OPEN PEER REVIEW

Open Peer Review (OPR)

As a new feature for progressing towards transparency, we decided to open a new window for all of our editors as well as authors titled "**Open Peer Review**". We hope by this new facility, our reviewers will be more motivated and authors will be more satisfied with the review process. We believe that publishing our peer review reports could make a transparent and clear environment for all our efforts within a journal, but not all reviewers tend to publish their comments.

What is "Open Peer Review" process?

An "Open Peer Review" process is making the details of all review process (including reviewers, associate editors, and EICs comments) as "Public" as it is agreed by EIC, Authors, and reviewers.

Advantages of "Open Peer Review" process

- More transparency, constructiveness and tactful comments of the peer review process: leads to an increase in the quality of reviews
- More motivations for all involved roles in the review process
- Authors' satisfactions from the review process: Increases honesty between authors and reviewers
- Education of both authors and new students
- Prevents reviewers from following their individual agendas and leading to the detection of reviewers' conflicts of interests

You can find out more at:
https://sites.kowsarpub.com/kowsar/knowledgebase/category/tree.html#opr.html

Archives of Pediatric Infectious Diseases
Article DOI: 10.5812/pedinfect.103072
Published in: Archives of Pediatric Infectious Diseases: 8(2); e103072

Peer Review Report for "Gastrointestinal Manifestations in Children with Kawasaki Disease in Isfahan, Iran"

Author(s): Peiman Nasri, Zahra Adibmajlesi, Hamid Rahimi, Hossein Saneian, Fatemeh Famouri, Majid Khademian, Morteza Jafarinia, Fereshteh Alsahebfosoul

Review Timeline:

Submit Date: 25 Mar 2020
Revised Date: 10 Apr 2020
Accepted Date: 16 Apr 2020

Revision (0)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 3 Apr 2020

Dear AE,
The study is reviewed carefully. According to comments and revisions by the author, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 3 Apr 2020

Dear AE,
1. Plagiarism detection in the manuscript: Should be checked by appropriate software.
2. The analysis method of the manuscript: is written in a good way
Results: acceptable
- Correct referencing and citations; Ok
- Correct and proper English writing: checked by a native English writer
Thanks.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (0) — 7 Apr 2020

Dear AE,

Thank you for the manuscript.

1- It is not known whether the symptoms listed in Table 3 are related to Kawasaki disease.

2- The percentages calculated in Table 1 should also be written based on the population of girls and boys (in this table, the ratio of problems in girls and boys in relation to the total population is specified).

Regards

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (0)

8 Apr 2020

Dear EIC,

Thanks for this manuscript.

Some questions need to be answered.

1- It is not known whether the symptoms listed in Table 3 are related to Kawasaki disease.

2- The percentages calculated in Table 1 should also be written based on the population of girls and boys (in this table, the ratio of problems in girls and boys in relation to the total population is specified).

Regards

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (0)

9 Apr 2020

Dear author,

According to reviewers' comments, my decision about this manuscript is minor revision.

Thanks.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Reply to Reviewers

Ideally, the reviewing process can significantly improve the submitted manuscripts by allowing the authors to take into account the advice of reviewers. Author(s) must reply to all reviewers' comments in a separate Word file, point by point. A "**Reply to Reviewers**" document is submitted along with revised manuscript during submission of revised files, summarizing the changes that the authors made in response to the reviewers' comments. The responses to reviewers' comments specifies how the authors addressed each comment the reviewers made.

You can read the authors' responses to the reviewers' comments in the next page.

Dear reviewers

Thank you very much for your comments. Your comments were so helpful and improved our manuscript. I revised your comments as below:

Reviewer: 1

Comment

> *1. Plagiarism detection in the manuscript: Should be checked by appropriate software.*
>
> *2. The analysis method of the manuscript: is written in a good way*
> *Results: acceptable*
> *Correct referencing and citations; Ok*
> *Correct and proper English writing: checked by a native English writer*
> *Your decision about this manuscript (accept)*

Response

> We proofed-read our manuscript again and also checked the text for any plagiarism in online software. It revealed that the plagiarism is near zero.

Reviewer: 3

Comment

> *Reviewer 3:*
> *Dear author*
> *Thank you for the manuscript*
> *1- It is not known whether the symptoms listed in Table 3 are related to Kawasaki disease.*
> *2- The percentages calculated in Table 1 should also be written based on the population of girls and boys (in this table, the ratio of problems in girls and boys in relation to the total population is specified).*

Response

> We corrected the table 1 and 2 based on the reviewer's comments.
>
> In table 1, the percentages calculated based on the population of girls and boys and also for total. Some percentages were corrected.
>
> I corrected the table 1 to be understandable for readers.

OPEN PEER REVIEW

Revision (1)

Here, you can see the **Reviewers**, **Associate Editors** and **EICs'** comments from the beginning to the end of the revision process.

REFEREE: Reviewer | **Revision** (1) 14 Apr 2020

Dear AE,
The study is reviewed carefully. According to comments and revisions by the author, this manuscript is accepted.
Thanks

REFEREE: Associate Editor | **Revision** (1) 16 Apr 2020

Dear EIC,
Thanks to the authors for answering reviewer questions.
we accept this manuscript to publishing according to answered all requests and corrected by the author.
sincerely you

REFEREE: EIC | **Revision** (1) 16 Apr 2020

Dear Author,
My decision about this manuscript (accept).
Thanks.