



# Investigation the Frequency of Fungal Contamination in Surgical Instruments

Hossein Ali Danesh<sup>1</sup>, Hossein Arasteh<sup>2</sup>, Nasser Keikha<sup>3,\*</sup>, Javid Dehghan Haghighi<sup>4</sup>, Peyman Aslani<sup>5,\*\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Student Research Committee, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center, Research Institute of Cellular and Molecular Sciences in Infectious Diseases, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

<sup>4</sup> Department of Community Medicine, School of Medicine, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran

<sup>5</sup> School of Medicine, AJA University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

\*Corresponding Author: Infectious Diseases and Tropical Medicine Research Center, Research Institute of Cellular and Molecular Sciences in Infectious Diseases, Zahedan University of Medical Sciences, Zahedan, Iran. Email: nasserkeikha@yahoo.com

\*\*Corresponding Author: School of Medicine, AJA University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. Email: peymanaslani@yahoo.com

Received: 8 July, 2024; Revised: 31 July, 2024; Accepted: 13 August, 2024

## Abstract

**Background:** Fungal contamination of surgical instruments poses a significant risk to patient safety, potentially leading to surgical site infections and other severe complications. By examining contamination levels both immediately after opening sterile sets and after two hours of environmental exposure, it becomes possible to assess contamination reduction strategies.

**Objectives:** The aim of this study was to identify which instruments and operating rooms were most affected by fungal contamination. Understanding the extent of this contamination is critical to developing more effective sterilization protocols, improving infection control practices, and ultimately enhancing patient safety in surgical settings.

**Methods:** This study was conducted on surgical instruments at Ali ebn Abi Talib Zahedan Hospital in 2023, across seven operating rooms (emergency, general surgery, gynecology, and urology). Samples were randomly collected under sterile conditions to prevent external contamination. The collected samples were cultured in Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA), and data were analyzed using SPSS version 26.

**Results:** The findings revealed that different surgical instruments, such as Pinzette (without teeth), Allis forceps, Babcock forceps, curved clamps, Gully pots, receivers, retractors, ring forceps, and towel clamps, were contaminated with fungal pathogens within two hours of opening the sterile sets. The highest contamination rates were observed in general surgery (39%), followed by emergency (29%), urology (21%), and gynecology (11%) rooms. The most common fungal contaminants were *Aspergillus* spp., yeast, and *Penicillium* spp.

**Conclusions:** This study highlights the challenge of maintaining sterility in surgical environments post-sterilization, as fungal contamination reappeared on surgical instruments within two hours despite the initial sterilization. These findings emphasize the need for enhanced infection control measures, advanced environmental controls, and regular monitoring to reduce the risks associated with fungal contamination in surgical settings.

**Keywords:** Equipment Contamination, Fungi, Surgical Instruments

## 1. Background

Fungal contamination of surgical instruments is a significant concern in healthcare settings due to the risk of postoperative infections, which can result in severe complications and increased mortality among patients. Despite strict sterilization protocols, the persistence of

fungal contaminants on surgical instruments poses a critical challenge, necessitating continuous monitoring and improvement of sterilization techniques. Ensuring the sterility of surgical instruments is essential for maintaining patient safety and preventing nosocomial infections (1). Fungal infections can be particularly problematic because of fungi's ability to form biofilms,

which shield them from standard sterilization methods (2). Common fungal contaminants, such as *Aspergillus* and *Candida* species, are frequently identified in healthcare settings, and their presence on surgical instruments can lead to invasive infections, especially in immunocompromised patients (3). The consequences of these infections include longer hospital stays, increased healthcare costs, and higher patient mortality rates. Thus, understanding the frequency and sources of fungal contamination is crucial for developing effective strategies to mitigate this risk (4).

