Annals of Military and Health Sciences Research
Volume 19 · Issue 3 · e109130
OPEN PEER REVIEW

Comparison of Behavioral Cerebral Systems in Tattooed and Non-tattooed Substance-Dependent Individuals

Sedighe Moallemi, Behnaz Sahahbakhsh, Nour-Mohammad Bakhshani, Zohreh Salaridargi

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
5 Sep 2020	21 Jun 2021	16 Jul 2021	10.5812/amh.109130

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1, Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5
3 **Revision 2** Editor-in-Chief 6
Authors' reply to reviewers 7
Reviewer and editor comments 8

1 Original submission

3 comments · 17 Nov 2020 – 7 Dec 2020

Referee 1 Reviewer

MAJOR REVISION 17 Nov 2020

Reduce the number of keywords.
The article has many grammatical and typographical errors. It must be edited.
The conclusion must be based on the results of this study.
The in-text citations and reference list must follow the journal's guidelines.
Some issues have been noted in the text of the article. They must be addressed.

Associate Editor

MAJOR REVISION 7 Dec 2020

This article requires major revision to be suitable for publication.

Editor-in-Chief

MAJOR REVISION 7 Dec 2020

Please mention Table 1 in the text.
The references in the text are not in the journal's required Vancouver style (numbers in parentheses).

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Dear Editor in chief,

1 Ethical Approval

Ethical Considerations

Before the study, Explaining the main objectives of carrying out this study to the participants, obtaining the participants' informed consent to take part in the study, giving them the freedom of choice to withdraw from the study, and ensuring them of the confidentiality of data.

In addition, we did not any intervention in our study and it did not need to have ethical code.

2 Informed Consent Invalid

Insert

3 Funding/Support Invalid

There was not any funding/support.

2 Revision 1

1 comment · 20 Apr 2021

Editor-in-Chief

MAJOR REVISION 20 Apr 2021

Download the attached file and edit the manuscript in it.
Ethical Approval: Not OK
The authors should describe the ethical approval considerations on the journal website: Read more here.
* Please write meaningful and complete sentences and do not use ambiguous phrases such as "No" or "None Declared".
Informed Consent: Not OK
Authors should obtain written informed consent signed by the study participants or patients and should upload a copy of the informed consent form to the journal website. Some samples of informed consent can be found here.
Informed consent and other ethical considerations should be mentioned on the journal website or in the text of the manuscript: Read more here.
* Please write meaningful and complete sentences and do not use ambiguous phrases such as "No" or "None Declared".
Funding/Support: Not OK
The authors should state their funding/support on the journal website: Read more here.
* Please write meaningful and complete sentences and do not use ambiguous phrases such as "No" or "None Declared".

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Dear Editor in chief,

1 Ethical Approval

Ethical Considerations

Before the study, explaining the main objectives of carrying out this study to the participants, obtaining the participants' informed consent to take part in the study, giving them the freedom of choice to withdraw from the study, and ensuring them of the confidentiality of data.

The approval of the Ethics Committee of Zahedan University of Medical Sciences (IR.ZAUMS.REC.1400.045) was obtained .

2 Informed Consent

Has been inserted.

3 Funding/Support

The authors would declare that have not received any fund from organization and universities.

3 Revision 2

1 comment · 16 Jul 2021

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 16 Jul 2021

accept