OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine
Article DOI: 10.5812/aapm.118271
Published in: Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine: 11(4); e118271

Peer Review Report for "Practical Considerations for Dexmedetomidine Sedation in Adult Cataract Surgery Under Local/Regional Anesthesia: A Narrative Review"

Author(s): Chandra M. Kumar, Alfred W. Y. Chua, Farnad Imani, Saloome Sehat-Kashani

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 4 Aug 2021
Accepted Date: 26 Aug 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | Revision (0) — 25 Aug 2021

Dear AE,
Thank you for giving me the opportunity to review this submitted article titled "Practical Considerations for Dexmedetomidine Sedation in Adult Cataract Surgery under Local/Regional Anesthesia".
I read this article with great enthusiasm. In general, and as a critical reviewer, it is a well-written article, and the author has pointed out important issues well. I recommend this article to readers.
Sincerely yours

REFEREE: Reviewer | Revision (0) — 26 Aug 2021

Dear AE,
The article was reviewed and totally seems to be with minor mistakes which are ignorable and acceptable such as:
1- Keywords should be written in alphabetic sequences.
2- The references should be written according to the journal's guidelines. Some of the given

references were not in a correct style (the pages were not written correctly).
Other than above mentioned cases, I believe this is an appropriate review article, with valuable matter for all anesthesiologists in this field.
Thank you

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 26 Aug 2021

Dear EIC,
The opinions of the two reviewers are given.
Based on their comments, this article can be published as an acceptable review article.
Final decision: Acceptance
Regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 26 Aug 2021

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.