The prevalence of healthcare-associated infections (HAIs) varies by region and healthcare setting. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), the prevalence of HAIs in high-income countries is approximately 7%, while in low- and middle-income countries, it reaches 15% (5). The most common types of HAIs include urinary tract infections, surgical site infections, bloodstream infections, and pneumonia—especially ventilator-associated pneumonia. The prevalence of these infections can vary depending on the type of healthcare facility and the patient population (6). Studies conducted in Iran report hospital infection rates ranging from 0.32% to 9.1%. The most common infections involve the urinary tract, with *Escherichia coli* being the most frequently isolated microorganism. Nosocomial infections are most prevalent in special care units, internal medicine, and hematology departments, with suction devices identified as a key risk factor in Iran (7).

Fungal pathogens, while often under-recognized, are an important cause of hospital-acquired infections. These infections pose severe risks, especially for immunocompromised patients and those with prolonged hospital stays. The incidence of fungal HAIs has risen in recent years due to factors such as the growing population of immunocompromised patients, the use of broad-spectrum antibiotics, and the increased use of invasive medical procedures (8, 9). Hospital-acquired fungal infections can significantly impact patient health, often leading to severe complications and elevated mortality rates. They complicate the treatment process, particularly for patients with weakened immune systems (10). Fungal HAIs frequently result in extended hospitalizations, which prolong the time required for diagnosis and treatment, thereby delaying recovery from the primary condition. Prolonged hospital stays also heighten the risk of additional infections and impose a psychological burden on patients due to the extended duration of their treatment (9, 11).

## 2. Objectives

This study aimed to investigate the frequency of fungal contamination on surgical instruments in the operating rooms of hospitals affiliated with Zahedan University of Medical Sciences. By identifying the prevalence and types of fungal contaminants, this research seeks to highlight potential errors in sterilization processes and suggest improvements to current protocols.

## 3. Methods

### 3.1. Sample Collection

This study was conducted over a period of three months, from December 2023 to February 2024. Samples were collected at various times from all operating rooms of the hospital during both morning and evening shifts on a weekly basis. Over the three-month sample collection period, a total of 168 samples were taken from 7 operating rooms, including the emergency operating room, three general surgery rooms, two gynecological operating rooms, and one urology operating room, all under sterile conditions. To avoid any statistical bias and ensure balance in the sampling process, an effort was made to sample surgical instruments from each operating room in equal proportions. The samples for this research were randomly selected.

### 3.2. Culture and Determining of Fungal Contamination


After sample collection, the samples were transferred to Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA) with chloramphenicol for culturing and determining the level of fungal contamination. In the next step, 65 g of the prepared medium (Q-Lab) was dissolved in 1 liter of distilled water and brought to a boil to ensure complete dissolution. The medium was then sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C for 15 minutes. After culturing the samples in SDA with chloramphenicol, they were incubated at 25 - 30°C for up to 4 weeks, with periodic checks for fungal growth each day. Due to the slow growth rate of some fungal species, all samples were kept for the full 4 weeks and were discarded only after no growth was confirmed. The type of yeast or filamentous fungi, colony appearance, and other characteristics of each sample were recorded.

### 3.3. Data Analysis

The data were analyzed using SPSS version 26. Descriptive statistics and other relevant statistical analyses were performed.

## 4. Results

As shown in Figure 1, none of the surgical instruments had fungal contamination at the time of opening the surgical set. However, 28 surgical instruments showed fungal contamination two hours after opening the sterile sets. The contaminated instruments included Pinzette (4 instances, 14%), Allis (5 instances, 18%), Babcock Forceps (4 instances, 14%), Curved Clamp (3 instances, 11%), Gully Pot (4 instances, 14%), Receiver (1 instance, 4%), Retractor (3 instances, 11%), Ring Forceps (2 instances, 7%), and Towel Clamp (2 instances, 7%).



**Figure 1.** Frequency of fungal infections at the beginning of opening the surgical set and two hours later

Furthermore, two hours after opening the sets, the contamination rates recorded across different operating rooms were as follows: General surgery with 11 instances (39%), emergency room with 8 instances (29%), urology with 6 instances (21%), and gynecology with 3 instances (11%).

