OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine
Article DOI: 10.5812/aapm.119156
Published in: Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine: 11(4); e119156

Peer Review Report for "Full Opioid Agonists and Tramadol: Pharmacological and Clinical Considerations"

Author(s): Amber N. Edinoff, Leah A. Kaplan, Sami Khan, Murray Petersen, Emily Sauce, Christopher D. Causey, Elyse M. Cornett, Farnad Imani, Omid Moradi Moghadam, Adam M. Kaye, Alan D. Kaye

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 29 Aug 2021
Accepted Date: 3 Sep 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 3 Sep 2021

Dear AE,
The article ID#119156 was reviewed, and it is well written. The high similarity index was not observed. The author has provided good explanations about full opioid agonists and tramadol. The manuscript is approved for publication.
Your sincerely

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 3 Sep 2021

Dear AE,
- The material presented in this article has a high scientific value, and the authors have been able to raise good issues.
- In this article, new references are well and logically addressed.
- The results of different published papers are well compared with each other.
- This submitted manuscript has provided a new perspective on opioid drugs.
With great respect

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) 3 Sep 2021

Dear EIC,

The reviewers had positive comments about this article. Only the following two points are reminded to be considered in the final stages of publication:

1) A brief title should be written for Table 1.

2) Please mention the table in the text.

Regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) 3 Sep 2021

Dear Author,

The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.

Thanks.