Annals of Military and Health Sciences Research
Volume 19 · Issue 4 · e119127

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Medium-Term Clinical Outcome of the Arthroscopic Repair of Isolated Subscapularis Tendon Tear

Hossein Saremi, Shervin Bashiri, Abas Moradi

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
28 Aug 2021	16 Jan 2022	18 Jan 2022	10.5812/amh.119127

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Associate Editor, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5
3 **Revision 2** Editor-in-Chief 6
Authors' reply to reviewers 7
Reviewer and editor comments 8

1 Original submission

2 comments · 3 Dec 2021 – 3 Dec 2021

Associate Editor

MINOR REVISION 3 Dec 2021

minor revision

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 3 Dec 2021

Funding/Support: Not OK
The authors should state their Funding/Support on the journal website: Read more here.
* Please write meaningful and complete sentences and do not use ambiguous phrases such as "No" or "None Declared".
Informed Consent: Not OK
Authors should obtain written Informed Consent signed by the study participants or patients and should upload a copy of the Informed Consent form on the journal website. Some samples of Informed Consent can be found here.
Informed consent and other ethical considerations should be mentioned on the journal website or in the text of the manuscript: Read more here.
* Please write meaningful and complete sentences and do not use ambiguous phrases such as "No" or "None Declared".
Conflict of Interests: Not OK
The authors should state any possible conflicts of interest on the journal website: Read more here.
* Please write meaningful and complete sentences and do not use ambiguous phrases such as "No" or "None Declared".

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

In the name of the Most High

With greetings and respect

Thank you very much for taking your valuable time to review the article.

1. Following your recommendation, we added Figure 4.

2. The reason we did not mention the study by Dr. Aslani is that his 10 cases are a combination of isolated and combined cases, whereas our study includes only isolated cases, and our discussion is also only about these cases. If our aim were to examine both of these types of cases, we have more than 200 patients for the study; however, given the effect of the other repaired tendons on the results of subscapularis repair, these two groups are always examined separately.

2 Revision 1

1 comment · 2 Jan 2022

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 2 Jan 2022

I'm glad to inform you that your article was initially accepted. But before final acceptance, correct the following:
Funding/Support: Not OK
The authors should provide a Funding/Support statement on the journal website.
* Please write meaningful and complete sentences and do not use ambiguous phrases such as "No" or "None Declared".

Conflict of Interests: Not OK
The authors should state any possible conflicts of interest on the journal website.
* Please write meaningful and complete sentences and do not use ambiguous phrases such as "No" or "None Declared".

3

Revision 2

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

In the name of the Most High

With greetings and respect

Thank you very much for taking your valuable time to review the article.

1. Following your recommendation, we added Figure 4.

2. The reason we did not mention the study by Dr. Aslani is that his 10 cases are a combination of isolated and combined cases, whereas our study includes only isolated cases, and our discussion is also only about these cases. If our aim were to examine both of these types of cases, we have more than 200 patients for the study; however, given the effect of the other repaired tendons on the results of subscapularis repair, these two groups are always examined separately.

Reviewer1 comments	Answer	Line of manuscript that changed
Comment1	Ok we added figure4	71-73
Comment2	Our study did not include study of Aslani et al because it is not isolated ssc tear ,our study is about isolated ssc tear	-

3 Revision 2

1 comment · 18 Jan 2022

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 18 Jan 2022

Accept