The identified fungal species on the surgical instruments were *Aspergillus* spp. (9 instances, 32%), Yeast (7 instances, 25%), *Penicillium* spp. (5 instances, 18%), *Aspergillus* spp. and *Penicillium* spp. (3 instances, 11%), *Aspergillus* spp. and Yeast (3 instances, 11%), and a combination of *Aspergillus* spp., Yeast, and *Penicillium* spp. (1 instance, 3%).

## 5. Discussion

Surgical instruments are essential for delivering safe and effective medical care, yet they are vulnerable to microbial contamination, posing serious health risks to patients (12). Among various microbial contaminants, fungal contamination of surgical instruments has become a significant concern in healthcare settings. Fungi thrive in moist, warm environments commonly found in surgical suites, leading to a range of infections. Despite increasing awareness of the need to clean and disinfect surgical instruments, fungal contamination remains a persistent challenge in healthcare facilities worldwide (13).

The present study was conducted to investigate fungal contamination of surgical instruments at Ali ebn Abi Talib Zahedan Hospital in 2023. The findings revealed that while none of the surgical instruments showed fungal contamination when the sterile sets were initially opened, 28 instruments became contaminated after two hours, shedding light on the dynamics of fungal contamination within operating room environments. These results underscore the importance of maintaining sterility, not only during sterilization but also throughout the handling and use of instruments in surgical settings.

Research by Lutz et al. demonstrated that fungal spores, such as those from *Aspergillus* species, can persist in operating room environments, potentially contaminating sterile surfaces and equipment (14). Additionally, Eggimann and Pittet highlighted the plasticity of fungal spores and their ability to form biofilms, further complicating contamination control (15). The presence of fungal contamination two hours after sterilization suggests that the operating room environment plays a crucial role in the recontamination of sterile instruments. Contributing factors may include air movement systems, the frequency of door openings, and personnel movement within the operating room (16).

The research results indicated that Allis tools (18%), Pinzette (without) (14%), and Babcock Forceps were among the instruments with the highest levels of fungal contamination two hours after opening the sterile sets. The primary reason for the contamination of these instruments is likely their prolonged exposure to the operating room environment after the sterile packages were opened. As these tools remained unused, they were increasingly exposed to environmental contamination. This aligns with the understanding that airborne fungal spores can settle on surfaces and instruments over time (17, 18). Other studies have examined the impact of air quality on fungal contamination, showing that suspended particles are a significant source of airborne pollution. The findings of this study support the idea that airborne fungal spores contribute to contamination, particularly when tools are left unused (19, 20).

A study by Stauning et al. found that operating rooms with high traffic and frequent procedural turnover had higher rates of microbial contamination (21). Similarly, the present study suggests that the gynecology, urology, and general surgery rooms had the highest number of infections.

*Aspergillus* spp. was the most commonly detected fungus, contaminating tools in 32% of cases. *Aspergillus*

species are well-known for their widespread presence in the environment, particularly in dust and air (22). Their spores can survive under various conditions and are often found in hospitals (23). This high rate of contamination is concerning because *Aspergillus* spp. can cause invasive infections, especially in immunocompromised patients, leading to severe complications (24).

A study conducted by Fang et al. found that *Aspergillus* spp. and *Penicillium* spp. are the most common airborne fungi in enclosed environments, which aligns with the findings of this study. Their research demonstrated that these fungi are prevalent in the air and can settle on surfaces, particularly in poorly ventilated areas (25).

### 5.1. Conclusions

This study underscores an important aspect of surgical instrument sterilization that extends beyond the initial sterilization process. The absence of fungal contamination immediately after opening the sterile sets confirms the effectiveness of current sterilization methods. However, the recontamination observed two hours later highlights the need for more comprehensive infection control measures that address environmental factors in the operating room. By implementing advanced environmental controls, enhancing sterilization techniques, and conducting regular monitoring, healthcare facilities can better protect patients from the risks of fungal contamination and improve overall surgical outcomes.

### Acknowledgements

The current research is taken from the thesis approved in Zahedan University of Medical Sciences. We declare that we have not used artificial intelligence software in writing this article and have only used online tools such as Typeset and Ginger to make a native English text.

