OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Archives of Neuroscience
Article DOI: 10.5812/ans.115781
Published in: Archives of Neuroscience: 8(4); e115781

Peer Review Report for "Acute Response of Stress System in Multiple Sclerosis"

Author(s): Ahmadreza Askari Ashtiani, Vahid Shaygannejad, Foad Ghobadi, Roozbeh Bathaie, Shima Shahyad, Boshra Hatef

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 2 May 2021
Accepted Date: 22 Sep 2021

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 22 Sep 2021

Dear AE,
The article has an interesting and fascinating topic. It is well written in English and has no obvious grammatical or linguistic flaws. Each section of the article has been well and sufficiently reviewed and written. Tables and charts are appropriate.
There is no obvious or significant problem.
Thanks.

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0) — 22 Sep 2021

Dear EIC
Based on the authors' answers to the reviewers' comments, the reviewer(s) have recommended publication.
Kind regards.

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0) — 22 Sep 2021

Dear Author,
The document is reviewed carefully by the reviewers. According to their comments and your revisions as requested, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks.