.04.053.
- 24. Desam NR, Al-Rajab AJ, Sharma M, Mylabathula MM, Gowkanapalli RR, Albratty M. Chemical constituents, in vitro antibacterial and antifungal activity of Mentha × Piperita L. (peppermint) essential oils. *Journal* of King Saud University - Science. 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.jksus.2017.07.013.
- Gil ML, Casanova M, Martínez JP. Changes in the cell wall glycoprotein composition of Candida albicans associated to the inhibition of germ tube formation by EDTA. *Archives of microbiology*. 1994;**161**(6):489–94. doi: 10.1007/BF00307769. [PubMed: 8048840].
- Casalinuovo IA, Sorge R, Bonelli G, Di Francesco P. Evaluation of the antifungal effect of EDTA, a metal chelator agent, on Candida albicans biofilm. *European review for medical and pharmacological sciences*. 2017;**21**(6):1413–20. [PubMed: 28387883].