### Footnotes

**Authors' Contribution:** All authors of this original research paper have directly participated in the planning, execution, or analysis of the study. Moreover, all authors have read and approved the final version submitted.

**Conflict of Interests Statement:** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

**Data Availability:** The dataset presented in the study is available on request from the corresponding author during submission or after publication.

**Ethical Approval:** The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of the Zahedan University of Medical Sciences of Iran, Zahedan (IR.ZAUMS.REC.1402.048), and ensuring compliance with ethical guidelines for animal experimentation.

**Funding/Support:** There was no funding for this study to be declared.

### References

1. Rutala WA, Weber DJ. Disinfection, sterilization, and control of hospital waste. *Mandell, Douglas, and Bennett's Principles and Practice of Infectious Diseases*. 2015;3294-3309 e4. eng. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-1-4557-4801-3.00301-5>.
2. Pfaller MA, Messer SA, Houston A, Rangel-Frausto MS, Wiblin T, Blumberg HM, et al. National epidemiology of mycoses survey: A multicenter study of strain variation and antifungal susceptibility among isolates of *Candida* species. *Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis*. 1998;**31**(1):289-96. [PubMed ID: 9597389]. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0732-8893\(97\)00245-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0732-8893(97)00245-9).
3. Magill SS, O'Leary E, Janelle SJ, Thompson DL, Dumyati G, Nadle J, et al. Changes in Prevalence of Health Care-Associated Infections in U.S. Hospitals. *N Engl J Med*. 2018;**379**(18):1732-44. [PubMed ID: 30380384]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7978499]. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa1801550>.
4. Haque M, McKimm J, Sartelli M, Dhingra S, Labricciosa FM, Islam S, et al. Strategies to prevent healthcare-associated infections: A narrative overview. *Risk Manag Healthc Policy*. 2020;**13**:1765-80. [PubMed ID: 33061710]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC7532064]. <https://doi.org/10.2147/RMHP.S269315>.
5. Alamer A, Alharbi F, Aldhilan A, Almushayti Z, Alghofaily K, Elbehiry A, et al. Healthcare-associated infections (HAIs): Challenges and measures taken by the radiology department to control infection transmission. *Vaccines (Basel)*. 2022;**10**(12). [PubMed ID: 36560470]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC9781912]. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines1012060>.
6. Dadi NCT, Radochova B, Vargova J, Bujdakova H. Impact of healthcare-associated infections connected to medical devices-an update. *Microorganisms*. 2021;**9**(11). [PubMed ID: 34835457]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8618630]. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9112332>.
7. Mosadeghrad AM, Afshari M, Isfahani P. Prevalence of nosocomial infection in Iranian hospitals: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Iran J Epidemiol*. 2021;**16**(4):352-62. eng. [PubMed Central ID: PMC6108288].
8. Rayens E, Norris KA. Prevalence and Healthcare Burden of Fungal Infections in the United States, 2018. *Open Forum Infect Dis*. 2022;**9**(1):ofab593. [PubMed ID: 35036461]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC8754384]. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofab593>.
9. Douglas AP, Stewart AG, Halliday CL, Chen SC. Outbreaks of fungal infections in hospitals: Epidemiology, detection, and management. *J Fungi (Basel)*. 2023;**9**(11). [PubMed ID: 37998865]. [PubMed Central ID: PMC10672668]. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jof911059>.
10. Obeed FK, Al-Saad LA, Alrubayae IMN. The prevalence of hospital-acquired fungal infections in some hospitals of basrah province. *Health Edu Health Promotion*. 2022;**10**(1):193-200.



