Annals of Military and Health Sciences Research
Volume 20 · Issue 2 · e128787
OPEN PEER REVIEW

Relationship Between Mid-upper Arm Circumference (MUAC) and Body Mass Index (BMI) in Children Aged 6 - 12 Years: A Cross-sectional Study

Banafshe Dormanesh, Zahra Sadat Asadi, Hossein Dini Talatappeh, Shahrzad Ebrahimi

SUBMITTED	REVISED	ACCEPTED	DOI
7 Jun 2022	3 Jul 2022	3 Jul 2022	10.5812/amh-128787

About this report

This open peer review (OPR) report shows the public comments made on this article during peer review, by the reviewers, the associate editor and the editor-in-chief, for every revision round, together with the authors' replies. Comments appear only with the consent of the people who wrote them. Reviewers who chose to sign their report are named; the others are shown as "Referee". Comments are edited for language only, and confidential remarks to the editors are not included.

More about open peer review: brieflands.com/knowledgebase/opr

Contents

1 **Original submission** Referee 1, Editor-in-Chief 2
2 **Revision 1** Editor-in-Chief 3
Authors' reply to reviewers 4
Reviewer and editor comments 5

1 Original submission

2 comments · 18 Jun 2022 – 29 Jun 2022

Referee 1 Reviewer

MAJOR REVISION 18 Jun 2022

Give convincing answers to the comments in the article text.
Some sentences need to be edited.
Address some scientific contradictions.

Editor-in-Chief

MINOR REVISION 29 Jun 2022

minor revision

2

Revision 1

AUTHORS' RESPONSE TO REVIEWERS

Authors' reply to reviewers

The next pages contain the authors' point-by-point reply to the comments of this revision round, as submitted with the revised manuscript. It is published as the authors wrote it.

Dear editor:

Dear reviewers:

We corrected comments as highlighted in the article:

The aim of the study was corrected in the abstract and body of the article.

The kind of study was corrected.

Mean ages was added.

Full abbreviation was added.

The differences categories of BMI added in the tex.

Written consent was added.

Inclusion criteria added.

Results section was reduced.

In the discussion part, results were compared with 4 studies, so 4 studies were added to the references.

The grammatical errors corrected due to one of the reviewer comments.

2 Revision 1

1 comment · 3 Jul 2022

Editor-in-Chief

ACCEPT 3 Jul 2022

accept