OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:

Peer Review

Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine
Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.5812/aapm-126416
Published in: Anesthesiology and Pain Medicine: 12(2) ; e126416

Peer Review Report for "Burst Spinal Cord Stimulation in the Management of Chronic Pain: Current Perspectives"

Author(s): Amber N. Edinoff, Sarah Kaufman, E. Saunders Alpaugh, Jesse Lawson, Tucker L. Apgar, Farnad Imani, Seyed-Hossein Khademi, Elyse M. Cornett, Alan D. Kaye

Review Timeline:

Submit Date:	3 Apr 2022
Accepted Date:	22 Apr 2022

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE : EIC

22 Apr 2022

Accept