11. Kubin CJ, McConville TH, Dietz D, Zucker J, May M, Nelson B, et al. Characterization of bacterial and fungal infections in hospitalized patients with coronavirus disease 2019 and factors associated with health care-associated infections. *Open Forum Infect Dis.* 2021;**8**(6):ofab201. [PubMed ID: [34099978](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC8135866](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ofid/ofab201>.
12. Macedo CE, Ferreira AM, Barcelos LDS, Alvim ALS, Carneiro LM, Martins SR, et al. Contamination of equipment and surfaces in the operating room anesthesia workspace: A cross-sectional study. *Sao Paulo Med J.* 2024;**142**(4). e2023177. [PubMed ID: [38422242](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC10885631](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1516-3180.2023.0177.R1.291123>.
13. Bonadonna L, Briancesco R, Coccia AM. Analysis of microorganisms in hospital environments and potential risks. *Indoor Air Quality in Healthcare Facilities.* 2017;53-62. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC7120946](#)]. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-49160-8\\_5](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-49160-8_5).
14. Lutz BD, Jin J, Rinaldi MG, Wickes BL, Huycke MM. Outbreak of invasive *Aspergillus* infection in surgical patients, associated with a contaminated air-handling system. *Clin Infect Dis.* 2003;**37**(6):786-93. [PubMed ID: [12955639](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1086/377537>.
15. Eggimann P, Pittet D. Infection control in the ICU. *Chest.* 2001;**120**(6):2059-93. [PubMed ID: [11742943](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1378/chest.120.6.2059>.
16. Pokrywka M, Byers K. Traffic in the operating room: A review of factors influencing air flow and surgical wound contamination. *Infect Disord Drug Targets.* 2013;**13**(3):156-61. [PubMed ID: [24001332](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1871526511313030002>.
17. Jürgensen CW, Madsen AM. Influence of everyday activities and presence of people in common indoor environments on exposure to airborne fungi. *AIMS Environmental Science.* 2016;**3**(1). <https://doi.org/10.3934/environsci.2016.1.77>.
18. Gorny RL, Reponen T, Willeke K, Schmechel D, Robine E, Boissier M, et al. Fungal fragments as indoor air biocontaminants. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 2002;**68**(7):3522-31. [PubMed ID: [12089037](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC126767](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.68.7.3522-3531.2002>.
19. Whyte W, Ward S, Whyte WM, Eaton T. Decay of airborne contamination and ventilation effectiveness of cleanrooms. *Int J Ventilation.* 2014;**13**(3):211-20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14733315.2014.11684049>.
20. Ghajari A, Lotfali E, Azari M, Fateh R, Kalantary S. Fungal airborne contamination as a serious threat for respiratory infection in the hematology ward. *Tanaffos.* 2015;**14**(4):257-61. eng. [PubMed ID: [27114728](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC4841993](#)].
21. Stauning MT, Bediako-Bowan A, Andersen LP, Opintan JA, Labi AK, Kurtzhals JAL, et al. Traffic flow and microbial air contamination in operating rooms at a major teaching hospital in Ghana. *J Hosp Infect.* 2018;**99**(3):263-70. [PubMed ID: [29253624](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhin.2017.12.010>.
22. Mousavi B, Hedayati MT, Hedayati N, Ilkit M, Syedmousavi S. *Aspergillus* species in indoor environments and their possible occupational and public health hazards. *Curr Med Mycol.* 2016;**2**(1):36-42. [PubMed ID: [28681011](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC5490296](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.18869/acadpub.cmm.2.1.36>.
23. Paulussen C, Hallsworth JE, Alvarez-Perez S, Nierman WC, Hamill PG, Blain D, et al. Ecology of aspergillosis: Insights into the pathogenic potency of *Aspergillus fumigatus* and some other *Aspergillus* species. *Microb Biotechnol.* 2017;**10**(2):296-322. [PubMed ID: [27273822](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC5328810](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12367>.
24. Gundogdu E, Aydin N. Invasive aspergillosis infection in an immunocompromised patient. *Rev Soc Bras Med Trop.* 2024;**57**. e009002024. [PubMed ID: [38324810](#)]. [PubMed Central ID: [PMC10852466](#)]. <https://doi.org/10.1590/0037-8682-0540-2023>.
25. Fang ZG, Ouyang ZY, Liu P, Sun L, Wang XY. [Airborne fungal community composition in indoor environments in Beijing]. *Huan Jing Ke Xue.* 2013;**34**(5):2031-7. chi. [PubMed ID: [23914564](#)].