OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine
Article DOI: 10.5812/aapm.117146
Published in: Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine: 11(3); e117146

Peer Review Report for "Adjuvant Drugs for Peripheral Nerve Blocks: The Role of NMDA Antagonists, Neostigmine, Epinephrine, and Sodium Bicarbonate"

Author(s): Amber N. Edinoff, Joseph S. Fitz-Gerald, Krisha Andrea A. Holland, Johnnie G. Reed, Sarah E. Murnane, Sarah G. Minter, Aaron J. Kaye, Elyse M. Cornett, Farnad Imani, Seyed-Hossein Khademi, Adam M. Kaye, Richard D. Urman, Alan D. Kaye

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 16 Jun 2021
Revised Date: 21 Jun 2021
Accepted Date: 22 Jun 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 20 Jun 2021

Dear AE
I read with interest this article, and recommend to add some new more references.
Sincerely

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 20 Jun 2021

Dear AE,
The submitted manuscript as titled "Adjuvant Drugs for Peripheral Nerve Blocks: The Role of NMDA Antagonists, Neostigmine, Epinephrine, and Bicarbonate" was reviewed, and I suggest to author for adding some new references.
Good Luck

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 20 Jun 2021

Dear EIC,
Based on reviewers' comments this submitted article need some minor corrections before publishing.
Regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0)

20 Jun 2021

Dear Author,
Your article is reviewed by the reviewers carefully. According to their comments, this document needs minor revision. Please follow their comments as requested.
Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Reply to Reviewers

June 20, 2021

Manuscript Title: Adjuvant Drugs for Peripheral Nerve Blocks: The Role of NMDA Antagonists, Neostigmine, Epinephrine, and Sodium Bicarbonate
ID# 117146

To: Editor-in-Chief of Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine,
Dear Dr. Farnad Imani,

Reviewers' Comments:
Reviewer 1:
Dear AE
I read with interest this article, and recommend to add some new more references.
Sincerely
Answer: First of all, thank you for your nice comments. The two new references were added and highlighted

Reviewer 2:
Dear Editorial
The submitted manuscript as titled "Adjuvant Drugs for Peripheral Nerve Blocks: The Role of NMDA Antagonists, Neostigmine, Epinephrine, and Bicarbonate" was reviewed, and I suggest to author for adding some new references.
Good Luck
Answer: Thank you for your kind comments. The two new references were added and highlighted

Associate Editor's Comments:
Dear Editor in Chief
Based on reviewers' comments this submitted article need some minor corrections before publishing.
Regards
AE
Answer: Appreciate for your comments. The requested items by reviewers were added

Sincerely,

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) — 22 Jun 2021

Dear AE,
The revised format ID#117146 was reviewed, and now it is acceptable,
Sincerely

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) — 22 Jun 2021

Dear EIC,
Based on reviewers' comments this revised article titled "Adjuvant Drugs for Peripheral Nerve Blocks ..." can be considered for publishing.
Regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) — 22 Jun 2021

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.