OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine
Article DOI: 10.5812/aapm.105754
Published in: Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine: 10(5); e105754

Peer Review Report for "The Role of Opioids in Pain Management in Elderly Patients with Chronic Kidney Disease: A Review Article"

Author(s): Sanam Dolati , Faezeh Tarighat , Fariba Pashazadeh , Kavous Shahsavarinia, Saina Gholipouri, Hassan Soleimanpour

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 26 May 2020
Revised Date: 19 Jul 2020
Accepted Date: 20 Aug 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

Reza Salehi: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 17 Jun 2020

Dear AE,
The title is relatively old and it's better to provide more relevant evidence.
Thanks

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 26 Jun 2020

Dear AE,
This article was not a new topic, however, the structure of the article was appropriate and the contents were expressed correctly. In view of the above, the present article is acceptable to me.
With best wishes

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 17 Jul 2020

Dear EIC,
This review article contains important points for readers. Comment to author for considering below references:

1) Malik K M, Imani F, Beckerly R , Chovatiya R. Risk of Opioid Use Disorder from Exposure to Opioids in the Perioperative Period: A Systematic Review, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(1):e101339
2) Imani F, Varrassi G. Ketamine as Adjuvant for Acute Pain Management, Anesth Pain Med. 2019;9(6):e100178.
3) Khan TW, Imani F. The Management of Chronic Pain; Caught Between a Rock and a Hard Place: The Case for a Renewed Focus on Provider, Patient, and Payer Education. Anesth Pain Med. 2017;7(1):e40951.
4) Soleimanpour M, Imani F, Safari S, Sanaie S, Soleimanpour H, Ameli H, et al. The Role of Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs) in the Treatment of Patients With Hepatic Disease: A Review Article. Anesth Pain Med. 2016;6(4):e37822.
5) Patel VB, Wasserman R, Imani F. Interventional Therapies for Chronic Low Back Pain: A Focused Review (Efficacy and Outcomes). Anesth Pain Med. 2015;5(4): e29716.
6) Hemati K, Zaman B, Hassani V, Imani F, Dariaie P. Efficacy of Fentanyl Transdermal Patch in the Treatment of Chronic Soft Tissue Cancer Pain. Anesth Pain Med. 2015;5(1): e22900.
7) Imani F. Using Pulsed Radiofrequency for Chronic Pain. Anesth Pain Med. 2012;1(3):155-6.
Regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 17 Jul 2020

Dear Author,
Your article is reviewed by the reviewers carefully. According to their comments, this document needs minor revision. Please follow their comments as requested.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Response to reviewers

Dear Respected Reviewers, AE and EIC

Thank you for valuable comments of reviewers which help improve our manuscript quality. We tried to response to reviewers question each by each and highlighted the edited parts in the text.

Reviewer 1:

Dear Editor

This article was not a new topic, however, the structure of the article was appropriate and the contents were expressed correctly. In view of the above, the present article is acceptable to me. With best wishes

Reply- Thank you so much for your nice comment it's mean a lot to me.

Reviewer 2:
The title is relatively old and it's better to provide more relevant evidence .

Reply- Thank you. We appreciated your comment. We added new relevant references in the text.

Associate Editor 1:
Dear Editor in Chief
This review article contains important points for readers. Comment to author for considering below references:
1) Malik K M, Imani F, Beckerly R , Chovatiya R. Risk of Opioid Use Disorder from Exposure to Opioids in the Perioperative Period: A Systematic Review, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(1):e101339
2) Imani F, Varrassi G. Ketamine as Adjuvant for Acute Pain Management, Anesth Pain Med. 2019;9(6):e100178.
3) Khan TW, Imani F. The Management of Chronic Pain; Caught Between a Rock and a Hard Place: The Case for a Renewed Focus on Provider, Patient, and Payer Education. Anesth Pain Med. 2017;7(1):e40951.
4) Soleimanpour M, Imani F, Safari S, Sanaie S, Soleimanpour H, Ameli H, et al. The Role of Non-Steroidal Anti-Inflammatory Drugs (NSAIDs) in the Treatment of Patients With Hepatic Disease: A Review Article. Anesth Pain Med. 2016;6(4):e37822.
5) Patel VB, Wasserman R, Imani F. Interventional Therapies for Chronic Low Back Pain: A Focused Review (Efficacy and Outcomes). Anesth Pain Med. 2015;5(4): e29716.
6) Hemati K, Zaman B, Hassani V, Imani F, Dariaie P. Efficacy of Fentanyl Transdermal Patch in the Treatment of Chronic Soft Tissue Cancer Pain. Anesth Pain Med. 2015;5(1): e22900.
7) Imani F. Using Pulsed Radiofrequency for Chronic Pain. Anesth Pain Med. 2012;1(3):155-6.

Reply- Thank you so much for your nice comments. All suggested references is inserted in the body of article and so in the references section

Hassan Soleimanpour

Professor of Anesthesiology and Critical Care, Emergency Medicine Department, TUOMS

Fellowship in EBM, Joanna Briggs Institute, University of Adelaide, AUSTRALIA (In Training)

Fellowship in Trauma Critical Care and CPR, Vienna, Austria

Subspecialty in Intensive Care Medicine (ICM), TUOMS

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 20 Aug 2020

Dear AE,
The revised manuscript titled "Opioids role in management of pain in elderly patients with chronic kidney disease" was corrected completely.
Regards

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 20 Aug 2020

Dear EIC,
Based on reviewers' comments this revised manuscript is acceptable for publishing at Anesth Pain Med.
Sincerely

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 20 Aug 2020

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.