OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine
Article DOI: 10.5812/aapm.111641
Published in: Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine: 11(1); e111641

Peer Review Report for "Impact of COVID-19 on Advanced Cancer Patients' Pain Care: Warning About Chloroquine and Hydroxychloroquine"

Author(s): Reza Aminnejad, Seyed Masoud Hashemi, Saeid Safari, Payman Dadkhah, Ehsan Bastanhagh

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 26 Nov 2020
Revised Date: 24 Jan 2021
Accepted Date: 1 Feb 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | Revision (0) — 11 Dec 2020

Dear AE,
Thanks for the interesting letter
- References should be revised based on the Vancouver method
- For articles with 1 to 6 authors, list all authors. For articles with more than 6 authors, list the first 6 authors then add 'et al.'
Thanks,

REFEREE: Reviewer | Revision (0) — 17 Dec 2020

Dear Editor in Chief
Please consider and add below six references (can be done by replacing some of previous references):
Pergolizzi Jr. JV, Magnusson P, LeQuang JA, Breve F, Paladini A, et al. The Current Clinically Relevant Findings on COVID-19 Pandemic, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(2):e103819.
Ali H, Ismail AA, Abdalwahab A . Mental Stress in Anesthesia and Intensive Care Physicians During COVID-19 Outbreak, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(5):e106623.

Hassani V, Amniati S , Ahmadi A, Mohseni M, Sehat-Kashani S, et al. Emergency Tracheostomy in Two Airway Trauma Patients Suspected of COVID-19: A Case Report, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(4):e104648.
Mahmoodpoor A, Shadvar K, Ghamari AA, Mohammadzadeh Lameh M, Asghari Ardebili R, et al. Management of Critically Ill Patients with COVID-19: What We Learned and What We Do, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(3):e104900.
Rahimzadeh P, Faiz HR, Farahmandrad R, Hassanlouei B, Habibi A, et al. Clinical Features and Prognosis of Invasive Ventilation in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: A Retrospective Study, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(6):e108773.
Rahimzadeh P, Amniati S , Farahmandrad R , Faiz S H R , Hedayati Emami S , et al. Clinical Characteristics of Critically Ill Patients Infected with COVID-19 in Rasoul Akram Hospital in Iran: A Single Center Study, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(5):e107211.
Sincerely

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision (**0**)** 3 Jan 2021

Dear EIC
Based on reviewers'comments this letter need some correction at references before publishing
Regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision (**0**)** 3 Jan 2021

Dear Author,
The reviewers' comments are mentioned. Please correct the details as mentioned above carefully according to reviewers' request.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear EIC

Thank you for valuable recommendation and considerations, we've tried to use them and correct our manuscript according to your comments.

Sincerely

Ehsan Bastanhagh

Reviewer 1:

For articles with 1 to 6 authors, list all authors. For articles with more than 6 authors, list the first 6 authors then add 'et al.' Done

Reviewer 2:
Dear Editor in Chief

Please consider and add below six references (can be done by replacing some of previous references):

Pergolizzi Jr. JV, Magnusson P, LeQuang JA, Breve F, Paladini A, et al. The Current Clinically Relevant Findings on COVID-19 Pandemic, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(2):e103819. **We used this article**

Ali H, Ismail AA, Abdalwahab A . Mental Stress in Anesthesia and Intensive Care Physicians During COVID-19 Outbreak, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(5):e106623.

Hassani V, Amniati S , Ahmadi A, Mohseni M, Sehat-Kashani S, et al. Emergency Tracheostomy in Two Airway Trauma Patients Suspected of COVID-19: A Case Report, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(4):e104648.

Mahmoodpoor A, Shadvar K, Ghamari AA, Mohammadzadeh Lameh M, Asghari Ardebili R, et al. Management of Critically Ill Patients with COVID-19: What We Learned and What We Do, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(3):e104900.

Rahimzadeh P, Faiz HR, Farahmandrad R, Hassanlouei B, Habibi A, et al. Clinical Features and Prognosis of Invasive Ventilation in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: A Retrospective Study, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(6):e108773.

Rahimzadeh P, Amniati S , Farahmandrad R , Faiz S H R , Hedayati Emami S , et al. Clinical Characteristics of Critically Ill Patients Infected with COVID-19 in Rasoul Akram Hospital in Iran: A Single Center Study, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(5):e107211.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 16 Jan 2021

Dear AE
The authors may consider all below items:
- Write more text for increasing the quality of the article
- Add all suggested references (can be done by replacing some of the previous references).
Sincerely

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 16 Jan 2021

Dear EIC
The revised manuscript ID#111641 need some corrections before publishing.
Final decision: Minor revision.
Regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 16 Jan 2021

Dear Author,
Your article is reviewed by the reviewers carefully. According to their comments, this document needs minor revision. Please follow their comments as requested.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear EIC

Thank you for valuable recommendation and considerations, we've tried to use them and correct our manuscript according to your comments.

Sincerely

Ehsan Bastanhagh

Reviewer 1:

For articles with 1 to 6 authors, list all authors. For articles with more than 6 authors, list the first 6 authors then add 'et al.' **Done**

Reviewer 2:
Dear Editor in Chief

Please consider and add below six references (can be done by replacing some of previous references):
Done, We used all of these articles

Pergolizzi Jr. JV, Magnusson P, LeQuang JA, Breve F, Paladini A, et al. The Current Clinically Relevant Findings on COVID-19 Pandemic, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(2):e103819.

Ali H, Ismail AA, Abdalwahab A . Mental Stress in Anesthesia and Intensive Care Physicians During COVID-19 Outbreak, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(5):e106623.

Hassani V, Amniati S , Ahmadi A, Mohseni M, Sehat-Kashani S, et al. Emergency Tracheostomy in Two Airway Trauma Patients Suspected of COVID-19: A Case Report, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(4):e104648.

Mahmoodpoor A, Shadvar K, Ghamari AA, Mohammadzadeh Lameh M, Asghari Ardebili R, et al. Management of Critically Ill Patients with COVID-19: What We Learned and What We Do, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(3):e104900.

Rahimzadeh P, Faiz HR, Farahmandrad R, Hassanlouei B, Habibi A, et al. Clinical Features and Prognosis of Invasive Ventilation in Hospitalized Patients with COVID-19: A Retrospective Study, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(6):e108773.

Rahimzadeh P, Amniati S , Farahmandrad R , Faiz S H R , Hedayati Emami S , et al. Clinical Characteristics of Critically Ill Patients Infected with COVID-19 in Rasoul Akram Hospital in Iran: A Single Center Study, Anesth Pain Med. 2020;10(5):e107211.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (2)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (2) 1 Feb 2021

Dear AE,
Author has corrected the revised format, and it is acceptable.
Sincerely

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (2) 1 Feb 2021

Dear EIC,
I read with interest the manuscript ID#111641 was reviewed. Now it can be considered for publishing at Anesth Pain med.
Final decision: Acceptance
Regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (2) 1 Feb 2021

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.