OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine
Article DOI: 10.5812/aapm.117197
Published in: Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine: 11(3); e117197

Peer Review Report for "Adjuvant Drugs for Peripheral Nerve Blocks: The Role of Alpha-2 Agonists, Dexamethasone, Midazolam, and Non-steroidal Anti-inflammatory Drugs"

Author(s): Amber N. Edinoff, Garrett M. Houk, Shilpa Patil, Harish Bangalore Siddaiah, Aaron J. Kaye, Priya Shelvan Iyengar, Elyse M. Cornett, Farnad Imani, Kamran Mahmoudi, Adam M. Kaye, Richard D. Urman, Alan D. Kaye

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 18 Jun 2021

Accepted Date: 22 Jun 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) 20 Jun 2021

Dear AE,
I read and review with pride this review article (ID#117197). The title of this article was well selected, and the main text was well written. In addition, it contains scientific valuable points and can be a good guide for anyone who is interested in this field. It does not have any grammar problems and contains good discussions and references.
Sincerely

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) 20 Jun 2021

Dear AE,
The submitted manuscript as titled "Adjuvant Drugs for Peripheral Nerve Blocks: The Role of Alpha-2 Agonists, Dexamethasone, Midazolam, and NSAIDs" was reviewed.
My final review is as below:
- Title: Appropriate.
- Abstract and introduction: Good.
- Method and material: Good.

- Discussion: Well designed.
- References: New and logical.

My decision is acceptable article with no more corrections.

Regards

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0)

20 Jun 2021

Dear EIC,

I was pleased to have the opportunity to review this manuscript. The topic and design are interesting and the writing style is acceptable. Furthermore, following the reviewers' comments, this submitted article can be considered for publishing. In the final summary, we agree for publishing this review article.

Final decision: Acceptance

Regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0)

22 Jun 2021

Dear Author,

The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.

Thanks.